# SPECTRAL BOUNDS FOR NON-SMOOTH PERTURBATIONS OF THE LANDAU HAMILTONIAN

JACQUES BARBE

(Received April 14, 2022, revised September 1, 2022)

### Abstract

In this paper we study spectral estimates for perturbations of the Landau Hamiltonian by a pseudo-differential operator with non-smooth Weyl symbol in a modulation space. We obtain an upper bound for the counting function of the eigenvalues in a spectral gap.

## 1. Introduction

**1.1. Perturbed Landau Hamiltonian.** We recall first some classical results. Let  $H_0$  be the self-adjoint realization in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$  of the second order partial differential operator

$$\left(\frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2}y\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{1}{2}x\right)^2$$

initially defined on  $S(\mathbf{R}^2)$ ; this operator is usually called Landau Hamiltonian. The spectrum of  $H_0$  consists of eigenvalues  $\Lambda_q := 2q + 1$  of infinite multiplicity, the Landau levels (see 4.1).

Let  $V = Op^{w}(b)$  be a bounded selfadjoint pseudo-differential operator ( $\Psi DO$ ). Under the general assumption that  $VH_0^{-1}$  is a compact operator, the Kato-Rellich theorem and the Weyl perturbation theorem imply that the operator  $H_0 - V$  is selfadjoint and that

$$\sigma_{ess}(H_0 - V) = \sigma_{ess}(H_0) = \sigma(H_0) .$$

Hence the discrete spectrum of  $H_0 - V$  consists of eigenvalues of finite multiplicity; these eigenvalues can accumulate only to the Landau levels.

Suppose furthermore that the operator V is non-negative. Fix  $q \ge 1$  and let E' be a fixed real number in the gap  $(\Lambda_{q-1}, \Lambda_q)$  and E a positive real number such that  $\Lambda_{q-1} < E' < \Lambda_q - E < \Lambda_q$ . Denote by  $N(E', \Lambda_q - E; H_0 - V)$  the number of eigenvalues of the perturbed operator  $H_0 - V$  lying in the open interval  $(E', \Lambda_q - E)$ ; for brevity we set

(1.1) 
$$N_q(E) := N(E', \Lambda_q - E; H_0 - V).$$

Similarly for q = 0, we put  $N_0(E) = N(-\infty, \Lambda_0 - E; H_0 - V)$ . The behaviour of the function  $N_q$  has been extensively studied under various assumptions on the perturbation V. The most precise results have been obtained when V is a local smooth potential with derivatives decaying polynomially or exponentially (see [13],[15]). Recently one of the main results proved in [4] concerns the case where the Weyl symbol of V is smooth and belongs to

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 47G30, 35P15.

a Hörmander-Shubin class  $\Gamma_{\rho}^{m}(\mathbf{R}^{4})$  of negative order. The authors obtain Weyl's law type asymptotics when  $E \downarrow 0^{+}$ .

**1.2. Main results.** The aim of this paper is to investigate the behaviour of the function  $N_q$  defined in (1.1) when the perturbation V is a bounded  $\Psi$ DO. In particular we are interested in perturbation by an integral operator whose kernel is square-integrable. It is then convenient to consider the scale of Sobolev-Shubin classes  $Q^s(\mathbf{R}^4)$  (see 2.5) for  $s \ge 0$  as spaces of symbols or kernels. These classes are particular cases of weighted modulation spaces.

Denote by  $\mathbb{P}_q$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , the spectral projection of  $H_0$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\Lambda_q$ . A common feature of the papers cited above is the important fact that the spectral properties of the eigenvalues of  $H_0 - V$  accumulating near the Landau level  $\Lambda_q$  is governed (in a sense which will be stated more precisely, see section 5) by the compact operator  $\mathbb{P}_q V \mathbb{P}_q$ ; this operator is called the effective Hamiltonian associated with the Landau level  $\Lambda_q$ .

Assume V is a  $\Psi$ DO with Weyl symbol  $b \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^4)$ . Evidently  $\mathbb{P}_q V \mathbb{P}_q$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. But this property is not sharp. Our first result proves that, under additional assumptions on the symbol of V, the effective Hamiltonian belongs to a Schatten class  $\mathbf{S}_p$  with  $p \leq 2$ . Let us denote by  $L_s^2(\mathbb{R}^4)$  the  $L^2$ -space with weight  $w_s(z, w) = (1 + |z|^2 + |w|^2)^{s/2}$  and by  $\mathcal{F}_2$  the partial Fourier transform on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  (see precise definition in subsection 1.4).

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $b \in L_s^2(\mathbb{R}^4)$  ( $s \ge 0$ ). Suppose furthermore that  $\mathcal{F}_2 b \in L_s^2(\mathbb{R}^4)$ . Then the effective Hamiltonian  $\mathbb{P}_q \vee \mathbb{P}_q$  belongs to the Schatten class  $\mathbf{S}_p(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$  for p > 2/(s+1). In particular it is a trace-class operator for s > 1.

The second result concerns an upper bound for the counting function  $N_q$  defined in (1.1).

**Theorem 1.2.** We suppose that b satisfies the same assumptions as in Theorem 1.1 and that furthermore  $V \ge 0$ . Then

(1.2) 
$$N_a(E) = O(E^{-\frac{2}{s+1}}) \quad (E \downarrow 0^+)$$

For these two results we use earlier results concerning eigenvalues of  $\Psi$ DO with symbol in Sobolev-Shubin classes (see [11]).

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains the backgrounds of phase space analysis. We list here some important properties of the Fourier-Wigner and Wigner transforms. Section 3 is devoted to some results of phase space analysis needed in the following section. In Section 4 we apply the results proved in Section 3 to the Landau Hamiltonian and its perturbations. We define in particular the effective Hamiltonian which is the principal object of our work. Section 5 contains the proof of the main theorem on the number of bound states in a gap of the essential spectrum.

**1.3. Comments.** The technics used in [13] and [4] cannot be used here because there is no symbolic calculus with symbols in Sobolev-Shubin classes. Instead we must use phase-space analysis technics (see Section 3). Similarly the precise asymptotics for  $\Psi$ DO's with negative order smooth symbols in Hörmander-Shubin classes proved in [5] and used in [13] and [4] cannot be used. Instead we use the results for eigenvalues of  $\Psi$ DO with symbol in Sobolev-Shubin classes in [11].

Moreover it can be noted that the operator  $H_0$  is unitary equivalent to the operator L selfadjoint realization of the partial differential operator

$$\left(\frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{2}y\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2}x\right)^2$$

This operator is called twisted Laplacian and plays a major role in harmonic analysis on the Heisenberg group. The spectral analysis of the operators L and  $H_0$  is easily performed with the special Hermite functions (see Section 2.4 for the definitions).

**1.4. Notations.** For  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , (f, g) denotes the standard scalar product in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ; it is linear in the first argument.

The standard symplectic form on  $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$  is defined by  $\sigma(x,\xi;y,\eta) := \xi \cdot y - x \cdot \eta$ . Let *J* be the linear map on  $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$  defined by  $J(x,\xi) := (\xi, -x)$ ; *J* is a symplectic map and  $\sigma(x,\xi;y,\eta) = J(x,\xi) \cdot (y,\eta)$  where *u.v* denote the standard scalar product on  $\mathbf{R}^d$ . For  $z = (x,\xi) \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}$  we set  $\overline{z} := (x, -\xi)$ .

Let us recall some standard notations in spectral theory. For a bounded operator Ton a Hilbert space with range  $R(T) := T(\mathbf{H})$ , rank T is the dimension of R(T), N(T) is the kernel of T, i.e.  $\{x \in \mathbf{H} : Tx = 0\}$ , ||T|| is the standard norm on  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H})$  and  $T^*$  is the adjoint of T. Let A be a selfadjoint operator acting in a Hilbert space  $\mathbb{H}$ . The sets  $\rho(A)$ ,  $\sigma(A)$ ,  $\sigma_{ess}(A)$ ,  $\sigma_{disc}(A)$  are respectively the resolvent set, the spectrum, the essential spectrum and the discrete spectrum of A. For  $\Omega \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R})$ , the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $E_{\Omega}(A)$  is the spectral projection corresponding to the Borel set  $\Omega$ . If  $\Omega$  is a relatively compact Borel subset inside an open gap of the essential spectrum of A, then rank  $E_{\Omega}(A)$  is the (finite) number of eigenvalues, counted with multiplicity, of A lying in  $\Omega$ . Let T be a compact selfadjoint operator in  $\mathbb{H}$ ,  $\lambda_j^+(T)$  be the positive eigenvalues of T, arranged in descending order, counting multiplicity. For s > 0 we set

$$n_+(s,T) := \text{card} \{ j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \lambda_j^+(T) > s \}.$$

For any compact operator T we define the singular values of T by

$$s_j(T) := \lambda_j^+ (T^*T)^{1/2}, \ j \ge 1$$
.

For  $p \ge 1$  the compact operator T belongs to the Schatten class  $S_p(\mathbf{H})$  if

$$||T||_p := \left(\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} s_j(T)^p\right)^{1/p}$$

is finite.

For f belonging to the Schwartz class  $S(\mathbf{R}^d)$ , the Fourier transform of f, denoted by  $\mathcal{F}f$  or  $\widehat{f}$ , is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int e^{-i\xi \cdot x} f(x) \, dx$$

The partial Fourier transforms are defined respectively by

$$\mathcal{F}_1 F(\xi, y) := (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int e^{-i\xi \cdot x} F(x, y) dx$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_2 F(x,\eta) := (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int e^{-i\eta \cdot y} F(x,y) dy$$

for F in the Schwartz class  $S(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ ;  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_1$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_2$  are the inverse partial Fourier transforms.

For a complex-valued function f defined on  $\mathbf{R}^d$ , we put  $f^*(x) := \overline{f(-x)}$ .

# 2. Fourier-Wigner transform

**2.1. Basic properties.** Let *R* be the Schrödinger representation of the Heisenberg group defined by

$$R(z,t) = e^{it}\rho(z)$$

with

$$\rho(z).f(y) := e^{\frac{i}{2}x.\xi} e^{ix.y} f(y+\xi), \quad z = (x,\xi) \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}, \ y \in \mathbf{R}^d, \ f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d) \ .$$

The composition law for the projective representation  $\rho$  is the following:

(2.1) 
$$\rho(z_1) \circ \rho(z_2) = e^{\frac{i}{2}\sigma(z_1, z_2)}\rho(z_1 + z_2), \quad z_1, z_2 \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}$$

It follows that

(2.2) 
$$\rho(z)^* = \rho(z)^{-1} = \rho(-z) \; .$$

If  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$  we define the Fourier-Wigner transform V(f, g) by

$$V(f,g)(z) := (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}}(\rho(z)f,g), \quad z \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}$$
.

**Proposition 2.1.** (i) For all  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  we have

$$V(f,g)(x,\xi) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int e^{ix\cdot y} f\left(y + \frac{1}{2}\xi\right) \overline{g\left(y - \frac{1}{2}\xi\right)} dy .$$

(ii) Let  $U_L$  be the mixing operator defined on  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$  by

$$U_L F(x,\xi) := F\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\xi, x - \frac{1}{2}\xi\right).$$

Then, for all  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$  we have

$$V(f,g) = \overline{\mathcal{F}_1} U_L(f \otimes \overline{g}) .$$

(iii) (The Moyal identity) For all  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ ,  $g_1$ ,  $g_2 \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ , we have

$$(V(f_1, g_1), V(f_2, g_2)) = (f_1, f_2)\overline{(g_1, g_2)}$$
.

(iv)

$$V(g, f) = V(f, g)^* \qquad f, g \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d) .$$

Furthermore if the functions f and g are real-valued, if  $z = (x, \xi) \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}$  and  $\overline{z} = (x, -\xi)$ , then

$$V(g, f)(z) = V(f, g)(\overline{z}) .$$

Proof. (i) [17] p.10; (ii) follows from (i); (iii) is a consequence of (ii) since  $U_L$  and  $\mathcal{F}_1$  are unitary operators.

We prove now (iv): by definition and (2.2), we have

$$V(f,g)(-z) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}}(\rho(-z)f,g) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}}(f,\rho(z)g),$$

hence

$$\overline{V(f,g)(-z)} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}}(\rho(z)g,f) = V(g,f)(z),$$

which proves the first part of (iv). Furthermore

$$\overline{V(f,g)(-z)} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \overline{(\rho(-z)f,g)} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int \overline{\rho(-z)f} g \, dy \, .$$

A straightforward verification proves that for all  $z \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}$  and  $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$  we have  $\overline{\rho(z)f} = \rho(-\overline{z})\overline{f}$ . Consequently

$$\overline{V(f,g)(-z)} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int \rho(\overline{z})\overline{f}(y) \ g(y)dy \ .$$

If furthermore f and g are real-valued, then

$$\overline{V(f,g)(-z)} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int \rho(\bar{z})f(y) \,\bar{g}(y)dy$$
  
=  $(2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}}(\rho(\bar{z})f,g))$   
=  $V(f,g)(\bar{z})$ .

By easy computations taking into account (2.1), we obtain the following

**Lemma 2.1.** For all  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and for all  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$  we have

$$V(\rho(z_1)f, \rho(z_2)g)(z) = e^{\frac{i}{2}\sigma(z_1, z_2)} e^{i\sigma(z, \frac{1}{2}(z_1+z_2))} V(f, g)(z + (z_1 - z_2))$$

From this lemma we deduce the following result which is similar to formula (14.34) in [9].

**Proposition 2.2.** 

$$V(V(f,g), V(\phi_1,\phi_2))(z,\zeta) = V(f,\phi_1) \left( Jz + \frac{1}{2}\zeta \right) \overline{V(g,\phi_1) \left( Jz - \frac{1}{2}\zeta \right)} \,.$$

Proof. By definition of the Fourier-Wigner transform we have

$$V(V(f,g), V(\phi_1,\phi_2))(z,\zeta) := (2\pi)^{-d} \left(\rho(z,\zeta).V(f,g), V(\phi_1,\phi_2)\right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}$$

$$=(2\pi)^{-d}e^{\frac{i}{2}z.\zeta}\int V(f,g)(w+\zeta)\overline{e^{-iz.w}V(\phi_1,\phi_2)(w)}dw\;.$$

As particular cases of Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$\begin{cases} V(f,g)(w+\zeta) = V\left(\rho\left(\frac{1}{2}\zeta\right)f, \rho\left(-\frac{1}{2}\zeta\right)g\right)(w) \\ e^{-iz.w}V(\phi_1,\phi_2)(w) = V(\rho(-Jz)\phi_1, \rho(-Jz)\phi_2)(w) \end{cases}$$

By use of the Moyal identity, we deduce

$$V(V(f,g), V(\phi_1,\phi_2))(z,\zeta) = (2\pi)^{-d} e^{\frac{i}{2}z.\zeta} \left( \rho\left(\frac{1}{2}\zeta\right) f, \rho\left(-Jz\right).\phi_1 \right) \left( \rho\left(-\frac{1}{2}\zeta\right) g, \rho(-Jz).\phi_2 \right) d\zeta \right)$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-d} e^{\frac{i}{2}z\zeta} \left( \rho(Jz)\rho\left(\frac{1}{2}\zeta\right)f, \phi_1 \right) \left(\rho(Jz)\rho\left(-\frac{1}{2}\zeta\right)g, \phi_2 \right)$$

and by a new application of (2.1) and a simplification of the complex exponents, we obtain the announced equality.  $\hfill \Box$ 

We define the Wigner transform of  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$  by:

$$W(f,g)(x,\xi) := (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int e^{-i\xi \cdot y} f\left(x + \frac{1}{2}y\right) \overline{g\left(x - \frac{1}{2}y\right)} dy .$$

**Proposition 2.3.** For all  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have

$$W(f,g)=\mathcal{F}_2U_L(f\otimes\bar{g})=\mathcal{F}(V(f,g))\;.$$

Proof. For the first equality, see [9]. The second equality results of Proposition 2.1 since  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_2 \mathcal{F}_1$ .

# **Proposition 2.4.**

$$W(V(f,g), V(\phi_1,\phi_2))(z,\zeta) = W(f,\phi_1)\left(\zeta + \frac{1}{2}Jz\right)W(g,\phi_2)\left(\zeta - \frac{1}{2}Jz\right)$$

Proof. By the last proposition we get

$$W(V(f,g), V(\phi_1,\phi_2))(z,\zeta) = (2\pi)^{-2d} \int e^{-i(z,\zeta).(v,w)} V(V(f,g), V(\phi_1,\phi_2))(v,w) dv du$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-2d} \int e^{-i(z,v+\zeta,w)} V(f,\phi_1) \left( Jv + \frac{1}{2}w \right) V(g,\phi_1) \left( Jv - \frac{1}{2}w \right) dv dw .$$

We consider the change of variables defined by

$$\begin{cases} Jv + \frac{1}{2}w &= v'\\ Jv - \frac{1}{2}w &= w \end{cases}$$

Then

$$z.v + \zeta.w = (\zeta + \frac{1}{2}Jz).v' + (-\zeta + \frac{1}{2}Jz).w'.$$

We deduce that

$$W(V(f,g), V(\phi_1, \phi_2))(z, \zeta) =$$

$$(2\pi)^{-d} \int e^{-i(\zeta + \frac{1}{2}Jz).v'} V(f, \phi_1)(v') dv' (2\pi)^{-d} \int e^{i(\zeta - \frac{1}{2}Jz).w'} V(g, \phi_2)(w') dw'$$

$$= W(f, \phi_1) \left(\zeta + \frac{1}{2}Jz\right) \overline{W(g, \phi_2)} \left(\zeta - \frac{1}{2}Jz\right).$$

**2.2. Weyl transform.** We summarize the main properties of the Weyl quantization we will use. We omit certain proofs for classical results (see [17]).

**Theorem 2.1.** There exists a unique bounded operator  $W : L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \mapsto \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$  with the following properties : (i) Denoting  $W(a) = Op^w(a)$ , then for all  $a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ , for all  $f, q \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  we have

$$(Op^{w}(a)f,g) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int \widehat{a}(z) V(f,g)(z) dz$$

and

$$||Op^{w}(a)|| \le (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} ||a||$$

(ii) Furthermore

$$(Op^{w}(a)f,g) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int a(z) W(f,g)(z) dz = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} (a, W(g,f))$$

and

$$(Op^{w}(\widehat{a})f,g) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{u}{2}}(a,V(g,f))$$

(iii) For all  $a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  the operator  $Op^w(a)$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Let k be the kernel of this operator; then

$$a = (2\pi)^{\frac{a}{2}} \mathcal{F}_2 U_L k \; .$$

In particular

$$||Op^{w}(a)||_{S_{2}} = ||k||_{L^{2}} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{u}{2}} ||a||_{L^{2}}.$$

*Remark* Let  $\phi, \psi \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$  and  $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ . We put

$$\Pi_{\psi,\phi}f := (f,\phi)\psi \; .$$

Then  $\Pi_{\psi,\phi} = S_k = \operatorname{Op}^w(a)$  with  $a = W(\psi, \phi)$  and  $k = \psi \otimes \overline{\phi}$ .

The following proposition will be particularly useful in the next section.

**Proposition 2.5.** Let  $a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  and  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then

$$V(Op^{w}(a)f,g) = (2\pi)^{\frac{u}{2}}Op^{w}(\widetilde{a}).V(f,g)$$

where  $\widetilde{a}(x,\xi) := a(\xi + \frac{1}{2}Jx)$ .

Proof. The subspace generated by the Fourier-Wigner transforms  $V(\phi, \psi)$  when  $\phi, \psi \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$  is dense in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ . Thus it suffices to prove that for all  $\phi, \psi \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ 

$$\left(V(\operatorname{Op}^{w}(a)f,g),V(\phi,\psi)\right) = (2\pi)^{\frac{a}{2}}\left(\operatorname{Op}^{w}(\widetilde{a}).V(f,g),V(\phi,\psi)\right)$$

By Theorem 2.1 (ii), Proposition 2.4 and a linear change of variables, we obtain

$$(\operatorname{Op}^{w}(\widetilde{a}).V(f,g),V(\phi,\psi)) = (2\pi)^{-d} (\widetilde{a},W(V(\phi,\psi),V(f,g)))$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-d} \iint a\left(\xi + \frac{1}{2}Jx\right) \overline{W(\phi, f)\left(\xi + \frac{1}{2}Jx\right)} W(\psi, g)\left(\xi - \frac{1}{2}Jx\right) dxd\xi$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-d} \iint a(u)\overline{W(\phi, f)(u)}W(\psi, g)(v)dudv = (2\pi)^{-d}(a, W(\phi, f)) \int W(\psi, g)(v)dv dv$$

But

$$\int W(\psi,g)(v)dv = \int 1(v)W(\psi,g)(v)dv = (\psi,g) = \overline{(g,\psi)} \ .$$

Therefore by Proposition 2.1(ii) and the Moyal identity we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\operatorname{Op}^{w}(\widetilde{a})V(f,g), V(\phi,\psi)\right) &= (2\pi)^{-d}(a, W(\phi,f))\overline{(g,\psi)} \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}}(\operatorname{Op}^{w}(a)f,\phi)\overline{(g,\psi)} \\ &= \left((2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}}V\left(\operatorname{Op}^{w}(a)f,g\right), V(\phi,\psi)\right)\right) \,. \end{aligned}$$

**2.3. Twisted convolution.** Let *F* and *G* be measurable functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ . We define the twisted convolution F \* G of *F* and *G* by

(2.3) 
$$(F *_{\sigma} G)(z) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(z-w)G(w)e^{\frac{i}{2}\sigma(z,w)}dw, \quad z \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}$$

(2.4) 
$$= \int F(w)G(z-w)e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sigma(z,w)}dw$$

whenever the function of w in the integral is integrable. The notation  $F \natural G$  is also used. The following property is classical ([6]).

**Proposition 2.6.** *If F*, *G* ∈ *L*<sup>2</sup>(**R**<sup>2d</sup>), *then so is F* \* *G and*  $||F * _{\sigma}G||_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} \le ||F||_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} ||G||_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})}$ .

Before stating the next proposition we must recall some classical notations. Let  $\omega : \mathbf{R}^{2d} \to (0, \infty)$  be a submultiplicative weight defined on  $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$ , which means that for all  $z, w \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}$ 

 $\omega(z+w) \le \omega(z)\,\omega(w) \; .$ 

Let  $s \in \mathbf{R}$ ; the standard weight  $\omega_s$  is defined on  $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$  by

$$\omega_s(x,\xi) = \omega_s(z) = (1+|z|)^s$$

is submultiplicative for  $s \ge 0$ .

A weight v is said to be  $\omega$ -moderate if for all  $z \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}$ 

$$v(z+w) \le Cv(z)\omega(w)$$
.

We frequently use the weight  $v_s$  defined by

$$v_s(z) := (1 + |z|^2)^{s/2}$$
.

Let  $1 \le p, q \le +\infty$ . The weighted mixed Lebesgue space  $L^{p,q}_{\omega}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$  is the set of all measurable functions F for which

$$||F||_{L^{p,q}_{\omega}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} := \left( \int \left( \int |F(x,\xi)|^p \omega(x,\xi)^p dx \right)^{q/p} d\xi \right)^{1/q} .$$

is finite. We note  $L^p_{\omega}$  in the case where p = q.

The following property is known ([9]); we recall it for further explicit references.

**Proposition 2.7.** Let p satisfying  $1 \le p < +\infty$ , v a submultiplicative weight and  $\omega$  a vmoderate weight. Let  $F \in L^p_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ ,  $G \in L^1_v(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  and  $z, w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Denote by  $T_z$  the translation
operator defined by  $T_zF(w) := F(w - z)$  for  $F \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then
(i)  $T_z(F) \in L^p_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  and

$$||T_z(F)||_{L^p_{\omega}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} \leq Cv(z)||F||_{L^p_{\omega}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})}.$$

(ii) The function F \* G belongs to  $L^p_{\omega}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$  and

$$||F * G||_{L^p_{\omega}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} \le C||F||_{L^p_{\omega}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})}||G||_{L^1_v(\mathbf{R}^{2d})}$$

(iii) The same result is true when convolution is replaced by twisted convolution in (ii): the function F \* G belongs to  $L^p_{\omega}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$  and

$$\|F *_{\sigma} G\|_{L^{p}_{\omega}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} \leq C \|F\|_{L^{p}_{\omega}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} \|G\|_{L^{1}_{v}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} .$$

Proof. (i) Since the weight  $\omega$  is *v*-moderate

$$\int |T_{z}(F)|^{p} \omega(w)^{p} dw = \int |F(w-z)|^{p} \omega(w)^{p} dw$$
$$\leq \int |F(w)|^{p} \omega(z+w)^{p} dw$$
$$\leq C^{p} v(z)^{p} \int |F(w)|^{p} \omega(w)^{p} dw$$

(ii) Since  $L^p_{\omega}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$  is invariant by translation, we can define a vector-valued map  $\phi : \mathbf{R}^{2d} \mapsto L^p_{\omega}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$  by setting

$$\phi(w) := T_w(F)G(w) \; .$$

An application of (i) yields to the following inequality

$$\|\phi(w)\|_{L^p_{\omega}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} \le C \|F\|_{L^p_{\omega}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} |G(w)| v(w)$$
.

Hence the function  $\phi$  is Bochner integrable and

$$\left\|\int \phi(w)dw\right\|_{L^p_{\omega}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} \leq \int \|\phi(w)\|_{L^p_{\omega}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})}dw \leq C\|F\|_{L^p_{\omega}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})}\|G\|_{L^1_{v}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})}.$$

Since the convolution can be rewrited as the Bochner integral

$$F * G = \int \phi(w) dw$$

we obtain (ii).

(iii) For all  $z, w \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}$  we have

$$|(F * G)(z)| \le (|F| * |G|)(z)$$
.

Again with (ii) we obtain that  $F \underset{\sigma}{*} G \in L^p_{\omega}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$  and

$$||F \underset{\sigma}{*} G||_{L^p_{\omega}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} \leq |||F|*|G|||_{L^p_{\omega}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})}$$

which proves (iii).

By use of the twisted convolution, we get a result similar to Proposition 2.5.

**Proposition 2.8.** Let  $a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then

$$V(Op^{w}(\widehat{a})f,g) = (2\pi)^{-d} a *_{\sigma} V(f,g) .$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.1 (ii), we have

$$V(\operatorname{Op}^{w}(\widehat{a})f,g)(z) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}}(\rho(z)\operatorname{Op}^{w}(\widehat{a})f,g)$$
  
=  $(2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}}(\operatorname{Op}^{w}(\widehat{a})f,\rho(-z)g)$   
=  $(2\pi)^{-d}(a,V(\rho(-z)g,f)).$ 

But by Lemma 2.1

$$V(\rho(-z)g, f)(w) = e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sigma(w,z)}V(g, f)(w-z) = e^{\frac{i}{2}\sigma(z,w)}\overline{V(f,g)(z-w)},$$

therefore

$$V(\operatorname{Op}^{w}(\widehat{a})f,g) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int a(w)e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sigma(z,w)}V(f,g)(z-w)dw = (2\pi)^{-d}a *_{\sigma}V(f,g) .$$

**2.4. Special Hermite functions.** Let  $e_n$  be the Hermite function of order *n* defined by

$$e_n(x) = \pi^{-\frac{1}{4}} 2^{-\frac{n}{2}} (n!)^{-\frac{1}{2}} H_n(x) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$$

where  $H_n$  is the Hermite polynomial of order n.

We define the special Hermite functions by setting

$$e_{i,j} := V(e_i, e_j)$$

for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

**Proposition 2.9.** (i) The system  $(e_{i,j})_{i,j}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . (ii) Let be  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we have

$$e_{q,q}(z) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} L_q\left(\frac{1}{2}|z|^2\right) e^{-\frac{1}{4}|z|^2}$$

where  $L_q$  is the Laguerre polynomial of degree q and order 0. (iii) For all  $z \in \mathbf{R}^2$  and  $j, k \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ 

$$e_{k,j}(z) = e_{j,k}(\bar{z}) \; .$$

Proof. For (i) and (ii), see [17] and (iii) results of Proposition 2.1 (iv).

**2.5. Modulation spaces.** As explained in the introduction, we will be concerned with pseudo-differential operators with symbols in modulation spaces rather than in Hörmander-Shubin classes. We state the definitions and results we need in the following.

First at all, we recall the definition of the Short Time Fourier Transform (STFT). Let  $g \in S(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$ . For  $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$  we define the STFT  $V_q f$  by

$$V_g f(x,\xi) := (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int f(t) \overline{g(t-x)} e^{-it.\xi} dt$$

The modulation space  $M^{p,q}_{\omega}(\mathbf{R}^d)$  is the set of all tempered distributions  $f \in S'(\mathbf{R}^d)$  for which the short-time Fourier transform  $V_q f \in L^{p,q}_{\omega}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ . This space equipped with the norm

$$||f||_{\mathcal{M}^{p,q}_{\omega}(\mathbf{R}^d)} := \left( \int \left( \int |V_g f(x,\xi)|^p \omega(x,\xi)^p dx \right)^{q/p} d\xi \right)^{1/q}$$

is a Banach space. We note  $L^p_{\omega}$ , resp.  $M^p_{\omega}$ , in the case where p = q. The definition of  $M^{p,q}_{\omega}(\mathbf{R}^d)$  is independent of the choice of the window  $g \in S(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$  and the norms corresponding to different choices of the window are equivalent. In particular we will use the following spaces

$$L^{2,2}_{v_s}(\mathbf{R}^{2d}), \ M^{2,2}_{v_s}(\mathbf{R}^d), \ L^{1,1}_{\omega_s}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$$

abbreviated respectively by  $L_s^2$ ,  $M_s^2$  and  $L_s^1$  (the weights  $v_s$  and  $\omega_s$  are defined in section 2.3).

**Lemma 2.2.**  $f \in M_s^2$  if and only if  $V(f,g) \in L_s^2$  and for all  $f \in M_s^2$  we have

 $||f||_{M^2_s} = ||V(f,g)||_{L^2_s}$ .

Proof. The Fourier-Wigner V(f, g) and the STFT  $V_g f$  are connected by the relation

$$V(f,g)(x,\xi) = e^{-ix.\xi}V_g f(\xi,-x) .$$

Furthermore  $v_s(\xi, -x) = v_s(x, \xi)$ ; then by using the (symplectic) change of variables  $(x, \xi) \mapsto (\xi, -x)$  we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|f\|_{M_s^2}^2 &= \|V_g f\|_{L_s^2}^2 = \iint |V_g f(\xi, -x)|^2 v_s(\xi, -x)^2 dx d\xi \\ &= \iint |V_g f(x, \xi)|^2 v_s(x, \xi)^2 dx d\xi = \|V(f, g)\|_{L_s^2}^2 \,. \end{split}$$

It happens that the modulation space  $M_s^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$  coincide with the Sobolev-Shubin space  $Q^s(\mathbf{R}^d)$  initially defined in [16] as a Sobolev space corresponding to pseudo-differential calculus with symbols in Hörmander-Shubin classes (see the remark below). This space is defined for  $s \ge 0$  by

$$Q^{s}(\mathbf{R}^{d}) := \{ f \in L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{d}) : \operatorname{Op}_{\psi_{0}}^{aw}(v_{s}) f \in L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{d}) \}$$

where  $Op_{\psi_0}^{aw}(v_s)$  is the Anti-Wick operator with symbol  $v_s$  and Gaussian window defined by

$$\psi_0(x) := \pi^{-d/4} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2}\right).$$

**Lemma 2.3** (2, lemma 2.3). For all  $s \ge 0$   $M_s^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$  coincide with  $Q^s(\mathbf{R}^d)$  and the norms are equivalent.

Combining the two preceding lemma, we obtain immediatly the following result.

**Proposition 2.10.** Let  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $s \ge 0$ . Then  $f \in Q^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$  if and only if  $V(f,g) \in L^2_s(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ . Furthermore, there exists  $C_s > 0$  such that for all  $f \in Q^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ 

$$C_s^{-1} \|V(f,g)\|_{L^2_s} \le \|f\|_{Q^s(\mathbf{R}^d)} \le C_s \|V(f,g)\|_{L^2_s}$$
.

*Remark* For  $s = m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , the Sobolev-Shubin space is defined by

$$\mathcal{Q}^m(\mathbf{R}^d) = \{ u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d); \ x^{\alpha} D^{\beta} u \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d), \ |\alpha| + |\beta| \le m \} \ .$$

Another explicit characterization of  $M_{\delta}^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$  is (see [9], [11])

$$M_s^2(\mathbf{R}^d) = L_s^2(\mathbf{R}^d) \cap H^s(\mathbf{R}^d)$$

### 3. Some results of phase-space analysis

Let U be an isometry from a Hilbert space  $\mathbb{H}_1$  into an other  $\mathbb{H}_2$ ; denote by G its range  $G := U(\mathbb{H}_1)$ . It is well known that G is a closed subspace of  $\mathbb{H}_2$  and that, if P is the orthogonal projection on G, then  $U^*U = I_{\mathbb{H}_1}$  and  $UU^* = P$ .

Let  $g \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)$  satisfying  $||g||_2 = 1$ . For  $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$  define  $\mathcal{V}_q(f) := V(f, g)$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** The map  $\mathcal{V}_g$  is an isometry from  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$  on a closed subspace of  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and if  $P_g$  is the orthogonal projection on this closed subspace, then  $\mathcal{V}_g^*\mathcal{V}_g = I_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)}$  and  $\mathcal{V}_g\mathcal{V}_g^* = P_g$ .

Proof.  $\mathcal{V}_g$  is an isometry by the Moyal identity (Proposition 2.1 (iii)) and the assumption on g. We apply then the result above to the isometry  $U = \mathcal{V}_g$ .

The following results are inspired by [7] and [8] Chapter 18; the isometry  $\mathcal{V}_g$  plays the role of the windowed wavepacket transform  $W_{\phi}$  in [8] p. 299.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let be  $F \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  and  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then

$$\mathcal{V}_{a}^{*}(F) = (2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} Op^{w}(\mathcal{F}F).g$$
.

Proof. Let  $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . By (ii) of Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.3 we get

$$(\operatorname{Op}^w(\mathcal{F}F).g,h) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}}(\mathcal{F}F,W(h,g)) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}}(F,V(h,g)) \; .$$

On the other hand

$$(\mathcal{V}_{a}^{*}(F), h) = (F, \mathcal{V}_{a}(h)) = (F, V(h, g)).$$

**Corollary 3.1.** Under the same assumption on F and g, we have  $\mathcal{V}_g^*(F) = S_k g$  where  $S_k$  is the Hilbert-Schmidt operator with kernel

$$k(x,y) := (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \mathcal{F}_1 F\left(\frac{1}{2}(x+y), x-y)\right) \,.$$

Proof. We apply Theorem 2.1 (iii) :

$$\operatorname{Op}^{w}(\mathcal{F}F) = S_{k}$$

with

$$k = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} U_L^{-1} \overline{\mathcal{F}_2}(\mathcal{F}F) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} U_L^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_1(F))$$

and

$$U_L^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_1(F))(x,y) = \mathcal{F}_1 F\left(\frac{1}{2}(x+y), x-y)\right) \,.$$

We deduce in particular

Corollary 3.2. Under the same assumptions as in Corollary 3.1 we have

$$(2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int F\left(\frac{1}{2}(x+y), x-y\right)g(y)dy = \mathcal{V}_g^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}_1}F)(x) \quad (a.e. \ in \ \mathbf{R}^d) \ .$$

**Proposition 3.3.** Let be  $F \in L^2_s(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  and  $g \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}^*_g(F)$  belongs to  $Q^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and there is  $C_s > 0$  such that

$$\|\mathcal{V}_{g}^{*}(F)\|_{\mathcal{Q}^{s}(\mathbf{R}^{d})} \leq (2\pi)^{-\frac{a}{2}} C_{s} \|V(g,g)\|_{L^{1}_{s}} \|F\|_{L^{2}_{s}}.$$

Proof. Put  $f := \mathcal{V}_g^*(F) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Applying Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 2.8, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_{g}(f) &= \mathcal{V}_{g}(\mathcal{V}_{g}^{*}(F)) = (2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \mathcal{V}_{g}(\operatorname{Op}^{w}(\mathcal{F}F).g) = (2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} V(\operatorname{Op}^{w}(\mathcal{F}F).g,g) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} F * V(g,g) . \end{aligned}$$

And now we conclude from Proposition 2.7 (iii) that  $\mathcal{V}_q(f) \in L^2_s(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  and

$$\|\mathcal{V}_{g}(f)\|_{L^{2}_{s}} \leq (2\pi)^{-\frac{\mu}{2}} \|V(g,g)\|_{L^{1}_{s}} \|F\|_{L^{2}_{s}},$$

or equivalently by Proposition 2.10 that  $f \in Q^{s}(\mathbf{R}^{d})$  and

$$\|f\|_{Q^{s}(\mathbf{R}^{d})} \leq C_{s} \|\mathcal{V}_{g}(f)\|_{L^{2}_{s}} \leq (2\pi)^{-\frac{a}{2}} C_{s} \|V(g,g)\|_{L^{1}_{s}} \|F\|_{L^{2}_{s}} .$$

The next result is a consequence of Proposition 2.5; we use the same notations.

**Proposition 3.4.** We suppose that the symbol *a* is real-valued and therefore that the operator  $Op^{w}(a)$  is self-adjoint. The operators  $Op^{w}(a)$  and  $Op^{w}(\overline{a})$  have the same eigenvalues. If *f* is an eigenfunction of  $Op^{w}(a)$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then for all  $g \in S(\mathbb{R}^{d})$  the function  $F := \mathcal{V}_{g}(f)$  is an eigenfunction of  $Op^{w}(\overline{a})$  corresponding to the same eigenvalue.

Proof. By Proposition 2.5 we have

(3.1) 
$$\mathcal{V}_q \circ \operatorname{Op}^w(a) = \operatorname{Op}^w(\widetilde{a}) \circ \mathcal{V}_q$$

Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $\operatorname{Op}^{w}(a)$ , let f be in  $L^{2}(\mathbf{R}) \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\operatorname{Op}^{w}(a)f = \lambda f$  and  $F := \mathcal{V}_{g}(f)$ . We have  $\operatorname{Op}^{w}(\overline{a}).F = \lambda F$ , and since ||F|| = ||f|| > 0 we deduce that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\operatorname{Op}^{w}(\overline{a})$  and F is an eigenfunction of  $\operatorname{Op}^{w}(\overline{a})$ .

Let now  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $\operatorname{Op}^{w}(\widetilde{a})$ ) and let  $F \neq 0 \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$  be an eigenfunction. Taking adjoint operators in (3.1), it results that  $\mathcal{V}_{g}^{*} \circ \operatorname{Op}^{w}(\widetilde{a}) = \operatorname{Op}^{w}(a) \circ \mathcal{V}_{g}^{*}$ . Since  $\operatorname{Op}^{w}(\widetilde{a})F = \lambda F$ , we get that  $\operatorname{Op}^{w}(a)\mathcal{V}_{g}^{*}F = \lambda \mathcal{V}_{g}^{*}F$  for all  $g \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{V}_{g}^{*}F = 0$  for all  $g \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ ; then  $F \in \operatorname{N}(\mathcal{V}_{g}^{*}) = \operatorname{R}(\mathcal{V}_{g})^{\perp}$ , hence (F, V(f, g)) = 0 for all  $f, g \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ ; in particular  $(F, V(e_{j}, e_{k})) = (F, e_{j,k}) = 0$ . Since  $(e_{j,k})$  is an orthonormal basis of  $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ , we deduce that F = 0 which is not possible. Therefore there exists  $g \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_{g}^{*}(F)$  is not the null function and this proves that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\operatorname{Op}^{w}(a)$  and that F is a corresponding eigenfunction.

# 4. Pseudo-differential perturbation: the Hilbert-Schmidt case

We will now apply the results proved in the previous section to the Landau Hamiltonian perturbed by a Hilbert-Schmidt  $\Psi$ DO operator.

**4.1. The Landau Hamiltonian.** We suppose d = 1 and  $a(q, p) = q^2 + p^2$  for  $(q, p) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . The DO  $Op^w(a)$ , initially defined on  $S(\mathbb{R})$ , is essentially self-adjoint and its unique realization as unbounded operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  is the harmonic oscillator  $h_0$ . It is well known that  $\sigma(h_0) = \{2q + 1; q \in \mathbb{N}\}, h_0(e_q) = (2q + 1)e_q$  and  $Ker(h_0 - (2q + 1)I) = Vect(e_q)$ , where  $e_q$  is the Hermite function of order q.

With the notations of section 3, the symbol  $\tilde{a}$  associated to *a* is defined by  $\tilde{a}(x,\xi) = a(\xi + \frac{1}{2}Jx)$ ; more precisely here

$$\widetilde{a}(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2) = a\left(\xi_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2, \xi_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_1\right) = \left(\xi_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2\right)^2 + \left(\xi_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_1\right)^2 \ .$$

Therefore

$$\operatorname{Op}^{w}(\widetilde{a}) = \left(\frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2}y\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{1}{2}x\right)^{2} .$$

We deduce from Proposition (3.4) that

$$\sigma(H_0) = \sigma(h_0) = \{2q+1; q \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Let  $E_q^0$  be the eigenspace of  $H_0$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\Lambda_q = 2q + 1$ :

$$E_q^0 := \operatorname{Ker}(H_0 - \Lambda_q I)$$

We know again from Proposition 3.4 that, since  $e_q$  is an eigenfunction of  $h_0$  with respect to the eigenvalue  $\Lambda_q$ , then  $\mathcal{V}_{e_i}(e_q) = e_{q,j}$  is an eigenfunction of  $H_0$  for the same eigenvalue:

$$H_0 e_{q,j} = (2q+1)e_{q,j}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots$$

**Proposition 4.1.** Let  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  be fixed and let  $Vect(\{e_{q,j}; j \in \mathbb{Z}_+\})$  be the subspace of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  spanned by the special Hermite functions  $e_{q,j}$  for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Then

$$E_q^0 = \overline{\operatorname{Vect}(\{e_{q,j}; j \in \mathbb{Z}_+\})} \ .$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the inclusion  $E_q^0 \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{Vect}(\{e_{q,j}; j \in \mathbb{N}\})}$ . Let be  $F \in E_q^0$ ; we have  $\mathcal{V}_g^* \circ H_0 = h_0 \circ \mathcal{V}_g^*$  and  $H_0F = (2q+1)F$ , from which we deduce  $(2q+1)\mathcal{V}_g^*F = h_0\mathcal{V}_g^*F$ , thereby  $\mathcal{V}_g^*F \in \operatorname{Ker}(h_0 - (2q+1)I) = \operatorname{Vect}(e_q)$ . In particular  $\mathcal{V}_{e_j}^*F \in \operatorname{Vect}(e_q)$ . But for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$(\mathcal{V}_{e_{i}}^{*}F, e_{k}) = (F, V(e_{k}, e_{j})) = (F, e_{k,j}).$$

Since  $\mathcal{V}_{e_i}^*(F) \in \text{Vect}(e_q)$ , we deduce that  $(F, e_{k,j}) = 0$  for  $k \neq q$  and then

$$F = \sum_{k} \sum_{j} (F, e_{k,j}) e_{k,j} = \sum_{j} (F, e_{k,j}) e_{k,j}$$

which proves that  $F \in \text{Vect}(\{e_{q,j}; j \in \mathbb{N}\})$ .

Next we wish to express  $E_q^0$  with  $\mathcal{V}_{e_q}$  and factorise  $\mathbb{P}_q$  the orthogonal projection on  $E_q^0$ .

Recall that  $R(\mathcal{V}_{e_q}) = \operatorname{Vect}(\{e_{j,q}; j \in \mathbb{N}\}) := E_q$ . Let be *U* the unitary involutive operator defined on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  by

$$U F(z) := F(\overline{z}), \quad F \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2), \ z \in \mathbf{R}^2$$

or equivalently  $U F(x_1, x_2) = F(x_1, -x_2)$ .

By Proposition 2.1, for all  $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  we have  $e_{j,k}(\bar{z}) = e_{k,j}(z)$  or  $U(e_{j,q}) = e_{q,j}$ . We deduce that  $U(E_q) = E_q^0$ . Let  $P_q$  be the orthogonal projection on  $E_q$ ; we have  $P_q = \mathcal{V}_{e_q} \mathcal{V}_{e_q}^*$ ; therefore  $UP_q U^* = \mathbb{P}_q$ . Define now

(4.1) 
$$\mathbb{V}_{e_q} := U \,\mathcal{V}_{e_q} \,.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}_q = \mathbb{V}_{e_q} \mathbb{V}_{e_q}^* \,.$$

**4.2. Hilbert-Schmidt perturbation.** Let be  $b \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^4)$  a real-valued symbol and  $V := Op^w(b)$  the  $\Psi DO$  with Weyl symbol *b*. Since *b* is square-integrable, *V* is an Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Hence the operators  $VH_0^{-1}$  and

$$T_q := \mathbb{P}_q V \mathbb{P}_q$$

are also Hilbert-Schmidt operators on  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ . The last operator is the effective Hamiltonian corresponding to the perturbed operator  $H_0 - V$  and to the Landau level  $\Lambda_q$  as we will see in the next section.

Our first goal is to show that the operator  $T_q$  has the same spectrum as a  $\Psi$ DO operator  $S_q$  on  $L^2(\mathbf{R})$  easier to study. We can first give an abstract result.

**Proposition 4.2.** Let  $U : \mathbb{H}_1 \mapsto \mathbb{H}_2$  be an isometry,  $S = S^* \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}_1)$  and  $T = T^* \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}_2)$ . We suppose that

$$T := U S U^*$$

Then the operators *S* and *T* have the same non-zero eigenvalues; more precisely for all  $\lambda \neq 0$ and for all  $u \in \mathbb{H}_1$ , *u* is an eigenvector of *S* corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  iff *Uu* is an eigenvector of *T* corresponding to the same eigenvalue.

Proof. Let be  $u \in \mathbb{H}_1 \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$  such that  $Su = \lambda u$ . We set v := Uu:

$$Tv = (U S U^*)Uu = USu = \lambda Uu = \lambda v$$

and since v and u have the same norm, then v is non null, and  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue and v an eigenvecteur of T.

Conversely, suppose  $Tv = \lambda v$  with  $\lambda$  and v non null and let be  $u := U^*v$ . Then, composing on the left hand side by  $U^*$ , we obtain that  $Su = \lambda u$ . Furthermore, if u = 0, then Tv = (US)(u) = 0 and since  $Tv = \lambda v$ , we deduce  $\lambda v = 0$ , hence v = 0 since  $\lambda \neq 0$ , which contradicts the assumption  $v \neq 0$ .

We will apply this result to our problem by considering the operator

$$S_q := \mathbb{V}_{e_q}^* V \mathbb{V}_{e_q}$$
.

The operator  $T_q$  defined above can be rewrited since

$$T_q = \mathbb{P}_q V \mathbb{P}_q = \mathbb{V}_{e_q} \mathbb{V}_{e_q}^* V \mathbb{V}_{e_q} \mathbb{V}_{e_q}^* = \mathbb{V}_{e_q} S_q \mathbb{V}_{e_q}^*.$$

Furthermore  $\mathbb{V}_{e_q} = U\mathcal{V}_{e_q}$  is also an isometry. Applying the latest proposition, we obtain that the operators  $S_q$  and  $T_q$  have the same non-zero eigenvalues.

We will now prove that the operator  $S_q$  is a  $\Psi$ DO and determine its Weyl-symbol. By definition of  $S_q$  and by Theorem 2.1 (ii) we have

$$(S_q f, g) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint b(x, y; \xi, \eta) W(V(f, e_q), V(g, e_q))(x, -y; \xi, -\eta) dx dy d\xi d\eta$$

By Proposition (2.4) we get

$$W(V(f, e_q), V(g, e_q))(x, -y, \xi, -\eta) = W(f, g) \left(\xi - \frac{1}{2}y, -\eta - \frac{1}{2}x\right) W(e_q, e_q) \left(\xi + \frac{1}{2}y, -\eta + \frac{1}{2}x\right) \, .$$

Making use of a change of variables, we deduce

$$(S_q f, g) =$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \iint \Big[ \iint b(\xi - \eta, x - y, \frac{1}{2}(x + y), -\frac{1}{2}(\xi + \eta))W(e_q, e_q)(x, \xi)dxd\xi \Big] W(f, g)(y, \eta)dyd\eta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint \Big[ \iint b(\xi - \eta, x - y, \frac{1}{2}(x + y), -\frac{1}{2}(\xi + \eta))W(e_q, e_q)(x, \xi)dxd\xi \Big] W(f, g)(y, \eta)dyd\eta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint \Big[ \iint b(\xi - \eta, x - y, \frac{1}{2}(x + y), -\frac{1}{2}(\xi + \eta))W(e_q, e_q)(x, \xi)dxd\xi \Big] W(f, g)(y, \eta)dyd\eta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint \Big[ \iint b(\xi - \eta, x - y, \frac{1}{2}(x + y), -\frac{1}{2}(\xi + \eta))W(e_q, e_q)(x, \xi)dxd\xi \Big] W(f, g)(y, \eta)dyd\eta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint \Big[ \iint b(\xi - \eta, x - y, \frac{1}{2}(x + y), -\frac{1}{2}(\xi + \eta))W(e_q, e_q)(x, \xi)dxd\xi \Big] W(f, g)(y, \eta)dyd\eta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint \Big[ \iint b(\xi - \eta, x - y, \frac{1}{2}(x + y), -\frac{1}{2}(\xi + \eta))W(e_q, e_q)(x, \xi)dxd\xi \Big] W(f, g)(y, \eta)dyd\eta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint \Big[ \iint b(\xi - \eta, x - y, \frac{1}{2}(x + y), -\frac{1}{2}(\xi + \eta))W(e_q, e_q)(x, \xi)dxd\xi \Big] W(f, g)(y, \eta)dyd\eta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint b(\xi - \eta, x - y, \frac{1}{2}(x + y), -\frac{1}{2}(\xi + \eta))W(e_q, e_q)(x, \xi)dxd\xi \Big] W(f, g)(y, \eta)dyd\eta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint b(\xi - \eta, x - y, \frac{1}{2}(x + y), -\frac{1}{2}(\xi + \eta))W(e_q, e_q)(x, \xi)dxd\xi \Big]$$

therefore  $S_q$  is a  $\Psi DO$  with Weyl symbol  $\gamma_q$  defined by

(4.3) 
$$\gamma_q(y,\eta) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \iint b\left(\xi - \eta, x - y, \frac{1}{2}(x+y), -\frac{1}{2}(\xi+\eta)\right) W(e_q, e_q)(x,\xi) dxd\xi.$$

Let us define  $F \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^4)$  by

(4.4) 
$$F(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2) := b(-v_2, -v_1, u_1, -u_2)$$

We put  $w = (x, \xi)$  et  $z = (y, \eta)$ . Then we have

$$F\left(\frac{1}{2}(z+w), z-w\right) = F\left(\frac{1}{2}(x+y), \frac{1}{2}(\xi+\eta), -(x-y), -(\xi-\eta)\right)$$
$$= b\left(\xi - \eta, x - y, \frac{1}{2}(x+y), -\frac{1}{2}(\xi+\eta)\right),$$

hence by (4.3)

$$\gamma_q(z) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \iint F\left(\frac{1}{2}(z+w), z-w\right) W(e_q, e_q)(w) dw$$

and by Corollary 3.2

$$\gamma_q = \mathcal{V}^*_{W(e_q, e_q)}(\overline{\mathcal{F}_1}F)$$
.

If we set  $\Lambda(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2) := (-v_2, -v_1, -u_1, u_2)$ , we obtain easily

$$\gamma_q = \mathcal{V}^*_{W(e_q, e_q)}(\mathcal{F}_2 b \circ \Lambda) .$$

Suppose now that  $\mathcal{F}_2 b \in L^2_s(\mathbb{R}^4)$ . The function space  $L^2_s(\mathbb{R}^4)$  is invariant by linear change of variables; thus  $\mathcal{F}_2 b \circ \Lambda$  is also in  $L^2_s(\mathbb{R}^4)$ . According to Proposition 3.3 we deduce that

(4.5) 
$$\gamma_q = \mathcal{V}^*_{W(e_q, e_q)}(\mathcal{F}_2 b \circ \Lambda)$$

belongs to  $Q^{s}(\mathbf{R}^{2})$ . We have therefore prove the following result.

**Proposition 4.3.** Let  $b \in L^2_s(\mathbb{R}^4)$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}_2 b$  belongs to  $L^2_s(\mathbb{R}^4)$ . Then  $S_q = Op^w(\gamma_q)$  with  $\gamma_q$ , defined by (4.5), belonging to  $Q^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

We can now achieve the proof of Theorem 1.1. We recall first the following result about Schatten class properties for  $\Psi$ DO with symbols in Shubin-Sobolev classes obtained by C. Heil in [11]. Let  $s \ge 0$  be given and let  $a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Define the operator  $L := \operatorname{Op}^w(a)$ . By Theorem 2.1 we know that L is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator; let  $s_j(L)$  be the singular values of L, arranged in descending order, counting multiplicity.

**Proposition 4.4.** If the symbol a lies in  $Q^{s}(\mathbf{R}^{2})$ , then

$$s_j(L) = O\left(j^{-\frac{s+1}{2}}\right) \,.$$

Consequently  $L \in S_p(L^2(\mathbf{R}))$  for p > 2/(s + 1). In particular L is trace-class if s > 1.

From this result we deduce that, with the same hypothesis as in Proposition 4.3, the singular values of  $S_q = Op(\gamma_q)$  verify

$$s_j(S_q) = O\left(j^{-\frac{s+1}{2}}\right) \,.$$

Therefore we obtain the same estimates for the operator  $T_q$  since the two operators  $S_q$  and  $T_q$  have the same non-zero eigenvalues by Proposition 4.2.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.2

**5.1. Reduction to an effective Hamiltonian.** The first aim of this section is to prove that the operator  $T_q = \mathbb{P}_q V \mathbb{P}_q$  is the effective Hamiltonian for estimating the number of eigenvalues of the perturbed Landau Hamiltonian near the Landau level  $\Lambda_q$ . We follow [15] but some modifications must be precised.

We recall the classical Weyl inequality ([2], chap. 9).

**Lemma 5.1.** Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be linear self-adjoint compact operators in a Hilbert space. Then for each  $s_1 > 0$  and  $s_2 > 0$ 

$$n_+(s_1 + s_2, T_1 + T_2) \le n_+(s_1, T_1) + n_+(s_2, T_2)$$

holds true.

Let  $H_0$  and V be as in Section 1 and let  $\Lambda_q$  be a fixed Landau level,  $\mathbb{P}_q$  be the corresponding spectral projection and  $\mathbb{Q}_q := I - \mathbb{P}_q$ . For  $\lambda \in \rho(H_0)$  we set

$$T(\lambda) := V^{1/2} (H_0 - \lambda)^{-1} V^{1/2}$$
.

This operator is selfadjoint and compact.

**Proposition 5.1.** Assume that the interval  $[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]$ ,  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2$  belongs to the gap  $(\Lambda_{q-1}, \Lambda_q)$ , then

rank 
$$E_{[\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}(H_0 - V) = n_+(1,T(\lambda_2)) - n_+(1,T(\lambda_1))$$
.

For the proof of this result, we refer to [14, Sections 1 and 3], and to the earlier article [1, Proposition 1.6].

**Lemma 5.2.** Let E', E be positive real numbers satisfying  $\Lambda_{q-1} < E' < 2q$  and 0 < E < 1. Then

$$N(E', \Lambda_q - E; H_0 - V) = n_+ \left( 1, V^{\frac{1}{2}} (H_0 - \Lambda_q + E)^{-1} V^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) + O(1), \quad E \downarrow 0^+$$

Proof. With these assumptions, the interval  $[E', \Lambda_q - E]$  is included in the gap  $(\Lambda_{q-1}, \Lambda_q)$ . Therefore we can apply Proposition 5.1 :

rank 
$$E_{[E',\Lambda_q-E)}(H_0-V) = n_+(1,T(\Lambda_q-E)) - n_+(1,T(E'))$$

or equivalently with the notations of section 1 :

$$N(E', \Lambda_q - E; H_0 - V) = n_+(1, T(\Lambda_q - E)) - n_+(1, T(E')) - \dim[\operatorname{Ker}(H_0 - V - E')].$$

But the last two terms in the right-hand side are independent of E.

For brevity, we set

$$T_q(E) := T(\Lambda_q - E) = V^{\frac{1}{2}}(H_0 - \Lambda_q + E)^{-1}V^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

We then write  $T_q(E) = T_{1,q}(E) + T_{q,2}(E)$  with

$$\begin{cases} T_{1,q}(E) &:= V^{\frac{1}{2}}(H_0 - \Lambda_q + E)^{-1} \mathbb{P}_q V^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ T_{2,q}(E) &:= V^{\frac{1}{2}}(H_0 - \Lambda_q + E)^{-1} \mathbb{Q}_q V^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{cases}$$

First we remark that

$$(H_0 - \Lambda_q + E)^{-1} \mathbb{P}_q = \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} (\Lambda_l - \Lambda_q + E)^{-1} \mathbb{P}_l \mathbb{P}_q = E^{-1} \mathbb{P}_q,$$

and so

$$T_{1,q}(E) = E^{-1} V^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{P}_q V^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

The operator  $T_{1,q}(E)$  is compact, selfadjoint and positive. The operator  $T_{2,q}(E)$  can be rewritten as

$$T_{2,q}(E) = \sum_{l \neq q} (\Lambda_l - \Lambda_q + E)^{-1} V^{\frac{1}{2}} P_l V^{\frac{1}{2}} .$$

**Proposition 5.2.** For all s > 0 we have

$$n_+(s, T_{2,q}(E)) \le 4\Lambda_q^2 s^{-2} \|V^{\frac{1}{2}} H_0^{-1} V^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbf{S}_2}^2$$

Proof. The operator  $T_{2,q}(E)$  is compact, selfadjoint but not positive. We are led to define

$$\begin{cases} T_{2,q}^{+}(E) &:= \sum_{l>q} (\Lambda_l - \Lambda_q + E)^{-1} V^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{P}_l V^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ T_{2,q}^{-}(E) &:= -\sum_{l< q} (\Lambda_l - \Lambda_q + E)^{-1} V^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{P}_l V^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{cases}$$

Since 0 < E < 1, we have  $\Lambda_l - \Lambda_q + E < -1$  if l < q, and  $\Lambda_l - \Lambda_q + E > 2$  if l > q. Consequently the operators  $T^+_{2,q}(E)$  and  $T^-_{2,q}(E)$  are selfadjoint and positive and

$$T_{2,q}(E) = T_{2,q}^+(E) - T_{2,q}^-(E)$$

By straightforward inequalities we get

$$0 < \left(\Lambda_l - \Lambda_q + E\right)^{-1} \le \Lambda_{q+1} \Lambda_l^{-1} \quad , \ l > q \ .$$

Thereby it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (T_{2,q}^+(E)u, u) &\leq \Lambda_{q+1} \sum_{l>q} \Lambda_l^{-1} \left( V^{\frac{1}{2}} P_l V^{\frac{1}{2}} u, u \right) &\leq \Lambda_{q+1} \left( (V^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sum_{l\neq q} \Lambda_l^{-1} P_l) V^{\frac{1}{2}}) u, u \right) \\ &\leq \Lambda_{q+1} \left( (V^{\frac{1}{2}} H_0^{-1} V^{\frac{1}{2}}) u, u \right) \,. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$(T_{2,q}^{-}(E)u, u) \le \Lambda_{q-1}\left((V^{\frac{1}{2}}H_0^{-1}V^{\frac{1}{2}})u, u\right)$$

By assumption the operator V is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Since the operators  $V^{\frac{1}{2}}H_0^{-1}V^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and  $H_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}VH_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  have the same non-zero eigenvalues, we deduce that  $V^{\frac{1}{2}}H_0^{-1}V^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. As a consequence of the preceding inequalities, we obtain that  $T_{2,q}^+(E)$ and  $T_{2,q}^-(E)$  are Hilbert-Schmidt operators and

$$||T_{2,q}^{+}(E)||_{\mathbf{S}_{2}} \leq \Lambda_{q+1} ||V^{\frac{1}{2}}H_{0}^{-1}V^{\frac{1}{2}}||_{\mathbf{S}_{2}}$$

$$||T_{2,q}^{-}(E)||_{\mathbf{S}_{2}} \leq \Lambda_{q-1} ||V^{\frac{1}{2}}H_{0}^{-1}V^{\frac{1}{2}}||_{\mathbf{S}_{2}} .$$

Since  $T_{2,q}(E) = T_{2,q}^+(E) - T_{2,q}^-(E)$ , it follows that  $T_{2,q}(E) \in \mathbf{S}_2$  and

$$||T_{2,q}(E)||_{\mathbf{S}_2} \le (\Lambda_{q-1} + \Lambda_{q+1})||V^{\frac{1}{2}}H_0^{-1}V^{\frac{1}{2}}||_{\mathbf{S}_2} \le 2\Lambda_q ||V^{\frac{1}{2}}H_0^{-1}V^{\frac{1}{2}}||_{\mathbf{S}_2},$$

and

$$n_+(s, T_{2,q}(E)) \le 4\Lambda_q^2 s^{-2} \|V^{\frac{1}{2}} H_0^{-1} V^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbf{S}_2}^2 .$$

**5.2. End of the proof of Theorem 1.2.** For  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  we deduce from the Weyl inequality that

$$n_+(1, T_q(E)) \le n_+(1 - \varepsilon, T_{1,q}(E)) + n_+(\varepsilon, T_{2,q}(E))$$
.

For the first term of the right-hand side, we have

$$n_+(1-\varepsilon,T_{1,q}(E))=n_+(1-\varepsilon,E^{-1}V^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbb{P}_qV^{\frac{1}{2}})=n_+((1-\varepsilon)E,\mathbb{P}_qV\mathbb{P}_q)\;.$$

But by Proposition 4.4

$$\lambda_j(\mathbb{P}_q V \mathbb{P}_q) = O\left(j^{-\frac{s+1}{2}}\right)$$

or equivalently there is  $C_q > 0$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  and E such that

$$n_+((1-\varepsilon)E, \mathbb{P}_qV\mathbb{P}_q) \le C_q(1-\varepsilon)^{-\frac{2}{s+1}}E^{-\frac{2}{s+1}}$$

For the second term of the right-hand side, we have by Proposition 5.2

$$n_+(\varepsilon, T_{2,q}(E)) \le 4\Lambda_q^2 \varepsilon^{-2} ||V^{\frac{1}{2}} H_0^{-1} V^{\frac{1}{2}}||_{\mathbf{S}_2}^2 \ .$$

Finally we deduce from the preceding inequalities that

$$E^{\frac{2}{s+1}}n_{+}(1,T_{q}(E)) \leq C_{q}(1-\varepsilon)^{-\frac{2}{s+1}} + 4\Lambda_{q}^{2}\varepsilon^{-2}E^{\frac{2}{s+1}} \|V^{\frac{1}{2}}H_{0}^{-1}V^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbf{S}_{2}}^{2}.$$

Consequently

$$\limsup_{E \to 0^+} E^{\frac{2}{s+1}} n_+(1, T_q(E)) \le C_q (1-\varepsilon)^{-\frac{2}{s+1}},$$

and letting  $\varepsilon$  tend to  $0^+$  we deduce

$$n_+(1, T_q(E)) \le C_q E^{-\frac{2}{s+1}}$$

or equivalently

$$N(E', \Lambda_q - E; H_0 - V) \le C_q E^{-\frac{2}{s+1}}$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author is thankful to the referee for the numerous corrections and helpful suggestions given for the improvement of the present paper.

### References

- M.Sh. Birman: Discrete spectrum in the gaps of a continuous one for perturbations with large coupling constant; in Estimates and asymptotics for discrete spectra of integral and differential equations, Adv. Soviet Math. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991, 57–73.
- [2] M.Sh. Birman and M.Z. Solomyak: Spectral Theory of Self-Adjoint Operators in Hilbert Space, D. Reidel, Dordrecht, 1987.
- [3] P. Boggiatto, E. Cordero and K. Gröchenig: *Generalized anti-Wick operators with symbols in distributional Sobolev spaces*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **48** (2004), 427–442.
- [4] E. Cárdenas, G. Raikov and I. Tejeda: Spectral properties of Landau Hamiltonians with non-local potentials, Asympt. Anal. 120 (2020), 337–371.
- [5] M. Dauge and D. Robert: Weyl's formula for a class of pseudodifferential operators with negative order on L<sup>2</sup>(R<sup>n</sup>); in Pseudodifferential operators (Oberwolfach, 1986) Lecture Note in Math. 1256, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987, 91–122.
- [6] G.B. Folland: Harmonic Analysis on Phase Space, Ann. Math. Studies, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.
- [7] M.A. de Gosson: Spectral properties of a class of generalized Landau operators, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 33 (2008), 2096–2104.
- [8] M.A. de Gosson: Symplectic Methods in Harmonic Analysis and in Mathematical Physics, Birkhaüser, Boston, 2011.
- [9] K. Gröchenig: Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis, Birkhaüser, Boston, 2001.
- [10] K. Gröchenig and C. Heil: Modulation spaces as symbol classes for pseudodifferential operators; in Wavelets and their applications, Allied Publishers, Chennai, 2003.
- [11] C. Heil: *Integral operators, pseudodifferential operators, and Gabor frames*; in Advances in Gabor Analysis, Birkhaüser, Boston, 2003, 153–169.
- [12] F. Luel and Z. Rahbani: On pseudodifferential operators in generalized Shubin classes and an application to Landau-Weyl operators, Banach J. Math. Anal. 5 (2011), 59–72.
- [13] G. Raikov: Eigenvalues asymptotics for the Schrödinger operator with homogeneous magnetic potential and decreasing electric potential. I. Behaviour near the essential spectrum tips, Comm. Partial Differential. Equations 15 (1990), 407–434.
- [14] G. Raikov: Asymptotic bounds on the number of the eigenvalues in the gaps of the 2D magnetic Schrödinger operator, Asymptot. Anal. 16 (1998), 87–98.
- [15] G. Raikov and S. Warzel: *Quasi-classical versus non-classical spectral asymptotics for magnetic Schrödinger operators with decreasing electric potentials*, Rev. Math. Phys. **14** (2002), 1051–1072.
- [16] M.A. Shubin: Pseudodifferential Operators and Spectral Theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.

- [17] M.W. Wong: Weyl Transforms, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1998.
- [18] M.W. Wong: *Weyl transforms, the heat kernel and Green function of a degenerate elliptic operator*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **28** (2005), 271–283.

8 allée des Favrières 44240, La Chapelle-sur-Erdre France e-mail: js.barbe2@wanadoo.fr