

## ON CERTAIN GENERALIZED MODULAR FORMS

WINFRIED KOHNEN

**Abstract:** The main result of this note is a characterization of those generalized modular functions of weight zero on  $\Gamma_0(N)$  that have empty divisor, in terms of the growth of the exponents in their  $q$ -product expansion.

**Keywords:** Generalized modular function,  $q$ -product expansion, divisor

### 1. Introduction and statement of results

For  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  let  $\Gamma_0(N)$  be the usual Hecke congruence subgroup of level  $N$  consisting of matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1 := SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$  with  $N|c$ .

Let  $f$  be a generalized modular form (GMF) of integral weight  $k$  on  $\Gamma_0(N)$ , i.e.,  $f$  is a holomorphic function on the complex upper half-plane  $\mathcal{H}$  which satisfies

$$f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = \chi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\right) (cz+d)^k f(z) \quad (\forall \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N))$$

for some (not necessarily unitary) character  $\chi : \Gamma_0(N) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$ , and which is meromorphic at the cusps. We will also require that  $\chi(\gamma) = 1$  for every parabolic  $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(N)$  of trace 2.

For more details we refer to [3], where a general study of GMF's was initiated and where a GMF in the above sense was called a PGMF (P for parabolic).

We note that at the cusp infinity such an  $f$  has an expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \geq h} a(n)q^n \quad (0 < |q| < \epsilon)$$

where  $q = e^{2\pi iz}$  ( $z \in \mathcal{H}$ ),  $h \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Contrary to the classical situation where  $\chi$  is unitary, there exist non-constant GMF's  $f$  of weight zero with  $\text{div}(f) = \emptyset$  whenever the genus of  $\Gamma_0(N)$  is at least

one. Indeed, according to a fundamental result of [3] such  $f$  correspond to cusp forms of weight 2 and trivial character, by taking logarithmic derivatives.

Like any complex valued meromorphic function on  $\mathcal{H}$  which has period 1, is meromorphic at infinity and does not vanish identically,  $f$  has an infinite product expansion

$$f(z) = cq^h \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)^{c(n)}. \quad (1)$$

Here  $c$  is a non-zero constant,  $h$  is the order of  $f$  at infinity and the  $q$ -exponents  $c(n)$  ( $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ) are uniquely determined complex numbers. The infinite product in (1) is convergent in a small neighborhood of  $q = 0$  [1,2]. As usual we understand that complex powers are determined by the principal branch of the complex logarithm.

The main result of this note is a characterization of those GMF's of weight zero on  $\Gamma_0(N)$  that have empty divisors, in terms of the growth of the exponents  $c(n)$ .

**Theorem.** *Let  $f \neq 0$  be a GMF of weight zero on  $\Gamma_0(N)$ . Then  $\text{div}(f) = \emptyset$  if and only if*

$$c(n) \ll_{\epsilon} n^{-\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \quad (\epsilon > 0).$$

As a straightforward consequence we obtain

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $f$  be a non-constant GMF of weight zero on  $\Gamma_0(N)$  with  $\text{div}(f) = \emptyset$ . Then the  $c(n)$  ( $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ) take infinitely many different values.*

The result of Corollary 1 generalizes the main result of [5] where for  $N \geq 11$  squarefree examples of GMF's  $f$  of weight zero on  $\Gamma_0(N)$  with empty divisors were constructed such that the  $c(n)$  take infinitely many different values. Note that in the Theorem in [5] it is merely stated that  $\text{div}(f) \subset \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{Q})$  for those  $f$ , but the proof together with [3, Thm. 2 and Supplement] indeed reveals that  $\text{div}(f) = \emptyset$ .

If  $f$  has algebraic Fourier coefficients, then in fact one can sharpen the result of Corollary 1 and prove that the  $c(p)$  where  $p$  runs over primes only already take infinitely many different values, cf. [7].

Recall that the cusps of  $\Gamma_0(N)$  are represented by the numbers  $\frac{a}{c}$  where  $c$  runs over positive divisors of  $N$ , and for given  $c$ ,  $a$  runs through integers with  $1 \leq a \leq N$ ,  $(a, N) = 1$  that are inequivalent modulo  $(c, \frac{N}{c})$ .

According to [6], we say that a non-zero GMF  $f$  of weight  $k$  on  $\Gamma_0(N)$  satisfies condition (C) if for each  $c|N$ , the order  $\text{ord}_{\frac{a}{c}} f$  is independent of  $a$ . For example, if  $N$  is squarefree condition (C) is always satisfied.

If

$$\Delta(z) = q \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)^{24} \quad (z \in \mathcal{H})$$

is the discriminant function of weight 12 on  $\Gamma_1$ , then a meromorphic modular form of type

$$\prod_{t|N} \Delta(tz)^{n_t}$$

with integers  $n_t$  will be called a  $\Delta$ -product. (Thus a  $\Delta$ -product is the 24th power of what usually is called an  $\eta$ -product.) Note that the exponents of a  $\Delta$ -product take only finitely many different values.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $f \neq 0$  be a GMF of integral weight  $k$  on  $\Gamma_0(N)$  and suppose that  $f$  satisfies condition (C). Then  $\text{div}(f) \subset \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{Q})$  if and only if*

$$c(n) = \frac{1}{M}d(n) + \mathcal{O}_\epsilon(n^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}) \quad (\epsilon > 0)$$

where  $M$  is a non-zero integer and the  $d(n)(n \in \mathbf{N})$  are the exponents of a  $\Delta$ -product of weight  $kM$  on  $\Gamma_0(N)$ .

**2. Proof of Theorem**

We let

$$\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{dz} = q \frac{d}{dq}$$

be Ramanujan’s  $\theta$ -operator and set

$$g := \frac{\theta f}{f}.$$

Then  $g$  is a meromorphic modular form of weight 2 on  $\Gamma_0(N)$  with trivial character, holomorphic at the cusps, and  $g$  is a cusp form if and only if  $f$  has empty divisor [3]. If  $b(n)(n \in \mathbf{N})$  are the Fourier coefficients of  $g$ , then the identity

$$b(n) = \begin{cases} h, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ -\sum_{d|n} dc(d), & \text{if } n \geq 1 \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

holds [1,2]. Now suppose that  $\text{div}(f) = \emptyset$ . Then by Deligne’s estimate

$$b(n) \ll_\epsilon n^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \quad (\epsilon > 0).$$

Inverting the second formula in (2) we find

$$c(n) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) b(d) \quad (n \geq 1)$$

and hence

$$c(n) \ll_\epsilon \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} d^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \ll_\epsilon \frac{1}{n} \cdot n^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \sigma_0(n) \ll_\epsilon n^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}.$$

Now we give the proof in the other direction which is a bit more involved. Suppose that

$$c(n) \ll_\epsilon n^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \quad (\epsilon > 0). \tag{3}$$

Then from (2) we see that the Fourier series of  $g$  converges on  $\mathcal{H}$ , so  $g$  is holomorphic on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Also from (2) and (3) we infer as above that

$$b(n) \ll_{\epsilon} \sum_{d|n} d^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \ll_{\epsilon} n^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon} \quad (\epsilon > 0).$$

Therefore it will be sufficient to show the following

**Proposition.** *Let  $g$  be a holomorphic modular form of weight 2 on  $\Gamma_0(N)$  with trivial character and suppose that its Fourier coefficients  $b(n)$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) satisfy*

$$b(n) \ll_{\epsilon} n^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \quad (\epsilon > 0). \quad (4)$$

*Then  $g$  is a cusp form.*

**Proof.** The space  $\mathcal{M}_2(N)$  of holomorphic modular forms of weight 2 on  $\Gamma_0(N)$  splits up into a direct sum

$$\mathcal{M}_2(N) = \mathcal{E}_2(N) \oplus S_2(N)$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_2(N)$  is the subspace generated by Eisenstein series and  $S_2(N)$  is the subspace of cusp forms. Since by Deligne's estimate the Fourier coefficients of cusp forms satisfy (4), we only have to show that if  $g$  is in  $\mathcal{E}_2(N)$  and  $g$  satisfies (4), then  $g = 0$ .

We let

$$E_2(z) = 1 - 24 \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_1(n) q^n \quad (z \in \mathcal{H})$$

be the nearly holomorphic Eisenstein series of weight 2 on  $\Gamma_1$ . For each  $t|N$ , we define

$$E_{2,t} := E_2 - tE_2|V_t, \quad (5)$$

where  $V_t$  is the operator given on functions  $h : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  by  $(h|V_t)(z) := h(tz)$ . Then  $E_{2,t}$  is in  $M_2(t)$ .

If  $N$  is squarefree, our claim is easy to see, since in this case as is well-known a basis for  $\mathcal{E}_2(N)$  is given by

$$\{E_{2,t} \mid t|N, t > 1\},$$

and one can use induction on the number of prime factors of  $N$ , together with  $\sigma_1(n) \gg n$  and choosing  $n$  in an appropriate and obvious way.

Now let  $N$  be arbitrary. One has

$$\dim \mathcal{E}_2(N) = \sigma_{\infty}(N) - 1$$

where

$$\sigma_{\infty}(N) = \sum_{t|N} \phi\left(t, \frac{N}{t}\right)$$

is the number of cusps of  $\Gamma_0(N)$ . A basis for  $\mathcal{E}_2(N)$  can be constructed as follows, for details we (partly) refer to [8, sect. 4.7].

If  $\chi$  is a primitive Dirichlet character modulo  $M$  with  $M > 1$ , we put

$$E_{2,\chi}(z) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \left( \sum_{d|n} \chi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \bar{\chi}(d)d \right) q^n. \tag{6}$$

Then  $E_{2,\chi}$  is in  $\mathcal{M}_2(M^2)$ . Note that the Hecke  $L$ -function attached to  $E_{2,\chi}$  is

$$L(s, \chi)L(s - 1, \bar{\chi}),$$

where  $L(s, \chi)$  is the Dirichlet  $L$ -function attached to  $\chi$ .

We have

$$\mathcal{E}_2(N) = \left( \bigoplus_{\chi \text{ primitive mod } M, M^2|N, M>1} \mathcal{E}_2^\chi(N) \right) \oplus \mathcal{E}_2^{\chi_0}(N) \tag{7}$$

where  $\chi$  runs over all primitive Dirichlet characters modulo  $M$  with  $M^2|N, M > 1$  and where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_2^\chi(N) &:= \bigoplus_{t|\frac{N}{M^2}} \mathbf{C}E_{2,\chi}|V_t, \\ \mathcal{E}_2^{\chi_0}(N) &:= \bigoplus_{t|N, t>1} \mathbf{C}E_{2,t} \end{aligned}$$

and  $E_{2,t}$  is defined by (5).

If  $\mathcal{H}_N$  is the Hecke algebra generated by all Hecke operators  $T_m$  with  $m \geq 1, (m, N) = 1$ , then each direct summand on the right-hand side of (7) is an eigenspace of  $\mathcal{H}_N$ , and different eigenspaces have different Hecke characters. Hence for each of these eigenspaces we can find  $T \in \mathcal{H}_N$  that acts on this eigenspace by multiplication with a non-zero scalar and annihilates all the other eigenspaces.

Now observe that if  $g$  satisfies (4), so does  $g|T$  for any  $T \in \mathcal{H}_N$ , as immediately follows from the well-known action of the  $T_m$  on Fourier coefficients.

Hence it is sufficient to take any  $g$  satisfying (4) in one of the eigenspaces and to show that  $g = 0$ .

If a function  $g \in \mathcal{E}_2^{\chi_0}(N)$  satisfies (4), then one can argue in a similar way as above to deduce that  $g = 0$ .

Now let  $\chi$  be a primitive Dirichlet character modulo  $M$ , where  $M > 1$  and  $M^2|N$  and suppose that the Fourier coefficients of

$$g = \sum_{t|K} \lambda_t E_{2,\chi}|V_t \quad (\lambda_t \in \mathbf{C})$$

satisfy (4), where we have abbreviated  $K := \frac{N}{M^2}$ . The arguing is similar as above, but for the reader's convenience we give the details here. By (6) we have

$$\sum_{t|K} \lambda_t \left( \sum_{d|\frac{n}{t}} \chi\left(\frac{n}{td}\right) \bar{\chi}(d)d \right) \ll_\epsilon n^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \quad (\epsilon > 0). \tag{8}$$

To prove that  $\lambda_t = 0$  for all  $t|K$  we use induction on the number  $r \geq 0$  of prime factors of  $t$ , counted with multiplicities. At the  $r$ -th step we will show that  $\lambda_t = 0$  for all  $t|K$  where  $t$  has  $r$  prime factors.

If  $r = 0$ , i.e.  $t = 1$  we choose  $n = p$  a prime with  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ . Then from (8) we obtain immediately

$$\lambda_t(1+p) \ll_{\epsilon} p^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \quad (\epsilon > 0).$$

Invoking Dirichlet's Prime Number Theorem and letting  $p$  going to infinity, we obtain  $\lambda_1 = 0$ .

Now suppose that  $r \geq 1$  and  $\lambda_{\tilde{t}} = 0$  had already been shown for all divisors  $\tilde{t}$  of  $K$  with at most  $r-1$  prime factors. Suppose that  $t = p_1 \dots p_r$  and take  $n$  of the form  $n = p_1 \dots p_r \cdot p$ , where  $p$  is a prime with  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ . Then by the induction hypothesis the left-hand side of (8) is equal to

$$\lambda_t(1+p) \ll_{\epsilon} p^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \quad (\epsilon > 0),$$

hence with  $p$  going to infinity we obtain  $\lambda_t = 0$ . ■

### 3. Proof of Corollaries

The proof of Corollary 1 is immediate. Indeed, if  $f$  is a GMF of weight zero on  $\Gamma_0(N)$  with  $\text{div}(f) = \emptyset$  and the  $c(n)$  take only finitely many values, then by the Theorem we must have  $c(n) = 0$  for  $n \gg 1$ . By (2) therefore the  $b(n)$  are bounded, hence the Rankin-Selberg zeta function attached to  $g$  converges for  $\text{Re}(s) > 1$ . However, the latter has a pole at  $s = 2$  with residue (up to a universal constant) equal to the Petersson scalar product  $\langle g, g \rangle$ . Hence  $g = 0$  and so  $f$  is constant, a contradiction.

To prove Corollary 2, we proceed as in [4] for  $N$  squarefree resp. as in [6] for arbitrary  $N$ . Suppose that  $\text{div}(f) \subset \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{Q})$ . Then under the condition (C) there exists a non-zero integer  $M$  and a  $\Delta$ -product  $F$  of weight  $kM$  on  $\Gamma_0(N)$  such that  $\frac{f^M}{F}$  is a GMF of weight zero on  $\Gamma_0(N)$  with empty divisor. Hence our assertion follows from the Theorem.

Conversely, suppose that

$$c(n) = \frac{1}{M}d(n) + \mathcal{O}_{\epsilon}(n^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}) \quad (\epsilon > 0)$$

where the  $d(n)$  are the exponents of a  $\Delta$ -product  $F$  of weight  $kM$  on  $\Gamma_0(N)$ . Then

$$G := \frac{f^M}{F}$$

is a GMF of weight zero on  $\Gamma_0(N)$  with  $n$ -th  $q$ -exponents bounded by  $n^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$  ( $\epsilon > 0$ ), hence by the Theorem  $\text{div}(G) = \emptyset$ . Since the divisor of  $F$  is supported at the cusps, the same must be true for  $f$ .

**References**

- [1] J.H. Bruinier, W. Kohnen and K. Ono, *The arithmetic of the values of modular functions and the divisors of modular forms*, Compos. Math. **140** (2004), 552–566.
- [2] W. Eholzer and N.-P. Skoruppa, *Product expansions of conformal characters*, Phys. Lett. B. **388** (1996), 82–89.
- [3] M. Knopp and G. Mason, *Generalized modular forms*, J. of Number Theory **99** (2003), 1–28.
- [4] W. Kohnen, *On a certain class of modular functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005) no. 1, 65–70.
- [5] W. Kohnen and Y. Martin, *On product expansions of generalized modular forms*, Ramanujan J. **15** (2008), 103–107.
- [6] W. Kohnen and G. Mason, *On generalized modular forms and their applications*, Nagoya Math. J. **192** (2008), 119–136.
- [7] W. Kohnen and J. Meher, *Some remarks on generalized modular forms*, to appear in Ramanujan Journal.
- [8] T. Miyake, *Modular Forms*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1989.

**Address:** Mathematisches Institut der Universität Heidelberg, INF 288, D-69120 Heidelberg, German.

**E-mail:** winfried@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de

**Received:** 7 January 2010; **revised:** 21 January 2010

