

# A NOTE ON COMPLEMENTARY SUBSPACES IN A RIEMANNIAN SPACE

YUNG-CHOW WONG<sup>1</sup>

## 1. Introduction.<sup>2</sup> Let

(1.1)  $x^\kappa = x^\kappa(u^a)$ ,  $\kappa, \lambda, \mu, \nu = 1, \dots, n$ ;  $a, b, \dots, f = 1, \dots, m$ ,  
be the equations of a  $V_m$  in a  $V_n$  with fundamental tensor  $g_{\lambda\kappa}$  and let

$$(1.2) \quad B_a^\kappa = \partial_a x^\kappa \equiv \frac{\partial x^\kappa}{\partial u^a}.$$

Then the fundamental tensor and curvature tensor of  $V_m$  in  $V_n$  are, respectively,

$$(1.3) \quad 'g_{cb} = g_{\lambda\kappa} B_c^\lambda B_b^\kappa,$$

$$(1.4) \quad H_{cb}^{\cdot\kappa} = D_c B_b^\kappa \equiv \partial_c B_b^\kappa + \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\kappa B_c^\mu B_b^\lambda - ' \Gamma_{cb}^a B_a^\kappa,$$

where  $D$  denotes the generalized covariant differentiation with respect to  $V_m$  in  $V_n$ ; and  $\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\kappa$  and  $'\Gamma_{cb}^a$  are, respectively, the Christoffel symbols of the second kind for  $V_n$  and  $V_m$ .

By definition a  $V_m$  in  $V_n$  is said to be *totally semi-umbilical*<sup>3</sup> in  $V_n$  if a vector  $v_\kappa$  exists such that

$$(1.5) \quad v_\kappa H_{cb}^{\cdot\kappa} = 'g_{cb}$$

is satisfied at every point of  $V_m$ . In particular, this condition is evidently fulfilled when  $H_{cb}^{\cdot\kappa}$  has the form  $H_{cb}^{\cdot\kappa} = 'g_{cb} n^\kappa$ ,  $n^\kappa$  being a certain vector; in this case we call  $V_m$  *totally umbilical* in  $V_n$ .

In what follows we shall consider the subspaces  $V_m: x^p = \text{const.}$  in a  $V_n$  with fundamental tensor of the form

$$(1.6) \quad g_{\lambda\kappa} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{cb} & 0 \\ 0 & g_{qp} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{matrix} a, b, \dots, f = 1, \dots, m, \\ p, q, \dots, s = m + 1, \dots, n. \end{matrix}$$

Received by the editors, April 22, 1942.

<sup>1</sup> The author is a Chinese Ying-Keng Funds student. He wishes to thank Professor D. J. Struik for the conversations they had from time to time during his stay at Cambridge, Massachusetts.

<sup>2</sup> For the theory of subspaces  $V_m$  in a Riemannian  $n$ -space  $V_n$ , see Schouten-Struik, *Einführung in der neuern Methoden der Differentialgeometrie* II, Groningen, 1938 chap. 3.

<sup>3</sup> D. Perepelkine, *Sur la courbure et les espaces normaux d'une  $V_m$  dans  $R_n$* , Rec. Math. (Mat. Sbornik) N.S. vol. 42 (1935) pp. 81-100.

The two families of subspaces  $V_m: x^p = \text{const.}$  and  $V_{n-m}: x^a = \text{const.}$  are called *complementary families* of subspaces in  $V_n$ . Recently, Yano<sup>4</sup> proved that a condition for  $V_m$  to be totally umbilical in  $V_n$  is that  $g_{cb}$  be of the form<sup>5</sup>  $g_{cb} = \sigma(x^k) \bar{g}_{cb}(x^a)$ . We shall obtain, among other results, a similar condition for  $V_m$  to be totally semi-umbilical in  $V_n$ .

**2. First normal complex.** Let  $w^a$  and  $y^a$  be two arbitrary vectors in  $V_m$ . Then the vector  $y^c w^b H_{cb}^{\cdot\cdot\kappa}$  spans the first normal complex of  $V_m$  in  $V_n$ , whose dimensionality  $m_1$  is therefore equal to the rank of the matrix  $[H_{cb}^{\cdot\cdot\kappa}]$ . In this matrix, as well as in every matrix appearing hereafter,  $\kappa$  or  $p$  indicates the column and the combination of  $b, c, \dots$  the row.

Now for the subspaces  $V_m: x^p = \text{const.}$  in a  $V_n$  with fundamental tensor (1.6), we have

$$(2.1) \quad B_a^\kappa = \frac{\partial x^\kappa}{\partial x^a} = \delta_a^\kappa, \quad 'g_{cb} = g_{cb},$$

$$(2.2) \quad H_{cb}^{\cdot\cdot a} = \Gamma_{cb}^a - ' \Gamma_{cb}^a, \quad H_{cb}^{\cdot\cdot p} = \Gamma_{cb}^p.$$

But from (1.6) and the definition of the Christoffel symbols of the second kind

$$\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\kappa = (1/2)g^{\kappa\nu} (\partial_\mu g_{\nu\lambda} + \partial_\lambda g_{\nu\mu} - \partial_\nu g_{\mu\lambda})$$

it follows at once that

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma_{cb}^a &= ' \Gamma_{cb}^a, & \Gamma_{cb}^p &= - (1/2)g^{pq} \partial_q g_{cb}, \\ \Gamma_{cq}^a &= (1/2)g^{ab} \partial_q g_{cb}, & \Gamma_{cr}^p &= (1/2)g^{pq} \partial_c g_{rq}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.2) become

$$(2.4) \quad H_{cb}^{\cdot\cdot a} = 0, \quad H_{cb}^{\cdot\cdot p} = - (1/2)g^{pq} \partial_q g_{cb}.$$

And therefore the dimensionality  $m_1$  of the first normal complex of  $V_m$  in  $V_n$  is equal to the rank of the matrix  $[g^{pq} \partial_q g_{cb}]$ . Since  $\text{Det} (g^{pq}) \neq 0$ ,  $m_1$  is also the rank of the matrix  $[\partial_p g_{cb}]$ . Hence<sup>6</sup> there

<sup>4</sup> K. Yano, *Conformally separable quadratic differential forms*, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo vol. 16 (1940) pp. 83-86. For  $n=m+1$  see L. P. Eisenhart, *Riemannian geometry*, Princeton, 1926 p. 182.

<sup>5</sup> Throughout this paper we denote by  $\rho, \sigma, \theta, \phi$  scalar functions of  $x^k$ .

<sup>6</sup> See, for example, T. Levi-Civita, *The absolute differential calculus*, London, 1927 pp. 9-12.

exist  $m_1$  components of  $g_{cb}$ , which are functionally independent with regard to  $x^p$ , such that each component of  $g_{cb}$  is expressible in terms of them and  $x^a$ . Conversely, it is evident from (2.4) that if  $g_{cb}$  has this property, the first normal complex of  $V_m$  in  $V_n$  is of dimension  $m_1$ . Hence we have this theorem.<sup>7</sup>

**THEOREM 2.1.** *The first normal complex of the subspaces  $x^p = \text{const.}$  in a  $V_n$  with fundamental tensor (1.6) is of dimension  $m_1$ , if and only if the matrix  $[\partial_p g_{cb}]$  is of rank  $m_1$ , that is if  $g_{cb}$  is of the form  $g_{cb} = g_{cb}(x^a, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{m_1})$ , where the  $\rho$ 's are  $m_1$  functions of  $x^k$  which are functionally independent with regard to  $x^p$ .*

Now it follows from (2.4) and Theorem 2.1 that the components of the vector  $y^c w^b H_{cb}^{;k}$ , which spans the first normal complex, are

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} y^c w^b H_{cb}^{;a} &= 0, \\ y^c w^b H_{cb}^{;p} &= - (1/2) y^c w^b g^{pq} \left( \frac{\partial g_{cb}}{\partial \rho_1} \partial_q \rho_1 + \dots + \frac{\partial g_{cb}}{\partial \rho_{m_1}} \partial_q \rho_{m_1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

To see the implication of these equations let us consider a certain fixed  $V_{n-m}$ :  $x^a = x_0^a$ . Each  $V_m$  of the family  $x^p = \text{const.}$  has a point in common with  $V_{n-m}$ , at which the first normal complex of  $V_m$  lies in the tangent space of  $V_{n-m}$ . Equations (2.5) then show that these first normal complexes are orthogonal to the subspaces

$$\rho_1(x_0^a, x^p) = \text{const.}, \dots, \rho_{m_1}(x_0^a, x^p) = \text{const.}$$

of  $V_{n-m}$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** *If a  $V_n$  admits two complementary families of  $V_m$  and  $V_{n-m}$ , then the first normal complexes, dimensionality  $m_1$ , of  $V_m$  at points of any fixed  $V_{n-m}$  are orthogonal to a family of subspaces  $V_{n-m-m_1}$  in  $V_{n-m}$ .*

The condition for  $V_m$  to be minimal in  $V_n$  is  $'g^{cb} H_{cb}^{;k} = 0$ , which, by (2.1) and (2.4), can be written  $g^{cb} \partial_p g_{cb} = 0$ , that is,  $\partial_p \text{Det}(g_{cb}) = 0$ . Hence this theorem follows.<sup>8</sup>

**THEOREM 2.3.** *If a  $V_n$  admits two complementary families of  $V_m$  and  $V_{n-m}$ , a necessary and sufficient condition for  $V_m$  to be minimal in  $V_n$  is that  $V_{n-m}$  determine a correspondence between them which preserves volume.*

<sup>7</sup> For  $m_1 = 0$ , see Eisenhart, loc. cit. p. 186 Example 13.

<sup>8</sup> For  $n = m + 1$ , see Eisenhart, loc. cit. p. 179.

3. **Totally semi-umbilical**  $V_m$ . According to (1.5), (2.1) and (2.4), the condition for  $x^p = \text{const.}$  to be totally semi-umbilical in  $V_n$  is that a vector  $v^p$  in  $V_{n-m}$  exists such that  $v^p \partial_p g_{cb} = g_{cb}$ , that is,

$$(3.1) \quad v^p \partial_p \log g_{cb} = 1.$$

From this it follows that

$$(3.2) \quad v^p \partial_p \log (g_{cb}/g_{ed}) = 0.$$

Now if the first normal complex of  $V_m$  in  $V_n$  is of dimension  $m_1$ , then by Theorem 2.1  $g_{cb}$  is of the form

$$(3.3) \quad g_{cb} = g_{cb}(x^a, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{m_1}).$$

Consequently, (3.2) gives

$$(3.4) \quad \theta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_1} \log (g_{cb}/g_{ed}) + \dots + \theta_{m_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{m_1}} \log (g_{cb}/g_{ed}) = 0,$$

where

$$(3.5) \quad \theta_1 = v^p \partial_p \rho_1, \dots, \theta_{m_1} = v^p \partial_p \rho_{m_1}.$$

Conversely, let  $m_1$  functions  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{m_1}$  exist satisfying (3.4). Then since  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{m_1}$  are independent with regard to  $x^p$ , the matrix  $[\partial_p \rho_1, \dots, \partial_p \rho_{m_1}]$  is of rank  $m_1$ . Therefore, the system of linear equations (3.5) has solutions for  $v^p$ ; that is,  $v^p$  exist satisfying (3.2) and also (3.1). Hence, when (3.3) is true, (3.4) is a necessary and sufficient condition for  $V_m$  to be totally semi-umbilical in  $V_n$ .

On the other hand, by a well known theorem<sup>9</sup> on the essential parameters of a set of functions, equation (3.4) is also the condition that there exist  $m_1 - 1$  functions  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{m_1-1}$  of  $x^a$  and the  $\rho$ 's (and therefore of  $x^k$ ) such that  $g_{cb}/g_{ed}$  is expressible in terms of them and  $x^a$ ; that is, that  $g_{cb}$  is of the form

$$(3.6) \quad g_{cb} = \sigma_{m_1} \bar{g}_{cb}(x^a, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{m_1-1}).$$

It is seen that  $\bar{g}_{cb}$  cannot be expressed in terms of  $x^a$  and less than  $m_1 - 1$  independent (with regard to  $x^p$ ) functions  $\sigma$ 's; otherwise,  $g_{cb}$  would be expressible in terms of  $x^a$  and less than  $m_1$  functions, and consequently by Theorem 2.1, the first normal complex of  $V_m$  in  $V_n$  would be of dimension less than  $m_1$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *In a  $V_n$  with fundamental tensor (1.6), each of the subspaces  $x^p = \text{const.}$ , whose first normal complexes are of dimension*

<sup>9</sup> See, for example, L. P. Eisenhart, *Continuous groups of transformation*, Princeton, 1933, p. 9.

$m_1$ , is totally semi-umbilical in  $V_n$ , if and only if  $g_{cb}$  is of the form

$$g_{cb} = \sigma_{m_1} \bar{g}_{cb}(x^a, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{m_1-1}),$$

where the  $\sigma$ 's are  $m_1$  functions of  $x^k$  which are independent with regard to  $x^p$ .

For  $m_1 = 1$ , we have Yano's result quoted in §1.

**4. Normal complexes of higher order.** We now return to the end of §2 and consider the matrix

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} B_a^k \\ H_{cb}^{\dots k} \\ D_f H_{ed}^{\dots k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  be, respectively, the dimensionalities of the first and second normal complexes of  $V_m$  in  $V_n$ , then the rank of the above matrix is  $m + m_1 + m_2$ . Taking account of (2.3), (2.4) and

$$D_f H_{ed}^{\dots k} = \partial_f H_{ed}^{\dots k} + \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^k H_{ed}^{\dots\lambda} B_f^\mu - {}'\Gamma_{fd}^c H_{ec}^{\dots k} - {}'\Gamma_{fe}^c H_{cd}^{\dots k}$$

we can easily prove from (4.1) that the following matrices are all of rank  $m_1 + m_2$ :

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} H_{cb}^{\dots p} \\ D_f H_{ed}^{\dots p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} H_{cb}^{\dots p} \\ \partial_f H_{ed}^{\dots p} + \Gamma_{fq}^p H_{ed}^{\dots q} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} g^{pq} \partial_q g_{cb} \\ g^{pq} \partial_f \partial_q g_{ed} + (1/2)(\partial_f g^{pq}) \partial_q g_{ed} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_p g_{cb} \\ \partial_f \partial_p g_{ed} + (1/2) g_{pq} (\partial_f g^{qr}) \partial_r g_{ed} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The last matrix shows that, unlike  $m_1$ , the dimensionality  $m_2$  of the second normal complex of  $V_m$  in  $V_n$  depends not only on the nature of  $g_{cb}$  but also on that of  $g_{qp}$ .

If  $g_{qp} = g_{qp}(x^r)$ , that is, if the complementary  $V_{n-m}$  are totally geodesic in  $V_n$  (cf. Theorem 2.1 for  $m_1 = 0$ ), the matrix (4.2) reduces to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_p g_{cb} \\ \partial_p \partial_f g_{ed} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This matrix is of rank  $m_1 + m_2$ , and therefore  $g_{cb}$ ,  $\partial_f g_{ed}$  can be expressed in terms of  $x^a$  and  $m_1 + m_2$  (but not less) functions of  $x^k$  which are independent with regard to  $x^p$ . But

$$(4.3) \quad g_{cb} = g_{cb}(x^a, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{m_1}),$$

$$\partial_f g_{ed} = \phi_0 + \phi_1 \partial_f \rho_1 + \dots + \phi_{m_1} \partial_f \rho_{m_1},$$

where the  $\phi$ 's are some functions of  $x^a$  and the  $\rho$ 's. Therefore the first and second normal complexes of  $V_m$  in  $V_n$  are of dimension  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ , if and only if (4.3) is true and  $\partial_f \rho_1, \dots, \partial_f \rho_{m_1}$  are expressible in terms of  $x^a, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{m_1}$ , and  $m_2$  other functions  $\rho_{m_1+1}, \dots, \rho_{m_1+m_2}$ , which, together with  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{m_1}$ , form  $m_1+m_2$  functions independent with regard to  $x^p$ .

This being the case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_p g_{cb} &= \frac{\partial g_{cb}}{\partial \rho_1} \partial_p \rho_1 + \dots + \frac{\partial g_{cb}}{\partial \rho_{m_1}} \partial_p \rho_{m_1}, \\ \partial_p \partial_f g_{ed} &= \frac{\partial \partial_f g_{ed}}{\partial \rho_1} \partial_p \rho_1 + \dots + \frac{\partial \partial_f g_{ed}}{\partial \rho_{m_1+m_2}} \partial_p \rho_{m_1+m_2}. \end{aligned}$$

But if  $w^a, y^a, z^a$  are three arbitrary vectors in  $V_m$ , the vectors  $y^c w^b H_{cb}^{\dots p}$  and  $z^f y^e w^d D_f H_{ed}^{\dots p}$  span the first two normal complexes of  $V_m$  in  $V_n$ . Therefore by an argument similar to that which led to Theorem 2.2, we conclude that the first two normal complexes of  $V_m$  at points of a fixed  $V_{n-m}$  are orthogonal to a family of  $V_{n-m-m_1-m_2}$  in  $V_{n-m}$ .

The above result can easily be extended to cover the normal complexes of higher order of  $V_m$  in  $V_n$ ; indeed we have the following two theorems.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *In a  $V_n$  with fundamental tensor*

$$g_{\lambda\kappa} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{cb}(x^c) & 0 \\ 0 & g_{qp}(x^p) \end{pmatrix},$$

*the normal complexes of the subspaces  $x^p = \text{const.}$  are of dimension  $m_1, m_2, \dots$  if and only if the matrices*

$$\left( \partial_p g_{cb} \right), \left( \partial_p \partial_f g_{ed} \right), \dots$$

*are of ranks  $m_1, m_1+m_2, \dots$ , respectively.*

**THEOREM 4.2.** *If a  $V_n$  admits two families of complementary  $V_m$  and  $V_{n-m}$  and if  $V_{n-m}$  are totally geodesic in  $V_n$ , then the first  $l$  ( $l=1, 2, \dots$ ) normal complexes of  $V_m$  at points of any fixed  $V_{n-m}$  are orthogonal to a family of  $V_{n-m-m_1-m_2-\dots-m_l}$  in  $V_{n-m}$ .*