

ON THE WHITEHEAD HOMOMORPHISM J

BY JOHN MILNOR¹

Communicated by R. H. Fox, February 3, 1958

Consider the homomorphism $J: \pi_{r-1}(SO_n) \rightarrow \pi_{n+r-1}(S^n)$ of G. W. Whitehead² in the stable range $n > r$. The object of this note is to prove:

THEOREM 2. *Let q be an odd prime and let r be any multiple of $2(q-1)q^i$, $i \geq 0$. Then for $n > r$ the image $J\pi_{r-1}(SO_n) \subset \pi_{n+r-1}(S^n)$ contains a cyclic subgroup of order q^{i+1} .*

According to recent work of Adams (as yet unpublished) the stable group $\pi_{n+r-1}(S^n)$ has the following q -primary components:

Z_q for $r = 2i(q-1)$, $i < q$ (this result is due to Cartan);

Z_q for $r = 2q(q-1) - 1$;

Z_{q^2} for $r = 2q(q-1)$; and zero for other values of r less than $2q(q-1)$.

Comparing this with Theorem 2 we have:

COROLLARY. *For $r < 2q(q-1) - 1$, and for $r = 2q(q-1)$, the image $J\pi_{r-1}(SO_n)$ contains the q -primary component of the stable group $\pi_{n+r-1}(S^n)$.*

The corresponding assertion for $r = 2q(q-1) - 1$ is false, since the group $\pi_{r-1}(SO_n)$ is zero³ in this case.

The proof will be based on work of Thom, Hirzebruch, Borel and von Staudt.

THEOREM 1. *Let ξ be the SO_n -bundle over S^r corresponding⁴ to an element λ of $\pi_{r-1}(SO_n)$. If $J\lambda = 0$ then there exists an oriented manifold M^r , differentiably imbedded in the sphere S^{n+r} , and having the following property: Some map $g: M^r \rightarrow S^r$ of degree $+1$ is covered by a bundle map of the normal bundle of M^r into the given bundle ξ .*

(It is not asserted that M^r is connected.) The manifolds M^r constructed in this way will be further studied in a later paper.⁵

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let E be the total space of the n -cell bundle over S^r associated with ξ ; so that the boundary \dot{E} is the total space

¹ The author holds a Sloan fellowship.

² G. W. Whitehead, Ann. of Math. vol. 43 (1942), pp. 634-640.

³ See R. Bott, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. vol. 43 (1957) pp. 933-935.

⁴ See Steenrod, *The topology of fibre bundles*, 1951, p. 99.

⁵ J. Milnor, *A generalization of a theorem of Rohlin*, to appear.

of the associated $(n-1)$ -sphere bundle. Consider the identification space E/\dot{E} obtained by collapsing \dot{E} to a point e^0 . This space has a cell subdivision with cells e^0 , e^n , and e^{n+r} ; where e^n corresponds to the inverse image of a base point in S^r . The Thom isomorphism⁶ $\Phi: H^i(S^r) \rightarrow H^{i+n}(E, \dot{E})$ can be used to give specific orientations to the cells e^n, e^{n+r} . In any such cell complex the cell e^{n+r} is attached to the sphere $e^0 \cup e^n$ by means of an attaching map $S^{n+r-1} \rightarrow e^0 \cup e^n$ which is well defined up to homotopy.

LEMMA 1. *The homotopy class of the attaching map, considered as an element of $\pi_{n+r-1}(S^n)$, is equal to $J\lambda$.*

The proof, which is not difficult, will be given in a subsequent paper.⁷

Now suppose that $J\lambda = 0$. Then the complex E/\dot{E} has the homotopy type of the union $S^n \vee S^{n+r}$ with a single point in common; hence there exists a map $f: S^{n+r} \rightarrow E/\dot{E}$ of degree $+1$.

The complement $E/\dot{E} - e^0$ can be considered as a differentiable manifold, with submanifold S^r corresponding to the trivial cross-section of the cell bundle. Following Thom⁸ the map f can be approximated by a map f_1 which is t -regular on S^r . The inverse image $M^r = f_1^{-1}(S^r)$ is then a differentiable manifold with a canonical orientation. Furthermore, if $g: M^r \rightarrow S^r$ denotes the restriction of f_1 , then g is covered by a bundle map of the normal bundle of M^r into the given bundle ξ .

Let T_1 and T_2 be suitably chosen open tubular neighborhoods of M^r and S^r . From the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^r(S^r) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & H^{n+r}(E/\dot{E}, E/\dot{E} - T_2) & \rightarrow & H^{n+r}(E/\dot{E}) \\ \downarrow g^* & & \downarrow & & \downarrow f_1^* \\ H^r(M^r) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & H^{n+r}(S^{n+r}, S^{n+r} - T_1) & \rightarrow & H^{n+r}(S^{n+r}) \end{array}$$

it follows that g has degree $+1$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Now suppose that r is equal to $4k$. The Pontrjagin classes p_i of the manifold M^r are clearly zero for $i < k$. Furthermore the Pontrjagin number $p_k[M^r]$ is equal to $-\langle p_k(\xi), \mu \rangle$, where μ denotes the standard generator of $H_r(S^r; \mathbb{Z})$. For such a manifold the Hirzebruch index

⁶ R. Thom, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. vol. 69 (1952) pp. 109-181.

⁷ J. Milnor, *On spaces with a gap in cohomology*, Theorem 3, Corollary 1, to appear.

⁸ R. Thom, Comment. Math. Helv. vol. 28 (1954) pp. 17-86.

formula⁹ reduces to $\tau(M^r) = s_k p_k [M^r]$; where $s_1 = 1/3$, $s_2 = 7/45$, \dots , and in general s_k equals $2^{2k}(2^{2k-1} - 1)/(2k)!$ times the Bernoulli number B_k . Since $\tau(M^r)$ is an integer by definition this implies:

COROLLARY 1. *Let ξ and λ be as above, with $r = 4k$. The condition $J\lambda = 0$ implies that $s_k \langle p_k(\xi), \mu \rangle$ is an integer.*

Borel and Hirzebruch¹⁰ have constructed an example of an SO_n -bundle ξ_0 over S^{4k} , $n > 4k$, such that the number $\langle p_k(\xi_0), \mu \rangle$ is equal to $2(2k-1)!$. Let λ_0 be the corresponding element of $\pi_{4k-1}(SO_n)$ and let h be the order of its image $J\lambda_0$. (h is a positive integer since the stable homotopy groups of spheres are known to be finite groups.) Then for the bundle ξ_1 corresponding to $h\lambda_0$ the number

$$s_k \langle p_k(\xi_1), \mu \rangle = s_k h 2(2k-1)!$$

must be an integer. An immediate consequence is the following.

COROLLARY 2. *The order h of the element $J\lambda_0$ of $\pi_{n+4k-1}(S^n)$ is a multiple of the denominator of the rational number $2(2k-1)! s_k$, expressed as a fraction in lowest terms.*

The author is indebted to Hirzebruch for calling his attention to the following two theorems, which will be used to compute the above denominator. An odd prime q is said to be "of rank k " if $2k \equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}$. Let b_k denote the product of all odd primes of rank k .

First theorem of von Staudt.¹¹ *The denominator of the Bernoulli number B_k , expressed as a fraction in lowest terms, is equal to $2b_k$.*

Thus, setting $B_k = a_k/2b_k$, the integer a_k is odd and has no prime factor of rank k . Any positive integer k can be expressed as a product $k = 2^t k_1 k_2$ with $k_1 k_2$ odd, where all of the prime factors of k_1 are of rank k , while none of the prime factors of k_2 is of rank k .

Second theorem of von Staudt.¹¹ *The numerator a_k of B_k is congruent to zero modulo k_2 .*

Now the number $2(2k-1)! s_k = 2^{2k}(2^{2k} - 2)B_k/2k$ can be written as

$$2^{2k-t-2}(2^{2k} - 2)(a_k/k_2)/b_k k_1.$$

The numerator and denominator of this expression are clearly integers. Furthermore the prime factors of the denominator are all odd primes of rank k . But no such prime divides the numerator. (The

⁹ F. Hirzebruch, *Neue topologische Methoden in der algebraischen Geometrie*, 1956, p. 85.

¹⁰ A. Borel and F. Hirzebruch, *Characteristic classes and homogeneous spaces*, to appear.

¹¹ See for example N. Nielsen, *Traité élémentaire des nombres de Bernoulli*, 1923, pp. 240-250.

condition $2k \equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}$ implies that $2^{2k} - 2 \equiv -1 \pmod{q}$.) Thus we have proved:

LEMMA 2. *The number $2(2k-1)! s_k$, when expressed as a fraction in lowest terms, has denominator $b_k k_1$.*

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. If $r = 4k$ is a multiple of $2(q-1)q^i$, it follows that q is of rank k . Hence q divides b_k and q^i divides k_1 ; so that q^{i+1} divides the denominator of $b_k k_1$. Together with Theorem 1, Corollary 2 this completes the proof.

THE CARTESIAN PRODUCT OF A CERTAIN NONMANIFOLD AND A LINE IS E^4

BY R. H. BING¹

Communicated February 25, 1958

An upper semicontinuous decomposition G of E^3 into points and tame arcs is defined in [1] such that the decomposition space B is topologically different from E^3 . Interesting properties of this space have also been given by Fort [4], Curtis [2; 3], and Wilder [3]. We show that the cartesian product of the space B and a line E^1 is topologically E^4 . Perhaps the argument used is related to that employed by Arnold Shapiro to show that the cartesian product of a manifold described by Whitehead in [5] and a line is topologically E^4 .

The arcs of the decomposition G are intersections of double tori as shown in the figure. The solid double torus contains four double tori T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4 as shown; each T_i in turn contains four double tori $T_{i1}, T_{i2}, T_{i3}, T_{i4}$ (not shown) imbedded in T_i as T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4 were imbedded in T ; more double tori are imbedded in the T_{ij} 's; etc. The tame arcs of the decomposition G are the components of

$$T \cdot \Sigma T_i \cdot \Sigma T_{ij} \cdot \Sigma T_{ijk} \cdot \dots$$

Although these tame arcs are mutually exclusive, it is not possible to get a 2-sphere in E^3 that misses their sum and separates two of them. No topological cube in T contains $T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4$.

When the cartesian product is taken, the extra dimension enables one to unravel certain linking handles in the sense that if $[a, b]$ is

¹ Work supported by the National Science Foundation under NSF Grant G3248 at the Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, New Jersey.