

## TRANSCENDENCE OF FACTORIAL SERIES WITH PERIODIC COEFFICIENTS

VERNE E. DIETRICH AND ARTHUR ROSENTHAL

It is well known that every real number  $\alpha$  can be represented by a factorial series

$$(1) \quad \alpha = \frac{a_1}{1!} + \frac{a_2}{2!} + \frac{a_3}{3!} + \cdots + \frac{a_n}{n!} + \cdots,$$

where the  $a_n$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) are integers and, moreover,  $0 \leq a_n < n$  (for  $n=2, 3, \dots$ ). This representation is unique for the irrational numbers  $\alpha$ , while every rational  $\alpha$  can be represented either with almost all<sup>1</sup>  $a_n=0$  or with almost all  $a_n=n-1$ .

The representation (1) was discussed and the aforesaid properties were proved by M. Stéphanos [1].<sup>2</sup> But an even more general type of series had already been studied by G. Cantor [2] (not known to M. Stéphanos). These series have later been called "Cantor series" (cf. [3]).

In this note we consider the case in which the factorial series (1) has periodic coefficients  $a_n$  and we prove the following theorem:

**THEOREM 1.** *Every number  $\alpha$  represented by a factorial series (1) with periodic coefficients is transcendental (except for the trivial case where almost all  $a_n$  are zero).*

The above condition  $0 \leq a_n < n$  (for  $n=2, 3, \dots$ ) is not used at all in the following proof. Moreover, the coefficients  $a_n$  need not necessarily be integers; the  $a_n$  can be *any algebraic numbers*. Then Theorem 1 and its proof still hold.

We generalize Theorem 1 further:

**THEOREM 2.** *If the power series<sup>3</sup>*

$$(2) \quad \phi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n!} z^n$$

*has algebraic coefficients  $a_n$  (not almost all of them being zero) which form a periodic sequence, then  $\phi(z)$  is a transcendental number for every*

---

Presented to the Society, November 26, 1948; received by the editors August 12, 1948.

<sup>1</sup> The expression "almost all" is used in the sense of "all but a finite number."

<sup>2</sup> Numbers in brackets refer to the bibliography at the end.

<sup>3</sup> Under the conditions of Theorem 2,  $\phi(z)$  is an entire function.

algebraic  $z (\neq 0)$ .

For  $z=1$  Theorem 2 furnishes Theorem 1. Hence we prove Theorem 2.

The general case in which the period starts with  $a_s (s \geq 1)$  can immediately be reduced to the case in which the period begins with  $a_1$ . One has only to subtract and add  $s-1$  terms, that is, algebraic numbers. Therefore it suffices to assume that the period starts at  $a_1$ .

Let  $m$  be the "length" of the period, that is, the number of coefficients  $a_n$  belonging to the period, and let  $\omega$  be a primitive  $m$ th root of unity. Then

$$e^{\omega^k \cdot z} = 1 + \frac{\omega^k \cdot z}{1!} + \frac{\omega^{k2} \cdot z^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{\omega^{k(m-1)} \cdot z^{(m-1)}}{(m-1)!} + \frac{\omega^{km} \cdot z^m}{m!} + \dots$$

Thus, since the sum of the  $r$ th powers of all the  $m$ th roots of unity is zero if  $r$  is not divisible by  $m$ , we obtain:

$$\sum_{k=1}^m e^{\omega^k \cdot z} = m + 0 + \dots + 0 + m \frac{z^m}{m!} + 0 + \dots + 0 + m \frac{z^{2m}}{(2m)!} + \dots$$

and hence

$$\frac{a_m}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m e^{\omega^k \cdot z} = a_m + 0 + \dots + 0 + a_m \frac{z^m}{m!} + 0 + \dots + 0 + a_m \frac{z^{2m}}{(2m)!} + 0 + \dots$$

Similarly for  $r=1, 2, \dots, m-1$  we have

$$\frac{a_{m-r}}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m \omega^{kr} e^{\omega^k \cdot z} = 0 + \dots + 0 + a_{m-r} \frac{z^{m-r}}{(m-r)!} + 0 + \dots + 0 + a_{m-r} \frac{z^{2m-r}}{(2m-r)!} + 0 + \dots$$

By summing over  $r=0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^m e^{\omega^k \cdot z} \left( \frac{1}{m} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} a_{m-r} \omega^{kr} \right) = \phi(z) + a_m$$

or, if we set  $(1/m) \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} a_{m-r} \omega^{kr} = A_k$  ( $k=1, 2, \dots, m$ ), we have

$$(3) \quad \sum_{k=1}^m A_k e^{\omega^k \cdot z} - [\phi(z) + a_m] \cdot e^0 = 0.$$

It is impossible that all coefficients  $A_k$  ( $k=1, 2, \dots, m$ ) vanish; for then the equation of  $(m-1)$ st degree

$$\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} a_{m-r} z^r = 0$$

would have  $m$  different roots  $\omega^k$  ( $k=1, 2, \dots, m$ ), while the case that all  $a_n=0$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots, m$ ) has been excluded. But now, since for an algebraic  $z \neq 0$  the numbers  $\omega^k \cdot z$  ( $k=1, 2, \dots, m$ ) are algebraic, different, and nonzero and since the  $A_k$  ( $k=1, 2, \dots, m$ ) are also algebraic numbers and at least one of them is not zero, it follows from (3) by Lindemann's general theorem ([4, 5], cf. also [6]) that  $\phi(z) + a_m$  and hence also  $\phi(z)$  is a transcendental number for every algebraic  $z \neq 0$ .

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. M. Stéphanos, *Sur une propriété remarquable des nombres incommensurables*, Bull. Soc. Math. France vol. 7 (1879) pp. 81-83.
2. G. Cantor, *Ueber die einfachen Zahlensysteme*, Zeitschrift für Mathematik und Physik vol. 14 (1869) pp. 121-128.
3. O. Perron, *Irrationalzahlen*, Göschens Lehrbücherei, vol. 1, Berlin, 1st ed., 1921, 2d ed., 1939, 3d ed., 1947, §33.
4. F. Lindemann, *Ueber die Zahl  $\pi$* , Math. Ann. vol. 20 (1882) pp. 213-225.
5. ———, *Ueber die Ludolph'sche Zahl*, Sitzungsberichte der Akademie der Wiss. Berlin (1882) pp. 679-682.
6. K. Weierstrass, *Zu Lindemann's Abhandlung: "Ueber die Ludolph'sche Zahl"*, Sitzungsberichte der Akademie der Wissenschaften, Berlin (1885) pp. 1067-1086.

PURDUE UNIVERSITY