

# ON THE DEGREE OF APPROXIMATION OF FUNCTIONS BY FEJÉR MEANS

A. ZYGMUND

1. **Continuous functions.** It has been proved by S. Bernstein that if  $f(x)$  is periodic and of the class  $\text{Lip } \alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then the  $(C, 1)$  means  $\sigma_n(x) = \sigma_n(x; f)$  of the Fourier series of  $f$  satisfy the condition

$$(1.1) \quad \sigma_n(x) - f(x) = O(n^{-\alpha}),$$

uniformly in  $x$ . The result is false for  $\alpha = 1$ . The place of (1.1) is then taken by

$$(1.2) \quad \sigma_n(x) - f(x) = O(\log n/n),$$

and, as simple examples show, the factor  $\log n$  on the right cannot be removed (see, for example, A. Zygmund, *Trigonometrical series*, p. 62). It will be shown here that for power series the inequality (1.1) holds even for  $\alpha = 1$ . More generally, we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose that  $f(x)$  is periodic, continuous, and that the Fourier series of  $f$  is of power series type,*

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} c_{\nu} e^{i\nu x}.$$

Then

$$(1.3) \quad \left| \sigma_{n-1}(x) - f(x) \right| \leq A\omega(2\pi/n),$$

where  $\omega(\delta)$  is the modulus of continuity of  $f$  and  $A$  is an absolute constant.

The proof is based on the following lemma.

**LEMMA.** *Suppose that*

$$(1.4) \quad g(x) \sim \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \gamma_{\nu} e^{i\nu x}$$

satisfies  $\left| g(x+h) - g(x) \right| \leq M|h|$ . Then

$$(1.5) \quad \left| \tilde{\sigma}_{n-1}(x) - \tilde{g}(x) \right| \leq BM/n,$$

where  $\tilde{g}(x)$  is the function conjugate to  $g(x)$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}_n(x)$  are the  $(C, 1)$  means of the series conjugate to (1.4).

For the proof of the lemma we note that

---

Received by the editors August 3, 1944.

$$\tilde{g}(x) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi [g(x+t) - g(x-t)] \frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{t}{2} dt,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-1}(x) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi [g(x+t) - g(x-t)] \\ \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{t}{2} - \frac{\sin nt}{n(2 \sin (t/2))^2} \right] dt, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}(x) - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-1}(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi [g(x+t) - g(x-t)] \frac{\sin nt}{n(2 \sin (t/2))^2} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/n} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\pi/n}^\pi = P_n + Q_n, \end{aligned}$$

say. Since  $|\sin nt| \leq n \sin t \leq n(2 \sin (t/2))$  for  $0 \leq t \leq \pi$ ,

$$|P_n| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/n} \frac{2Mt}{2 \sin (t/2)} dt \leq \frac{M}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/n} \frac{tdt}{(2/\pi)t/2} = \frac{M\pi}{n}.$$

In order to estimate  $Q_n$ , we introduce the function

$$\Lambda_n(t) = \frac{1}{\pi n} \int_t^\pi \frac{\sin nu}{(2 \sin (u/2))^2} du,$$

and integrate by parts. By the second mean value theorem,

$$|\Lambda_n(t)| \leq \frac{2}{\pi n^2} \cdot \frac{1}{(2 \sin (t/2))^2} < \frac{\pi}{2n^2 t^2}.$$

The function  $g$  is absolutely continuous and  $|g'(x)| \leq M$  almost everywhere. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_n| &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \left| \left[ (g(x+t) - g(x-t)) \Lambda_n(t) \right]_{\pi/n}^\pi \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\pi} \left| \int_{\pi/n}^\pi [g'(x+t) + g'(x-t)] \Lambda_n(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot M \cdot \frac{2\pi}{n} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2n^2(\pi/n)^2} + \frac{2M}{\pi} \int_{\pi/n}^\pi |\Lambda_n(t)| dt \\ &< \frac{M}{\pi n} + \frac{M}{n^2} \int_{\pi/n}^\infty \frac{dt}{t^2} = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{M}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma, with  $B = \pi + 2/\pi$ .

Suppose now that the Fourier series of  $f$  is of power series type so

that  $\tilde{f} = -if$ . If  $|f(x+h) - f(x)| \leq M|h|$ , then

$$(1.6) \quad |\sigma_{n-1}(x) - f(x)| = |\tilde{\sigma}_{n-1}(x) - \tilde{f}(x)| \leq BM/n.$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 1, we introduce the function

$$f_h(x) = \frac{1}{2h} \int_{-h}^h f(x+t)dt = [F(x+h) - F(x-h)]/2h \\ \sim \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} c_{\nu} e^{i\nu x} \left( \frac{\sin \nu h}{\nu h} \right),$$

where  $F(x)$  is a primitive of  $f$ . Hence  $df_h/dx$  exists, is continuous, and does not exceed  $\omega(2h)/2h \leq \omega(h)/h$  in absolute value. Moreover, the Fourier series of  $f_h$  is also of power series type. Now,

$$|\sigma_{n-1}(x; f) - f(x)| \\ \leq |\sigma_{n-1}(x; f) - \sigma_{n-1}(x; f_h)| + |\sigma_{n-1}(x; f_h) - f_h(x)| + |f_h(x) - f(x)| \\ = \alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n,$$

say, and

$$\gamma_n = \left| \frac{1}{2h} \int_{-h}^h [f(x+t) - f(x)]dt \right| \leq \omega(h), \\ \beta_n \leq B \frac{\omega(h)}{h} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \quad (\text{by (1.6)}), \\ \alpha_n = |\sigma_{n-1}(x; f - f_h)| \leq \max_x |f - f_h| \leq \omega(h).$$

If we set  $h = 2\pi/n$  and collect the results, we obtain (1.3) with  $A = 2 + B/2\pi < 4$ .

**2. Additional remarks.** The foregoing proof of the lemma has certain disadvantages. First of all, it uses the result that a Lipschitz function is an indefinite integral, a fact which lies deeper than the assumptions of the lemma. Moreover, the argument does not work with the  $L^p$  metric. These difficulties are avoided by the following somewhat longer variant of the proof of the lemma. For the sake of brevity we do not compute the absolute constants  $C$  that occur in the proof.

Let  $P_n$  and  $Q_n$  have the same meaning as before, and let  $\psi(x, t) = f(x+t) - f(x-t)$ . Hence

$$|P_n| \leq \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/n} \psi(x, t) \frac{\sin nt}{n(2 \sin (t/2))^2} dt \right| \leq \int_0^{\pi/n} |\psi(x, t)| t^{-1} dt.$$

Let  $R_n(t) = 1/\pi n(2 \sin (t/2))^2 < 1/nt^2$ . Then, for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_n &= \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \psi(x, t) R_n(t) \sin ntdt \\
&= - \int_0^{\pi(n-1)/n} \psi(x, t + \pi/n) R_n(t + \pi/n) \sin ntdt, \\
2Q_n &= \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi(n-1)/n} \psi(x, t) [R_n(t) - R_n(t + \pi/n)] \sin ntdt \\
&\quad + \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi(n-1)/n} [\psi(x, t) - \psi(x, t + \pi/n)] R_n(t + \pi/n) \sin ntdt \\
&\quad - \int_0^{\pi/n} \psi(x, t + \pi/n) R_n(t + \pi/n) \sin ntdt \\
&\quad + \int_{\pi(n-1)/n}^{\pi} \psi(x, t) R_n(t) \sin ntdt = I_n + J_n + K_n + L_n,
\end{aligned}$$

say.

By the mean-value theorem

$$|R_n(t) - R_n(t + \pi/n)| \leq Cn^{-2}t^{-3},$$

so that

$$|I_n| \leq Cn^{-2} \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi-\pi/n} |\psi(x, t)| t^{-3} dt \leq Cn^{-2} \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} |\psi(x, t)| t^{-3} dt.$$

Since  $R_n(t + \pi/n) \leq 1/nt^2$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(x, t) - \psi(x, t + \pi/n) &= \psi(x + t - \pi/2n, \pi/2n) \\
&\quad - \psi(x - t - \pi/2n, \pi/2n),
\end{aligned}$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_n| &\leq Cn^{-1} \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} |\psi(x + t - \pi/2n, \pi/2n)| t^{-2} dt \\
&\quad + Cn^{-1} \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} |\psi(x - t - \pi/2n, \pi/2n)| t^{-2} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since  $R_n(t + \pi/n) < Cn$  for  $0 \leq t \leq \pi/n$ ,

$$|K_n| \leq Cn \int_0^{\pi/n} |\psi(x, t + \pi/n)| dt.$$

Finally,

$$|L_n| \leq Cn^{-1} \int_{\pi(n-1)/n}^{\pi} |\psi(x, t)| dt = Cn^{-1} \int_0^{\pi/n} |\psi(x + \pi, t)| dt.$$

By assumption,  $|\psi(x, u)| \leq M|u|$ , uniformly in  $x$ . From this we immediately deduce that each of the terms  $|P_n|$ ,  $|I_n|$ ,  $|J_n|$ ,  $|K_n|$ ,  $|L_n|$  is less than or equal to  $CM/n$ , and (1.5) is proved.

Suppose now that instead of the inequality  $|g(x+h) - g(x)| \leq M|h|$  we have

$$(2.1) \quad M_p[g(x+h) - g(x)] = \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |g(x+h) - g(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \\ \leq M|h|$$

for some  $p \geq 1$ . Then Minkowski's inequality for integrals shows that  $M_p[P_n]$ ,  $M_p[I_n]$ ,  $M_p[J_n]$ ,  $M_p[K_n]$ ,  $M_p[L_n]$  are all less than or equal to  $CM/n$ . For example,

$$M_p[P_n] \leq \int_0^{\pi/n} M_p[\psi(x, t)] t^{-1} dt \leq \int_0^{\pi/n} 2M dt = 2M\pi/n, \\ M_p[I_n] \leq Cn^{-2} \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} M_p[\psi(x, t)] t^{-3} dt \\ \leq 2CMn^{-2} \int_{\pi/n}^{\infty} t^{-2} dt = CM/n,$$

and similarly in other cases. Thus, *under the hypothesis (2.1)*,

$$M_p[\tilde{\sigma}_{n-1}(x) - \check{g}(x)] \leq BM/n$$

where  $B$  is an absolute constant. By an argument similar to that by which Theorem 1 was deduced from the lemma, we obtain the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that the Fourier series of  $f(x)$  is of the power series type. Then*

$$M_p[\sigma_{n-1}(x) - f(x)] \leq A\omega_p(2\pi/n) \quad (p \geq 1)$$

where  $\omega_p(\delta) = \sup_{|t| \leq \delta} M_p[f(x+t) - f(x)]$ .

Theorems 1 and 2 hold for  $(C, \alpha)$  means, whatever  $\alpha > 0$ . The analogues for Abel means are immediate consequences of the Cauchy-Riemann equations.