

ON THE FINITENESS OF THE CLASS NUMBER IN A SEMI-SIMPLE ALGEBRA*

BY C. G. LATIMER

1. *Introduction.* Let A be a rational semi-simple algebra of order n and let G be a domain of integrity of order n in A , according to Dickson's definition. † In a recent paper, ‡ Miss Shover showed that if A is a division algebra, the number of classes of left ideals in G is finite. She used the definitions of an ideal and a class of ideals as given by MacDuffee. § We shall extend this result to any A . Since Miss Shover also showed that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the classes of left ideals and the classes of right ideals in G , it will be sufficient to prove the theorem for right ideals. By applying this result, we shall obtain a theorem on similar matrices.

Artin proved the finiteness of the right ideal class number for a maximal ordnung in A , using a different definition of an ideal and a class of ideals. || Every domain of integrity of order n is an ordnung. An ordnung is a domain of integrity of order n if and only if it contains the modulus of A . In particular, every maximal ordnung is a domain of integrity of order n . Every non-singular ideal according to MacDuffee is an ideal according to Artin and if β is an element and K is an ideal in G , MacDuffee's and Artin's definitions of their norms $N(\beta)$, $N(K)$ are the same.

2. *Proof of the Theorem.* The only place in her paper where Miss Shover employed the hypothesis that A is a division algebra was in obtaining, for left ideals, a result equivalent to the following, which was proved by Artin for the case where G is maximal.

LEMMA 1. *There is a positive number C , depending only on G , such that if K is a non-singular right ideal in G , there is an element β in K for which*

* Presented to the Society, December 27, 1933.

† *Algebren und ihre Zahlentheorie*, p. 155.

‡ This Bulletin, vol. 39 (1933), pp. 610–14.

§ Transactions of this Society, vol. 31 (1929), pp. 71–90.

|| *Abhandlungen, Mathematisches Seminar, Hamburg*, vol. 5 (1927), pp. 251–289.

$$0 < |N(\beta)| \leq CN(K).$$

There is a maximal domain G_0 containing G .^{*} Consider the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. *Lemma 1 is valid if it is valid when G is replaced by G_0 .*

This lemma was proved by Artin, using a different notation, for the case where G and G_0 are both maximal.[†] However, the present lemma may be proved by exactly the same argument as that made by Artin.

But by Artin's Theorem 17 (p. 283), our Lemma 1 is valid for G_0 . Lemma 1 follows.

Let K be a non-singular right ideal in G and let β be an element in K satisfying the conditions of Lemma 1. Following Miss Shover's proof, we find that the transpose of the first matrix of β is $R(\beta) = MG$, where G is the matrix of K and M is a matrix with integral elements. Noting that $|R(\beta)| = N(\beta) \neq 0$ and letting $\pm |M| = m > 0$, we have

$$0 < |N(\beta)| = \pm |M| \cdot |G| = m \cdot N(K) \leq C \cdot N(K).$$

Furthermore, the adjoint of M is the matrix of an ideal L , in the same class as K . Then by the last of the above inequalities, we have

$$0 < N(L) = m^{n-1} \leq C^{n-1}.$$

Hence every class of ideals contains a non-singular ideal with norm $\leq C^{n-1}$. Since there is only a finite number of ideals with norms equal to a given positive integer, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *The number of classes of right ideals in G is finite.*

3. *An Application of Theorem 1.* It will be understood that all matrices referred to are square matrices of order n with integral elements. Two matrices, A and A_1 , are said to be similar if there is a unimodular matrix Z , such that $A_1 = ZAZ^{-1}$. All matrices similar to the same matrix are said to form a class. Two

* Artin, loc. cit., p. 265.

† Loc. cit., pp. 283–284.

matrices are similar if and only if they belong to the same class. Let

$$f(x) = x^n + k_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + k_n,$$

where the k 's are rational integers, $k_n \neq 0$, and $f(x) = 0$ has no multiple roots. If A is a matrix root of $f(x) = 0$ and is non-derogatory, that is, is not a root of an equation, with rational coefficients, of lower degree, the same is true of every matrix similar to A . It is known that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the classes of ideals in a domain of integrity in a certain commutative semi-simple algebra and the classes of non-derogatory matrices which are roots of $f(x) = 0$.* We have therefore, by Theorem 1, the following result.

THEOREM 2. *The number of classes of non-derogatory similar matrices which are roots of $f(x) = 0$ is finite.*

THE UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY

KASNER'S CONVEX CURVES†

BY MORRIS HALPERIN

1. *Preliminary Discussion.* A Kasner convex curve is the limit of a sequence of simple, closed, convex polygons, P_0, \dots, P_n, \dots , each of which has a finite number of sides and is obtained from the preceding one by measuring off the r th part of the length of each side from both its ends and cutting off the corners. The number r is restricted to the inequality $0 < r < 1/2$. To obtain an analytic definition for the curve, we proceed as follows. We note that the centroid of the vertices of P_0 is also the centroid of the vertices of every P_n . Hence G is interior to every P_n . Let $z_n(t)$ be the intersection of a ray from G of inclination t with the polygon P_n . The sequence of functions $\{z_n(t)\}$ will be found to converge uniformly to a function $z(t)$.

* Latimer and MacDuffee, *A correspondence between classes of ideals and classes of matrices*, *Annals of Mathematics*, (2), vol. 34 (1933), pp. 313-316.

† Presented to the Society, February 25, 1933. Another paper will follow in which additional properties of these curves will be discussed; particularly their second derivatives, their non-analytic character, and their areas. See this *Bulletin*, Abstract 39-3-68.