we shall have the definition given by H. Weber, *loc. cit.* That these postulates 1, 2, 3', 4', 5a are mutually independent (when n > 2) has already been shown in the writer's previous paper (page 300).

It should be noticed, however, that postulates 1, 2, 3', 4', 5b would not be sufficient to define an *infinite* group, since the system of positive integers, with  $a \circ b = a + b$ , satisfies them all, and is not a group.

HARVARD UNIVERSITY, CAMBRIDGE, MASS.

## DETERMINATION OF ALL THE GROUPS OF ORDER $p^m$ , p BEING ANY PRIME, WHICH CONTAIN THE ABELIAN GROUP OF ORDER $p^{m-1}$ AND OF TYPE (1, 1, 1, ...).

BY PROFESSOR G. A. MILLER.

(Read before the San Francisco Section of the American Mathematical Society, May 3, 1902.)

Let  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{m-1}$  represent a set of independent generators of the abelian group H of type  $(1, 1, 1, \dots)$ . It is well known that the order of the group of isomorphisms  $\vartheta$  of H is

$$p^{\frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{2}}$$
  $(p-1)(p^2-1)\cdots(p^{m-1}-1)$ . One of its subgroups  $\vartheta_1$  of order  $p^{\frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{2}}$  is composed of all the opera-

groups  $\theta_1$  of order  $p^{-2}$  is composed of all the operators of  $\theta$  which correspond to the holomorphisms of H in which  $t_a$  ( $a=2, 3, \cdots, m-1$ ) corresponds to itself multiplied by some operator in the group generated by  $t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_{a-1}$ . The number of conjugates of  $\theta_1$  under  $\theta$  is clearly

equal to the order of  $\theta$  divided by  $p^{-\frac{1}{2}}$   $(p-1)^{m-1}$ . We shall first determine the number of sets of subgroups of  $\theta_1$  which are conjugate under  $\theta$ . It may be observed that even characteristic subgroups of  $\theta_1$  may be conjugate under  $\theta$ . For instance, the octic group has a characteristic subgroup of order two and four other subgroups of this order, yet all of these subgroups are conjugate under  $\theta$  when the latter is the simple group of order 168.

All the holomorphisms of H may be obtained by establishing isomorphisms between H and its subgroups and letting the product of two corresponding operators in these isomorphisms correspond to the original operator of H.\*

<sup>\*</sup> BULLETIN, vol. 6 (1900), p. 337.

If two operators of  $\vartheta_1$  correspond to such holomorphisms in which the subgroups are of different orders, they evidently belong to different sets of conjugates under  $\vartheta$ . Hence m-2 sets of conjugate subgroups of  $\vartheta_1$  can be obtained in this manner. It remains to determine the number of sets of conjugates when the multiplying subgroups are of the same order  $h_1$ . When  $h_1$  is either p or  $p^{m-2}$  it is not difficult to see that the corresponding operators of  $\vartheta_1$  are conjugate under  $\vartheta$ .

In general, suppose that in any holomorphism of H, which corresponds to an operator in  $^{\mathfrak{g}}$  whose order is a power of p, the above set of independent generators of H has been so selected that those which correspond to themselves come first; and let  $t_{a'}$  represent any operator of the group generated by  $t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_a$  which is not in its subgroup generated by  $t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_{a-1}$ . The operator of  $^{\mathfrak{g}}$  which corresponds to this holomorphism transforms some  $t_a'$ , which is not found in the multiplying subgroup, into  $t_{a_1}t_{a'}$ ,  $t_{a_1}$  into  $t_{a_2}t_{a_1}$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $t_{a_{n-1}}$  into  $t_{a_n}t_{a_{n-1}}$ , and  $t_{a_n}$  into itself. If  $t_{a_1}, t_{a_2}, \cdots, t_{a_n}$  do not generate the multiplying subgroup, i.e., if  $h_1$  exceeds  $p^n$ , we may find another series of transforms in the same manner and thus arrive at another number  $n_1$ . The necessary and sufficient condition that two holomorphisms may correspond to conjugate operators under  $^{\mathfrak{g}}$  is that the numbers  $n_1, n_2, \cdots$  and  $n', n'_1, \cdots$  which correspond to these holomorphisms are the same.

For instance, when m=5,  $\vartheta_1$  contains four sets of conjugate cyclic subgroups under  $\vartheta$ . Two of these correspond to the case where the multiplying subgroup is of order  $p^2$  and the other two correspond to the cases where this order is p or  $p^3$ . When m=6, the number of these conjugate sets is clearly six. In general, when  $h_1=p^{\lambda}$  the number of sets of cyclic subgroups of  $\vartheta_1$ , such that each set includes one complete system of conjugates under  $\vartheta$ , is equal to the number of partitions of  $\lambda$  with respect to addition when the number of addends does not exceed  $m-1-\lambda$ . Hence, it follows from Euler's theorem: the number of partitions of n+r into r parts is equal to the number of ways in which n can be represented as the sum of one or more of the r numbers  $1, 2, \dots, r$  (with repetitions), that the total number of such sets of cyclic subgroups in  $\vartheta_1$  is equal to the number of partitions of m-1 into at least two parts with respect to addition. This number does not include the identity.

The orders of the operators of  $\vartheta_1$  can be directly obtained by means of the formula \*

<sup>\*</sup> Bulletin, vol. 7 (1901), p. 351.

$$t^{-n}s_at^n = s_{a+n}s^n_{a+n-1} \cdots s^n_{a+n-r} \cdots s^n_{a+n-r} \cdots s^n_{a+1}s_a$$

whenever  $t^{-1}s_{\beta}t = s_{\beta+1}s_{\beta}$  ( $\beta = a, a+1, \cdots, a+n-1$ ). If  $i_1$  represents any operator of  $i_1$  which corresponds to the numbers  $n, n_1, \cdots$  its order is  $p^{a_1}$ , where  $a_1$  is the smallest power of p which exceeds each of the numbers  $n, n_1, \cdots$ . When  $a_1 > 1$  the conjugate set to which  $i_1$  belongs does not give rise to any group of order  $p^m$ . When n = 1 and all the other numbers  $n_1, \cdots$  are zero, the conjugate set to which  $i_1$  belongs gives rise to three groups whenever p > 2 and m > 3.\* One of these is conformal with the abelian group of type  $(1, 1, 1, \cdots)$  while the other two are conformal with the abelian group of type  $(2, 1, 1, \cdots)$ . In one of the last two groups each of the  $p^{m-2}$  cyclic subgroups of order  $p^2$  is invariant while none of these subgroups is invariant in the other.

When p is odd and m=3 there are just two groups, which are conformal respectively with the abelian groups of types  $(1, 1, 1, \cdots)$  and  $(2, 1, 1, \cdots)$ . Each of the  $p^{m-2}$  cyclic subgroups of order  $p^2$  in the latter group is invariant. When p=2 and m=3 there is only one group, viz., the octic group; when m>3 there are two groups, one being conformal with the abelian group of type  $(2, 1, 1, \cdots)$  while the other contains  $2^{m-1}+2^{m-2}-1$  operators of order 2, the remaining operators being of order 4. Each of the groups which have been considered contains p+1 abelian subgroups of order  $p^{m-1}$  while remaining groups contain no abelian subgroups of this order except H.

In general, if p exceeds all the numbers n+1,  $n_1+1,\cdots$ , then  $i_1$  and H generate a group which contains only operators of order p besides identity. For, if s is any operator of H, then

$$(st)^p = st \ st \ st \cdots = st \ st^{-1} \ t^2 \ st^{-2} \ t^3 \cdots t^{p-1} \ st = 1.$$

For each of the numbers  $n, n_1, \cdots$  which are distinct there is clearly one additional group in which H is transformed in the same manner as  $i_1$  transforms it. If the sum of the numbers  $n+1, n_1+1, \cdots$  is less than m-1; i. e., if H contains invariant operators which are not commutators, there is one additional group in which H is transformed according to  $i_1$ . All of these groups are conformal with the abelian group of type  $(2, 1, 1, 1, \cdots)$ .

When p is equal to one or more of the numbers n+1,

<sup>\*</sup>Only non-abelian groups of order  $p^m$  are considered in this paper, since the two abelian groups which contain H are well known.

 $n_1+1, \cdots$ , then the group generated by  $i_1$  and H contains operators of order  $p^2$  and the remarks in regard to additional groups apply only to the remaining numbers and to the invariant operators of H which are not commutators. As  $i_1$  and its conjugates cannot give rise to any group of order  $p^m$  when p is less than some one of the numbers n+1,  $n_1+1, \cdots$ , all the groups of this order which contain H can be readily obtained by the above considerations. It may be observed that this includes all the groups of order  $p^m$  in which every operator is of order p whenever m < 5, since every group of order  $p^4$  contains an abelian subgroup of order  $p^3$ .

STANFORD UNIVERSITY, April, 1902.

## A CLASS OF SIMPLY TRANSITIVE LINEAR GROUPS.

## BY PROFESSOR L. E. DICKSON.

1. In the study of the group defined for any given field by the multiplication table of any given finite group,\* it is necessary to discuss the types of simply transitive linear homogeneous groups G whose transformations can be given the form

$$\begin{split} \xi_1' &= \eta_1 \xi_1, \quad \xi_2' = \eta_2 \xi_1 + \eta_1 \xi_2, \quad \xi_3' = \eta_3 \xi_1 + a \xi_2 + \eta_1 \xi_3, \\ (1) & \quad \xi_4' = \eta_4 \xi_1 + \beta \xi_2 + \gamma \xi_3 + \eta_1 \xi_4, \\ & \quad \xi_5' = \eta_5 \xi_1 + \lambda \xi_2 + \mu \xi_3 + \nu \xi_4 + \eta_1 \xi_5, \cdots. \end{split}$$

Here  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4, \eta_5, \cdots$  are the independent parameters, while  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, \cdots$  are linear homogeneous functions of the  $\eta_i$ . Burnside† was led to the erroneous conclusion that every such group G is an abelian group. He first concludes that the expression for  $\xi_i'$  contains only the parameters  $\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_t$  and contains  $\eta_i$  only in the first term  $\eta_i \xi_1$ . That this result need not be true is shown by a consideration of the simply transitive group of quaternary transformations

$$\begin{split} \xi_{1}' &= \eta_{1}\xi_{1}, \quad \xi_{2}' = \eta_{2}\xi_{1} + \eta_{1}\xi_{2}, \quad \xi_{3}' = \eta_{8}\xi_{1} + a\xi_{2} + \eta_{1}\xi_{3}, \\ (2) & \qquad \qquad \xi_{4}' = \eta_{4}\xi_{1} - \frac{a_{3}}{a_{4}}a\xi_{2} + \eta_{1}\xi_{4}, \end{split}$$

<sup>\*</sup> For the case of a continuous field, Burnside, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., vol. 29 (1898), pp. 207-224, 546-565; for an arbitrary field, Dickson, Transactions, vol. 3 (1902), pp. 285-301.

† Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., vol. 29, pp. 552-553.