

LOCALLY TRIVIAL HOMOLOGY THEORIES, AND THE POINCARÉ DUALITY THEOREM

BY H. B. GRIFFITHS

Communicated by Deane Montgomery, July 21, 1958

The following is a brief account of a forthcoming memoir. A *complex with covering* is a triple $(K, \mathcal{K}, \text{St})$, often written (K, \mathcal{K}) , where (i) K is a chain complex $\{C_q K, \partial_q\}$ and $\mathcal{K} = \{K^\lambda\}$ is a collection of subcomplexes of K such that $K = \Sigma K^\lambda$, i.e. each $x \in C_q K$ is a finite sum of members of the groups $C_q K^\lambda$, $K^\lambda \in \mathcal{K}$; (ii) K is *augmented*, i.e. ∂_0 is a homomorphism of $C_0 K$ in the integers, such that $\partial_0|_{C_0 K^\lambda}$ is *onto*, for each K^λ ; (iii) each K^λ lies in some sub-complex $\text{St } K^\lambda$ of K , (e.g. $\text{St } K^\lambda$ might be ΣK^μ over all μ with $K^\lambda \cap K^\mu$ nontrivial). (K, \mathcal{K}) is *free* whenever there exist sets G_q such that $G_q \cap C_q K^\lambda$ freely generates each K^λ , $(0 \leq q \leq \infty)$.

Let $(K, \mathcal{K}, \text{St}_K), (J, \mathcal{J}, \text{St}_J)$ be complexes with covering. A map $\pi: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ is *coherent* whenever $K^\lambda \cap K^\mu$ nontrivial implies $\pi K^\lambda \subseteq \text{St}_J(\pi K^\mu)$. A *relation* $(K, \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow^u (J, \mathcal{J})$ is a chain homomorphism $u_1: K \rightarrow J$ which preserves augmentations, together with a map $u_2: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$, such that $\text{Im}(u_1|_{K^\lambda}) \subseteq u_2 K^\lambda$ for all $K^\lambda \in \mathcal{K}$. We replace the arrow in the above relation by \rightarrow_* or \rightarrow_q according as $\text{Im}(u_1 \text{St}_K K^\lambda) \subseteq u_2 K^\lambda$, or $\text{Im}(u_1|_{q\text{-cycles of } K^\lambda}) \subseteq \text{boundaries of } u_2 K^\lambda$, for each $K^\lambda \in \mathcal{K}$.

Now suppose there exists a diagram of relations

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 (A^0, \mathcal{Q}^0) & \xrightarrow{u} & (A, \mathcal{Q}) & \xrightarrow{v} & (B^1, \mathcal{R}^1) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (A^1, \mathcal{Q}^1) \rightarrow_* \cdots \\
 \downarrow \sigma^0 & & & & \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \sigma^1 \\
 (L^0, \mathcal{L}^0) & \xrightarrow{w} & (M^1, \mathcal{M}^1) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (L^1, \mathcal{L}^1) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \cdots
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \xrightarrow{\quad} & (B^n, \mathcal{R}^n) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (A^n, \mathcal{Q}^n) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (B^{n+1}, \mathcal{R}^{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (A^{n+1}, \mathcal{Q}^{n+1}) \\
 & & & \downarrow \sigma^n & & & & \downarrow \sigma^{n+1} \\
 \xrightarrow{\quad} & (M^1, \mathcal{M}^1) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (L^n, \mathcal{L}^n) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (M^{n+1}, \mathcal{M}^{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (L^{n+1}, \mathcal{L}^{n+1})
 \end{array}$$

(the top right arrow carrying no “ n ”). Suppose that (L^0, \mathcal{L}^0) is free, and that there exists a *coherent* map $\gamma: \mathcal{L}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ such that (i) the composite maps $\mathcal{L}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^q, \mathcal{L}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^q$ are coherent; (ii) $\gamma \sigma_2^0 = u_2^0$; (iii) $\tau v_2 \gamma = w_2$; (iv) each square is commutative (e.g. $\tau v_i u_i = w_i \sigma_i^0, i = 1, 2$). Then for each $q = 0, 1, \dots, n+1$, and abelian group G , *there exist homology and cohomology diagrams*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H_q(A^0; G) & \longrightarrow & H_q(A^{q+1}; G) \\
 \downarrow \sigma & \nearrow \psi & \downarrow \\
 H_q(L^0; G) & \xrightarrow{s} & H_q(L^{q+1}; G)
 \end{array}
 \quad ; \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 H^q(A^0; G) & \longleftarrow & H^q(A^{q+1}; G) \\
 \uparrow & \searrow \psi & \uparrow \\
 H^q(L^0; G) & \longleftarrow & H^q(L^{q+1}; G)
 \end{array}$$

which are everywhere commutative, except above the diagonal if $q = n + 1$. The vertical and horizontal maps are induced by corresponding ones in the diagram (†), and the ψ 's by constructing a map (by induction on q) at chain level, and then applying the functors $\otimes G, \text{Hom}(\cdot, G)$.

EXAMPLE (1). Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a map of metric spaces, and let us prove a Vietoris-type mapping theorem. Let $S_\epsilon X$ be that subcomplex of the singular complex of X which is generated by all cells of diameter $< \epsilon$. If $\{U\}$ is an open covering of X , then $S_\epsilon(X)$ is covered by $\{S_\epsilon U\}$, and we define $\text{St}(S_\epsilon(U))$ to be $S_\epsilon(\text{St } U)$, $\text{St } U = \text{star of } U \text{ in } \{U\}$. Taking the augmentation which is 1 on all zero-cells, $(S_\epsilon X, \{S_\epsilon U\}, \text{St})$ is a free complex with covering, and similarly so is $(S_\epsilon Y, \{S_\epsilon f^{-1}U\}, \text{St})$; further, if $\{V\}$ star-refines $\{U\}$ in X , then there is an obvious relation $(S_\epsilon X, \{S_\epsilon V\}) \rightarrow_* (S_\epsilon X, \{S_\epsilon U\})$. Since X is paracompact, every $\{U\}$ has a star refinement, and so a suitable sequence of coverings $\{U\}$ can be found to yield a diagram of the form (†), the σ 's being induced by f ,—provided that X is singularly locally connected in dimensions up to $n + 1$, and the fibres of f have a suitable acyclicity property of their neighborhoods in Y ; this proviso enables one to construct the relations of the form \rightarrow_q . Turning then to the diagrams (††), one has $L^0 = L^{q+1} = S_\epsilon X$ for some ϵ , so that s there, for example, is the identity isomorphism; σ is f_{*q} , and $HS_\epsilon = HX$. Hence $f_{*q}: H_q(Y; G) \rightarrow H_q(X; G)$ is an isomorphism, $0 \leq q \leq n$, and $f_{*,n+1}$ is onto; similarly for cohomology. The result holds for maps of paracompact, or of locally compact, spaces.

EXAMPLE (2). *Poincaré duality in Čech theory with integer coefficients and a locally compact space.* The known sheaf-theoretic forms (cf. Borel, Michigan Math. J. vol. 4 (1957)) of this result involve a group $H_q(\text{Hom}(\text{Alexander cochains}))$, which is not usually known unless coefficients form a field. The following result shows what the group must be, in the problem at hand. Let R be locally compact, with compactification $X = R + \infty$ of covering dimension n . Using the Čech functor H , assume $H_n(X) = H^n(X) = H_0(X) = \text{integers}$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_c^q(R) &= H^q(X, \infty) = \text{Dlim} \{H^q(X, X - G)\}; \\
 H_{c,q}(R) &= \text{Dlim} \{H_q(\text{Cl}G)\},
 \end{aligned}$$

the direct-limits of groups and injections being indexed by the set T of all open $G \subseteq R$ with compact closure $\text{Cl}G$. Fix $q_0, 0 \leq q_0 \leq n$. Assume that locally, each $x \in R$ has a basis B of open neighborhoods, such that, given $P \in B$, and a sufficiently small $Q \in B$, then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H^q(X, X - Q) & \longrightarrow & H^q(X) & (Q \subseteq P) \\
 \downarrow i & & \nearrow k_q & \\
 H^q(X, X - P) & & &
 \end{array}
 \tag{\dagger\dagger}$$

of injections satisfies: i trivial ($q_0 \leq q \leq n$), $k_n | \text{Im } i$ an isomorphism on $H^n(X)$. Let Γ generate $H_n(X)$.

THEOREM. *The cap product with Γ induces a map $\theta_q: H_c^q(R) \rightarrow H_{c,n-q}(R)$ which is an isomorphism if $q_0 \leq q \leq n$, and onto if $q = q_0 - 1$.*

(Compare Čech, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. vol. 22 (1936) p. 110). The map θ_q is natural relative to maps of X , except when $q = n$, when the maps need to be injections. This arises because θ_n has kernel zero (since it has “over the rationals,” and there is no homology n -torsion), and we change coefficients of $H_{c0}(R)$ to be multiples of ξ , where $\text{Im } \theta_n = \xi \cdot H_{c0}(R)$; we do not know if ξ must be 1. This change does not affect the other homology groups, and makes θ_n onto. The proof of the theorem follows from the diagrams (\dagger) and $(\dagger\dagger)$ above, as follows. We eventually construct diagrams D_1, D_2 :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H^q(X, X - G) & \longrightarrow & H^q(X, X - N) \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow \psi & \downarrow \\
 H_{n-q}(\text{Cl}G) & \longrightarrow & H_{n-q}(\text{Cl}N)
 \end{array}
 \tag{D_1}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H^q(X, X - G)_\beta & \longrightarrow & H^q(X, X - N)_\gamma \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow \psi' & \downarrow \\
 H_{n-q}(G^\beta)_\beta & \longrightarrow & H_{n-q}(N^\alpha)_\alpha
 \end{array}
 \tag{D_2}$$

explaining the notation as required. If D_1 exists with $\text{Cl}G \subseteq N \in T$, the horizontal arrows induced by inclusion and the verticals by the cap product, then the required statement about θ_q follows from the commutativity properties, by taking a direct limit over T of diagrams like D_1 . To construct D_1 , we take limits of diagrams like D_2 , over the set $\text{Cov } X$ of finite open coverings of X , directed by refinement; and to

see this we explain D_2 . It exists for any $\alpha \in \text{Cov } X$, and sufficiently fine β, γ , with $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma$ in $\text{Cov } X$. If $A \subseteq X$, then A^α is the star of A in α , and A_α the subcomplex of $\text{Nerve}(\alpha)$ generated by all cells whose support meets A ; $(X, X - G)_\beta = (X_\beta, (X - G)_\beta)$. Then $\text{Cl } G = \bigcap G^\beta$, $\beta \in \text{Cov } X$, so that the groups $H_{n-q}(G^\beta)_\beta$ and obvious maps, have by continuity an inverse limit $H_{n-q}(\text{Cl } G)$; also $H^q(X, X - G) = \text{Dlim}(H^q(X, X - G)_\beta)$ (with obvious maps). To say that $\psi = \lim \psi'$, ($\psi' = \psi'(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$), it is necessary to check certain commutativity relations, and this can be done. Thus, it remains to construct D_2 . For this, we use the cochains and chains of suitable nerves for the complexes in the top and bottom lines of (\dagger), respectively; and make coverings for them with coverings of N , rather as in Example 1. The relations \rightarrow_q follow for the cochains by ($\dagger \dagger \dagger$) and for the chains from the fact that if $A \in \alpha \in \text{Cov } X$, then A_α is a cone with acyclic homology. The relations \rightarrow_* follow as in Example 1, as does the augmentation for the chains. That for the cochains is the composite map

$$C^n(X_\alpha) \rightarrow H^n(X_\alpha) \rightarrow H^n(X) \xrightarrow{\theta_n} H_0(X) = \text{integers}.$$

The conditions (i)–(iv) imposed on (\dagger) are then verified, and so D_2 exists, as required.

Other interpretations of the diagram (\dagger) give the De Rham theorem and a uniqueness theorem for singular homology, as well as known theorems on pairs of coverings, and technical lemmas. Consequences of the duality theorem are Alexander duality and Wilder's theorem (*Pacific J. Math.* vol. 7 (1957)), with integer coefficients.