

such groups of linear fractional substitutions will generate a group leaving a conicoid unchanged.

14. Professor Miller's paper is devoted to a complete determination of the groups in which every subgroup of composite order is invariant but some subgroups of prime order are non-invariant. If the order of such a group is not a power of a single prime number it is of the form pq^2 , where $p > 2$, $q + 1$ is divisible by p , and p, q are primes. The subgroup of order q^2 is of type (1,1) and is the only subgroup of composite order. Moreover, when a non-abelian group contains only one subgroup of composite order the order of the group is pq^2 . The necessary and sufficient condition that every subgroup of composite order in a non-abelian and non-hamiltonian group of order p^m , $m > 5$, is invariant is that the group contains invariant operators of order p^{m-2} . If p is odd this condition is necessary and sufficient for every value of $m > 2$, and there are just two such groups for every value of m . When $p = 2$, there is one additional group when $m = 5$ and there is only one possible group when $m = 3$, viz. the octic group. Each of these groups of order p^m contains only one invariant subgroup of order p and has a commutator subgroup of order p . With the single exception of the given group of order 32, the group of cogredient isomorphisms is of order p^2 . For the group of order 32 it is of order 16. The paper has been offered to the *Archiv der Mathematik und Physik* for publication.

G. A. MILLER,
Secretary of the Section.

AN APPLICATION OF THE THEORY OF DIFFERENTIAL INVARIANTS TO TRIPLY ORTHOGONAL SYSTEMS OF SURFACES.

BY J. E. WRIGHT, M. A.

(Read before the American Mathematical Society, December 29, 1905.)

It has been proved by Darboux* that a family of surfaces which makes part of a triply orthogonal system must satisfy a differential equation of the third order. This differential equa-

* "Sur les surfaces orthogonales" (*Bulletin de la Société philomath.*, 1866, p. 16), (*Annales de l'École normale*, 1^{re} série, vol. 3 (1866), p. 97); see *Leçons sur les systèmes orthogonaux*, pp. 13-14 for complete bibliography.

tion is given by Darboux, in his *Leçons sur les systèmes orthogonaux*, page 20, in the form $S = 0$, where S is a certain six-rowed determinant. Now, since $S = 0$ has a geometric interpretation, it is natural to expect that S is a differential invariant. If so, it must be expressible as an algebraic invariant of certain forms which may be readily written down.* In this note S is expressed as an algebraic invariant of the forms in question and certain immediate consequences are given.

The determinant S contains only third and lower derivatives of u with respect to x, y , and z , where $u = \text{constant}$ is the family of surfaces considered and x, y, z are rectangular cartesian coördinates. Hence the only possible algebraic forms of which it can be an invariant are

$$\sum_{r=1}^3 \sum_{s=1}^3 a_{rs} U_r U_s, \text{ and } S_1, S_2, S_3,$$

where the notation of the author's paper already quoted is used. Now with the particular set of variables used, namely rectangular cartesians, the first of these forms becomes $U_1^2 + U_2^2 + U_3^2$, and the others

$$\left(U_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + U_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + U_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^\lambda u,$$

when λ takes the values 1, 2 and 3.

It is noticeable that u_{ik} and certain quantities A_{ik} turn up symmetrically in S . Since we have a form $\sum_i \sum_k u_{ik} U_i U_k$, it is suggested that a covariant $\sum_i \sum_k A_{ik} U_i U_k$ is required. Now †

$$A_{ik} = \sum_{l=1}^3 (u_l u_{ikl} - 2u_l u_{kl});$$

we use the ordinary symbolic notation for algebraic invariants and put

$$l_x = S_1, \quad a_x'^2 = b_x'^2 = S_2, \quad a_x^3 = S_3,$$

$$a_x^2 = b_x^2 = \sum_{r=1}^3 \sum_{s=1}^3 a_{rs} U_r U_s.$$

* See a paper by the author, "The differential invariants of space," *Amer. Jour. of Math.*, vol. 27 (1905), pp. 335-336.

† Darboux, *Leçons sur les systèmes orthogonaux*, p. 19.

Also let

$$h_x^2 = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^3 A_{ik} U_i U_k,$$

then it is not difficult to show that

$$h_x^2 = (aab) (lab) a_x^2 - 2a'_x b'_x (a'ab) (b'ab),$$

provided

$$a_x^2 = U_1^2 + U_2^2 + U_3^2.$$

Hence, since h_x^2 is expressed as a covariant, we have its form for a general a_x^2 . The determinant S may now be readily shown to be an invariant of the three quadratics a_x^2 , h_x^2 , $a_x'^2$ and the one linear form l_x . Its symbolic expression is

$$(hal) (ha'l) (aa'l).$$

This invariant has a simple geometric interpretation in connection with the ternary forms. Equated to zero it is the condition that the straight line l_x meets the three conics a_x^2 , $a_x'^2$, and h_x^2 in six points in involution.

Now that S is expressed as an invariant of the algebraic forms, its expression may be obtained in terms of generalized coordinates ρ_1, ρ_2, ρ_3 . The differential invariant theory shows that it is the same algebraic invariant of certain other forms which may be readily obtained. In fact, if $a_x^2 = aU_1^2 + bU_2^2 + cU_3^2 + 2fU_2U_3 + 2gU_3U_1 + 2hU_1U_2$,

$$S_1 = \sum_{i=1}^3 U_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial \rho_i},$$

$$\Delta S_{m+1} = \begin{vmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^3 U_i \frac{\partial S_m}{\partial \rho_i} & F_1 & F_2 & F_3 \\ \frac{\partial S_m}{\partial U_1} & a & h & g \\ \frac{\partial S_m}{\partial U_2} & h & b & f \\ \frac{\partial S_m}{\partial U_3} & g & f & c \end{vmatrix}$$

for $m = 1, 2$.

The expression F_i is equal to

$$\sum_{r=1}^3 \sum_{s=1}^3 \left[\begin{matrix} r & s \\ & i \end{matrix} \right] U_r U_s,$$

where

$$\left[\begin{matrix} r & s \\ & i \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial a_{ri}}{\partial \rho_s} + \frac{\partial a_{si}}{\partial \rho_r} - \frac{\partial a_{rs}}{\partial \rho_i} \right\}$$

is Christoffel's three index symbol, and Δ is the discriminant of a_x^2 .

We may use the generalized expression thus obtained to find the condition that the parametric surfaces $\rho_3 = \text{constant}$ may form part of a triply orthogonal system. This condition is however too long to be given here.

As another example we may find the condition that the surfaces $u(x, y, z) = \text{constant}$ are all minimal. This condition, in cartesian coordinates, is

$$(u_{11} + u_{22} + u_{33})(u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_3^2) = u_{11}u_1^2 + u_{22}u_2^2 + u_{33}u_3^2 + 2u_{23}u_2u_3 + 2u_{31}u_3u_1 + 2u_{12}u_1u_2,$$

where suffixes denote differentiations.

Translated into symbolic notation it becomes $(aa'l)^2 = 0$. Hence the condition expresses that a certain straight line cuts two conics harmonically.

If we take our fundamental quadratic form a_x^2 to be $\sum_{i=1}^3 H_i^2 U_i^2$ and our minimal system $\rho_3 = \text{constant}$, this invariant condition gives $H_1 \partial H_2 / \partial \rho_3 + H_2 \partial H_1 / \partial \rho_3 = 0$, and hence, of a triply orthogonal system, the parametric surfaces $\rho_3 = \text{constant}$ are minimal if $H_1 H_2$ is a function of ρ_1 and ρ_2 only, where the element of length is given by

$$ds^2 = H_1^2 d\rho_1^2 + H_2^2 d\rho_2^2 + H_3^2 d\rho_3^2.$$

BRYN MAWR,
January, 1906.