

## AN INTEGRAL EQUATION WITH SYMMETRIC KERNELS\*

BY T. S. PETERSON

It is the purpose of this note to investigate conditions necessary and sufficient for the solution of the integral equation

$$(1) \quad \int_a^b A(x, s)X(s, y)ds + \int_a^b X(x, s)B(s, y)ds = C(x, y),$$

where the kernels  $A(x, y)$  and  $B(x, y)$  are considered to be symmetric. We further restrict our functions of two variables to be continuous throughout the fundamental interval  $(a, b)$ .

An equation of the type (1) will not in general admit a solution. However, under certain quite restrictive conditions on the function  $C(x, y)$ , a solution may be obtained. To determine these conditions, we may readily verify from the classical theory of integral equations that every function  $C(x, y)$ , for which a function  $X(x, y)$  exists such that (1) is true, is developable in a uniformly convergent series

$$(2) \quad C(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\alpha_i(x)\bar{\alpha}_i(y)}{\alpha_i} + \frac{\bar{\beta}_i(x)\beta_i(y)}{\beta_i} \right\},$$

where

$$(3) \quad \bar{\alpha}_i(y) = \int_a^b \alpha_i(s)X(s, y)ds, \quad \bar{\beta}_i(x) = \int_a^b X(x, s)\beta_i(s)ds,$$

and where  $\{\alpha_i, \alpha_i(s)\}$  and  $\{\beta_i, \beta_i(s)\}$  are the characteristic values and characteristic functions of the kernels  $A(x, y)$  and  $B(x, y)$ , respectively. To justify this conclusion, it is sufficient to note that the series for the iterated kernel

$$A^{(2)}(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_i(x)\alpha_i(y)}{\alpha_i^2}, \quad A^{(2)}(x, x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_i^2(x)}{\alpha_i^2},$$

converge uniformly and absolutely, which, in view of the boundedness of  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \bar{\alpha}_i^2(y)$ , implies the uniform and absolute

---

\* Presented to the Society, March 18, 1933.

convergence of  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i(x) \bar{\alpha}_i(y) / \alpha_i$ . A similar argument applies for the other terms of (2).

This observation shows us that a *necessary* condition for a solution of the equation (1) under our hypotheses is that  $C(x, y)$  be expressible linearly in terms of the characteristic functions of the kernels  $A(x, y)$  and  $B(x, y)$ .

In the following theorems we shall have occasion to refer to a characteristic root of (1). If  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$  are the respective characteristic values of the symmetric kernels  $A(x, y)$  and  $B(x, y)$  and if  $\alpha_i = -\beta_k$  (any  $i$  and any  $k$ ), we say that  $\alpha_i$  (or  $-\beta_k$ ) is a characteristic root with respect to these kernels.

We may then state the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Assuming that (1) has no characteristic roots and that  $C(x, y)$  has the necessary form*

$$(4) \quad C(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \{ \alpha_i(x) A_i(y) + B_i(x) \beta_i(y) \},$$

then if the series

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \{ \alpha_i \alpha_i(x) \bar{A}_i(y) + \beta_i \bar{B}_i(x) \beta_i(y) \}$$

is uniformly convergent, where  $\bar{A}_i(y)$  and  $\bar{B}_i(x)$  are defined by

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} A_i(y) = \bar{A}_i(y) + \alpha_i \int_a^b \bar{A}_i(s) B(s, y) ds, \\ B_i(x) = \bar{B}_i(x) + \beta_i \int_a^b A(x, s) \bar{B}_i(s) ds, \end{cases}$$

it is a solution of (1).

This theorem is established directly by the substitution of (5) into (1) and noting by our hypothesis on the characteristic roots that the functions  $\bar{A}_i(y)$  and  $\bar{B}_i(x)$  are determined uniquely by (6).

It is evident that a more general solution of (1) may be obtained by adding to (5) any non-vanishing solutions of the equation

$$(7) \quad \int_a^b A(x, s) Z(s, y) ds + \int_a^b Z(x, s) B(s, y) ds = 0.$$

A treatment of the non-vanishing solutions of equations essentially of the form (7) has been made by Lauricella.\* We wish here only to point out the following result.

**THEOREM 2.** *If (7) has no characteristic roots, then all non-vanishing solutions of (7) have the property*

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} \int_a^b \alpha_i(s)Z(s, y)ds = 0, & (i = 1, 2, \dots, n), \\ \int_a^b Z(x, s)\beta_i(s)ds = 0, & (i = 1, 2, \dots, n). \end{cases}$$

We may verify this theorem at once on multiplying (7) by  $\alpha_i(x)$ , integrating with respect to  $x$ , and applying

$$(9) \quad \alpha_i(x) = \alpha_i \int_a^b A(x, s)\alpha_i(s)ds.$$

This gives us

$$\left\{ \int_a^b \alpha_i(s)Z(s, y)ds \right\} + \alpha_i \int_a^b \left\{ \int_a^b \alpha_i(s)Z(s, t)ds \right\} B(t, y)dt = 0;$$

and hence

$$\int_a^b \alpha_i(s)Z(s, y)ds = 0.$$

A similar procedure establishes the second equation of (8).

Let us now consider the case in which there exist characteristic roots of (1). On multiplying (1) by  $\alpha_i(x)\beta_k(y)$ , (where  $\alpha_i = -\beta_k$ ), and integrating on  $x$  and  $y$ , we obtain further *necessary* conditions on the coefficients of  $C(x, y)$ , namely,

$$(10) \quad \int_a^b A_i(s)\beta_k(s)ds + \int_a^b B_k(s)\alpha_i(s)ds = 0.$$

With respect to these conditions, let us assume for the moment that the coefficients  $B_k(s)$  are assigned arbitrarily and that the

---

\* See Lauricella, *Sopra le funzioni permutabili di 2ª specie*, *Lincoln Rendiconti*, 1º Sem., (1913).

$A_i(s)$  are determined by (10). This means that the  $A_i(s)$  will have the form

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} A_i(s) &= \sum_k a_{ik} \beta_k(s) + A'_i(s), \\ a_{ik} &= - \int_a^b \alpha_i(t) B_k(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where the summation is taken over all  $k$  for which  $\alpha_i = -\beta_k$ , and where  $A'_i(s)$  is an arbitrary function which is orthogonal to all the corresponding  $\beta_k(s)$ . With this change we may rewrite the form of  $C(x, y)$  as

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} C(x, y) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \{ \alpha_i(x) [ \sum_k a_{ik} \beta_k(y) + A'_i(y) ] + B_i(x) \beta_i(y) \} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \{ \alpha_i(x) A'_i(y) + B'_i(x) \beta_i(y) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Now considering the conditions (10) for the coefficients of this new form of  $C(x, y)$ , we have at once, since  $\int_a^b A'_i(s) \beta_k(s) ds = 0$ , the additional relation

$$\int_a^b B'_k(s) \alpha_i(s) ds = 0.$$

For this reason we may assume, without loss of generality, that a necessary condition for the existence of a solution to equation (1) is that the function  $C(x, y)$  have the form (4), where

$$(13) \quad \int_a^b A_i(s) \beta_k(s) ds = 0, \quad \int_a^b \alpha_i(s) B_k(s) ds = 0$$

for all  $i$  and  $k$  such that  $\alpha_i = -\beta_k$ . We may then generalize Theorem 1 to read as follows.

**THEOREM 1'.** *Let the function  $C(x, y)$  have the necessary form (4) satisfying the additional conditions (13); then if the series (5) is uniformly convergent, it is a solution of (1).*

The only point in question with respect to the refinements made in the above theorem is whether the equations (6) will always permit a solution. We observe, however, that for  $\alpha_i = -\beta_k$  the necessary and sufficient conditions for the solution of (6) are precisely the conditions (13).

Considering the equation (7) with the introduction of characteristic roots, we have a somewhat different situation. Consider, for example, that  $\alpha_i = -\beta_k$ . Multiplying (7) by  $\alpha_i$ , we have

$$(14) \quad \alpha_i \int_a^b A(x, s)Z(s, y)ds = \beta_k \int_a^b Z(x, s)B(s, y)ds.$$

Multiplying (14) by  $\alpha_i(x)$  and  $\beta_k(y)$  separately and integrating, we have by (9)

$$(15) \quad \begin{cases} \int_a^b \alpha_i(s)Z(s, y)ds = \beta_k \int_a^b \int_a^b \alpha_i(s)Z(s, t)B(t, y)dsdt, \\ \int_a^b Z(x, t)\beta_k(t)dt = \alpha_i \int_a^b \int_a^b A(x, s)Z(s, t)\beta_k(t)dsdt. \end{cases}$$

These equations imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b \alpha_i(s)Z(s, y)ds &= \sum_k c_k \beta_k(y), \\ \int_a^b Z(x, t)\beta_k(t)dt &= \sum_i c'_i \alpha_i(x), \end{aligned}$$

where the summations extend over all  $k$  and  $i$  respectively for which  $\alpha_i = -\beta_k$ . These conditions on  $Z(x, y)$  lead us to the following generalization of Theorem 2.

**THEOREM 2'.** *All non-vanishing solutions of (7) are of the form*

$$(16) \quad \sum_{i,k} c_{ik} \alpha_i(x) \beta_k(y) + Z(x, y), \quad (c_{ik} = \text{const.}),$$

where  $i$  and  $k$  range over all indices having the property  $\alpha_i = -\beta_k$ , and where  $Z(x, y)$  satisfies (7).

Clearly the solution, (5), of (1) is made more general by the addition of terms (16) and the sufficiency as a solution is obvious.