

**ON THE DETERMINANTS OF CERTAIN
TOEPLITZ MATRICES**

BY LARS C. MEJLBO AND PALLE F. SCHMIDT

Communicated by Edwin Moise, October 20, 1960

With a function of the form

$$\phi(\theta) + g(x) = \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{\nu} e^{i\nu\theta} + g(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1,$$

we associate for each $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$, a Toeplitz matrix

$$T_n(\phi(\theta) + g(x)) = \left\{ a_{i-j} + \delta_{ij} g\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right) \right\}, \quad i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

where $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if $i=j$, $\delta_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$.

Furthermore we define

$$D_n(\phi(\theta) + g(x)) = \det T_n(\phi(\theta) + g(x)),$$

$$G(\phi(\theta) + g(x)) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_0^1 \log(\phi(\theta) + g(x)) dx d\theta \right\},$$

$$L(\phi(\theta) + g(x)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D_n(\phi(\theta) + g(x))}{[G(\phi(\theta) + g(x))]^{n+1}},$$

whenever these definitions make sense.

We shall prove that under the conditions

(i) $g(x)$ is real and differentiable for $0 \leq x \leq 1$ with $g'(x)$ satisfying the Lipschitz condition

$$|g'(x_1) - g'(x_2)| < K |x_1 - x_2|^{\alpha}, \quad K > 0, \alpha > 0,$$

(ii) $\phi(\theta)$ is a trigonometric polynomial of the type

$$\phi(\theta) = \sum_{\nu=-k}^k a_{\nu} e^{i\nu\theta},$$

$$a_0 = 0, a_{\nu} = a_{-\nu}, a_{\nu} \text{ real}, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

$$(iii) \quad \sum_{\nu=-k}^k |a_{\nu}| < g(x), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq 1,$$

the limit $L(\phi(\theta) + g(x))$ exists and has the value

$$L(\phi(\theta) + g(x))$$

$$(1) \quad = \left(\frac{G(\phi(\theta) + g(0))}{G(\phi(\theta) + g(1))} L(\phi(\theta) + g(0)) L(\phi(\theta) + g(1)) \right)^{1/2}.$$

(For the existence and the value of $L(\phi(\theta) + g(0))$ and $L(\phi(\theta) + g(1))$, see [1, Theorem 5.5, p. 76] or [2].)

Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$\sum_{\nu=-k}^k |a_\nu| + g(x) < 1, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1.$$

Let

$$|\phi|(\theta) = \sum_{\nu=-k}^k |a_\nu| e^{i\nu\theta}.$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < -\phi(\theta) + 1 - g(x) < \beta < 1, \\ 0 < |\phi|(\theta) + 1 - g(x) < \beta < 1, \end{aligned}$$

for $-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi, 0 \leq x \leq 1$.

Let us introduce

$$H_n(\phi(\theta) + g(x)) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{\mu=0}^n \log \left(\phi(\theta) + g \left(\frac{\mu}{n+1} \right) \right) d\theta \right\}.$$

By use of (i) it is easy to prove that

$$(2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_n(\phi(\theta) + g(x))}{[G(\phi(\theta) + g(x))]^{n+1}} = \left(\frac{G(\phi(\theta) + g(0))}{G(\phi(\theta) + g(1))} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log D_n(\phi(\theta) + g(x)) &= - \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p} \text{Tr} \{ T_n^p(-\phi(\theta) + 1 - g(x)) \}, \\ \log H_n(\phi(\theta) + g(x)) &= - \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\mu=0}^n \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(-\phi(\theta) + 1 - g \left(\frac{\mu}{n+1} \right) \right)^p d\theta \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(3) \quad \log \frac{D_n(\phi(\theta) + g(x))}{H_n(\phi(\theta) + g(x))} = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\mu=0}^n E_\mu(n, p),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_\mu(n, p) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(-\phi(\theta) + 1 - g \left(\frac{\mu}{n+1} \right) \right)^p d\theta \\ &\quad - \{ T_n^p(-\phi(\theta) + 1 - g(x)) \}_{\mu\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Put $\gamma = \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} (1 - g(x))$. Then

$$(4) \quad |E_\mu(n, p)| \leq \beta^p + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (|\phi|(\theta) + \gamma)^p d\theta \leq 2\beta^p,$$

for $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$; $p=1, 2, \dots$; $\mu=0, 1, 2, \dots, n$. For $n \geq 2pk$ and $pk \leq \mu \leq n - pk$ we have

$$E_\mu(n, p) = \sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_p = 0} \prod_{\alpha_j \neq 0} (-a_{\alpha_j}) \cdot \left[\prod_{\alpha_j = 0} \left(1 - g\left(\frac{\mu}{n+1}\right) \right) - \prod_{\alpha_j = 0} \left(1 - g\left(\frac{\mu + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_j}{n+1}\right) \right) \right]$$

from which we get

$$|E_\mu(n, p)| \leq \text{constant} \frac{p^2 k}{n+1} \sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_p = 0} \prod_{\alpha_j \neq 0} |a_{\alpha_j}| \prod_{\alpha_j = 0} \gamma,$$

where the constant only depends on $g(x)$ and $g'(x)$. Hence

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} |E_\mu(n, p)| &\leq \text{constant} \frac{p^2 k}{n+1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (|\phi|(\theta) + \gamma)^p d\theta \\ &\leq \text{constant} \frac{p^2 k}{n+1} \beta^p, \end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq 2pk$ and $pk \leq \mu \leq n - pk$. By combining (4) and (5) we see that (3) is dominated by a convergent series of the form

$$\text{constant} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} p\beta^p.$$

To get a better estimate of $E_\mu(n, p)$ for $n \geq 2pk$ and $pk \leq \mu \leq n - pk$ we let \sum^* denote summation over all $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_j &= 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm k, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, p, \\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_p &= 0, \\ \text{first } \alpha_j \neq 0 &\text{ is positive.} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$E_\mu(n, p) = \sum^* \prod_{\alpha_j \neq 0} (-a_{\alpha_j}) \left[2 \prod_{\alpha_j = 0} \left(1 - g\left(\frac{\mu}{n+1}\right) \right) - \prod_{\alpha_j = 0} \left(1 - g\left(\frac{\mu + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_j}{n+1}\right) \right) - \prod_{\alpha_j = 0} \left(1 - g\left(\frac{\mu - \alpha_1 - \dots - \alpha_j}{n+1}\right) \right) \right].$$

From (i) it follows that

$$g(x + \Delta x) = g(x) + g'(x)\Delta x + R(x, \Delta x)\Delta x^{1+\alpha},$$

where $R(x, \Delta x)$ is bounded. Using this we get

$$|E_\mu(n, p)| \leq \text{constant} \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \right)^{1+\alpha},$$

where the constant does not depend on n . Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\mu=pk}^{n-pk} E_\mu(n, p) = 0,$$

and therefore

$$(6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\mu=0}^n E_\mu(n, p) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{pk-1} u(\mu, p) + \sum_{\mu=0}^{pk-1} v(\mu, p),$$

where

$$u(\mu, p) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (-\phi(\theta) + 1 - g(0))^p d\theta - \{T_{2pk}^p(-\phi(\theta) + 1 - g(0))\}_{\mu\mu},$$

$$v(\mu, p) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (-\phi(\theta) + 1 - g(1))^p d\theta - \{T_{2pk}^p(-\phi(\theta) + 1 - g(1))\}_{\mu\mu}.$$

From (6) we conclude that

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\mu=0}^n E_\mu(n, p) \\ = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\mu=0}^{pk-1} u(\mu, p) + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\mu=0}^{pk-1} v(\mu, p) \\ = \frac{1}{2} \log L(\phi(\theta) + g(0)) + \frac{1}{2} \log L(\phi(\theta) + g(1)) \end{aligned}$$

(the last equality being the result of a straightforward computation).

The formula (1) now follows by combining (2), (3), and (7).

REFERENCES

1. U. Grenander and G. Szegő, *Toeplitz forms and their applications*, University of California Press, 1958.
2. G. Szegő, *On certain hermitian forms associated with the Fourier series of a positive function*, Festschrift Marcel Riesz, Lund, 1952.

UNIVERSITY OF AARHUS, DENMARK