

## ON THE IRREDUCIBILITY OF CERTAIN POLYNOMIALS

ALFRED BRAUER AND GERTRUDE EHRLICH

**Introduction.** G. Pólya<sup>1</sup> has proved the following theorem:

If for  $n$  integral values of  $x$ , the integral polynomial  $P(x)$  of degree  $n$  has values which are different from zero and, without regard to sign, less than

$$G_1 = \frac{(n - [n/2])!}{2^{n-[n/2]}},$$

then  $P(x)$  is irreducible in the field of rational numbers. (Here, as in the following, a polynomial with rational integral coefficients is called an "integral polynomial.")

This result was improved for positively definite polynomials by Hildegard Ille<sup>2</sup> and for arbitrary polynomials by T. Tatzuza.<sup>3</sup> The latter obtained the larger bound

$$G_2 = (2^{-n}(n - 1)!)^{1/2}$$

instead of  $G_1$ . Moreover he proved the following theorem:

If for  $l$  integral values of  $x$  where  $n > l > n/2$ , the integral polynomial  $P(x)$  of degree  $n$  takes values which are different from zero and, without regard to sign, less than

$$H_1 = (l - 1)!^{1/2},$$

then  $P(x)$  is irreducible in the field of rational numbers.

In the following, the results of Tatzuza will be improved further by a slight modification of his method. Instead of  $G_2$  we obtain the larger bound

$$G = \frac{(n - 1)!}{2^{n-1}[(n - 2)/2]}.$$

We have

$$\frac{G}{G_2} \sim \left(\frac{2n}{\pi}\right)^{1/4} \quad \text{for even } n \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{G}{G_2} \sim \left(\frac{n^8}{2\pi}\right)^{1/4} \quad \text{for odd } n.$$

Presented to the Society, April 27, 1946; received by the editors November 12, 1945.

<sup>1</sup> *Verschiedene Bemerkungen zur Zahlentheorie*, Jber. Deutschen Math. Verein. vol. 28 (1919) pp. 31-40.

<sup>2</sup> *Einige Bemerkungen zu einem von G. Pólya herrührenden Irreduzibilitätskriterium*, Jber. Deutschen Math. Verein. vol. 35 (1926) pp. 204-208.

<sup>3</sup> *Über die Irreduzibilität gewisser ganzzahliger Polynome*, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo vol. 15 (1939) pp. 253-254.

It follows from this theorem in particular that the integral polynomials

$$P(x) = A(x - x_1)(x - x_2) \cdots (x - x_n) + t$$

are irreducible if  $x_\mu \neq x_\nu$  and  $1 \leq |t| < G$ . This result contains for  $n > 4$ ,  $A = 1$ , and  $t = \pm 1$  a theorem of I. Schur,<sup>4</sup> and for arbitrary  $A$  and  $t = \pm 1$  a theorem of H. L. Dorwart and O. Ore.<sup>5</sup> For  $n \leq 4$  there are exceptions.<sup>6</sup> The application of Pólya's bound gives this result only for  $n > 6$ , that of Tatzuzawa for  $n > 5$ , while our bound gives the exact degrees for which these theorems hold.

Moreover, we improve the second theorem of Tatzuzawa. Instead of  $H_1$  we obtain the larger bound

$$H = \left\lceil \frac{l+1}{2} \right\rceil \quad \text{for } l \geq 7, \quad H = \frac{3}{2} \quad \text{for } l = 6 \text{ and } 5.$$

It is of interest that this bound cannot be improved further. If the absolute value of  $P(x_\lambda)$  for  $\lambda = 1, 2, \dots, 1$  is not less than  $H$ , but only less than or equal to  $H$ , then our result does not remain correct. It will be shown that for every  $n > 2$  such polynomials exist which are reducible in the field of rational numbers.

It follows from our theorem that the integral polynomials of degree  $n$

$$P(x) = (x - x_1)(x - x_2) \cdots (x - x_l)h(x) + t$$

are irreducible if  $l > 4$ ,  $n > l > n/2$ ,  $x_\lambda \neq x_\mu$ , and  $1 \leq |t| < H$ . This gives for  $t = \pm 1$  a theorem of Dorwart and Ore.<sup>7</sup>

Finally a criterion of a new type is obtained.  $P(x)$  is irreducible if the absolute value of  $P(x_\nu)$  is different from zero and less than a certain bound  $S_1 > G$  for  $n$  different integers  $x_\nu$ , but less than another smaller constant  $S_2$  for  $l$  of these  $x_\nu$ . More exactly, the following theorem is proved.

Let  $P(x)$  be an integral polynomial of degree  $n$ ; let  $k$ ,  $l$ , and  $h$  be integers satisfying the following conditions:  $k \geq [(n+1)/2]$ ,  $n > l > n/2$ ,  $l > 12$  or  $l = 11$  or  $9$ ,

$$l > h, \quad n \geq k + h - 1.$$

<sup>4</sup> Aufgabe 226, Archiv der Mathematik und Physik (3) vol. 13 (1908) p. 367. Lösung by W. Flügel, *ibid.* vol. 15 (1909) pp. 271–272. Cf. G. Pólya and G. Szegő, *Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis*, vol. 2, Berlin, 1925, pp. 136, 346–347.

<sup>5</sup> *Criteria for the irreducibility of polynomials*, Ann. of Math. vol. 34 (1933) pp. 81–94, 195.

<sup>6</sup> See, for instance, *loc. cit.* footnote 5, pp. 86, 195.

<sup>7</sup> *Loc. cit.* footnote 5.

If for  $n$  different integers  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$

$$0 < |P(x_\nu)| < 2^{-k} \prod_{\kappa=1}^k \left[ \frac{\kappa n - 1}{k} \right] \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

and for  $l$  of these  $x_\nu$ , say  $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(l)}$

$$|P(x^{(\lambda)})| < 2^{-h} \prod_{\mu=1}^h \left[ \frac{\mu l - 1}{h} \right] \quad (\lambda = 1, 2, \dots, l),$$

then  $P(x)$  is irreducible in the field of rational numbers.

**1. Bounds for the absolute value of polynomials at given points.**

We first prove the following theorem of Tatzuza.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f(x) = a_0x^k + a_1x^{k-1} + \dots + a_k$  be a polynomial of degree  $k$ , let  $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{k+1}$  be arbitrary numbers, and  $d_\kappa$  the length of the smallest interval which contains  $\kappa + 1$  of these numbers ( $\kappa = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ). Then*

$$\max_{\kappa=1,2,\dots,k+1} |f(x_\kappa)| \geq 2^{-k} |a_0| d_1 d_2 \dots d_k.$$

**PROOF.** For  $k = 1$  we have

$$|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| = |a_0(x_1 - x_2)| = |a_0| d_1,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \max \{ |f(x_1)|, |f(x_2)| \} &\geq 2^{-1} \{ |f(x_1)| + |f(x_2)| \} \\ &\geq 2^{-1} |f(x_1) - f(x_2)| = 2^{-1} |a_0| d_1. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem for  $k = 1$ . Let us now assume that it is already proved for polynomials of degree less than  $k$ .

We divide  $f(x)$  by the polynomials  $(x - x_1)(x - x_2) \dots (x - x_k)$  and  $(x - x_2)(x - x_3) \dots (x - x_{k+1})$ , respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad f(x) &= a_0(x - x_1)(x - x_2) \dots (x - x_k) + g(x) \\ &= a_0(x - x_2)(x - x_3) \dots (x - x_{k+1}) + h(x) \end{aligned}$$

where  $g(x)$  and  $h(x)$  are polynomials of degree less than  $k$  with the highest coefficients

$$b_0 = a_1 + a_0(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k)$$

and

$$c_0 = a_1 + a_0(x_2 + x_3 + \dots + x_{k+1}),$$

respectively, hence  $b_0 - c_0 = a_0(x_1 - x_{k+1})$ ,

$$(2) \quad \max (|b_0|, |c_0|) \geq 2^{-1}(|b_0| + |c_0|) \\ \geq 2^{-1}|b_0 - c_0| = 2^{-1}|a_0|d_k.$$

On the other hand it follows from (1) that

$$(3) \quad \max_{\kappa=1, 2, \dots, k+1} |f(x_\kappa)| \geq \max_{\kappa=1, 2, \dots, k} |g(x_\kappa)|, \\ \max_{\kappa=1, 2, \dots, k+1} |f(x_\kappa)| \geq \max_{\kappa=2, 3, \dots, k+1} |h(x_\kappa)|.$$

Since  $g(x)$  and  $h(x)$  are of lower degree than  $k$ , our theorem may be applied to them. The lengths of the smallest intervals which contain  $\kappa$  of the points  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$  or  $\kappa$  of the points  $x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{k+1}$  are both not smaller than  $d_{\kappa-1}$ . Hence

$$\max_{\kappa=1, 2, \dots, k} |g(x_\kappa)| \geq 2^{-k+1}|b_0|d_1d_2 \cdots d_{k-1}, \\ \max_{\kappa=2, 3, \dots, k+1} |h(x_\kappa)| \geq 2^{-k+1}|c_0|d_1d_2 \cdots d_{k-1},$$

and by (3) and (2)

$$\max_{\kappa=1, 2, \dots, k+1} |f(x_\kappa)| \geq 2^{-k+1}d_1d_2 \cdots d_{k-1} \{ \max (|b_0|, |c_0|) \} \\ = 2^{-k}|a_0|d_1d_2 \cdots d_k.$$

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $f(x) = a_0x^k + a_1x^{k-1} + \cdots + a_k$  be a polynomial of degree  $k$  and  $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n$  a set of more than  $k$  integers. Then*

$$\max_{\nu=1, 2, \dots, n} |f(x_\nu)| \geq 2^{-k}|a_0| \prod_{\kappa=1}^k \left[ \frac{\kappa n - 1}{k} \right].$$

**PROOF.** We consider those  $k+1$  of the integers  $x_\nu$  whose subscripts are 1 and the  $k$  numbers

$$1 + \left[ \frac{\rho n - 1}{k} \right] \quad (\rho = 1, 2, \dots, k),$$

and denote these  $x_\nu$  in increasing order by  $x'_0, x'_1, \dots, x'_k$ . The difference of two consecutive elements  $x_\nu$  is at least 1, hence for  $k \geq \beta > \alpha > 0$

$$(4) \quad x'_\beta - x'_\alpha \geq \left[ \frac{\beta n - 1}{k} \right] - \left[ \frac{\alpha n - 1}{k} \right].$$

Since for every  $r$  and  $s$

$$\left[ \frac{r+s}{k} \right] \geq \left[ \frac{r}{k} \right] + \left[ \frac{s}{k} \right],$$

we have

$$\left\lceil \frac{\beta n - 1}{k} \right\rceil \geq \left\lceil \frac{(\beta - \alpha)n - 1}{k} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{\alpha n}{k} \right\rceil,$$

hence by (4)

$$\begin{aligned} (5) \quad x'_\beta - x'_\alpha &\geq \left\lceil \frac{(\beta - \alpha)n - 1}{k} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{\alpha n}{k} \right\rceil - \left\lceil \frac{\alpha n - 1}{k} \right\rceil \\ &\geq \left\lceil \frac{(\beta - \alpha)n - 1}{k} \right\rceil. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that (5) holds also for  $\alpha = 0$ . If the length of the smallest interval which contains  $\kappa + 1$  of the numbers  $x'_0, x'_1, \dots, x'_k$  is denoted by  $d'_\kappa$ , then by (5)

$$d'_\kappa \geq \left\lceil \frac{\kappa n - 1}{k} \right\rceil \quad (\kappa = 1, 2, \dots, k),$$

hence, by Theorem 1,

$$\max_{\nu=1, 2, \dots, n} |f(x_\nu)| \geq \max_{\kappa=0, 1, \dots, k} |f(x'_\kappa)| \geq 2^{-k} |a_0| \prod_{\kappa=1}^k \left\lceil \frac{\kappa n - 1}{k} \right\rceil.$$

**2. Criteria for irreducibility.** Theorem 2 will be used now to obtain criteria for irreducibility of polynomials.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $P(x)$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  with integral rational coefficients. If for  $n$  integral values  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  the absolute value of  $P(x_\nu)$  for  $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$  is less than*

$$G = \frac{(n - 1)!}{2^{n-1} \{[(n - 2)/2]!\}},$$

*but different from 0, then  $P(x)$  is irreducible in the field of rational numbers.*

**PROOF.** If  $P(x)$  is reducible, then it contains a factor  $f(x)$  of degree  $k$  with integral coefficients where  $n > k \geq [(n + 1)/2]$ . It follows now from Theorem 2 that

$$(6) \quad M = \max_{\nu=1, 2, \dots, n} |f(x_\nu)| \geq 2^{-k} \prod_{\kappa=1}^k \left\lceil \frac{\kappa n - 1}{k} \right\rceil.$$

We set for fixed  $n$

$$(7) \quad \phi(k) = \phi(k, n) = 2^{-k} \prod_{\kappa=1}^k \left\lceil \frac{\kappa n - 1}{k} \right\rceil.$$

Let us first assume that  $k = [(n + 1)/2]$ . For even values of  $n$  we have

$$\left[ \frac{\kappa n - 1}{k} \right] = \left[ \frac{\kappa n - 1}{n/2} \right] = \left[ \frac{2\kappa n - 2}{n} \right] = 2\kappa - 1 \quad (\kappa = 1, 2, \dots, k),$$

hence by (7)

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi \{ [(n+1)/2] \} &\geq 2^{-k} \prod_{\kappa=1}^k (2\kappa - 1) = 2^{-n/2} \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (n-1) \\ &= \frac{(n-1)!}{2^{n/2+(n-2)/2} [(n-2)/2]!} = \frac{(n-1)!}{2^{n-1} \{ [(n-2)/2]! \}} = G. \end{aligned}$$

If  $n$  is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \frac{\kappa n - 1}{k} \right] &= \left[ \frac{\kappa n - 1}{(n+1)/2} \right] = \left[ \frac{2\kappa n - 2}{n+1} \right] \\ &= \left[ \frac{(2\kappa - 1)(n+1) + n - 2\kappa - 1}{n+1} \right], \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\left[ \frac{\kappa n - 1}{k} \right] = \begin{cases} 2\kappa - 1 & \text{for } \kappa = 1, 2, \dots, (n-1)/2, \\ 2\kappa - 2 & \text{for } \kappa = (n+1)/2, \end{cases}$$

and by (7)

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi \{ [(n+1)/2] \} &\geq 2^{-(n+1)/2} (n-1) \prod_{\kappa=1}^{(n-1)/2} (2\kappa - 1) \\ &= \frac{(n-1)!}{2^{(n+1)/2+(n-3)/2} \{ [(n-3)/2]! \}} = \frac{(n-1)!}{2^{n-1} \{ [(n-2)/2]! \}} = G. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (8) and (9) that

$$(10) \quad \phi \left( \left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right] \right) \geq G.$$

Now we maintain that

$$(11) \quad \phi(k+1) \geq \phi(k) \quad \text{for} \quad \left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right] \leq k \leq n-2.$$

For this purpose we want to prove that

$$(12) \quad \left[ \frac{(\kappa+1)n-1}{k+1} \right] \geq \left[ \frac{\kappa n-1}{k} \right] \quad (\kappa = 2, 3, \dots, k).$$

We divide  $\kappa n - 1$  by  $k$ :

$$\kappa n - 1 = qk + r \quad (0 \leq r < k),$$

hence  $\kappa n > qk$  and  $n > q$  since  $\kappa \leq k$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \frac{(\kappa + 1)n - 1}{k + 1} \right] &= \left[ \frac{qk + r + n}{k + 1} \right] = \left[ \frac{q(k + 1) + r + n - q}{k + 1} \right] \\ &\geq q = \left[ \frac{\kappa n - 1}{k} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (12). Moreover we have for  $\kappa = 1$

$$(13) \quad \left[ \frac{2n - 1}{k + 1} \right] \geq \left[ \frac{2n - 1}{n - 1} \right] = 2 = 2 \left[ \frac{n - 1}{k} \right]$$

because it is sufficient for the proof of (11) to assume that  $k + 1 \leq n - 1$  and  $k \geq [(n + 1)/2]$ . Multiplying (13) and (12) for  $\kappa = 2, 3, \dots, k$  we obtain

$$\prod_{\kappa=1}^k \left[ \frac{(\kappa + 1)n - 1}{k + 1} \right] \geq 2 \prod_{\kappa=1}^k \left[ \frac{\kappa n - 1}{k} \right],$$

hence, since  $[(n - 1)/(k + 1)] = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-k-1} \prod_{\kappa=1}^{k+1} \left[ \frac{\kappa n - 1}{k + 1} \right] &= 2^{-k-1} \left[ \frac{n - 1}{k + 1} \right] \prod_{\kappa=1}^k \left[ \frac{(\kappa + 1)n - 1}{k + 1} \right] \\ &\geq 2^{-k} \prod_{\kappa=1}^k \left[ \frac{\kappa n - 1}{k} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (11). It follows now from (6), (7), (11), and (10) that

$$M \geq G.$$

Since  $P(x)/f(x)$  is a polynomial with integral coefficients and  $P(x_\nu) \neq 0$ , we obtain

$$\max_{\nu=1, 2, \dots, n} |P(x_\nu)| \geq \max_{\nu=1, 2, \dots, n} |f(x_\nu)| = M \geq G.$$

This contradicts our assumption, and the theorem is proved.

The bound of Tatzawa is

$$G_2 = (2^{-n}(n - 1)!)^{1/2}$$

and our bound by (8) and (9)

$$G = \frac{(n - 1)!}{2^{n-1} \{ [(n - 2)/2]! \}} = \begin{cases} 2^{-n/2} \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (n - 1) & \text{for even } n, \\ 2^{-(n+1)/2} (n - 1) \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (n - 2) & \text{for odd } n. \end{cases}$$

Now we have by the formula of Wallis

$$\frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2m - 1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdots (2m - 2)} \sim \left(\frac{4m}{\pi}\right)^{1/2}.$$

For even  $n$  we obtain

$$\frac{G}{G_2} = \left(\frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (n - 1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdots (n - 2)}\right)^{1/2} \sim \left(\frac{2n}{\pi}\right)^{1/4},$$

and for odd  $n$

$$\frac{G}{G_2} = \frac{1}{2^{1/2}} \left(\frac{(n - 1) \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (n - 2)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdots (n - 3)}\right)^{1/2} \sim \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{2n}{\pi}\right)^{1/4} = \left(\frac{n^3}{2\pi}\right)^{1/4}.$$

Moreover, we have  $G > G_2$  for  $n > 3$ .

COROLLARY. *The integral polynomials*

$$(14) \quad A(x - x_1)(x - x_2) \cdots (x - x_n) + t$$

are irreducible in the field of rational numbers if  $x_\mu \neq x_\nu$ , and  $1 \leq |t| < G$ .

We have  $G > 1$  for  $n > 4$ . Therefore the polynomials (14) are irreducible for  $t = \pm 1$  and  $n > 4$ . This is, as already mentioned in the introduction, for  $A = 1$  a theorem of Schur, and for arbitrary  $A$  a theorem of Dorwart and Ore.

We can formulate Theorem 3 also in the following form:

THEOREM 3a. *Let  $P(x)$  be an integral polynomial of degree  $n$ . If for  $n$  different integers  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$*

$$0 < |P(x_\nu)| < 2^{-k} \prod_{\kappa=1}^k \left[ \frac{\kappa n - 1}{\kappa} \right] = \phi(k) \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

where  $k \geq [(n+1)/2]$ , then  $P(x)$  cannot contain a factor  $f^*(x)$  of degree  $k^*$  with  $k \leq k^* < n$ .

PROOF. If  $P(x)$  contains such a factor, then by (6), (7), and (11)

$$\max_{\nu=1, 2, \dots, n} |P(x_\nu)| \geq \max_{\nu=1, 2, \dots, n} |f^*(x_\nu)| \geq \phi(k^*) \geq \phi(k).$$

This contradicts our assumption.

THEOREM 4. *Let  $P(x)$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  with integral coefficients,  $l$  an integer with  $l \geq 5$ , and  $n > l > n/2$ . If for  $l$  different integers  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l$*

$$0 < |P(x_\lambda)| < H \quad (\lambda = 1, 2, \dots, l)$$



where  $H = [(l+1)/2]$  for  $l \geq 7$ ,  $H = 3/2$  for  $l = 6$  and  $5$ , then  $P(x)$  is irreducible in the field of rational numbers.

PROOF. If  $P(x)$  is reducible, then it must contain a factor  $g(x)$  of degree  $k$  less than or equal to  $n/2$  with integral coefficients. Here  $k > 1$ . For a linear polynomial takes each value only once, hence the  $l$  integers  $g(x_1), g(x_2), \dots, g(x_l)$  must be different. However, only the  $2[(l-1)/2]$  values  $\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm [(l-1)/2]$  are possible because

$$0 < |g(x_\lambda)| \leq |P(x_\lambda)| < H \leq \left[ \frac{l+1}{2} \right] \quad (\lambda = 1, 2, \dots, l).$$

This gives a contradiction since  $l > 2[(l-1)/2]$ .

It follows from Theorem 2 that

$$(15) \quad \max_{\lambda=1, 2, \dots, l} |g(x_\lambda)| \geq 2^{-k} \prod_{\kappa=1}^k \left[ \frac{\kappa l - 1}{k} \right].$$

We denote the right-hand side of (15), for a given  $l$ , similarly as in (10), by  $\phi(k)$  and maintain that

$$(16) \quad \phi(k+1) \geq \phi(k) \quad \text{for } 2 \leq k \leq (l-3)/2 \quad \text{and for } l/2 \leq k \leq l-2.$$

It follows from the proof of Theorem 3 that (16) holds for  $l/2 \leq k \leq l-2$  if we write  $l$  instead of  $n$  since  $[(l+1)/2] = l/2$  for even  $l$  and  $k \neq l/2$  for odd  $l$ .

Now we consider the case  $k \leq (l-3)/2$ . Here we have

$$(17) \quad \left[ \frac{l-1}{k+1} \right] \geq \left[ \frac{l-1}{(l-1)/2} \right] = 2.$$

Moreover, it follows from (12) that

$$(18) \quad \left[ \frac{(\kappa+1)l-1}{k+1} \right] \geq \left[ \frac{\kappa l - 1}{k} \right] \quad (\kappa = 1, 2, \dots, k).$$

We proved (12) only for  $\kappa \geq 2$ ; but the proof remains correct for  $\kappa = 1$ . It follows now from (18) and (17) that

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-k-1} \prod_{\kappa=0}^k \left[ \frac{(\kappa+1)l-1}{k+1} \right] &= 2^{-k-1} \prod_{\kappa=1}^{k+1} \left[ \frac{\kappa l - 1}{k+1} \right] \\ &\geq 2^{-k} \prod_{\kappa=1}^k \left[ \frac{\kappa l - 1}{k} \right], \end{aligned}$$

hence  $\phi(k+1) \geq \phi(k)$  for  $k \leq (l-3)/2$ , and (16) is proved.

We now consider the remaining value  $k = [(l-1)/2]$ . We maintain that also here

$$(19) \quad \phi(k+1) \geq \phi(k) \quad \text{for } l > 12 \text{ and } l = 11, 9.$$

For even  $l$  we have  $k = (l-2)/2$ . It follows from (7) and (8) that for  $l > 12$

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi(k+1) &= \phi \left\{ \left[ \frac{l+1}{2} \right] \right\} \geq 2^{-l/2} \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot \prod_{\kappa=5}^{k+1} \left[ \frac{\kappa l - 1}{k+1} \right] \\ &= 2^{-l/2} \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot \prod_{\kappa=4}^k \left[ \frac{(\kappa+1)l - 1}{k+1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(k) &= \phi \left\{ \left[ \frac{l-1}{2} \right] \right\} \\ &= 2^{-(l-2)/2} \left[ \frac{l-1}{(l-2)/2} \right] \left[ \frac{2l-1}{(l-2)/2} \right] \left[ \frac{3l-1}{(l-2)/2} \right] \prod_{\kappa=4}^k \left[ \frac{\kappa l - 1}{k} \right] \\ &= 2^{-(l-2)/2} \left[ \frac{2l-2}{l-2} \right] \left[ \frac{4l-2}{l-2} \right] \left[ \frac{6l-2}{l-2} \right] \prod_{\kappa=4}^k \left[ \frac{\kappa l - 1}{k} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now  $[(6l-2)/(l-2)] = 6$  since  $6l-2 < 7(l-2)$  for  $l > 12$ . Similarly  $[(2l-2)/(l-2)] = 2$  and  $[(4l-2)/(l-2)] = 4$ , hence

$$(21) \quad \phi(k) \geq 2^{-(l-2)/2} \cdot 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot \prod_{\kappa=4}^k \left[ \frac{\kappa l - 1}{k} \right].$$

Since  $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 > 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6$ , it follows from (20), (21), and (12) that (19) holds for even  $l > 12$ .

Now, let  $l$  be odd. Here we have by (7) and (9) for  $l > 7$

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi(k+1) &= \phi \left( \frac{l+1}{2} \right) = 2^{-(l+1)/2} \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot \prod_{\kappa=5}^{k+1} \left[ \frac{\kappa l - 1}{k+1} \right] \\ &= 2^{-(l+1)/2} \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot \prod_{\kappa=4}^k \left[ \frac{(\kappa+1)l - 1}{k+1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi(k) &= \phi \left( \frac{l-1}{2} \right) \\ &= 2^{-(l-1)/2} \left[ \frac{2l-2}{l-1} \right] \left[ \frac{4l-2}{l-1} \right] \left[ \frac{6l-2}{l-1} \right] \prod_{\kappa=4}^k \left[ \frac{\kappa l - 1}{k} \right] \\ &= 2^{-(l-1)/2} \cdot 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot \prod_{\kappa=4}^k \left[ \frac{\kappa l - 1}{k} \right] \end{aligned}$$

since  $6l - 2 < 7(l - 1)$ . It follows from (22), (23), and (12) that (19) holds for odd  $l > 7$ , too.

Now we have by (16) and (19)

$$(24) \quad \phi(k + 1) \geq \phi(k) \quad \text{for } 2 \leq k \leq l - 2, \text{ and } l > 12, l = 11, 9.$$

By direct calculation we obtain

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi(5) &> \phi(6) > \phi(4) && \text{for } l = 12, \\ \phi(4) &> \phi(5) > \phi(3) && \text{for } l = 10, \\ \phi(3) &> \phi(4) > \phi(2) && \text{for } l = 8 \text{ and } l = 7. \end{aligned}$$

By (24) and (25) we have for  $l \geq 7$

$$(26) \quad \phi(k) \geq \phi(2) = \left[ \frac{2l - 1}{2} \right] \left[ \frac{l - 1}{2} \right] > \left[ \frac{l + 1}{2} \right] = H \quad (3 \leq k < l),$$

and by direct calculation

$$(27) \quad \phi(k) \geq 3/2 = H \quad \text{for } l = 6 \text{ and } 5 \quad (2 \leq k < l),$$

hence, by (15), (26), and (27),

$$(28) \quad \max_{\lambda=1,2,\dots,l} |P(x_\lambda)| \geq \max_{\lambda=1,2,\dots,l} |g(x_\lambda)| \geq \phi(k) \geq H.$$

This contradicts our assumption, and  $P(x)$  must be irreducible.

Theorem 4 cannot be improved further. If we assume instead of

$$0 < |P(x_\lambda)| < [(l + 1)/2] \quad (\lambda = 1, 2, \dots, l)$$

only

$$0 < |P(x_\lambda)| \leq [(l + 1)/2] \quad (\lambda = 1, 2, \dots, l),$$

then  $P(x)$  may be reducible.

This is shown by the following examples

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) &= x \left\{ h(x) \prod_{\lambda=1}^{l/2} (x^2 - \lambda^2) + 1 \right\} \quad \text{for even } l, \\ P(x) &= x \left\{ h(x) \left( x - \frac{l + 1}{2} \right) \prod_{\lambda=1}^{(l-1)/2} (x^2 - \lambda^2) + 1 \right\} \quad \text{for odd } l, \end{aligned}$$

where  $h(x)$  is an arbitrary integral polynomial of degree  $n - l - 1$ . We have here  $P(x) = x$  for  $x = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm l/2$  for even  $l$ , and  $x = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm (l - 1)/2, +(l + 1)/2$  for odd  $l$ . At  $l$  integral points these polynomials take values which are different from zero and, without regard to sign, less than or equal to  $[(l + 1)/2]$ ; but they are reducible.

COROLLARY. Let  $h(x)$  be an arbitrary integral polynomial of degree  $n-1$  and  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l$  different integers. The integral polynomial  $P(x)$  of degree  $n$ ,

$$P(x) = (x - x_1)(x - x_2) \cdots (x - x_l)h(x) + t,$$

is irreducible in the field of rational numbers if  $l \geq 5$ ,  $n > l > n/2$  and  $1 \leq |t| < H$  where  $H = [(l+1)/2]$  for  $l \geq 7$  and  $H = 3/2$  for  $l = 6$  and 5.

For the proof of Theorem 4 for  $l > 12$  it is sufficient to prove only (26) instead of (24). We proved here (24) in order to obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 4a. Let  $P(x)$  be an integral polynomial of degree  $n$ ; let  $h$  and  $l$  be integers satisfying the following conditions:

$$n > l > n/2, \quad l > h \geq 2, \quad \text{and} \quad l > 12, \text{ or } l = 11, 9.$$

If for  $l$  different integers  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l$

$$0 < |P(x_\lambda)| < \phi(h) = 2^{-h} \prod_{\mu=1}^h \left[ \frac{\mu l - 1}{h} \right],$$

then  $P(x)$  cannot contain a factor of degree  $h^*$  with  $h \leq h^* \leq n/2$ .

PROOF. If  $P(x)$  contains a factor of degree  $h^*$ , then by (28) and (24)

$$\max_{\lambda=1, 2, \dots, l} |P(x_\lambda)| \geq \max_{\lambda=1, 2, \dots, l} |g(x_\lambda)| \geq \phi(h^*) \geq \phi(h).$$

This contradicts our assumption.

A similar theorem can be obtained for  $l = 12, 10, 8$ , and 7.

By combining Theorems 3a and 4a the following theorem is obtained.

THEOREM 5. Let  $P(x)$  be an integral polynomial of degree  $n$ , and  $k$ ,  $l$ , and  $h$  integers satisfying the following conditions:

$$k \geq [(n+1)/2], \quad n > l > n/2, \quad l > 12 \text{ or } l = 11 \text{ or } 9, \\ l > h, \quad n \geq k + h - 1.$$

If for  $n$  different integers  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$

$$0 < |P(x_\nu)| < 2^{-k} \prod_{\kappa=1}^k \left[ \frac{\kappa n - 1}{k} \right] \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

and for  $l$  of these  $x_\nu$ , say  $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(l)}$ ,

$$|P(x^{(\lambda)})| < 2^{-h} \prod_{\mu=1}^h \left[ \frac{\mu^l - 1}{h} \right] \quad (\lambda = 1, 2, \dots, l),$$

then  $P(x)$  is irreducible in the field of rational numbers.

PROOF. If  $P(x)$  is reducible, then

$$P(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x).$$

If the degree of  $f(x)$  is  $k^* \geq n/2$ , then the degree of  $g(x)$  is  $n - k^*$ . It follows from Theorem 3a that  $k^* \leq k - 1$ , and from Theorem 4a that  $n - k^* \leq h - 1$ , hence  $n \leq k + h - 2$ . This gives a contradiction.

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA