

A NOTE ON THE KO -THEORY OF SPHERE-BUNDLES¹

BY RAOUL BOTT

Communicated February 13, 1962

1. Two vector bundles E and F over the finite connected CW complex X are J -equivalent, if their sphere-bundles $S(E)$ and $S(F)$ are of the same fiber-homotopy type. If they become J -equivalent after a suitable number of trivial bundles is added to both of them they are stably J -equivalent.

This note concerns itself with a new stable J -invariant $\theta(E)$, which was suggested by the recent work of Atiyah-Hirzebruch [2] and F. Adams [1]. In fact $\theta(E)$ bears the same relation to the Adams operation ψ_i , as the Stiefel-Whitney class—a known J -invariant—bears to the Steenrod operations.

2. We assume familiarity with the Grothendieck group, $KO(X)$, of real vector bundles over X , and with the extension of this functor to a cohomology theory: $KO^*(X) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} KO^i(X)$; $KO^0(X) = KO(X)$. Also that the exterior powers,

$$\lambda^i: KO(X) \rightarrow KO(X)$$

as defined in [3] are understood. In terms of these the Adams operations ψ_i , are defined as follows:

$$\text{Set } \lambda_t(x) = \sum \lambda^i(x)t^i, \quad x \in KO(X), \quad \lambda_t(x) \in KO(X)[[t]].$$

Now define $\psi_{-t}(x)$ as the power series:

$$(2.1) \quad \psi_{-t}(x) = -td/dt\{\log \lambda_t(x)\} = -t\lambda'_t(x)/\lambda_t(x)$$

and set $\psi_i(x)$ equal to the coefficient of t^i in $\psi_t(x)$.

The familiar formula: $\lambda_t(x+y) = \lambda_t(x) \cdot \lambda_t(y)$ then goes over into $\psi_t(x+y) = \psi_t(x) + \psi_t(y)$ so that the ψ_i are additive and therefore much more tractable than the λ^i . Note that if L is a line-bundle then by (2.1) $\psi_k L = L^k$. Other important properties of ψ_k are [1]:

(2.2) ψ_k is a ring homomorphism, which commutes with the λ^i .

$$(2.3) \quad \psi_k \cdot \psi_s = \psi_{ks}.$$

3. In the KO^* terminology the periodicity theorem for the orthogonal groups [4; 2] states that the map

¹ This research was partially supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research.

$$(3.1) \quad KO^*(X) \otimes KO(S^{8n}) \rightarrow KO^*(X \times S^{8n})$$

induced by the tensor product of bundles is an isomorphism. The following theorem, which is implicitly contained in [2], extends this result:

THEOREM I. *Let E have dimension $(8n+1)$ over X , and assume that the structure group of E may be reduced to $\text{Spin}(8n+1)$. Let $\pi: \mathbf{S}(E) \rightarrow X$ be the associated sphere-bundle and write \hat{E} for the bundle along the fibers in $\mathbf{S}(E)$. The structure group of \hat{E} then has a reduction to $\text{Spin}(8n)$, and we let $\Delta^+(\hat{E})$ be the vector bundle associated to \hat{E} by one of the real Spin-representations of $\text{Spin}(8n)$.*

Under these circumstances $KO^\{\mathbf{S}(E)\}$ is a free module over $KO^*(X)$ with generators, 1 and $\Delta^+(\hat{E})$:*

$$(3.2) \quad KO^*\{\mathbf{S}(E)\} = KO^*(X)[y], \quad y = \Delta^+(\hat{E}).$$

An immediate consequence of Theorem I is that formulae of the type:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} y^2 &= A(E)y + B(E) & y &= \Delta^+(\hat{E}). \\ \psi_k y &= \theta_k(E)y + \Gamma_k(E) \end{aligned}$$

must hold, and thus define four invariants of E in $KO(X)$. The $\theta(E)$ will be fashioned from the $\theta_k(E)$.

Remark first that a fiber homotopy equivalence f , between $\mathbf{S}(E)$ and $\mathbf{S}(F)$ induces a $KO^*(X)$ -homomorphism, f^* of $KO^*\{\mathbf{S}(F)\}$ onto $KO^*\{\mathbf{S}(E)\}$.

Hence:

THEOREM II. *The bundles E and E' subject to the condition of Theorem I are J -equivalent only if:*

$$(3.4) \quad \theta_k(E) = \theta_k(E') \cdot \psi_k u / u, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$$

where $u \in KO(X)$ is an invertible element, i.e. $\dim u = 1$.

We have in addition: (Adams [1], see also (4.7)).

PROPOSITION 3.1. *When E is the trivial bundle, then*

$$(3.5) \quad \theta_k(E) = k^{4n}.$$

One may now clearly formalize the stable instance of (3.4) and (3.5) as follows:

DEFINITION. A function $f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow KO(X)$ is called a cocycle if

$$(3.6) \quad f(ts) = \{\psi_t f(s)\} f(t), \quad s, t \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$$

$$(3.7) \quad \dim f(t) = t^{4n(f)},$$

where $n(f)$ is a fixed integer $\in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

The cocycles form a multiplicative system, $f \cdot g(t) = f(t) \cdot g(t)$. Two cocycles f, g are called equivalent, if there exist integers $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and an element $u \in KO(X)$ with $\dim u = 1$, so that:

$$t^{4n}f(t) = \{t^{4m}(\psi_t u)/u\} \cdot g(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

The equivalence classes are then seen to form an abelian group which is denoted by $H^1(\mathbb{Z}^+; KO(X))$.

Consider now the bundle E of our discussion. By (3.3) and (3.5) the function $t \rightarrow \theta_t(E)$ determines a cocycle and hence an element $\theta(E) \in H^1(\mathbb{Z}^+; KO(X))$.

THEOREM III. *The element $\theta(E)$ is a stable J -invariant of E . Further, one has $\theta(E \oplus E' \oplus 7 \cdot 1) = \theta(E) + \theta(E')$, so that θ extends to a homomorphism*

$$(3.8) \quad \theta: K \operatorname{Spin}(X) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Z}^+; KO(X)).$$

Here of course $K \operatorname{Spin}(X)$ is the subring of $KO(X)$ generated by vector bundles which admit a Spin-reduction.

It is customary to denote the stable J -classes of vector-bundles by $J(X)$. If we define $\Theta(X)$ as the image of $\theta: K \operatorname{Spin}(X) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Z}^+; KO(X))$, then Theorem III shows that $\Theta(X)$ furnishes a *lower bound* for $J(X)$ in the sense that θ induces a surjection of a subgroup of $J(X)$ onto $\Theta(X)$.

4. We have seen that the Theorems II and III are really quite straight forward consequences of Theorem I. The question now arises how the invariants $\theta_k(E)$, etc., are to be computed. For instance can they be expressed in terms of the $\lambda^i(E)$?

THEOREM IV. *Let E be as in Theorem I and set $\Delta(E) \in KO(X)$ equal to the bundle which the spin representation of $\operatorname{Spin}(8n+1)$ associates to E , via the Spin reduction of E .*

Then

$$(4.1) \quad \{\Delta(E)\}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1(E) \quad \text{in } KO(X).$$

(4.2) *The invariants A, B, θ_k, Γ_k , of E are given by universal polynomials in $\Delta(E)$, and the $\lambda^i(E)$.*

Particular examples are as follows:

$$(4.3) \quad \text{Both, } A(E) \text{ and } \theta_2(E) \text{ are equal to } \Delta(E),$$

(4.4)
$$B(E) = - \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} \lambda^{2i-1}(E-1),$$

(4.5)
$$\theta_{2k+1}(E) \text{ is a polynomial in the } \lambda^i E.$$

In general the expression for θ_k is quite complicated as the following recipe for θ_k shows:

Algorithm. Consider the ring of finite Laurent-series $L = Z[x_i, x_i^{-1}]$, $i = 1, \dots, 4n$. Define γ^i, ω , and η_k in L by:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_0^\infty \gamma^i t^i &= (1+t) \prod_1^{4n} (1+tx_i^2)(1+tx_i^{-2}), \\ \omega &= \prod_1^{4n} (x_i + x_i^{-1}), \\ \eta_k &= \prod_1^{4n} (x_i^k + \dots + x_i^{-k}). \end{aligned}$$

Express η_k as a polynomial in the γ^i , and ω :

$$\eta_k = P_k(\gamma^i, \omega),$$

then

$$\theta_k(E) = P_k(\lambda^i(E); \Delta(E)).$$

In special circumstance θ_k can of course be computed much more simply. As an example we cite:

PROPOSITION 4.1. *If $E = 8nL + 1$, where L is a line bundle, then:*

(4.6)
$$\theta_k(E) = (1 + L + \dots + L^{k-1})$$

whence

(4.7)
$$\theta_k(E) = \begin{cases} k^{4n} + \{k^{4n}/2\}(L-1) & k \text{ even,} \\ k^{4n} + \{ (k^{4n}-1)/2 \}(L-1) & k \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Note that (4.6) and (4.7) imply Proposition 3.1.

The general idea behind these computations is the following one: If G is a Lie group, we write $RO(G)$ for the character ring (Grothendieck ring) of the finite dimensional G modules over R (see [2]). The exterior powers λ^i , and hence the ψ_i also may be introduced into these rings just as they were introduced into $KO(X)$. From representation theory we learn that:

PROPOSITION 4.2. *If we write G for $\text{Spin}(8n+1)$, H for $\text{Spin}(8n)$ and let $\iota: H \rightarrow G$ be the standard inclusion. Then*

$$(4.8) \quad \iota^*: RO(G) \rightarrow RO(H) \text{ is an injection,}$$

$$(4.9) \quad RO(H) \text{ is a free module over } RO(G) \text{ generated by the unit element and the spin representation } \Delta^+,$$

$$(4.10) \quad RO(G) \text{ is a polynomial ring with generators}$$

$$\rho, \lambda' \rho, \dots, \lambda^{4n} \rho, \Delta$$

where ρ is the standard $(8n+1)$ dimensional G -module and Δ is the spin-representation.

COROLLARY. There are unique elements $A, B, \theta_k, \Gamma_k \in RO(G)$ such that

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} (\Delta^+)^2 &= \Delta^+ \otimes i^* A + i^* B, \\ \psi_k(\Delta^+) &= \Delta^+ \otimes i^* \theta_k + i^* \Gamma_k. \end{aligned}$$

Further these are well determined polynomials in $\lambda^i \rho, \Delta$.

The similarity of these formulae to (3.3) is clear, and in fact the corollary yields Theorem IV by virtue of the following two quite general facts:

1. If G is a Lie group and θ an element in $RO(G)$, then θ defines a functor $\xi \rightarrow \theta(\xi)$ from principal G -bundles over X into $KO(X)$: if θ is an "actual" G -module $\theta(\xi)$ is the associated vector bundle.

2. Suppose now that ξ has total space Y_ξ and that $\iota: H \rightarrow G$ is a closed subgroup of G . Then $Y_\xi \rightarrow Y_\xi/H$ defines Y_ξ as a principal H bundle, $\hat{\xi}$, over Y_ξ/H . Consider the G/H -bundle $\pi: Y_\xi/H \rightarrow X$. Under these circumstances the following identity holds in $KO(Y_\xi/H)$.

Permanence Law. Let $\alpha \in RO(H)$, $\beta \in RO(G)$. Then

$$(\alpha \otimes i^* \beta)(\hat{\xi}) = \alpha(\hat{\xi}) \otimes \pi^* \beta(\xi) \quad \text{in } KO(Y_\xi/H).$$

REMARKS. 1. The invariant θ seems to yield the best presently known information about $J(X)$. For instance with the aid of (4.3) and (4.1) one may compute $\Theta\{S_{4k}\}$ and obtains a cyclic group of order equal to the denominator of the k th Bernoulli number over $4k$. For $\Theta\{S_n\}$, $n = 1, 2 \bmod 8$ one finds (via (4.1)) the group Z_2 . Finally this same formula leads to the result that for real projective space $\tilde{K}O(P_n) \simeq J(P_n)$. This beautiful result of Adams is the central step in his solution of the vector-field problem on spheres.

2. Completely analogous formulae hold for the KU theory under more general circumstances. (E need only admit a reduction to $\text{Spin}(2n+1) \times Z_2 S^1$.)

3. The operation of λ^i in $KO^*\{S(E)\}$ could be determined in an

analogous fashion but lead to very messy formulae, which furthermore give no additional stable information.

4. Finally a word concerning the proof of Theorem I. It is a known result that when $X = \text{point}$, then $KO\{S(E)\} = KO(S^{8n})$ is generated by 1 and y . (See [2]). Hence (2.1) proves the first statement of Theorem I whenever E is trivial. Now an inductive Meyer-Vietoris argument yields the general case.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. F. Adams, *Vector-fields on spheres*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (1962), 39–41.
2. M. Atiyah and F. Hirzebruch, *Vector-bundles and homogeneous spaces*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Vol. 3, pp. 7–38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1961.
3. A. Borel and J. P. Serre, *Le théorème de Riemann-Roch (d'après Grothendieck)*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **86** (1958), 97–136.
4. R. Bott, *Some remarks on the periodicity theorems*, Colloque de Topologie, Lille, 1959, Bull. Soc. Math. France.

HARVARD UNIVERSITY

A CONNECTION BETWEEN TAUBERIAN THEOREMS AND NORMAL FUNCTIONS

BY G. T. CARGO¹

Communicated by J. L. Doob, March 18, 1962

The purpose of this note is to point out that certain Tauberian theorems follow immediately from some recent research of Lehto-Virtanen and Bagemihl-Seidel.

Let D denote the open unit disk, let C denote the unit circumference, and let $\rho(z_1, z_2)$ denote the non-Euclidean hyperbolic distance between the points z_1 and z_2 in D .

THEOREM. Suppose that $f(z) = \sum a_n z^n$ and that $n|a_n| \leq M$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) for some constant M . Further, suppose that $\{z_n\}$ is a sequence of points in D converging to a point ζ in C with the property that $\rho(z_n, z_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, if $f(z_n) \rightarrow c$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the series $\sum a_n \zeta^n$ converges to the sum c .

PROOF. The hypothesis implies that $|f'(z)| \leq M/(1 - |z|)$. Consequently, $\rho(f(z))|dz| \leq 2Md\sigma(z)$ holds for all z in D where $\rho(f(z)) = |f'(z)| / (1 + |f(z)|^2)$ denotes the spherical derivative of f and $d\sigma(z)$

¹ National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council Postdoctoral Research Associate on leave from Syracuse University.