

## THE CHARACTERIZATION OF FUNCTIONS ARISING AS POTENTIALS. II

BY E. M. STEIN<sup>1</sup>

Communicated by A. Zygmund, August 8, 1962

**1. Statement of result.** We continue our study of the function spaces  $L_\alpha^p$ , begun in [7]. We recall that  $f \in L_\alpha^p(E_n)$  when  $f = K_\alpha * \phi$ , where  $\phi \in L^p(E_n)$ ,  $K_\alpha$  is the Bessel kernel, characterized by its Fourier transform  $\widehat{K_\alpha}(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{-\alpha/2}$ . It should also be recalled that the space  $L_k^p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , with  $k$  a positive integer, coincides with the space of functions which together with their derivatives up to and including order  $k$  belong to  $L^p$ ; (see [2]).

It will be convenient to give the functions in  $L_\alpha^p$  their strict definition. Thus we redefine them to have the value  $(K_\alpha * \phi)(x)$  at every point where this convolution converges absolutely. With this done, and if  $\alpha - (n - m)/p > 0$ , then the restriction of an  $f \in L_\alpha^p(E_n)$  to a fixed  $m$ -dimensional linear variety in  $E_n$  is well-defined (that is, it exists almost everywhere with respect to  $m$ -dimensional Euclidean measure). The problem that arises is of characterizing such restrictions.

The problem was previously solved in the following cases:

- (i) When  $p$  is arbitrary, but  $\alpha = 1$ , in Gagliardo [3].
- (ii) When  $p = 2$ , and  $\alpha$  is otherwise arbitrary in Aronszajn and Smith [1]. In each case the solution may be expressed in terms of another function space,  $W_\alpha^p$ , which consists of those  $f \in L^p(E_n)$  for which the norm<sup>2</sup>

$$\|f\|_p + \left[ \int_{E_n} \int_{E_n} \frac{|f(x-y) - f(x)|^p}{|y|^{n+\alpha p}} dx dy \right]^{1/p}$$

is finite, when  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . When  $0 < \alpha < 2$ , there is a similar definition of  $W_\alpha^p$  (consistent with the previous one for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ) which replaces the difference  $f(x-y) - f(x)$  by the second difference  $f(x-y) + f(x+y) - 2f(x)$ . Finally for general  $\alpha \geq 2$ , the spaces  $W_\alpha^p$  are defined recurrently by  $f \in W_\alpha^p$  when  $f \in L^p$  and  $\partial f / \partial x_n \in W_{\alpha-1}^p$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ .

In stating our result we let  $E_m$  denote a fixed proper  $m$  dimensional subspace of  $E_n$ , and  $Rf$  denote the restriction to  $E_m$  of a function defined on  $E_n$ .

<sup>1</sup> The author wishes to acknowledge the support of the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation.

<sup>2</sup> Such norms were considered when  $n = 1$  in [5]. The space is also considered in [6] and [9]; in the latter it is denoted by  $\Lambda_\alpha^{p,p}$ .

**THEOREM.** (a) *The restriction mapping  $R$  is continuous from  $L_\alpha^p(E_n)$  to  $W_\beta^p(E_m)$ , if  $\beta = \alpha - (m - n)/p$ , as long as  $\beta > 0$ , and  $1 < p < \infty$ .*

(b) *Conversely, there exists a linear extension mapping  $\mathcal{E}$ , defined on functions of  $E_m$  to function of  $E_n$ , so that  $\mathcal{E}$  is continuous from  $W_\beta^p(E_m)$  to  $L_\alpha^p(E_n)$ , and  $R(\mathcal{E}(g)) = g$  for every  $g \in W_\beta^p(E_m)$ , as long as  $\beta > 0$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ .<sup>3</sup>*

It should be pointed out that the spaces  $L_\alpha^p$ , when either  $\alpha$  is integral or  $p = 2$ , are in some sense exceptional. Only in these cases can the elements of  $L_\alpha^p$  be characterized in terms of the  $L^p$  modulus of continuity (i.e. conditions bearing on  $\|f(x - y) - f(x)\|_p$  when say  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ). In particular,  $L_\alpha^p$  is equivalent with  $W_\alpha^p$  only if  $p = 2$ ; see Taibleson [9]. It is known<sup>4</sup> that the restrictions of  $W_\alpha^p(E_n)$  are elements of  $W_\beta^p(E_m)$  with  $\beta = \alpha - (n - m)/p$ . As we shall see, this result is an immediate consequence of our theorem. Thus we have the interesting situation of two different spaces,  $L_\alpha^p$  and  $W_\alpha^p$ , having identical restriction spaces.

**2. Proof of the Theorem.** What follows is a sketch of the proof, details omitted. We consider the case  $m = n - 1$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ; the general case is dealt with similarly. We shall make consistent use of the following notation: latin letters,  $x, y, z, \dots$  will stand for variables of  $E_{n-1}$  considered as a subspace of  $E_n$ ; greek letters  $\xi, \eta, \zeta, \dots$  for points in  $E_1$ , which is the orthogonal subspace. Thus the pair  $(x, \xi)$  belongs to  $E_n$ . Also if  $f(x, \xi)$  is a function defined on  $E_n$ , then  $\|f(\cdot, \xi)\|_p$  will denote  $L^p$  norm with respect to the  $x$  variable,  $\xi$  fixed;  $\|f(\cdot, \cdot)\|_p$  will denote the norm taken over both variables. Using the same convention,  $\|g(\cdot + y) - g(\cdot)\|_p$  will stand for

$$\left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |g(x + y) - g(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

We make consistent use of the following classical estimate [4].

**LEMMA.** *If  $\Phi(\xi) = \int_0^\infty K(\xi, \eta)\phi(\eta)d\eta$ , where  $K$  is homogeneous of degree  $-1$ , then  $\int_0^\infty |\Phi(\xi)|^p d\xi \leq A^p \int_0^\infty |\phi(\eta)|^p d\eta$ , where*

$$A = \int_0^\infty |K(1, \eta)| \eta^{-1/p} d\eta < \infty.$$

Now suppose that  $f \in L_\alpha^p(E_n)$ ; then  $f = K_\alpha * \phi$  where  $\phi \in L^p(E_n)$ ; and the norm of  $f$  in  $L^p$ ,  $\|f\|_{p,\alpha}$ , is given by  $\|f\|_{p,\alpha} = \|\phi\|_p$ . Let  $g = R(f)$ . Then

<sup>3</sup> The mapping  $\mathcal{E}$  is defined on all locally integrable functions of  $E_m$ .

<sup>4</sup> This result is due to several Soviet authors. For references see [9], and the paper of O. V. Besov in Trudy Steklov Inst. Acad. Sci. USSR 60 (1961), 42-81.

$$g(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{E_{n-1}} \phi(x - z, \xi) K_{\alpha}(z, \xi) dz d\xi.$$

Hence,

$$|g(x)| \leq \int_{E_{n-1}} \|\phi(x - z, \cdot)\|_p \|K(z, \cdot)\|_q dz,$$

where  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . From this it follows that

$$(1) \quad \|g\|_p \leq \|\phi(\cdot, \cdot)\|_p \int_{E_{n-1}} \|K(z, \cdot)\|_q dz = A \|\phi\|_p = A \|f\|_{p,\alpha}.$$

This is a consequence of the fact that  $\int_{E_{n-1}} \|K_{\alpha}(z, \cdot)\|_q dz < \infty$  if  $\alpha - 1/p > 0$ , which follows easily from the estimates

$$K_{\alpha}(z, \xi) = O(|z|^2 + \xi^2)^{-(n+\alpha)/2} \quad \text{for } |z|^2 + \xi^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$K_{\alpha}(z, \xi) = O\left(\exp - \frac{(|z|^2 + \xi^2)^{1/2}}{2}\right) \quad \text{for } |z|^2 + \xi^2 \rightarrow \infty;$$

see [1].

Next, define  $g_{\xi}(x)$  by  $g_{\xi}(x) = \int_{E_{n-1}} \phi(x - z, \xi) K_{\alpha}(z, \xi) dz$ . Thus  $g(x) = \int g_{\xi}(x) d\xi$ . We have

$$\|g_{\xi}(\cdot + y) - g_{\xi}(\cdot)\|_p \leq \|\phi(\cdot, \xi)\|_p \int_{E_{n-1}} |K_{\alpha}(z - y, \xi) - K_{\alpha}(z, \xi)| dz.$$

Using the fact, (see, [1]) that  $\nabla K_{\alpha} = O(|x|^2 + \xi^2)^{-(n-1+\alpha)/2}$  and the previous estimates on  $K_{\alpha}$ , it can be shown that the last integral is dominated by  $A|y|^{-1+\alpha} \psi(\xi/|y|)$ , where  $\psi(u) = O(|u|^{-1+\alpha})$  as  $u \rightarrow 0$  and  $O(|u|^{-2+\alpha})$  as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ , ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ , here). From this it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|g(\cdot - y) - g(\cdot)\|_p \\ & \leq A \left[ \int_{|\xi| \leq |y|} |\xi|^{-1+\alpha} \|\phi(\cdot, \xi)\|_p d\xi + |y| \int_{|\xi| \geq |y|} |\xi|^{-2+\alpha} \|\phi(\cdot, \xi)\|_p d\xi \right]. \end{aligned}$$

An application of the lemma then shows, since  $1 > \alpha > 1/p$ ,

$$(2) \quad \int_{E_{n-1}} \frac{\|g(\cdot - y) - g(\cdot)\|_p^p}{|y|^{n-2+\alpha p}} dy \leq A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|\phi(\cdot, \xi)\|_p^p d\xi = A \|\phi\|_p^p = A \|f\|_{p,\alpha}^p.$$

Combining this with (1) above proves part (a) of the theorem. To

prove the converse, assume that  $g \in W^p_\beta(E_{n-1})$ , and  $g$  is sufficiently smooth. The smoothness is no restriction of generality since our estimates will be seen to be uniform in the norm. To define the extension operator, choose  $\psi \in C^\infty_0(E_{n-1})$ ,  $\int_{E_{n-1}} \psi(x) dx = 1$ , and  $\psi$  vanishes outside the unit sphere. Also choose  $\lambda \in C^\infty_0(E_1)$  so that  $\lambda(0) = 1$ .

Let

$$(3) \quad \varepsilon(g) = f(x, \xi) = \lambda(\xi) |\xi|^{-n+1} \int_{E_{n-1}} g(x - y) \psi(y/|\xi|) dy.$$

Notice that  $f(x, 0) = g(x)$  and  $\|f(\cdot, \cdot)\|_p \leq A \|g\|_p$ .

In order to prove that  $f \in L^p_\alpha(E_n)$ , we shall consider  $F = J_{1-\alpha}(f)$ , and show that  $F \in L^p_1(E_n)$ . This will suffice because  $J_{1-\alpha}$  is a norm-preserving isomorphism of  $L^p_\alpha$  onto  $L^p_1$ . To prove  $F(x, \xi) \in L^p_1(E_n)$  it suffices to show that  $F, \partial F/\partial x_k, \partial F/\partial \xi$  all belong to  $L^p(E_n)$ . However, this is clear for  $F$  itself, because  $f \in L^p(E_n)$  and  $J_{1-\alpha}$  does not increase the  $L^p$  norm. Thus we consider  $\partial F/\partial x_k$ . Now

$$F(x, \xi) = \iint K_{1-\alpha}(z, \eta) f(x - z, \xi - \eta) dz d\eta.$$

However

$$\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k}(x, \xi) \right| \leq A |\xi|^{-n} \int_{|y| \leq |\xi|} |g(x - y) - g(x)| dy,$$

by (3), because

$$\int \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \psi(x) dx = 0$$

and  $\psi$  vanishes outside the unit sphere. Also, as we have seen  $|K_{1-\alpha}(z, \xi)| \leq A(|z|^2 + \xi^2)^{(-n+1-\alpha)/2}$ . Therefore we see

$$(4) \quad \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} F(x, \xi) \right| \leq A \iint (|z|^2 + \eta^2)^{(-n+1-\alpha)/2} |\xi - \eta|^{-n} \cdot \int_{|y| \leq |\xi - \eta|} |g(x - y - z) - g(x - z)| dy dz d\eta.$$

Let us now set  $\omega(y) = \|g(\cdot - y) - g(\cdot)\|_p$ , and

$$\Omega(\rho) = \rho^{-n+1-\alpha} \int_{|y| < \rho} \omega(y) dy, \quad 0 < \rho < \infty.$$

Then by (4) and Minkowski's inequality for integrals we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_k}(\cdot, \xi) \right\|_p \\ & \leq A \int_{E_{n-1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (|z|^2 + \eta^2)^{(-n+1-\alpha)/2} |\xi - \eta|^{-1+\alpha} \Omega(|\xi - \eta|) d\eta dz. \end{aligned}$$

Carrying out the integration of  $z$  over  $E_{n-1}$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_k}(\cdot, \xi) \right\|_p & \leq A \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\eta|^{-\alpha} |\xi - \eta|^{-1+\alpha} \Omega(|\xi - \eta|) d\eta \\ & = A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\xi - \eta|^{-\alpha} |\eta|^{-1+\alpha} \Omega(|\eta|) d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

A two-fold application of the lemma then shows, since  $\alpha > 1/p$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_k} \right\|_p & = \left\| \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_k}(\cdot, \cdot) \right\|_p \leq A \int_0^{\infty} [\Omega(\rho)]^p d\rho \leq A \int_{E_{n-1}} \frac{\omega^p(y) dy}{|y|^{n-1+\beta p}} \\ & = A \int_{E_{n-1}} \int_{E_{n-1}} \frac{|g(x-y) - g(x)|^p}{|y|^{n-1+\beta p}} dy dx.^5 \end{aligned}$$

Similar estimates hold for  $\partial F/\partial \xi$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

**3. Further remarks.** We have the following corollary of our theorem

**COROLLARY.** (a) *If  $f \in L^p_\alpha(E_n)$ , then  $Rf \in L^p_\beta(E_m)$ , when  $\beta = \alpha - (n-m)/p > 0$ , and  $1 < p \leq 2$ .*

(b) *If  $g \in L^p_\beta(E_m)$ ,  $\beta = \alpha - (n-m)/p > 0$ , then  $\varepsilon(g) \in L^p_\alpha(E_n)$ , if  $2 \leq p < \infty$ .*

Part (a) of the corollary is due to Calderon [2]. Part (b) is its appropriate converse. The corollary follows from the theorem and the known continuous inclusion relations  $W^p_\alpha \subset L^p_\alpha$ , if  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ , and  $L^p_\alpha \subset W^p_\alpha$  if  $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ ; see Taibleson [9].

We shall now point out how to obtain an analogue of our theorem which replaces  $L^p_\alpha(E_n)$  by  $W^p_\alpha(E_n)$ . Thus let  $f \in W^p_\alpha(E_n)$ . By part (b) of the theorem it has an extension to a function in  $E_{n+1}$  which belongs to  $L^p_{\alpha+1/p}(E_{n+1})$ . By part (a) this extension, when restricted to  $E_m$ , belongs to  $W^p_\beta(E_m)$ , where  $\beta = \alpha - (n-m)/p$ . However this restriction is obviously the restriction of our original  $f$ . Therefore the restriction of

<sup>5</sup> To prove this one may also use an  $n$ -dimensional variant of the lemma; see [8, Lemma (3.5)].

an  $f \in W_\alpha^p(E_n)$  belongs to  $W_\beta^p(E_m)$ . In the same way the analogous extension property is proved.

## REFERENCES

1. N. Aronszajn and K. T. Smith, *Theory of Bessel potentials*. I, Ann. Inst. Fourier **11** (1961), 385–475. (Reference [1] in paper [7] below.
2. A. P. Calderon, *Lebesgue spaces of functions and distributions*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Vol. 4, pp. 33–49, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1961. (Reference [2] in paper [7] below.
3. E. Gagliardo, *Caratterizzazioni delle tracce sulla frontiera relative ad alcune classi di funzioni in  $n$  variabili*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **27** (1957), 284–305.
4. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge, 1934.
5. I. I. Hirschman, *Fractional integration*, Amer. J. Math. **75** (1953), 531–546.
6. L. N. Slobodetzky, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **118** (1958), 243–246; **120** (1958), 468–471. (Russian)
7. E. M. Stein, *The characterization of functions arising as potentials*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **67** (1961), 102–104.
8. E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Fractional integrals on  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space*, J. Math. Mech. **7** (1958), 503–514.
9. M. Taibleson, *Smoothness and differentiability conditions for functions and distributions in  $E_n$* , Dissertation, University of Chicago, 1962.

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO