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#### Abstract

Let the compact torus $T^{n-1}$ act on a smooth compact manifold $X^{2 n}$ effectively with nonempty finite set of fixed points. We pose the question: what can be said about the orbit space $X^{2 n} / T^{n-1}$ if the action is cohomologically equivariantly formal (which essentially means that $\left.H^{\text {odd }}\left(X^{2 n} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=0\right)$ ? It happens that homology of the orbit space can be arbitrary in degrees 3 and higher. For any finite simplicial complex $L$ we construct an equivariantly formal manifold $X^{2 n}$ such that $X^{2 n} / T^{n-1}$ is homotopy equivalent to $\Sigma^{3} L$. The constructed manifold $X^{2 n}$ is the total space of a projective line bundle over the permutohedral variety hence the action on $X^{2 n}$ is Hamiltonian and cohomologically equivariantly formal. We introduce the notion of an action in $j$-general position and prove that, for any simplicial complex $M$, there exists an equivariantly formal action of complexity one in $j$-general position such that its orbit space is homotopy equivalent to $\Sigma^{j+2} M$.


## 1. Introduction

Let a compact torus $T=T^{k}$ act effectively on a connected closed smooth manifold $X=$ $X^{2 n}$, and suppose that the action has nonempty finite set of fixed points. The number $n-k$ is called the complexity of the action. In this paper, we mainly focus on the actions of complexity one, that is the actions of $T^{n-1}$ on $X=X^{2 n}$. For an action of complexity one and a fixed point $x \in X^{T}$, consider $\alpha_{x, 1}, \ldots, \alpha_{x, n} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{n-1}, T^{1}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$, the weights of the tangent representation at $x$. We say that the weights are in general position at $x \in X^{T}$ if any $n-1$ of $\left\{\alpha_{x, i}\right\}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$.

The study of orbit spaces of complexity zero actions is well developed in toric topology $[11,6,15]$. In [8], Buchstaber and Terzic initiated the study of orbit spaces for actions of positive complexity. In particular, they proved the homeomorphisms $G_{4,2} / T^{3} \cong S^{5}$ and $F_{3} / T^{2} \cong S^{4}$ for the Grassmann manifold $G_{4,2}$ of complex 2-planes in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$ and the manifold $F_{3}$ of complete complex flags in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$. It was later proved in [3] that $\mathbb{H} P^{2} / T^{3} \cong S^{5}$ and $S^{6} / T^{2} \cong S^{4}$ for the quaternionic projective plane $\mathbb{H} P^{2}$ and the sphere $S^{6}$ considered as the homogeneous space $G_{2} / \mathrm{SU}(3)$.

It should be mentioned that relations between the homological structure of the equivariant cohomology module of a torus action on a manifold and the homological structure of its orbit space was studied extensively in the work of Franz [12]. In his work, the focus is made on locally standard actions of arbitrary complexity, which behave similarly to locally standard

[^0]actions of complexity zero. Every effective action on a compact manifold with isolated fixed points can be turned into a locally standard action by a sequence of equivariant blowups. However, equivariant blow-ups change the topology of the orbit space, so the method of [12] do not provide a description of the topology of the orbit space if the action is not locally standard.

It is easy to prove that under certain technical assumptions [2], the orbit space $Q^{n+1}=$ $X^{2 n} / T^{n-1}$ is a closed topological manifold if the tangent weights are in general position at each fixed point. If at least one fixed point has weights not in general position, then the orbit space $Q^{n+1}$ is a manifold with boundary [9]. Karshon and Tolman [14] proved that the orbit space of any Hamiltonian torus action of complexity one in general position is homeomorphic to the sphere $S^{n+1}$. This includes the cases $G_{4,2}$ and $F_{3}$ but not $\mathbb{H} P^{2}$ and $S^{6}$. In a recent work [4], we prove the general statement: if the $T^{n-1}$-action on a $2 n$-manifold $X$ is in general position, then conditions $H^{\text {odd }}(X ; \mathbb{Z})=0$ and $\pi_{1}(X)=1$ imply the homeomorphism $X / T^{n-1} \cong S^{n+1}$.

We see that in complexity one, general position of tangent weights implies strong topological constraints on the structure of the orbit space. However, the second author studied the complexity one torus actions on regular semisimple Hessenberg varieties in [9]: these actions are not in general position and their orbit spaces have more interesting topology. One example of the orbit space of a Hessenberg variety is the 5 -sphere with four discs cut off, and another example is the 6 -sphere with a tubular neighbourhood of a graph cut off. These examples made us think that the topology of orbit spaces in non-general position deserves further study and motivated our work.

In this paper, we show that dropping the assumption of general position, the orbit spaces may be almost arbitrary even if the action itself is cohomologically equivariantly formal. Recall that the action is called cohomologically equivariantly formal if its Serre spectral sequence ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2}^{*, *} \cong H^{*}(B T) \otimes H^{*}(X) \Rightarrow H^{*}\left(X \times_{T} E T\right)=H_{T}^{*}(X) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

collapses at $E_{2}$-term. It can be easily seen that the condition $H^{\text {odd }}(X)=0$ implies equivariant formality. On the other hand, if $H^{*}(X)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-torsion free, then cohomological equivariant formality implies that $H_{T}^{*}(X)$ is a free $H^{*}(B T)$-module. If, moreover, the set of fixed points of the $T$-action is nonempty and finite then $H^{\text {odd }}(X)=0$, see [15, Lm.2.1]. We prove the following

Theorem 1. For any finite simplicial complex L, there exists a closed smooth manifold $X_{\hat{L}}^{2 n}$ with $H^{\text {odd }}\left(X_{\hat{L}}^{2 n}\right)=0$, and the effective action of $T^{n-1}$ on $X_{\hat{L}}^{2 n}$ with isolated fixed points and connected stabilizers such that the orbit space $Q^{n+1}=X_{\hat{L}}^{2 n} / T^{n-1}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{H}_{i+3}\left(Q^{n+1}\right) \cong \widetilde{H}_{i}(L) \text { for any } i \geqslant 0, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\widetilde{H}_{i}\left(Q^{n+1}\right)=0$ for $i=0,1,2$.
Moreover, in Section 4 we prove that $Q^{n+1}$ is homotopy equivalent to $\Sigma^{3} L$.
Let us mention the particular case of Theorem 1 when $L$ is the boundary $\partial \Delta^{n-1}$ of the simplex on $n$ vertices. In this case, the theorem asserts that $Q^{n+1}$ is a homology $(n+1)$-sphere.

[^1]By the discussion above, there exist a large number of actions of complexity one whose orbit spaces are homeomorphic to the sphere: they all correspond to the general position case. If the weights are not in general position, the orbit space is an $(n+1)$-manifold with boundary, according to [9], so we cannot get $H_{n+1}\left(Q^{n+1}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, the case $L=\partial \Delta^{n-1}$ is exceptional in the sense that it corresponds to the general position of weights. However, this case is also covered by the proof of Theorem 1.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the basic definitions and constructions needed for our arguments. Theorem 1 is proved in Section 3: the main ingredient is the Alexander duality; we apply it twice to get the isomorphism (1.2). Additional details regarding the space $X_{\hat{L}}^{2 n}$ constructed in the proof of Theorem 1 are gathered in the last Section 4. In Proposition 4.1, we show that $X_{\hat{L}}^{2 n}$ is the total space of a projectivized line bundle over the permutohedral toric variety. Therefore, $X_{\hat{L}}^{2 n}$ is a smooth projective toric variety, in particular, the torus action on $X_{\hat{L}}^{2 n}$ is Hamiltonian and equivariantly formal. Next, in Proposition 4.2 , we prove that the orbit space $Q^{n+1}=X_{\hat{L}}^{2 n} / T^{n-1}$ is actually homotopy equivalent to the triple suspension $\Sigma^{3} L$. Finally, in Section 4 we introduce the notion of a complexity one action in $j$-general position. In Theorem 2, we prove that homology of the orbit space of a complexity one torus action in $j$-general position can be arbitrary in degrees $j+2$ and higher. Homology in degrees below $j+2$ vanish in our examples of $j$-general actions.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall the definitions of a locally standard torus action and a quasitoric manifold. Quasitoric manifolds were introduced in the seminal work of Davis and Januszkiewicz [11] as a topological generalization of smooth projective toric varieties.

A smooth manifold $X^{2 n}$ with an effective action of $T^{n}$ is called locally standard if $X^{2 n}$ has an atlas of $T^{n}$-invariant charts, each equivalent to an open $T^{n}$-invariant subset of the standard action of $T^{n}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$, up to some automorphism of torus. Since $\mathbb{C}^{n} / T^{n} \cong \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}^{n}$, the orbit space $P^{n}=X^{2 n} / T^{n}$ has the natural structure of a manifold with corners, see [15]. The vertices of $P^{n}$ correspond to the fixed points of the action.

A manifold $X^{2 n}$ with a locally standard action of $T^{n}$ is called a quasitoric manifold if the orbit space $P^{n}$ is diffeomorphic to a simple polytope as a manifold with corners. Recall that an $n$-dimensional polytope is called simple if each of its vertices is contained in exactly $n$ facets. The same condition holds for manifolds with corners. In the following, we only work with quasitoric manifolds, although some definitions below are naturally valid for more general locally standard torus actions.

Let $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{m}\right\}$ be the set of all facets (i.e. faces of codimension 1 ) of the orbit space $P$. For each facet $\mathcal{F}_{i}$, consider the subgroup $\lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{i}\right) \subset T^{n}$ which stabilizes an orbit lying in the interior of $F_{i}$. Since the action is locally standard, $\lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{i}\right)$ is a circle subgroup of $T^{n}$. Hence we may assume that $\lambda$ takes values in the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{n} \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{1}, T^{n}\right)$ of 1-dimensional subgroups of $T^{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda:\left\{\mathcal{F}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{m}\right\} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{1}, T^{n}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noticed that the value of $\lambda$ is determined up to sign unless some omniorientation is imposed on $X^{2 n}$, see details in [6]. The function $\lambda$ is called the characteristic function of the manifold $X^{2 n}$. The condition of a locally standard action implies that whenever distinct
facets $\mathcal{F}_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{i_{n}}$ intersect at a vertex, the values $\lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{i_{1}}\right), \ldots, \lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{i_{n}}\right)$ form the basis of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. This condition is called the (*)-condition. Hence, with any quasitoric manifold $X^{2 n}$, one can associate the characteristic pair $\left(P^{n}, \lambda\right)$ consisting of the simple polytope $P^{n}$ and the characteristic function (2.1).

It is possible to reconstruct any quasitoric manifold $X^{2 n}$ from its characteristic pair $\left(P^{n}, \lambda\right)$. Given a simple polytope $P^{n}$ and a function (2.1) satisfying the $(*)$-condition, consider the reduced space

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{(P, \lambda)}^{2 n}=\left(P^{n} \times T^{n}\right) / \sim \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the identification $\sim$ is generated by the equivalences of the form $\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right) \sim\left(x_{2}, t_{2}\right)$ if $x_{1}=x_{2} \in \mathcal{F}_{i}$ and $t_{1} t_{2}^{-1} \in \lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{i}\right)$. Then $X_{(P, \lambda)}^{2 n}$ is a topological manifold carrying the action of $T^{n}$; it is equivariantly homeomorphic to the original manifold $X^{2 n}$, see [11]. A different approach was developed in [7] to construct a smooth model $X_{(P, \lambda)}^{2 n}$ of a quasitoric manifold.

Quasitoric manifolds $X^{2 n}$ provide examples of torus actions of complexity zero. Davis and Januszkiewicz [11] proved that $H^{\text {odd }}\left(X^{2 n}\right)=0$. This means that quasitoric manifolds are cohomologically equivariantly formal. On the other hand, their orbit spaces are polytopes by definition. This setting was further extended by Masuda and Panov in [15]: they gave a criterion, in terms of the orbit space, for the equivariant formality of a complexity zero torus action. In particular, it follows from their work that for any equivariantly formal torus action of complexity zero with nonempty finite set of fixed points, the orbit space is a homology disc.

Our current work was motivated by a similar question for the actions of complexity one, that is, the actions of $T^{n-1}$ on $2 n$-manifolds. Is it possible to characterize equivariant formality in terms of the topology of the orbit space? The results of [9] suggested that the answer is negative: the complexity one torus actions on regular semisimple Hessenberg varieties are equivariantly formal but their orbit spaces have nontrivial topology.

A natural way to construct many complexity one actions is to take a complexity zero action of $T^{n}$ on a quasitoric manifold $X^{2 n}$ and consider the induced action of some subtorus $T^{n-1} \subset T^{n}$. Examples of such actions were considered in [2]. It was proved that whenever the induced action of $T^{n-1}$ is in general position (recall the definition in Section 1) then the orbit space $X^{2 n} / T^{n-1}$ is homeomorphic to the $n+1$-sphere. In the current paper, we concentrate on the situation when the induced action of $T^{n-1}$ on a quasitoric manifold $X^{2 n}$ is not in general position. However, our arguments include the case of general position and allow to recover the result of [2].

We now introduce some general notation to work with the fixed points of locally standard actions. Let $X^{2 n}$ be a quasitoric manifold and $x \in X^{2 n}$ be a fixed point. Let $N$ denote the lattice of 1-dimensional subgroups, i.e. $N=\operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{1}, T^{n}\right)$. Let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{1}, T^{n}\right)=$ $N$ be the characteristic vectors of the $T^{n}$-action at $x$, and $\widehat{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{\alpha}_{n} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{n}, T^{1}\right)=N^{*}$ be the weights of its tangent representation. It is assumed that the $n$ facets of $P^{n}=X^{2 n} / T^{n}$ adjacent to $x$ are enumerated from 1 to $n$ and $\lambda_{i}$ is the value of characteristic function at $i$-th facet. Given some enumeration of facets around $x$, we can enumerate the edges of $P^{n}$ adjacent to $x$ in a canonical way: the $i$-th edge is the only one which is not contained in the $i$-th facet. Then $\widehat{\alpha}_{i}$ is the weight corresponding to $i$-th edge. With this convention, one has $\left\langle\lambda_{i}, \widehat{\alpha}_{j}\right\rangle=\delta_{i j}$.

Given a subtorus $T^{n-1} \subset T^{n}$, we get a short exact sequence of tori

$$
1 \longrightarrow T^{n-1} \xrightarrow{i} T^{n} \xrightarrow{p} T^{n} / T^{n-1} \cong T^{1} \longrightarrow 1
$$

It induces the exact sequences of lattices

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{1}, T^{n-1}\right) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} \operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{1}, T^{n}\right) \xrightarrow{p_{*}} \operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{1}, T^{n} / T^{n-1}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0,  \tag{2.3}\\
& 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{n} / T^{n-1}, T^{1}\right) \xrightarrow{p^{*}} \operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{n}, T^{1}\right) \xrightarrow{i^{*}} \operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{n-1}, T^{1}\right) \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The hyperplane sublattice $\operatorname{Ker} p_{*} \subseteq N=\operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{1}, T^{n}\right)$ will play an important role in our arguments. We denote it by $\Pi$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi=\operatorname{Ker}\left(p_{*}: N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(i_{*}: \operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{1}, T^{n-1}\right) \rightarrow N\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.1. The restricted action of $T^{n-1}$ on a quasitoric manifold $X^{2 n}$ is in general position at a fixed point $x$ if and only if $p_{*}\left(\lambda_{j}\right) \neq 0$ for any characteristic value $\lambda_{j}$ at $x$ (which means that all characteristic vectors $\lambda_{j}$ do not lie in $\Pi$ ). The restricted action is in general position globally if and only if all characteristic values $\lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{j}\right)$ do not lie in $\Pi$.

Proof. In order to prove the contrapositive to the " $\Rightarrow$ " direction, assume that $p_{*}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)=0$ for some $j$. Without loss of generality let $p_{*}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)=0$ and let $x$ be a fixed point contained in the facet $F_{1}$ (every facet contains a vertex). As in the arguments above, we continue to assume that $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{1}, T^{n}\right)$ are the characteristic vectors of the $T^{n}$-action on $X^{2 n}$ at a fixed point $x$, the vectors $\hat{\alpha_{1}}, \ldots, \hat{\alpha_{n}} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{n}, T^{1}\right)$ are the dual weight vectors, and $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{n-1}, T^{1}\right)$ are the weight vectors of the induced action of the subtorus $T^{n-1} \subset T^{n}$. Since $p_{*}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)=0$, there holds $\lambda_{1}=i_{*} a$ for some $a \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{1}, T^{n-1}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{1 j}=\left\langle\lambda_{1}, \widehat{\alpha}_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle i_{*} a, \widehat{\alpha}_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle a, i^{*} \widehat{\alpha}_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle a, \alpha_{j}\right\rangle . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \alpha_{i}$ be the unique up to scaling linear relation among the weights $\alpha_{i}$. Relations (2.6) imply $0=\left\langle a, \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \alpha_{i}\right\rangle=c_{1}$. Hence the weights $\alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ are linearly dependent, so the action is not in general position at $x$. The argument works the same in the opposite direction.

Certainly, if the restricted action is in general position at a fixed point $x$, this implies that $x$ is isolated with respect to $T^{n-1}$ (otherwise one of the weights would be zero which contradicts the linear independence). However, since we are going to work with actions not in general position, we need a convenient criterion to check that the restricted action still has isolated fixed points. It is given by the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. Let $x$ be a fixed point of the $T^{n}$-action on a quasitoric manifold $X^{2 n}$. Then $x$ is not isolated for the restricted action of $T^{n-1} \subset T^{n}$ if and only if some $n-1$ of its characteristic values lie in $\Pi$.

Proof. The point $x$ is not isolated for the restricted action if and only if at least one of its weights, say $\widehat{\alpha}_{j}$, is mapped to zero by $i^{*}$. Next, $\widehat{\alpha}_{j} \in \operatorname{Ker} i^{*}$ if and only if $\widehat{\alpha}_{j} \in \operatorname{Im} p^{*}$, which means that the elements $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{\lambda_{j}}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ of the dual basis are annihilated by $p_{*}$. This proves the statement.

We also need a condition that guarantees that the restricted action of $T^{n-1}$ on $X^{2 n}$ has connected stabilizers.

Lemma 2.3. The induced action of $T^{n-1}$ on $X$ has connected stabilizers if and only if for any collection of intersecting facets $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{k}$, the integers $p_{*}\left(\lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)\right), \cdots, p_{*}\left(\lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{k}\right)\right)$ generate a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}$ which is either 0 or the whole $\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. According to the Slice theorem, the stabilizers of the tori actions on a quasitoric manifold $X$ coincide with the stabilizers of the tangent representations at its fixed points. Hence, instead of $X$, we can look at the tangent representation of $T^{n}$ on $T_{x} X \cong \mathbb{C}^{n}$ where $x$ is a fixed point. Let $T^{n-1} \subset T^{n}$ be a subtorus. This subtorus is necessarily given by $T^{n-1}=\left\{\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in T^{n} \mid t_{1}^{c_{1}} \cdots t_{n}^{c_{n}}=1\right\}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that the characteristic vectors $\lambda_{1}=\lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right), \ldots, \lambda_{n}=\lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}\right)$ of facets adjacent to $x$ are the standard basis vectors of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. According to (2.3) there holds $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)= \pm\left(p_{*}\left(\lambda_{1}\right), \ldots, p_{*}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)\right)$. In this case the stabilizers of the $T^{n}$-action on $T_{x} X$ are the coordinate subtori $T^{I}=\left\{\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \mid\right.$ $t_{i}=1$ for $\left.i \notin I\right\}$ for all possible subsets $I \subseteq[n]$. The stabilizers of the restricted action of $T^{n-1}$ have the form

$$
T^{n-1} \cap T^{I}=\left\{\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \mid \prod_{i \in I} t_{i}^{c_{i}}=1 \text { and } t_{i}=1 \text { for } i \notin I\right\} .
$$

Therefore to prove the claim it is sufficient to prove that the subgroup

$$
G=\left\{\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right) \in T^{k} \mid \prod_{i=1}^{k} t_{i}^{c_{i}}=1\right\}
$$

of the $k$-dimensional torus is connected if and only if the integers $c_{i}$ generate either 0 or the whole group $\mathbb{Z}$.
(1) First assume that $c_{i}$ 's, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, do not vanish simultaneously and are all divisible by $q>1$. Then we have a subgroup $G^{\prime}=\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{k} t_{i}^{c_{i} / q}=1\right\}$ such that $G / G^{\prime} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{q}$, hence $G$ is disconnected.
(2) If all $c_{i}$ 's vanish, then $G=T^{k}$ is connected. Now assume that $c_{i}$ 's generate $\mathbb{Z}$. There is a group homomorphism $\tilde{p}: T^{k} \rightarrow T^{k} / G \cong T^{1}$ given by $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right) \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^{k} t_{i}^{c_{i}}$. The dual map of weight groups $\tilde{p}^{*}: \operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{1}, T^{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{k}, T^{1}\right)$ is given by $(1 \in \mathbb{Z}) \mapsto\left(\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}\right) \in\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{Z}^{k}\right)$. Since $c_{i}$ 's are assumed coprime, the image $\tilde{p}^{*}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a direct summand in $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{k}, T^{1}\right) \cong$ $\mathbb{Z}^{k}$, hence $\operatorname{Hom}\left(G, T^{1}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{k} / \tilde{p}^{*}(\mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free. This shows that $G$ is connected (since otherwise the finite part of $G$ would give a torsion in the weight group).

Definition 2.4. Let $X^{2 n}$ be the quasitoric manifold determined by a characteristic pair ( $P^{n}, \lambda$ ). For the induced action of a subtorus $T^{n-1} \subset T^{n}$ on $X^{2 n}$, consider the hyperplane $\Pi=\operatorname{Ker} p_{*}$ defined by (2.5). A facet $\mathcal{F}_{j}$ of $P^{n}$ is called special if $\lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{j}\right) \in \Pi$. Similarly, a proper face $F$ of $P^{n}$ is called special if all facets containing $F$ are special. All other proper faces of $P^{n}$ are called non-special. A point $x \in P^{n}$ is called special if it lies in a relative interior of a special face. A point is non-special if it lies in a (closed) non-special face.

The definition implies that the union

$$
Y_{n s p}=\bigcup_{F \text { non-special }} F \subseteq \partial P^{n},
$$

which is the subset of all non-special points of $\partial P^{n}$, is a closed subset of the boundary $\partial P^{n}$.

Moreover, if $P^{n}$ is considered as a CW-complex, with the cell structure given by its faces, then $Y_{n s p}$ is its CW-subcomplex.

As before, we denote the orbit space $X^{2 n} / T^{n-1}$ by $Q^{n+1}$. We have the residual action of $T^{n} / T^{n-1}$ on $Q^{n+1}$, and the orbit space of this action is a simple polytope $P^{n} \cong X^{2 n} / T^{n}$. Let $p: Q^{n+1} \rightarrow P^{n}$ denote the projection map of the residual action. If $x \in P^{n}$, then the preimage $p^{-1}(x)$ can be either a circle or a point.

Lemma 2.5. Let $x \in \partial P$. The preimage $p^{-1}(x)$ is a circle if and only if $x$ is special.
The proof is a direct check.
Lemma 2.6. Consider the induced action of $T^{n-1} \subset T^{n}$ on a quasitoric manifold $X^{2 n}$. Then the orbit space $Q^{n+1}=X^{2 n} / T^{n-1}$ is obtained from the product $P^{n} \times S^{1}$ by collapsing circles over non-special points:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{n+1} \cong\left(P^{n} \times S^{1}\right) / \sim_{Y_{n s p}}, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(x, s_{1}\right) \sim_{Y_{n s p}}\left(x, s_{2}\right)$ if $x \in Y_{n s p}$.
Proof. The statement easily follows from the definition of a quasitoric manifold as the reduced space (2.2). Indeed, by collapsing the subtorus $T^{n-1}$ in each fiber of (2.2), we get (2.7).

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Construction 3.1. Assume that the induced action of $T^{n-1} \subset T^{n}$ on a quasitoric manifold $X^{2 n}$ has connected stabilizers. Consider the product $P^{n} \times D^{2}$, and the following subcomplex of its boundary

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n s p}=\left(Y_{n s p} \times D^{2}\right) \cup\left(P^{n} \times \partial D^{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\partial P^{n} \times D^{2}\right) \cup\left(P^{n} \times \partial D^{2}\right)=\partial\left(P^{n} \times D^{2}\right) \cong S^{n+1}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y_{n s p} \subseteq \partial P^{n}$ is the union of nonspecial faces introduced in Section 2.
Lemma 3.2. The complex $Z_{n s p}$ is homotopy equivalent to the quotient $Q^{n+1}=X^{2 n} / T^{n-1}$.
Proof. There is a natural map from $Z_{n s p}$ to the reduced space $\left(P^{n} \times S^{1}\right) / \sim_{Y_{n s p}}$, which collapses a 2-disc over any nonspecial point of $\partial P^{n}$ to a point. Both spaces $Z_{n s p}$ and ( $P^{n} \times$ $\left.S^{1}\right) / \sim_{Y_{n s p}}$ are compact CW-complexes, the map is proper cellular. All fibers of this map are contractible, hence the map is a homotopy equivalence according to the result of Smale [18]. Now, by Lemma 2.6, $Q^{n+1} \cong\left(P^{n} \times S^{1}\right) / \sim_{Y_{n s p}}$.

To describe the homotopy type of $Z_{n s p}$, it is convenient to introduce a more general construction. Let $(X, A)$ be a CW-pair. Consider the subspace $S^{n}(X, A)$ in $X \times D^{n}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{n}(X, A)=\left(X \times \partial D^{n}\right) \cup\left(A \times D^{n}\right) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be seen that $Z_{n s p}=S^{2}\left(P^{n}, Y_{n s p}\right)$.
Lemma 3.3. The construction (3.2) satisfies the following properties for all $n, k>0$.
(1) $S^{n}\left(X \times D^{k}, S^{k}(X, A)\right) \cong S^{k+n}(X, A)$;
(2) If $X$ is contractible, then $S^{n}(X, A) \simeq \Sigma^{n} A$.

Proof. We have the following

$$
\begin{align*}
S^{n}\left(X \times D^{k}, S^{k}(X, A)\right) & =\left(\left(X \times D^{k}\right) \times \partial D^{n}\right) \cup\left(S^{k}(X, A) \times D^{n}\right)  \tag{3.3}\\
& =\left(X \times D^{k} \times \partial D^{n}\right) \cup\left(X \times \partial D^{k} \times D^{n}\right) \cup\left(A \times D^{k} \times D^{n}\right) \\
& =\left(X \times \partial\left(D^{k} \times D^{n}\right)\right) \cup\left(A \times D^{k} \times D^{n}\right) \\
& =S^{k+n}(X, A),
\end{align*}
$$

which proves (1). Let us prove item (2) for $n=1$ : consider $\partial D^{1}=\{-1,1\}$. In the space $S^{1}(X, A)$, one can contract the subsets $X \times\{-1\}$ and $X \times\{1\}$ to points. The result is the suspension $\Sigma A$, which proves (2) for $n=1$. Item (2) for general $n>0$ follows from the case $n=1$ by induction using item (1).

Lemma 3.3 implies the homotopy equivalence

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n s p} \simeq \Sigma^{2} Y_{n s p} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.4. The construction 3.2 is the particular case of the polyhedral product [6, Ch . 4]. One has: $S^{1}(X, A)=\mathcal{Z}_{\partial \Delta^{1}}\left((X, A),\left(D^{n}, \partial D^{n}\right)\right)$. In particular, Lemma 3.3 follows from the results of [5] and [1] on the iterated wedge construction.

Construction 3.5. Let $K_{P}$ be the nerve-complex of a simple polytope $P=P^{n}$. This means $K_{P}$ is the boundary of the simplicial polytope $P^{*}$ dual to $P$ or, equivalently, $K_{P}$ has vertex set $[m]$ and $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s}\right\} \in K_{P}$ if and only if the corresponding facets $\mathcal{F}_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{i_{s}} \subset P$ intersect. Given an action of a subtorus $T^{n-1}$ on a quasitoric manifold $X^{2 n}$ as before, we introduce the subcomplex $K_{s p} \subseteq K_{P}$ such that $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s}\right\} \in K_{s p}$ if and only if the face $\mathcal{F}_{i_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{F}_{i_{s}}$ is a special face of $P$. According to the definition of special faces, this condition simply means that all facets $\mathcal{F}_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{i_{s}}$ are special. Hence, $K_{s p}$ is a full subcomplex on the vertex $\operatorname{set}\left\{i \in[m] \mid \mathcal{F}_{i}\right.$ is special $\}$.

Lemma 3.6. The spaces $Z_{n s p}$ and $K_{s p}$ are Alexander dual in the sphere $S^{n+1}$. One has $\widetilde{H}_{i}\left(Q^{n+1}\right) \cong \widetilde{H}^{n-i}\left(K_{s p}\right)$ for all $-1 \leq i \leq n+1$ (it is assumed that $\widetilde{H}_{-1}(\varnothing) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.)

Proof. Recall that $Z_{n s p}$ is a subset of the sphere $\partial\left(P^{n} \times D^{2}\right) \cong S^{n+1}$. For the complement $S^{n+1} \backslash Z_{n s p}$, we have

$$
\partial\left(P^{n} \times D^{2}\right) \backslash Z_{n s p}=\bigcup_{F \text { special }}\left(\operatorname{relint} F \times \operatorname{relint} D^{2}\right) .
$$

The union on the right-hand side is homotopy equivalent to its nerve which is the simplicial complex $K_{s p}$. The second statement follows from the Alexander duality $\widetilde{H}_{i}\left(Z_{n s p}\right) \cong$ $\widetilde{H}^{n-i}\left(K_{s p}\right)$ and the homotopy equivalence $Z_{n s p} \simeq Q^{n+1}$ given by Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.7. For a restricted action of $T^{n-1}$ on a quasitoric manifold $X^{2 n}$ having isolated fixed points, one has $\widetilde{H}_{i}\left(Q^{n+1}\right)=0$ for $i=0,1,2$.

Proof. First, note that $n \geq 2$ since for $n=1$ the torus $T^{n-1}=1$ acts trivially and thus cannot have isolated fixed points. The simplicial complex $K_{s p}$ has dimension at most $n-3$
(recall that $\operatorname{dim} \varnothing=-1$ ). Indeed, otherwise there would exist $n-1$ intersecting facets $\mathcal{F}_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{i_{n-1}}$ whose characteristic values lie in $\Pi$. In this case, the action of $T^{n-1}$ would have non-isolated fixed points by Lemma 2.2. This contradicts the assumption.

Now, since dim $K_{s p} \leqslant n-3$, we have $\widetilde{H}^{i}\left(K_{s p}\right)=0$ for $i \geqslant n-2$. The statement follows from the Alexander duality $\widetilde{H}_{i}\left(Q^{n+1}\right) \cong \widetilde{H}^{n-i}\left(K_{s p}\right)$ given by Lemma 3.6.

Remark 3.8. In [9], an argument similar to Lemma 3.7 was applied to show that homology in degrees $0,1,2$ vanishes for the orbit spaces of complexity one torus actions on regular semisimple Hessenberg varieties (and, more generally, Hamiltonian actions of complexity one). We suppose that vanishing of homology in degrees $0,1,2$ is a general phenomenon for the orbit spaces of equivariantly formal torus actions of complexity one with isolated fixed points.

Construction 3.9. We recall the constructions of the barycentric subdivisions and the combinatorial Alexander duality. The reader is referred to [6, Section 2.4] for details. Let $L$ be an abstract simplicial complex on a finite vertex set $[n]=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Then the barycentric subdivision $L^{\prime}$ is the simplicial complex on the vertex set $L \backslash\{\varnothing\}$ such that $\left\{I_{1}, \ldots, I_{s}\right\} \in L^{\prime}$ if and only if the simplices $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{s} \in L$ form a nested family. This means $I_{1} \subset I_{2} \subset \cdots \subset I_{s}$, possibly after some permutation of indices. Geometrical realizations of $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are homeomorphic.

Let $L$ be a simplicial complex on a vertex set $[n]$ such that $L$ is not the whole simplex on $n$ vertices. The combinatorial Alexander dual complex $\hat{L}$ is defined on the same vertex set [ $n$ ] as follows

$$
\hat{L}=\{I \subseteq[n] \mid[n] \backslash I \notin L\} .
$$

Note that if $\operatorname{dim} L=n-2$, then $\hat{L}$ has ghost vertices (a ghost vertex of $\hat{L}$ is a vertex $i \in[n]$ such that $\{i\} \notin \hat{L})$. In the following arguments, we allow the complex $\hat{L}$ to have ghost vertices. However, it will be assumed that $L$ does not have ghost vertices.

Any simplicial complex $L \neq \Delta^{n-1}$ on the set $[n]$ can be considered as a subcomplex of $\partial \Delta^{n-1}$. Applying barycentric subdivisions, we get the embedding $L^{\prime} \subseteq\left(\partial \Delta^{n-1}\right)^{\prime}$. Similarly, there is an embedding of $\hat{L}^{\prime}$ into $\left(\partial \Delta^{n-1}\right)^{\prime}$ which sends the vertex $I \in \hat{L} \backslash\{\varnothing\}$ of $\hat{L}^{\prime}$ to the vertex $[n] \backslash I$ of $\left(\partial \Delta^{n-1}\right)^{\prime}$. The subcomplexes $L^{\prime}$ and $\hat{L}^{\prime}$ of the $(n-2)$-dimensional sphere $\left(\partial \Delta^{n-1}\right)^{\prime}$ are Alexander dual. This implies the combinatorial Alexander duality

$$
\widetilde{H}_{i}(L) \cong \widetilde{H}^{n-3-i}(\hat{L}) .
$$

Construction 3.10. We recall the definition of a permutohedron and a permutohedral toric variety, referring to [16] for missing details. The permutohedron $\mathrm{Pe}^{n-1}$ is the convex polytope

$$
\mathrm{Pe}^{n-1}=\operatorname{convhull}\left\{\left(\sigma\left(b_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(b_{n}\right)\right) \mid \sigma \in \Sigma_{n}\right\}
$$

where $b_{1}<b_{2}<\cdots<b_{n}$. The combinatorial type of $\mathrm{Pe}^{n-1}$ does not depend on a choice of $b_{i}$. The permutohedron is determined by the following affine inequalities [17]: a point $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ lies in $\mathrm{Pe}^{n-1}$ if and only if

$$
x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}=b_{1}+\cdots+b_{n}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{i} \leqslant b_{n} \quad \forall i \in[n] ; \\
x_{i}+x_{j} \leqslant b_{n-1}+b_{n} \quad \forall\{i, j\} \subset[n] ; \\
x_{i}+x_{j}+x_{k} \leqslant b_{n-2}+b_{n-1}+b_{n} \quad \forall\{i, j, k\} \subset[n] ; \\
\cdots \\
\sum_{i \in S} x_{i} \leqslant b_{2}+b_{3}+\cdots+b_{n} \quad \forall S \subset[n],|S|=n-1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

There are no redundant inequalities in this list. This means that the facets of $\mathrm{Pe}^{n-1}$ are indexed by the subsets $S \subset[n], S \neq \varnothing$ : the facet $\mathcal{F}_{S}$ corresponding to a subset $S \subset[n]$ is given by the equation $\sum_{i \in S} x_{i}=\sum_{i=n-|S|+1}^{n} b_{i}$. The polytope $\mathrm{Pe}^{n-1}$ is simple. The facets $\mathcal{F}_{S_{1}}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{S_{k}}$ intersect if and only if their indexing sets $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{k}$ form a nested collection (that is $S_{1} \subset \cdots \subset S_{k}$, probably, after some permutation). Therefore, the simplicial sphere dual to $\mathrm{Pe}^{n-1}$ is the barycentric subdivision of the boundary of the $(n-1)$-simplex:

$$
\partial\left(\mathrm{Pe}^{n-1}\right)^{*}=\left(\partial \Delta^{n-1}\right)^{\prime} .
$$

The vertex of $\left(\partial \Delta^{n-1}\right)^{\prime}$ corresponding to the facet $\mathcal{F}_{S}$ will be denoted by $i_{S}$.
Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n-1}$ be the outward unit normal vectors to the facets $\mathcal{F}_{\{1\}}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{\{n-1\}}$ of $\mathrm{Pe}^{n-1}$ inside the affine hyperplane $\left\{x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}=b_{1}+\cdots+b_{n}\right\}$. Then $e_{n}=-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e_{i}$ is the outward unit normal vector to the facet $\mathcal{F}_{\{n\}}$. Let $N^{n-1} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ be the lattice generated by $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n-1}$. For an arbitrary proper subset $S \subset[n], S \neq \varnothing$ the outward normal vector $v_{S}$ to the facet $\mathcal{F}_{S}$ has the form

$$
v_{S}=\sum_{i \in S} e_{i}
$$

The normal fan of $\mathrm{Pe}^{n-1}$ is nonsingular, its maxinal cones are formed by Weyl chambers of type A. The normal fan of the permutohedron $\mathrm{Pe}^{n-1}$ hence defines a nonsingular projective toric variety $X_{\mathrm{Pe}}^{2 n-2}$, called the permutohedral variety. This variety is well known and found many applications in algebraic geometry, algebraic combinatorics, and representation theory (see, e.g. [16, 13], and references therein). As a quasitoric manifold, $X_{\mathrm{Pe}}^{2 n-2}$ is defined by the characteristic pair $\left(\mathrm{Pe}^{n-1}, v\right)$ where $v\left(F_{S}\right)=v_{S}=\sum_{i \in S} e_{i}$.

With all the preparatory work done, we now prove Theorem 1.
Proof of Theorem 1. Consider a finite simplicial complex $L$ on the vertex set $[n]$ without ghost vertices. Without loss of generality we assume $L \neq \Delta^{n-1}$. Indeed, if $L=\Delta^{n-1}$, we should prove that there exists an equivariantly formal action such that its orbit space is contractible. To prove this statement, it is sufficient to replace $\Delta^{n-1}$ by any contractible simplicial complex without ghost vertices. Therefore, the combinatorial Alexander dual complex $\hat{L}=\{[n] \backslash I \mid I \notin L\}$ is well defined. Since $L$ does not have ghost vertices, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} \hat{L} \leqslant n-3 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

as follows from Construction 3.9. We have $\widetilde{H}_{i}(L) \cong \widetilde{H}^{n-3-i}(\hat{L})$ by combinatorial Alexander duality.

The idea of the proof is the following: we construct a polytope of dimension $n$, a qua-
sitoric manifold over this polytope, and a subtorus $T^{n-1} \subset T^{n}$, such that the complex $K_{s p}$ for these data coincides with $\hat{L}$. Then Alexander duality between $\hat{L}$ and $L$ in $\left(\partial \Delta^{n-1}\right)^{\prime} \cong S^{n-2}$ and Alexander duality between $K_{s p}=\hat{L}$ and $Z_{n s p} \simeq Q^{n+1}$, given by Lemma 3.6, would imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{H}_{i}(L) \cong \widetilde{H}^{n-3-i}(\hat{L})=\widetilde{H}^{n-3-i}\left(K_{s p}\right) \cong \widetilde{H}_{i+3}\left(Q^{n+1}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a polytope, we take the prism with a permutohedron in the base, that is $P^{n}=\mathrm{Pe}^{n-1} \times I^{1}$. Its dual simplicial sphere is the suspended barycentric subdivision of the boundary of the ( $n-1$ )-simplex:

$$
K_{P}=\Sigma\left(\partial \Delta^{n-1}\right)^{\prime}
$$

since the simplicial sphere dual to the product of simple polytopes is the join of simplicial spheres dual to factors, and $\partial\left(\mathrm{Pe}^{n-1}\right)^{*}=\left(\partial \Delta^{n-1}\right)^{\prime}$ according to Construction 3.10. The facets of $P^{n}$, corresponding to vertices of $K_{P}$, are the side facets $\mathcal{F}_{S} \times I^{1}$ of the prism, defined for any proper subset $S \subset[n], S \neq \varnothing$, and the two bases $\mathcal{F}_{a}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{b}$ of the prism.

Recall that the normal vectors of the permutohedron $\mathrm{Pe}^{n-1}$ lie in the lattice $N^{n-1}=$ $\left\langle e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n-1}\right\rangle$, and we adopt the convention $e_{n}=-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e_{i}$. Denoting by Facets $\left(P^{n}\right)$ the set of facets of $P^{n}$, we have the characteristic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\lambda_{\hat{L}}: \text { Facets }\left(P^{n}\right) \rightarrow N^{n-1} \times \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined as follows. We set $\lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{a}\right)=(0,1) \in N \times \mathbb{Z}, \lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{b}\right)=(0,-1) \in N \times \mathbb{Z}$, and

$$
\lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{S} \times I^{1}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(v_{S}, 0\right), & \text { if }\left\{i_{S}\right\} \in \hat{L}^{\prime}  \tag{3.8}\\ \left(v_{S}, 1\right), & \text { if }\left\{i_{S}\right\} \notin \hat{L}^{\prime},\left(\text { equiv. }\left\{i_{S}\right\} \in L^{\prime}\right)\end{cases}
$$

where $v_{S}$ are the normal vectors to the facets of the permutohedron and $i_{S}$ are the corresponding vertices of the dual simplicial sphere (see Construction 3.10).

Claim 3.11. The function $\lambda$ is a characteristic function on $P^{n}=\mathrm{Pe}^{n-1} \times I^{1}$.
Proof. Any vertex of $P^{n}$ is the intersection of facets

$$
\mathcal{F}_{S_{1}} \times I^{1}, \mathcal{F}_{S_{2}} \times I^{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{S_{n-1}} \times I^{1}, F_{a} \text { or } F_{b}
$$

for some nested sequence $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1} \subset[n]$. The characteristic values at these facets are

$$
\left(v_{S_{1}}, \kappa_{1}\right),\left(v_{S_{2}}, \kappa_{2}\right), \quad \ldots \quad,\left(v_{S_{n-1}}, \kappa_{n-1}\right),(0, \pm 1)
$$

where each $\kappa_{i}$ is either 0 or 1 . Subtracting or adding the last vector to those $\lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{S_{i}} \times I^{1}\right)$ with $\kappa_{i}=1$, we get the vectors

$$
v_{S_{1}}, v_{S_{2}}, \ldots v_{S_{n-1}}, \pm \epsilon
$$

where $\epsilon=(0, \ldots, 0,1)$. The vectors obviously form a basis of the lattice $N^{n-1} \times \mathbb{Z}$.
Let $X_{\hat{L}}^{2 n}$ be the quasitoric manifold determined by the characteristic pair $(P, \lambda)$. Consider the subtorus $T^{n-1} \subset T^{n}$ which corresponds to the first $n-1$ coordinates of the torus. This means that the kernel hyperplane $\Pi$ defined by (2.5) is the coordinate hyperplane

$$
\Pi=N^{n-1} \times\{0\} \subset N^{n-1} \times \mathbb{Z}
$$

Claim 3.12. The induced action of $T^{n-1}$ on the quasitoric manifold $X_{\hat{L}}^{2 n}$ has isolated fixed points.

Proof. According to Lemma 2.2, we should check that there do not exist $n-1$ intersecting facets $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ of $P$ such that $\lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right), \ldots, \lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right) \in \Pi$. Assume that there exists such ( $n-1$ )-tuple. By construction, the only facets $\mathcal{F}$ with $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) \in \Pi$ are the side facets whose indices lie in $\hat{L}^{\prime}$. Then we get $\operatorname{dim} \hat{L}^{\prime} \geqslant n-2$ which contradicts (3.5).

Claim 3.13. The induced action of $T^{n-1}$ on the quasitoric manifold $X_{\hat{L}}^{2 n}$ has connected stabilizers.

Proof. A direct check using Lemma 2.3 and the possibility to make the second coordinate of all characteristic vectors zero by subtracting $\lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{a}\right)=(0,1)$ or adding $\lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{b}\right)=(0,-1)$.

Claim 3.14. For the induced action of $T^{n-1}$ on the quasitoric manifold $X_{\hat{L}}^{2 n}$, we have $K_{s p}=\hat{L}^{\prime} \subseteq \Sigma\left(\partial \Delta^{n-1}\right)^{\prime}$.

Proof. By definition, the vertices of $K_{s p}$ are all indices $i \in \Sigma\left(\partial \Delta^{n-1}\right)^{\prime}$ such that $\lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{\{i\rangle}\right) \in$ $\Pi=N^{n-1}$. These are exactly the vertices of $\hat{L}^{\prime} \subseteq\left(\partial \Delta^{n-1}\right)^{\prime} \subset \Sigma\left(\partial \Delta^{n-1}\right)^{\prime}$ according to (3.8). Now, both $K_{s p}$ and $\hat{L}^{\prime}$ are the full subcomplexes on their vertex sets hence they coincide.

The Theorem 1 now follows from (3.6) applied to the constructed manifold $X_{\hat{L}}^{2 n}$.

## 4. Details and generalizations

Proposition 4.1. The quasitoric manifold $X_{\hat{L}}^{2 n}$ constructed in Section 3 is a smooth projective toric variety. It is the total space of the projective line bundle over the permutohedral toric variety $X_{\mathrm{Pe}}^{2 n-2}$.

Proof. Indeed, we start with a permutohedral variety $X_{\mathrm{Pe}}^{2 n-2}$ and consider the line bundle $\xi_{\hat{L}}$ whose first Chern class, or Cartier divisor, is supported on the subcomplex $\hat{L}^{\prime}$, that is

$$
c_{1}\left(\xi_{\hat{L^{\prime}}}\right)=\sum_{i \in \hat{L}^{\prime} \subseteq\left(\partial \Delta^{n-1}\right)^{\prime}} v_{i} \in H^{2}\left(X_{\mathrm{Pe}}^{2 n-2}\right)
$$

where $v_{i}$ are the standard generators, or divisors, corresponding to the facets of $\mathrm{Pe}^{n-1}$. Consider the $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-bundle over $X_{\mathrm{Pe}}^{2 n-2}$ given by the projectivisation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\xi_{\hat{L}^{\prime}} \oplus \underline{\mathbb{C}}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{C}$ denotes the trivial line bundle over $X_{\mathrm{Pe}}^{2 n-2}$. The total space of the projective line bundle (4.1) is a smooth projective toric variety, see [10, Sect.7.3]. Fans of toric varieties given by projectivizations of sums of line bundles are described in detail in [10, Prop.7.3.3]. In our case, this construction gives the following: the moment polytope of $\mathbb{P}\left(\xi_{\hat{L}^{\prime}} \oplus \mathbb{C}\right)$ com-
binatorially coincides with $\mathrm{Pe}^{n-1} \times I^{1}$ and the 1-dimensional cones of a fan are generated by the vectors $\lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{i}\right)$, defined by (3.8). Hence $\mathbb{P}\left(\xi_{\hat{L}^{\prime}} \oplus \underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ is equivariantly homeomorphic to $X_{\hat{L}}^{2 n}$.

In the main part of the paper, we have concentrated on homological properties of manifolds with torus actions and their orbit spaces. However, it should be mentioned that Theorem 1 can be strengthened as follows.

Proposition 4.2. Let $L$ be a finite simplicial complex and the quasitoric manifold $X_{\hat{L}}^{2 n}$ with the action of $T^{n-1}$ be as in Section 3. Then $X_{\hat{L}}^{2 n} / T^{n-1}$ is homotopy equivalent to $\Sigma^{3} L$.

Proof. Recall that the underlying simplicial sphere of a quasitoric manifold $X_{\hat{L}}^{2 n}$ has the form $\Sigma\left(\partial \Delta^{n-1}\right)^{\prime}$, this is the simplicial sphere dual to the prism $\mathrm{Pe}^{n-1} \times I^{1}$. The space $Y_{n s p}$ is the union of all nonspecial facets of $\mathrm{Pe}^{n-1} \times I^{1}$. The nonspecial facets are the two bases $\mathcal{F}_{a}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{b}$ of the prism, and all side facets $\mathcal{F}_{S} \times I^{1}$ with $i_{S} \in L^{\prime}$. Therefore the nerve of the covering of $Y_{n s p}$ by nonspecial facets is isomorphic to $\Sigma L^{\prime}$. The nerve theorem implies that $Y_{n s p} \simeq \Sigma L$.

Finally, Lemma 3.2 and homotopy equivalence (3.4) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{n+1} \simeq Z_{n s p} \simeq \Sigma^{2} Y_{n s p} \simeq \Sigma^{3} L \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we prove a refinement of Theorem 1 and some other results for a more specific class of torus actions of complexity one.

Definition 4.3. Assume that an action of $T^{n-1}$ on a manifold $X^{2 n}$ is effective and has nonempty finite set of fixed points. We say that this action is in $j$-general position if at each fixed point $x$, every $j$ of the tangent weights $\left\{\alpha_{x, 1}, \ldots, \alpha_{x, n} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{n-1}, T^{1}\right)\right\}$ are linearly independent.

Every action with isolated fixed points is in 1-general position. A "general position" is a synonym for an " $(n-1)$-general position". Then we have the following refinement of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. For any finite simplicial complex $M$, there exists a closed smooth manifold $X^{2 n}$ with $H^{\text {odd }}\left(X^{2 n}\right)=0$, and an action of $T^{n-1}$ on $X^{2 n}$ in $j$-general position such that the orbit space $Q^{n+1}=X^{2 n} / T^{n-1}$ is homotopy equivalent to $\Sigma^{j+2} M$.

The construction and the arguments remain essentially the same as in Theorem 1 and Proposition 4.2. Let us prove several lemmas generalizing the previous arguments.

Lemma 4.4. Let $x$ be a fixed point of the $T^{n}$-action on a quasitoric manifold $X^{2 n}$. Then $x$ is not in j-general position for the restricted action of $T^{n-1} \subset T^{n}$ if and only if some $n-j$ of its characteristic values at $x$ lie in $\Pi$.

The proof of the Lemma is completely similar to that of Lemma 2.2. Lemma 4.4 implies the generalization of (3.5).

Lemma 4.5. The action of $T^{n-1} \subset T^{n}$ on a quasitoric manifold $X^{2 n}$ is in $j$-general position if and only if $\operatorname{dim} K_{s p} \leqslant n-2-j$.

Next, we have the extension of Lemma 3.7
Lemma 4.6. For a restricted action of $T^{n-1}$ on a quasitoric manifold $X^{2 n}$ in $j$-general position, one has $\widetilde{H}_{i}\left(Q^{n+1}\right)=0$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, j+1$.

Proof. The Alexander duality given by Lemma 3.6 implies $\widetilde{H}_{i}\left(Q^{n+1}\right) \cong \widetilde{H}^{n-i}\left(K_{s p}\right)$. The homology groups $\widetilde{H}^{n-i}\left(K_{s p}\right)$ vanish for dimensional reasons for $i=0,1, \ldots, j+1$ according to Lemma 4.5. This implies the statement.

Recall that a simplicial complex $L$ is called $j$-neighborly if any $j$ of its vertices form a simplex (that is, $L$ contains the $(j-1)$-skeleton of a simplex). The 1 -neighborly condition simply means that $L$ does not have ghost vertices.

Proof of Theorem 2. Assume that $L$ has $n$ vertices and is $j$-neighborly. It is easily seen from the definition of combinatorial Alexander duality that $\operatorname{dim} \hat{L} \leqslant n-2-j$ since $L$ is $j$-neighborly. So far, Theorem 2 can be deduced from Proposition 4.2 and Lemma 4.5 if we take $X^{2 n}=X_{\hat{L}}^{2 n}$ for a simplicial complex $L$ satisfying the following two properties:
(1) $L$ is $j$-neighborly;
(2) $L$ is homotopy equivalent to the $(j-1)$-fold suspension $\Sigma^{j-1} M$.

To prove the existence of a simplicial complex with these properties, we introduce an operation $s(\cdot)$ on simplicial complexes which homotopically acts as the suspension but raises the degree of neighborliness. Let $K$ be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $V,|V|=m$. Consider the simplicial complex $s(K)$ on $m+1$ vertices given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(K)=\text { Cone } K \cup \Delta_{V}^{m-1} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(we take the cone over $K$ and add a simplex on the whole set $V$ ). Then
(1) If $K$ is $r$-neighborly then $s(K)$ is $(r+1)$-neighborly;
(2) $s(K)$ is homotopy equivalent to the suspension $\Sigma K$. Indeed, by collapsing the simplex $\Delta_{V}^{m-1}$ in (4.3) to a point, we get the suspension $\Sigma K$.
The complex $L$ can be obtained by applying the operation $s(\cdot)$ to $M j-1$ times: $L=$ $s^{j-1}(M)$. Theorem 2 follows by taking $X^{2 n}=X_{\hat{L}}^{2 n}$ for $L=s^{j-1}(M) \simeq \Sigma^{j-1} M$ and applying Proposition 4.2.
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