

## TWO THEOREMS CONCERNING FUNCTIONS HOLOMORPHIC ON MULTIPLY CONNECTED DOMAINS

BY E. L. STOUT<sup>1</sup>

Communicated by Walter Rudin, March 25, 1963

1. Let  $\Omega$  be a finitely connected plane domain whose boundary,  $\partial\Omega$ , consists of the circles  $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_n$ . We assume  $\Gamma_j$  lies in the interior of  $\Gamma_0$  for  $j=1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $\Delta_0$  be the interior of  $\Gamma_0$  and let  $\Delta_j$  be the exterior of  $\Gamma_j, j=1, 2, \dots, n$ . We then have  $\Omega = \bigcap_{j=0}^n \Delta_j$ . Let  $H_\infty[\Omega]$  be the collection of all bounded holomorphic functions in  $\Omega$ . We shall say that a set  $S$  of points of  $\Omega$  is an interpolation set for  $\Omega$  if given a bounded complex valued function  $w$  on  $S$  there is  $f \in H_\infty[\Omega]$  such that  $f(z) = w(z)$  for all  $z \in S$ . If  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a sequence in  $\Omega$ , without limit points in  $\Omega$ , we write  $\{z_n\} = S_0 \cup S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_n$  where the  $S_j$  are pairwise disjoint and where the only limit points of  $S_j$  lie in  $\Gamma_j, j=0, 1, \dots, n$ .

In the present note we sketch proofs for the following two theorems:

**THEOREM A.** *The sequence  $\{z_n\}$  is an interpolation set for  $\Omega$  if and only if each  $S_j$  is an interpolation set for the disc  $\Delta_j$ .*

**THEOREM B.** *Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$  be functions in  $H_\infty[\Omega]$  such that  $|f_1(z)| + |f_2(z)| + \dots + |f_m(z)| \geq \delta > 0$  for all  $z \in \Omega$ . Then there exist functions  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m \in H_\infty[\Omega]$  such that  $f_1g_1 + f_2g_2 + \dots + f_mg_m = 1$ .*

L. Carleson [2] has established Theorem B in case  $\Omega$  is the open unit disc. He has also proved [1] that the sequence  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is an interpolation sequence for the open unit disc if and only if there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\prod_{n \neq k} \left| \frac{z_n - z_k}{1 - \bar{z}_n z_k} \right| > \delta$$

for  $k=1, 2, 3, \dots$ . For a discussion and alternative proof see [3, pp. 194–208].

**2. Outline of the proof of Theorem A.** Let  $B_j$  be the Blaschke product associated with the disc  $\Delta_j$  and the set of points  $S_j, j=0, \dots, n$ . Note that there is an  $\eta > 0$  such that  $|B_j(z)| > \eta$  for  $z \in S_k$  if  $k \neq j$ .

---

<sup>1</sup> National Science Foundation Graduate Fellow.

Suppose now that  $S_j$  is an interpolation set for  $\Delta_j, j=0, \dots, n$ . Let  $w$  be a bounded function on  $S$ , and let  $f_j \in H_\infty[\Delta_j]$  be such that

$$f_j(z) = w(z)/(B_0(z) \cdots B_{j-1}(z)B_{j+1}(z) \cdots B_n(z))$$

for all  $z \in S_j$ . Define

$$F = f_0B_1B_2 \cdots B_n + f_1B_0B_2 \cdots B_n + \cdots + f_nB_0B_1 \cdots B_{n-1}.$$

Then  $F \in H_\infty[\Omega]$  and  $F(z) = w(z)$  for all  $z \in S$ .

Conversely, assume that  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is an interpolation set for  $\Omega$ . If  $f \in H_\infty[\Omega]$  we define  $\|f\|$  by

$$(1) \quad \|f\| = \sup\{|f(z)| : z \in \Omega\}.$$

A Banach space argument like that in [3, p. 196] shows that there is a constant  $M$  such that if  $w$  is a function on  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  with  $|w(z)| \leq 1$  for all  $z \in \{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ , then there is  $f \in H_\infty[\Omega]$  with  $\|f\| \leq M$  and  $f(z) = w(z), z \in \{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . Given  $z_k \in S_j$ , let  $B_j^{(k)}$  be the Blaschke product associated with the disc  $\Delta_j$  and the set  $S_j \setminus \{z_k\}$ . Let  $f \in H_\infty[\Omega]$  be such that  $f(z_n) = 0$  for  $n \neq k, f(z_k) = 1$  and such that  $\|f\| \leq M$ . The function

$$g = f/(B_0 \cdots B_{j-1}B_j^{(k)} B_{j+1} \cdots B_n)$$

is in  $H_\infty[\Omega]$ . Since there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|B_i(z)| \geq \delta$  for all  $z \in \Gamma_j, i \neq j$ , we have that  $\|g\| \leq M/\delta^n$ . In particular then  $|g(z_k)| \leq M/\delta^n$ . This yields

$$|\delta^n M^{-1} f(z_k)/(B_0(z_k) \cdots B_{j-1}(z_k)B_{j+1}(z_k) \cdots B_n(z_k))| \leq |B_j^{(k)}(z_k)|.$$

Since  $f(z_k) = 1$ , and the product  $B_0 \cdots B_{j-1}B_{j+1} \cdots B_n$  is uniformly bounded away from zero on  $S_j$ , we have that  $|B_j^{(k)}(z_k)| \geq \delta_1 > 0$ . This estimate is uniform in  $k$ , so  $S_j$  is an interpolation set for  $\Delta_j$ .

**3. Outline of the proof of Theorem B.** Observe that  $H_\infty[\Omega]$  is a commutative Banach algebra with identity if it is given the norm defined by (1). Let  $\mathfrak{M}[\Omega]$  be the maximal ideal space of  $H_\infty[\Omega]$ ; we regard  $\mathfrak{M}[\Omega]$  as the collection of all nonzero complex homomorphisms of  $H_\infty[\Omega]$  with the weak\* topology. Let  $\mathfrak{M}_e[\Omega]$  be the collection of those homomorphisms  $\phi_\lambda$  of the form  $\phi_\lambda(f) = f(\lambda), \lambda \in \Omega$ . It is known [3, p. 163] that to establish our result it suffices to prove  $\mathfrak{M}_e[\Omega]$  dense in  $\mathfrak{M}[\Omega]$ .

For  $j=1, 2, \dots, n$ , let  $H_\infty^0[\Delta_j]$  be the closed subalgebra of  $H_\infty[\Omega]$  consisting of those  $f$  which are restrictions to  $\Omega$  of functions in  $H_\infty[\Delta_j]$  which vanish at infinity. It is known [4, p. 56] that if  $f \in H_\infty[\Omega]$ , then  $f$  can be written in the form

$$(2) \quad f = f_0 + f_1 + \cdots + f_n,$$

$f_0 \in H_\infty[\Delta_0], f_j \in H_\infty^0[\Delta_j], 1 \leq j$ . It is immediate that this decomposition is unique; it yields

$$(3) \quad H_\infty[\Omega] = H_\infty[\Delta_0] \oplus H_\infty^0[\Delta_1] \oplus \cdots \oplus H_\infty^0[\Delta_n],$$

the direct sum being understood in the sense of Banach spaces.

Following some ideas of I. J. Scharf (see [3, p. 159, ff.]), we note that the function  $z$  is in  $H_\infty[\Omega]$ . It gives rise to the function  $\mathfrak{z}$  on  $\mathfrak{M}[\Omega]$  given by  $\mathfrak{z}(\phi) = \phi(z)$ . We can prove that  $\mathfrak{z}$  maps  $\mathfrak{M}[\Omega]$  onto  $\bar{\Omega}$  and that  $\mathfrak{z}$  is one-to-one over  $\Omega$ . If  $\alpha \in \partial\Omega$ , set  $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha = \{\phi \in \mathfrak{M}[\Omega]: \mathfrak{z}(\phi) = \alpha\}$ . A slight modification of the argument for the disc case shows that if  $f \in H_\infty[\Omega]$ , then  $\hat{f}$  is constant on  $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha$  if and only if  $f$  is continuously extensible to  $\Omega \cup \{\alpha\}$  and that if  $f$  is so extensible, then  $\hat{f}(\phi) = f(\alpha)$  for all  $\phi \in \mathfrak{M}[\Omega]$ .

Suppose now that  $\phi$  is a multiplicative linear functional defined on  $H_\infty[\Delta_0]$  viewed as a subalgebra of  $H_\infty[\Omega]$  by the direct sum decomposition (3). Let  $\phi(z) \in \Omega$ . Then  $\phi$  admits a unique extension to an element of  $\mathfrak{M}[\Omega]$ . This is clear since  $\mathfrak{z}$  maps  $\mathfrak{M}[\Omega]$  onto  $\bar{\Omega}$  and is one-to-one over  $\Omega$ . If  $\alpha = \phi(z)$  lies in  $\Gamma_0$ ,  $\phi$  also admits a unique extension to an element of  $\mathfrak{M}[\Omega]$ . For uniqueness, suppose that  $\phi^*$  is an extension of  $\phi$  to all of  $H_\infty[\Omega]$ . For  $f \in H_\infty[\Omega]$ , write  $f = f_0 + f_1 + \cdots + f_n$  in accordance with (2). The linearity of  $\phi^*$  implies that  $\phi^*(f) = \phi^*(f_0) + \phi^*(f_1) + \cdots + \phi^*(f_n)$ . Since  $\phi^*$  is an extension of  $\phi$ , and since, for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n, f_j$  is continuously extensible to  $\Omega \cup \{\alpha\}$ , it follows that  $\phi^*(f) = \phi(f_0) + f_1(\alpha) + \cdots + f_n(\alpha)$ . This establishes the uniqueness of the extension. This choice of  $\phi^*$  yields a multiplicative functional. To see this, suppose  $g \in H_\infty[\Omega]$  and write  $g = g_0 + g_1 + \cdots + g_n$  by (2). Then  $fg = \sum_{j,k=0}^n f_j g_k$ . Since  $\phi^*$  is plainly linear, we need only show  $\phi^*(f_j g_k) = \phi^*(f_j)\phi^*(g_k)$ . If neither  $j$  nor  $k$  is zero,  $f_j g_k$  is continuously extensible to  $\Omega \cup \{\alpha\}$ , so we need only consider terms of the form  $f_0 g_k$  and  $f_j g_0$ . Suppose then that  $f \in H_\infty[\Delta_0], g \in H_\infty[\Delta_j], j \neq 0$ . Since  $\phi^*(g) = g(\alpha)$ , we are finished if we can show  $\phi^*(fg - g(\alpha)f) = 0$ . Write

$$fg - g(\alpha)f = h_0 + h_1 + \cdots + h_n$$

in accordance with (2). Then  $h_j$  is continuous at  $\alpha$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , and since  $fg - g(\alpha)f$  is continuous at  $\alpha$ , it follows that  $h_0$  must be continuous at  $\alpha$  so that  $\phi(h_0) = h_0(\alpha)$ . Therefore  $\phi^*(fg - g(\alpha)f) = h_0(\alpha) + h_1(\alpha) + \cdots + h_n(\alpha) = 0$ . We conclude that  $\phi^*$  is multiplicative.

If  $\phi$  is a multiplicative linear functional on  $H_\infty[\Delta_0]$  such that  $\phi(z) \in \Gamma_j$  for  $j \neq 0$ , our argument indicates that  $\phi$  admits many exten-

sions to an element of  $\mathfrak{M}[\Omega]$ . If  $\phi(z) \in \Delta_0 \setminus \bar{\Omega}$ , then  $\phi$  admits no extension.

The same argument applies to  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$  in place of  $\Delta_0$ . This also shows that every element of  $\mathfrak{M}[\Omega]$  is determined by its action on the subalgebras  $H_\infty[\Delta_0], H_\infty^0[\Delta_1], \dots, H_\infty^0[\Delta_n]$ . It now follows that  $\mathfrak{M}_e[\Omega]$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{M}[\Omega]$ . For suppose  $\phi \in \mathfrak{M}[\Omega]$ , and suppose  $\alpha = \phi(z) \in \Gamma_k$ . Let  $\phi^{(j)}$  be the restriction of  $\phi$  to the subalgebra  $H_\infty[\Delta_j]$ . By Carleson's result for the disc, there is a point  $\lambda \in \Delta_k$  such that the point evaluation  $\phi_\lambda^{(k)}$  at  $\lambda$  is near  $\phi^{(k)}$  in the sense of the weak\* topology in the maximal ideal space of  $H_\infty[\Delta_k]$ . If  $\lambda$  is near  $\alpha$ , then  $\lambda \in \Omega$ , and each of the point evaluations at  $\lambda$ ,  $\phi_\lambda^{(j)}$ , for  $j \neq k$  is near the point evaluation  $\phi_\alpha^{(j)}$  in the maximal ideal space of  $H_\infty[\Delta_j]$ . But then the point evaluation  $\phi_\lambda \in \mathfrak{M}_e[\Omega]$  is near the homomorphism  $\phi$  in  $\mathfrak{M}[\Omega]$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{M}_e[\Omega]$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{M}[\Omega]$ , and we have our result.

4. We can relax our condition on the boundary of  $\Omega$  as follows. Our results are plainly invariant under conformal mapping. It is known [5, p. 377] that every finitely connected domain with no nondegenerate boundary components is conformally equivalent to a domain bounded by circles. Thus our results apply to this more general class of domains.

#### REFERENCES

1. L. Carleson, *An interpolation problem for bounded analytic functions*, Amer. J. Math. **80** (1958), 921-930.
2. ———, *Interpolation by bounded analytic functions and the corona problem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **76** (1962), 547-559.
3. K. Hoffman, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1962.
4. W. Rudin, *Analytic functions of class  $H_p$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1955), 46-66.
5. A. Hurwitz and R. Courant, *Funktionentheorie*, Springer, Berlin, 1922.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN