

GEODESICS REFLECTING ON A PSEUDO-RIEMANNIAN SUBMANIFOLD

Naoto Abe and Masakazu Takiguchi

(Received October 19, 1998)

Abstract. In a pseudo-Riemannian manifold, we consider curves through a fixed pseudo-Riemannian submanifold. The first variation formula and the second variation formula of a reflecting geodesic are obtained. Moreover, we study the index form and conjugate points for a reflecting geodesic. Variation formulae for energy are also considered.

AMS 1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C22, Secondly 53C50.

Key words and phrases. reflecting geodesic, pseudo-Riemannian submanifold, index form, conjugate point.

§0. Introduction

In the paper [2], Innami considered a geodesic reflecting at a boundary point of a Riemannian manifold with boundary. Let M be a Riemannian manifold with boundary $\partial M =: B \neq \emptyset$ which is a union of smooth hypersurfaces. A broken geodesic on M is said to be a reflecting geodesic if it satisfies the reflection law. As usual, a variation of a reflecting geodesic γ through reflecting geodesics yields a Jacobi vector field Y along γ which satisfies the Jacobi equation. In the case of a reflection, such a Jacobi vector field is discontinuous at the boundary in general, but certain conditions hold at the boundary. In this case, he defined and studied the index form, conjugate points and so on, as in the case of a usual geodesic. We note that Hasegawa studied special cases in [1] and [2].

In this paper, we consider the case where M is a pseudo-Riemannian manifold and B is a pseudo-Riemannian submanifold. We generalize the notion of a reflecting geodesic and generalize some of Innami's results in a sense.

In Section 1, for a piecewise smooth curve on M through a point of B , we define a variation of such a curve. The details will be described in Definition 1.1. In Section 2, we prove the first variation formula of arclength for the variation above. In Section 3, we provide the second variation formula. In Section 4, we formalize the index form for our case. In Section 5, we consider the variation of a reflecting geodesic through reflecting geodesics and give definitions of an admissible Jacobi field and a conjugate point. In Section 6, we study a reflecting geodesic whose tangent vector at a point of B is normal to B . In Section 7, we consider the first and second variation formulas of energy.

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to Professor S. Yamaguchi for his constant encouragement.

§1. Preliminaries

Let M be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold with a metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and D the Levi-Civita connection. A tangent vector v to M is said to be

spacelike if $\langle v, v \rangle > 0$ or $v = 0$,

null if $\langle v, v \rangle = 0$ and $v \neq 0$,

timelike if $\langle v, v \rangle < 0$.

The category into which a given tangent vector falls is called its *causal character*. The *norm* $|v|$ of a tangent vector is $|\langle v, v \rangle|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. A curve α in M is *spacelike* if all of its velocity vectors $\alpha'(t)$ are spacelike; similarly for *timelike* and *null*. An arbitrary curve need not have one of these *causal characters*, but a geodesic always does. The class of curves α with $|\alpha'| > 0$ consists of all spacelike regular curves and all timelike (hence regular) curves, the two cases distinguished by the *sign of α* ; that is, $\varepsilon := \text{sgn}(\langle \alpha', \alpha' \rangle) = \pm 1$.

Let B be a pseudo-Riemannian submanifold in M and $\tilde{\Omega}$ the set of all piecewise smooth curves $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ through B .

Definition 1.1. Let $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ be a piecewise smooth curve such that $\alpha(t_0) \in B$ ($t_0 \in [a, b]$). A *piecewise smooth variation of α in $\tilde{\Omega}$* (or, simply, a *variation of α in $\tilde{\Omega}$*) is a map

$$\varphi : [a, b] \times (-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow M,$$

for some $\delta > 0$, such that

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi_s(\cdot) := \varphi(\cdot, s) \in \tilde{\Omega},$$

$$(1.2) \quad \varphi_0(t) = \alpha(t) \quad \text{for all } a \leq t \leq b,$$

$$(1.3) \quad \varphi(t_0(s), s) \in B,$$

where $a = a_0(s) < a_1(s) < \dots < t_0(s) = a_j(s) < \dots < a_k(s) < a_{k+1}(s) = b$ are the breaks of φ_s ($a_i(0) = a_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$) and $t_0(0) = t_0 = a_j$). We assume that $a_i(s)$'s are smooth with respect to s .

A *fixed endpoint* variation φ of α is a variation such that

$$(1.4) \quad \varphi(a, s) = \alpha(a) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(b, s) = \alpha(b).$$

There is no loss of generality in assuming that $a_1(s) < \dots < t_0(s) = a_j(s) < \dots < a_k(s)$ are the breaks of φ_s , since we can always add *trivial breaks* at which α or φ is smooth. The vector fields Y and A on α given by $Y(t) := \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}(t, 0)$ and $A(t) := \frac{D}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}(t, 0)$ are called *variation vector field* and *transverse acceleration vector field* of φ respectively, where $\frac{D}{\partial s} := D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}}$ and $\frac{D}{\partial t} := D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}$. Unusually, in our case, Y and A are not piecewise smooth vector fields, for they are, possibly, discontinuous at breaks. We write $X(t, s) = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}(t, s)$ ($X(t) = X(t, 0) = \alpha'(t)$), $Y(t, s) = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}(t, s)$ ($Y(t) = Y(t, 0)$) and $A(t, s) = \frac{D}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}(t, s)$ ($A(t) = A(t, 0)$). For a function or vector field f on $[a, b]$, we put $\Delta_t f = f(t-0) - f(t+0)$ ($t \in (a, b)$), $\Delta_a f = -f(a+0)$ and $\Delta_b f = f(b-0)$, where $f(t \pm 0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow t \pm 0} f(t)$.

Let I be an interval in the real line \mathbf{R} . A *geodesic* in M is a curve $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$ whose vector field γ' is parallel, that is, $\gamma'' = D_{\gamma'} \gamma' = 0$. Furthermore a piecewise smooth curve α such that $|\alpha'| > 0$ is said to have *constant speed* and *constant sign* if $|\alpha'| = \text{constant}$ and $\text{sgn}(\langle \alpha', \alpha' \rangle) = \text{constant}$, respectively. We note that geodesics have constant speed and sign.

Definition 1.2. A piecewise smooth curve α such that $\alpha(t_0) \in B$ is a *reflecting geodesic* if α satisfies the following conditions:

$$(1.5) \quad \alpha \text{ is a geodesic on } [a, t_0] \text{ and } [t_0, b],$$

$$(1.6) \quad \Delta_{t_0} X \text{ is normal to } B,$$

$$(1.7) \quad \Delta_{t_0} \langle X, X \rangle = 0,$$

where we ignore this condition in the case of $t_0 = a$ or $t_0 = b$,

$$(1.8) \quad \Delta_{t_0} X \neq 0.$$

From (1.5) and (1.7), a reflecting geodesic have constant speed and sign. If $\Delta_{t_0}X = 0$ instead of (1.8), then α is a usual geodesic.

For each $s \in (-\delta, \delta)$, let $L(s)$ be the length of the *longitudinal curve* $\varphi_s : t \mapsto \varphi(t, s)$. We shall find formulas for the *first* and *second variation of arclength on φ* , that is, for

$$L'(0) = \frac{dL}{ds}\Big|_{s=0} \quad \text{and} \quad L''(0) = \frac{d^2L}{ds^2}\Big|_{s=0},$$

where the latter is considered when $L'(0) = 0$.

§2. First variation

For a variation φ , we define a curve $\beta_i : (-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow M$ by $\beta_i(s) = \varphi(a_i(s), s)$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, k+1$). In particular, we put $\beta(s) := \beta_j(s) = \varphi(t_0(s), s)$. Hence β is a curve on B . First we show that variation vector fields have the following properties.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ be a piecewise smooth curve such that $\alpha(t_0) \in B$. If φ is a variation of α in $\tilde{\Omega}$ with the variation vector field Y , then*

$$(2.1) \quad a'_i(0)X(a_i - 0) + Y(a_i - 0) = a'_i(0)X(a_i + 0) + Y(a_i + 0).$$

In particular,

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} t'_0(0)X(t_0 - 0) + Y(t_0 - 0) \\ = t'_0(0)X(t_0 + 0) + Y(t_0 + 0) \in T_{\alpha(t_0)}B. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since a curve β_i satisfies that $\beta'_i(s) = \frac{d}{ds}\varphi(a_i(s) - 0, s) = \frac{d}{ds}\varphi(a_i(s) + 0, s)$, it follows that

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} a'_i(s)\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}(a_i(s) - 0, s) + \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial s}(a_i(s) - 0, s) \\ = a'_i(s)\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}(a_i(s) + 0, s) + \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial s}(a_i(s) + 0, s). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, since β is a curve on B , we have $\beta'(0) \in T_{\alpha(t_0)}B$. \square

This lemma shows that variation vector fields are element of the set $T_{\alpha}\tilde{\Omega}$ defined as below:

Definition 2.3. If $\alpha \in \tilde{\Omega}$, the set $T_\alpha \tilde{\Omega}$ consists of all piecewise smooth vector fields Y on α , which possibly may be discontinuous at t_0 , such that for $i = 1, \dots, k$

(2.4) there is a real number d_i such that

$$d_i X(a_i - 0) + Y(a_i - 0) = d_i X(a_i + 0) + Y(a_i + 0),$$

and, in particular,

(2.5) $d_j X(t_0 - 0) + Y(t_0 - 0) = d_j X(t_0 + 0) + Y(t_0 + 0) \in T_{\alpha(t_0)} B.$

For example, piecewise smooth vector fields Y on α such that $Y(t_0 - 0) = Y(t_0 + 0) \in T_{\alpha(t_0)} B$ are elements of $T_\alpha \tilde{\Omega}$.

Conversely, given $Y \in T_\alpha \tilde{\Omega}$ we can choose a variation φ whose vector field is Y . In fact, we can know this claims from the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\alpha \in \tilde{\Omega}$ and Y be a piecewise smooth vector field on α such that $Y(t_0 - 0) = Y(t_0 + 0) \in T_{\alpha(t_0)} B$. Then there is a variation of α in $\tilde{\Omega}$ whose variation vector field is Y .*

proof. We take t_1 and t_2 ($t_1 < t_0 < t_2$) such that $\alpha|_{[t_1, t_0]}$, $\alpha|_{[t_0, t_2]}$, $Y|_{[t_1, t_0]}$ and $Y|_{[t_0, t_2]}$ are smooth and $\alpha|_{[t_1, t_2]}$ lies within one coordinate neighborhood. Choosing $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, we can construct a variation as follows. Let

$$\varphi(t, s) = \exp_{\alpha(t)}(sY(t)) \text{ on } [a, t_1] \times (-\delta, \delta) \text{ and } [t_2, b] \times (-\delta, \delta).$$

Then we have $\varphi(t, 0) = \alpha(t)$ and $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}(t, 0) = Y(t)$.

Next we take a curve $\beta : (-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow B$ such that $\beta(0) = \alpha(t_0)$ and $\beta'(0) = Y(t_0)$. And we extend $Y|_{[t_1, t_0]}$ and $Y|_{[t_0, t_2]}$ to a smooth vector fields Z^- and Z^+ on a neighborhood of $\alpha|_{[t_1, t_0]}$ and $\alpha|_{[t_0, t_2]}$ respectively which satisfy the following conditions:

$$Z_{\beta(s)}^\pm = \beta'(s) \quad \text{and} \quad Z_{\varphi(t_i, s)}^\pm = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}(t_i, s),$$

for $l = 1, 2$. Let φ_s^\pm be a local 1-parameter group of transformations which induce Z^\pm and

$$\varphi(t, s) = \begin{cases} \varphi_s^-(\alpha(t)) & \text{on } [t_1, t_0] \times (-\delta, \delta) \\ \varphi_s^+(\alpha(t)) & \text{on } [t_0, t_2] \times (-\delta, \delta) \end{cases}.$$

Then we get a desired variation. \square

Lemma 2.5. *If $\alpha \in \tilde{\Omega}$ and $Y \in T_\alpha \tilde{\Omega}$, then there is a variation of α whose variation vector field is Y .*

proof. There is a real number d_i such that, for $i = 1, \dots, k$,

$$Y(a_i - 0) + d_i X(a_i - 0) = Y(a_i + 0) + d_i X(a_i + 0).$$

We define a function f by, for $i = 0, \dots, k$,

$$f(t) = \frac{(t - a_i)d_{i+1} - (t - a_{i+1})d_i}{a_{i+1} - a_i} \quad \text{on } [a_i, a_{i+1}],$$

where we put $d_0 = d_{k+1} = 0$. Then, let $\bar{Y}(t) = Y(t) + f(t)X(t)$. Since $\bar{Y}(a_i \pm 0) = Y(a_i \pm 0) + d_i X(a_i \pm 0)$, by Lemma 2.4, there is a variation ψ of α whose variation vector field is \bar{Y} . Let $\varphi : [a, b] \times (-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow M$ such that $\varphi(t, s) = \psi(\hat{t}(t, s), s)$, where

$$\hat{t}(t, s) = t - f(t)s.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}(t, 0) = -f(t)X(t) + \bar{Y}(t).$$

Hence φ is a desired variation. \square

We compute the first variation formula.

Proposition 2.6. (*First Variation Formula*) *Let $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ be a piecewise smooth curve with constant speed $c > 0$ and sign ε such that $\alpha(t_0) \in B$. If φ is a variation of α in $\tilde{\Omega}$ with the variation vector field Y , then*

$$L'(0) = -\frac{\varepsilon}{c} \int_a^b \langle Y, \alpha'' \rangle dt + \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \sum_{i=1}^k \Delta_{a_i} \langle Y, \alpha' \rangle + \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \langle Y, \alpha' \rangle \Big|_a^b,$$

where $a_1 < \dots < t_0 = a_j < \dots < a_k$ are the breaks of α .

proof. If the s -interval $(-\delta, \delta)$ is small enough, $|X(t, s)|$ is positive, hence differentiable. Differentiating both sides of

$$L(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \int_{a_{i-1}(s)}^{a_i(s)} |X(t, s)| dt,$$

we have

$$(2.6) \quad L'(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \left\{ \int_{a_{i-1}(s)}^{a_i(s)} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} |X(t, s)| dt \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +a'_i(s)|X(a_i(s) - 0, s)| - a'_{i-1}(s)|X(a_{i-1}(s) + 0, s)|\} \\
 = & \int_a^b \frac{\partial}{\partial s}|X(t, s)|dt + \sum_{i=1}^k a'_i(s)\{|X(a_i(s) - 0, s)| - |X(a_i(s) + 0, s)|\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since the causal character of longitudinal curves is preserved for small $|s|$, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.7) \quad & \frac{\partial}{\partial s}|X(t, s)| \\
 = & \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon \langle X(t, s), X(t, s) \rangle)^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2\varepsilon \langle \frac{DX}{\partial s}(t, s), X(t, s) \rangle \\
 = & \varepsilon \langle \frac{DY}{\partial t}(t, s), X(t, s) \rangle / |X(t, s)|
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle \frac{DY}{\partial t}(t, s), X(t, s) \rangle \\
 = & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle Y(t, s), X(t, s) \rangle - \langle Y(t, s), \frac{DX}{\partial t}(t, s) \rangle .
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 L'(0) & = \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \langle Y(t), X(t) \rangle \Big|_{a_{i-1}}^{a_i} - \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \int_a^b \langle Y(t), \alpha''(t) \rangle dt \\
 & = \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \sum_{i=1}^k \Delta_{a_i} \langle Y, \alpha' \rangle + \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \langle Y, \alpha' \rangle \Big|_a^b - \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \int_a^b \langle Y(t), \alpha''(t) \rangle dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

In the case of $t_0 = a$ or b , we ignore the condition $\Delta_{t_0} \langle X, X \rangle = 0$ from now on.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ be a piecewise smooth curve with $\Delta_{t_0} \langle X, X \rangle = 0$ such that $\alpha(t_0) \in B$. Then the followings are equivalent:*

$$(2.8) \quad \Delta_{t_0} X \text{ is normal to } B.$$

$$(2.9) \quad \langle Y(t_0 - 0) + Y(t_0 + 0), \Delta_{t_0} X \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for any } Y \in T_{\alpha} \tilde{\Omega}.$$

$$(2.10) \quad \Delta_{t_0} \langle Y, X \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for any } Y \in T_{\alpha} \tilde{\Omega}.$$

proof. For simplicity, we put $X_{\pm} := X(t_0 \pm 0)$, $Y_{\pm} := Y(t_0 \pm 0)$, $d := t'_0(0)$ and $\Delta X := \Delta_{t_0} X$.

(2.8) \Rightarrow (2.9) \Rightarrow (2.10): If ΔX is normal to B , then, from (2.2),

$$\langle (dX_- + Y_-) + (dX_+ + Y_+), \Delta X \rangle = 0.$$

Since $\langle X_- + X_+, \Delta X \rangle = 0$, it holds that

$$\langle Y_- + Y_+, \Delta X \rangle = 0.$$

Hence, by (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} F &:= \langle Y_-, X_- \rangle - \langle Y_+, X_+ \rangle = \langle Y_-, X_+ \rangle - \langle Y_+, X_- \rangle \\ &= \langle Y_+ - d\Delta X, X_+ \rangle - \langle Y_- + d\Delta X, X_- \rangle \\ &= \langle Y_+, X_+ \rangle - \langle Y_-, X_- \rangle - d \langle \Delta X, X_+ + X_- \rangle = -F. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $F = 0$.

(2.10) \Rightarrow (2.9) \Rightarrow (2.8): Suppose $F = 0$. Then, from (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \langle dX_- + Y_-, \Delta X \rangle &= \langle (dX_- + Y_-) + (dX_+ + Y_+), \Delta X \rangle \\ &= \langle Y_- + Y_+, \Delta X \rangle \\ &= \langle Y_-, X_- \rangle - \langle Y_+, X_+ \rangle - \langle Y_-, X_+ \rangle + \langle Y_+, X_- \rangle \\ &= - \langle Y_+ - d\Delta X, X_+ \rangle + \langle Y_- + d\Delta X, X_- \rangle \\ &= - \langle Y_+, X_+ \rangle + \langle Y_-, X_- \rangle + d \langle \Delta X, X_+ + X_- \rangle \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\langle dX_- + Y_-, \Delta X \rangle = 0$. This means that $\langle y, \Delta X \rangle = 0$ for any $y \in T_{\alpha(t_0)} B$ from Lemma 2.4. Hence ΔX is normal to B . \square

For a fixed endpoint variation φ , the first and last transverse curves are constant, so all longitudinal curves run from $\alpha(a)$ to $\alpha(b)$. In particular, the variation vector field Y vanishes at a and b , and so does the last term in the first variation formula. Given any neighborhood \mathcal{U} of a point $t \in I$ there is a smooth real-valued function f on an interval I , called a *bump function* at t , such that $0 \leq f \leq 1$ on I , $f = 1$ on some neighborhood of t and $\text{supp} f \subset \mathcal{U}$.

Corollary 2.8. *A piecewise smooth curve α with constant speed $c > 0$ and sign ε such that $\alpha(t_0) \in B$ is a reflecting geodesic or a geodesic if and only if*

the first variation of arc length is zero for every fixed endpoint variation of α in $\tilde{\Omega}$.

proof. We assume that α is a reflecting geodesic. Then $\alpha'' = 0$ and $\Delta_{a_i}\alpha' = 0$ ($i \neq j$). Hence, for $i \neq j$, we get

$$\Delta_{a_i} \langle Y, \alpha' \rangle = \langle \Delta_{a_i} Y, \alpha'(a_i) \rangle = 0,$$

since (2.1). For fixed endpoint variations, $Y(a)$ and $Y(b)$ are zero. Moreover using Lemma 2.7, we have $L'(0) = 0$.

Conversely, suppose $L'(0) = 0$ for every fixed endpoint variation φ . First we show that each segment $\alpha|_{I_i}$ is geodesic, where

$$(2.11) \quad I_i = [a_{i-1}, a_i] \quad (i = 1, \dots, k + 1).$$

It suffices to show that $\alpha''(t) = 0$ for $t \in I_i^\circ$, where $I_i^\circ := (a_{i-1}, a_i)$. Let y be any tangent vector to M at $\alpha(t)$, and let f be a bump function at t on $[a, b]$ with $\text{supp} f \subset [t - \zeta, t + \zeta] \subset I_i$. Let V be the vector field on α obtained by parallel translation of y , and let $Y = fV$. Since $Y(a)$ and $Y(b)$ are both zero, exponential formula $\varphi(t, s) = \exp_{\alpha(t)}(sY(t))$ produces a fixed endpoint variation of α whose variation vector field is Y . Since $L'(0) = 0$, the formula in Proposition 2.6 reduces to

$$0 = - \int_a^b \langle Y, \alpha'' \rangle dt = \int_{t-\zeta}^{t+\zeta} \langle fV, \alpha'' \rangle dt.$$

This holds for all y and $\zeta > 0$. Hence $\langle y, \alpha''(t) \rangle = 0$ for all $y \in T_{\alpha(t_0)}M$. Thus we have $\alpha'' = 0$.

As before, let y be an arbitrary tangent vector at $\alpha(a_i)$ ($i \neq j$), and let f be a bump function at a_i with $\text{supp} f \subset I_i \cup I_{i+1}$ ($i \neq j$). For a fixed endpoint variation with vector field fV the first variation formula now reduces to

$$0 = L'(0) = \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \Delta_{a_i} \langle Y, \alpha' \rangle = \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \langle y, \Delta_{a_i} \alpha' \rangle \quad \text{for all } y.$$

Hence $\Delta_{a_i} \alpha' = 0$ ($i \neq j$). This shows that (1.5) is true and $\Delta_{a_i} \langle Y, \alpha' \rangle = 0$ ($i \neq j$).

Finally Lemma 2.7 implies (1.6). \square

§3. Second variation

For a variation φ of a curve α , our aim is to compare $L(s)$, $|s|$ small, with the length $L(0)$ of α . Thus $L''(0)$ is needed only when $L'(0) = 0$. By Corollary

2.8, it suffices to find a formula for $L''(0)$ in the case where α is a reflecting geodesic. Let R be the Riemannian curvature tensor defined by

$$R(X, Y)W := D_X D_Y W - D_Y D_X W - D_{[X, Y]} W,$$

for any vector field X, Y and W on M , and S the shape operator defined by

$$S_Z(V) := -\tan D_V Z,$$

for any vector field V tangent to B and Z normal to B . A vector field Y on a piecewise smooth curve $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ is a *tangent to α* if $Y = f\alpha'$ for some function f on $[a, b]$ and *perpendicular to α* if $\langle Y, \alpha' \rangle = 0$. If $|\alpha'| > 0$, then each tangent space $T_{\alpha(t)}M$ has a direct sum decomposition $\mathbf{R}\alpha' + \alpha'^{\perp}$. Hence each vector field Y on α has a unique expression $Y = Y^T + Y^{\perp}$, where Y^T is tangent to α and Y^{\perp} is perpendicular to α , that is,

$$Y^{\perp} = Y - \frac{\langle Y, \alpha' \rangle}{\langle \alpha', \alpha' \rangle} \alpha'.$$

If γ is a nonnull reflecting geodesic, then $(Y')^T = (Y^T)'$ and $(Y')^{\perp} = (Y^{\perp})'$.

Definition 3.1. Let $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ be a reflecting geodesic such that $\gamma(t_0) \in B$ and $\Delta_{t_0} X$ is nonnull. A linear operator $P : T_{\gamma} \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow T_{\gamma(t_0)} B$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad P(Y) &:= Y(t_0 + 0) - \frac{\langle \Delta_{t_0} Y, \Delta_{t_0} X \rangle}{\langle \Delta_{t_0} X, \Delta_{t_0} X \rangle} X(t_0 + 0) \\ &= Y(t_0 + 0) - \frac{\langle Y(t_0 + 0), \Delta_{t_0} X \rangle}{\langle X(t_0 + 0), \Delta_{t_0} X \rangle} X(t_0 + 0). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2) \quad P(Y) &= Y(t_0 - 0) - \frac{\langle \Delta_{t_0} Y, \Delta_{t_0} X \rangle}{\langle \Delta_{t_0} X, \Delta_{t_0} X \rangle} X(t_0 - 0) \\ &= Y(t_0 - 0) - \frac{\langle Y(t_0 - 0), \Delta_{t_0} X \rangle}{\langle X(t_0 - 0), \Delta_{t_0} X \rangle} X(t_0 - 0) \end{aligned}$$

If $Y \in T_{\gamma} \tilde{\Omega}$ is tangent to γ , then $P(Y) = 0$. For a continuous vector field Y such that $Y(t_0) \in T_{\gamma(t_0)} B$, $P(Y) = Y(t_0)$ holds.

We prepare the following lemma for the proof of the second variation formula.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ be a piecewise smooth curve such that $\alpha(t_0) \in B$. If φ is a variation of α in $\tilde{\Omega}$ with the variation vector field Y , then*

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & a_i''(0)X(a_i - 0) + 2a_i'(0)Y'(a_i - 0) + A(a_i - 0) + (a_i'(0))^2 X'(a_i - 0) \\ &= a_i''(0)X(a_i + 0) + 2a_i'(0)Y'(a_i + 0) + A(a_i + 0) + (a_i'(0))^2 X'(a_i + 0). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if α is a reflecting geodesic, then

$$(3.4) \quad 2a_i'(0)Y'(a_i - 0) + A(a_i - 0) = 2a_i'(0)Y'(a_i + 0) + A(a_i + 0),$$

for $i \neq j$, and

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & t_0''(0)X(t_0 - 0) + 2t_0'(0)Y'(t_0 - 0) + A(t_0 - 0) \\ &= t_0''(0)X(t_0 + 0) + 2t_0'(0)Y'(t_0 + 0) + A(t_0 + 0). \end{aligned}$$

proof. We use a curve $\beta_i(s) = \varphi(a_i(s), s)$ as in §.2. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_i''(s) &= D_{\beta_i'(s)}\beta_i'(s) \\ &= a_i''(s)X(a_i(s) - 0, s) + 2a_i'(s)\frac{DY}{\partial t}(a_i(s) - 0, s) \\ &\quad + A(a_i(s) - 0, s) + (a_i'(s))^2 X'(a_i - 0) \\ &= a_i''(s)X(a_i(s) + 0, s) + 2a_i'(s)\frac{DY}{\partial t}(a_i(s) + 0, s) \\ &\quad + A(a_i(s) + 0, s) + (a_i'(s))^2 X'(a_i + 0). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.3. (*Second Variation Formula*) *Let $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ be a reflecting geodesic with constant speed $c > 0$ and sign ε such that $\gamma(t_0) \in B$ and $\Delta X := \Delta_{t_0} X$ is nonnull. If φ is a variation of γ in $\tilde{\Omega}$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} L''(0) &= \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \int_a^b \{ \langle Y^{\perp'}, Y^{\perp'} \rangle - \langle R(Y, \gamma')\gamma', Y \rangle \} dt \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \langle A, \gamma' \rangle \Big|_a^b + \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \langle S_{\Delta X}(P(Y)), P(Y) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where Y is the variation vector field and A is the transverse acceleration vector field of φ .

proof. Let $h = h(t, s) = \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}(t, s) \right|$, so $L(s) = \int_a^b h dt$. From (2.6), we have

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial s} = \frac{\varepsilon}{h} \left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}, \frac{D}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\rangle.$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial s^2} &= \frac{\varepsilon}{h^2} \left\{ h \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}, \frac{D}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}, \frac{D}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\rangle \frac{\partial h}{\partial s} \right\} \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{h} \left\{ \left\langle \frac{D}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}, \frac{D}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}, \frac{D}{\partial s} \frac{D}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\rangle - \frac{\varepsilon}{h^2} \left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}, \frac{D}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\rangle^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{D}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \frac{D}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}$ and

$$\frac{D}{\partial s} \frac{D}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \frac{D}{\partial s} \frac{D}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s} = R\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}\right) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s} + \frac{D}{\partial t} \frac{D}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}$$

hold, hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial s^2} &= \frac{\varepsilon}{h} \left\{ \left\langle \frac{D}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}, \frac{D}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}, R\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}\right) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s} \right\rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}, \frac{D}{\partial t} \frac{D}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s} \right\rangle - \frac{\varepsilon}{h^2} \left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}, \frac{D}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\rangle^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $s = 0$ in this equation produces the following changes: $h \rightarrow c$, $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \rightarrow \gamma'$, $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s} \rightarrow Y$, $\frac{D}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \rightarrow Y'$ and $\frac{D}{\partial t} \frac{D}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s} \rightarrow A'$. Thus, rearranging the curvature term, we find

$$\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial s^2} \Big|_{s=0} = \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \left\{ \langle Y', Y' \rangle - \langle Y, R(Y, \gamma') \gamma' \rangle + \langle \gamma', A' \rangle - \frac{\varepsilon}{c^2} \langle \gamma', Y' \rangle^2 \right\}.$$

Since γ is a reflecting geodesic, it follows that $\langle \gamma', A' \rangle = \frac{d}{dt} \langle \gamma', A \rangle$ and

$$Y' = \frac{\varepsilon}{c^2} \langle Y', \gamma' \rangle \gamma' + Y'^{\perp};$$

hence

$$\langle Y', Y' \rangle = \frac{\varepsilon}{c^2} \langle Y', \gamma' \rangle^2 + \langle Y'^{\perp}, Y'^{\perp} \rangle.$$

Substitution then gives

$$\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial s^2} \Big|_{s=0} = \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \left\{ \langle Y'^{\perp}, Y'^{\perp} \rangle - \langle Y, R(Y, \gamma') \gamma' \rangle + \frac{d}{dt} \langle \gamma', A \rangle \right\}.$$

Now, by (2.6), we have

$$(3.6) \quad L''(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \left\{ \int_{a_{i-1}(s)}^{a_i(s)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} |X(t, s)| dt \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +a'_i(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} |X(t, s)|_{t=a_i(s)-0} - a'_{i-1}(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} |X(t, s)|_{t=a_{i-1}(s)+0} \} \\
 & + \sum_{i=1}^k [a''_i(s) \{ |X(a_i(s) - 0, s)| - |X(a_i(s) + 0, s)| \}] \\
 & + a'_i(s) \{ \frac{d}{ds} |X(a_i(s) - 0, s)| - \frac{d}{ds} |X(a_i(s) + 0, s)| \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Setting $s = 0$ in (3.6) produces the following changes:

$$|X(a_i(s) - 0, s)| - |X(a_i(s) + 0, s)| \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} |X(t, s)|_{t=a_i(s) \pm 0} \rightarrow \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \langle Y'(a_i \pm 0), X(a_i \pm 0) \rangle,$$

and

$$\frac{d}{ds} |X(a_i(s) \pm 0, s)| \rightarrow \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \langle Y'(a_i \pm 0), X(a_i \pm 0) \rangle.$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.7) \quad L''(0) &= \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \int_a^b \{ \langle Y^{\perp'}, Y^{\perp'} \rangle - \langle R(Y, \gamma') \gamma', Y \rangle \} dt \\
 &+ \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \langle A, X \rangle \Big|_{a_{i-1}}^{a_i} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k a'_i(0) \Delta_{a_i} \langle Y', X \rangle \right. \\
 &= \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \int_a^b \{ \langle Y^{\perp'}, Y^{\perp'} \rangle - \langle R(Y, \gamma') \gamma', Y \rangle \} dt \\
 &+ \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \left\{ \langle A, X \rangle \Big|_a^b + \sum_{i=1}^k \Delta_{a_i} \langle A, X \rangle + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k a'_i(0) \Delta_{a_i} \langle Y', X \rangle \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the rest of proof, we use the notation simplified as in the proof of Lemma 2.7. We show the following facts:

$$\Delta_{t_0} \langle A, X \rangle + 2d\Delta_{t_0} \langle Y', X \rangle = \langle S_{\Delta X}(dX_+ + Y_+), dX_+ + Y_+ \rangle,$$

and

$$\Delta_{a_i} \langle A, X \rangle + 2a'_i(0) \Delta_{a_i} \langle Y', X \rangle = 0 \quad (i \neq j).$$

In fact, let $\beta : (-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow B$ be $\beta(s) := \varphi(t_0(s), s)$, then $\beta'(0) = dX_+ + Y_+ = dX_- + Y_-$ and $\beta''(0) = A_+ + 2dY'_+ + eX_+ = A_- + 2dY'_- + eX_-$ by Lemma 3.2, where $Y'_\pm := Y'(t_0 \pm 0)$, $A_\pm := A(t_0 \pm 0)$ and $e = t''_0(0)$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle S_{\Delta X}(dX_+ + Y_+), dX_+ + Y_+ \rangle \\
 &= \langle D_{\beta'(0)} \beta', \Delta X \rangle = \langle A_+ + 2dY'_+ + eX_+, \Delta X \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (3.5), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle S_{\Delta X}(dX_+ + Y_+), dX_+ + Y_+ \rangle \\
&= \langle A_- + 2dY'_- + eX_-, X_- \rangle - \langle A_+ + 2dY'_+ + eX_+, X_+ \rangle \\
= & \langle A_- + 2dY'_-, X_- \rangle - \langle A_+ + 2dY'_+, X_+ \rangle + e\{\langle X_-, X_- \rangle - \langle X_+, X_+ \rangle\} \\
&= \Delta_{t_0} \langle A + 2dY', X \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{a_i} \langle A, X \rangle + 2a'_i(0)\Delta_{a_i} \langle Y', X \rangle \\
= & \langle \Delta_{a_i} A, X(a_i) \rangle + 2a'_i(0) \langle \Delta_{a_i} Y', X(a_i) \rangle = 0 \quad (i \neq j).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad L''(0) &= \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \int_a^b \{ \langle Y^{\perp'}, Y^{\perp'} \rangle - \langle R(Y, \gamma')\gamma', Y \rangle \} dt \\
&+ \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \langle A, X \rangle \Big|_a^b + \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \langle S_{\Delta X}(dX_+ + Y_+), dX_+ + Y_+ \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \langle d\Delta X + \Delta Y, \Delta X \rangle = d \langle \Delta X, \Delta X \rangle + \langle \Delta Y, \Delta X \rangle, \\
& \quad (= \langle dX_+ + Y_+, \Delta X \rangle = d \langle X_+, \Delta X \rangle + \langle Y_+, \Delta X \rangle)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta Y := \Delta_{t_0} Y$. Thus we have

$$d = - \frac{\langle \Delta Y, \Delta X \rangle}{\langle \Delta X, \Delta X \rangle} = - \frac{\langle Y_+, \Delta X \rangle}{\langle X_+, \Delta X \rangle}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

For a fixed endpoint variation, since $\langle A, \gamma' \rangle \Big|_a^b = 0$, $L''(0)$ depends only on the variation vector field Y .

§4. The index form

Let p and q be points of M . And let $\Omega = \Omega(p, q) \subset \tilde{\Omega}$ be the set of all piecewise smooth curves $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ such that $\alpha(a) = p$ and $\alpha(b) = q$. A subspace $T_\alpha \Omega$ in $T_\alpha \tilde{\Omega}$ is defined by

$$T_\alpha \Omega := \{Y \in T_\alpha \tilde{\Omega} : Y(a) = 0, Y(b) = 0\}.$$

We assume that $\Delta_{t_0}X$ is nonnull and nonzero. If $Y \in T_\alpha\tilde{\Omega}$, then

$$d_Y := d_j = -\frac{\langle Y(t_0 + 0), \Delta_{t_0}X \rangle}{\langle X(t_0 + 0), \Delta_{t_0}X \rangle} = -\frac{\langle \Delta_{t_0}Y, \Delta_{t_0}X \rangle}{\langle \Delta_{t_0}X, \Delta_{t_0}X \rangle}.$$

Hence, if $Y, V \in T_\alpha\tilde{\Omega}$, then $d_{Y+V} = d_Y + d_V$.

When we assume that $\Delta_{t_0} \langle X, X \rangle = 0$ and $\Delta_{t_0}X$ is normal to B , if $Y \in T_\alpha\tilde{\Omega}$, then, by Lemma 2.7, Y^T and Y^\perp are elements of $T_\gamma\tilde{\Omega}$. Furthermore followings hold:

$$(4.1) \quad \Delta_{t_0} \langle Y, Y \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for any } Y \in T_\alpha\tilde{\Omega}.$$

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle \text{nor}Y(t_0 - 0), \text{nor}Y(t_0 - 0) \rangle \\ & = \langle \text{nor}Y(t_0 + 0), \text{nor}Y(t_0 + 0) \rangle, \quad \text{for any } Y \in T_\alpha\tilde{\Omega}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.3) \quad \Delta_{t_0} \langle Y^T, Y^T \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for any } Y \in T_\alpha\tilde{\Omega},$$

hence

$$(4.4) \quad \Delta_{t_0} \langle Y^\perp, Y^\perp \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for any } Y \in T_\alpha\tilde{\Omega}.$$

In fact, from (2.5) and (2.9),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Y(t_0 - 0) + Y(t_0 + 0), \Delta_{t_0}Y \rangle &= \langle Y(t_0 - 0) + Y(t_0 + 0), -d_Y \Delta_{t_0}X \rangle \\ &= -d_Y \langle Y(t_0 - 0) + Y(t_0 + 0), \Delta_{t_0}X \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (4.1) holds. Since $\Delta_{t_0}Y$ is normal to B , $\text{tan}Y(t_0 - 0) = \text{tan}Y(t_0 + 0)$. Thus, by (4.1), (4.2) is true. Finally we show (4.3). Here, we can put $Y^T(t_0 - 0) = cX(t_0 - 0)$ and $Y^T(t_0 + 0) = cX(t_0 + 0)$ for some constant c , since $\Delta_{t_0} \langle Y^T, X \rangle = 0$ and $\Delta_{t_0} \langle X, X \rangle = 0$. Thus we have

$$\Delta_{t_0} \langle Y^T, Y^T \rangle = c^2 \Delta_{t_0} \langle X, X \rangle = 0.$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let P be a linear operator defined by definition 3.2. Then*

$$(4.5) \quad P(Y^\perp) = P(Y) \quad \text{for all } Y \in T_\gamma\tilde{\Omega},$$

and $P : T_\gamma\tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow T_{\gamma(t_0)}B$ is a surjection.

proof. The proof is a straightforward calculation. For simplicity, we use the notation as in the proofs of Lemma 2.7 and Theorem 3.3. If $\Delta X \neq 0$, then

$$P(Y^\perp) = Y_+^\perp - \frac{\langle \Delta Y^\perp, \Delta X \rangle}{\langle \Delta X, \Delta X \rangle} X_+$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= Y_+ - \frac{\langle Y_+, X_+ \rangle}{\langle X_+, X_+ \rangle} X_+ \\
&- \frac{1}{\langle \Delta X, \Delta X \rangle} \langle Y_- - \frac{\langle Y_-, X_- \rangle}{\langle X_-, X_- \rangle} X_- - Y_+ + \frac{\langle Y_+, X_+ \rangle}{\langle X_+, X_+ \rangle} X_+, \Delta X \rangle X_+ \\
&= Y_+ - \frac{\langle Y_+, X_+ \rangle}{\langle X_+, X_+ \rangle} X_+ - \frac{1}{\langle \Delta X, \Delta X \rangle} \langle \Delta Y, \Delta X \rangle X_+ \\
&+ \frac{1}{\langle \Delta X, \Delta X \rangle} \frac{1}{\langle X_+, X_+ \rangle} \langle \langle Y_-, X_- \rangle X_- - \langle Y_+, X_+ \rangle X_+, \Delta X \rangle X_+ \\
&= P(Y) - \frac{1}{\langle X_+, X_+ \rangle} \{ \langle Y_+, X_+ \rangle X_+ \\
&- \frac{1}{\langle \Delta X, \Delta X \rangle} \langle Y_+, X_+ \rangle \langle \Delta X, \Delta X \rangle X_+ \} \\
&= P(Y). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.2. The *index form* I_γ of a nonnull reflecting geodesic $\gamma \in \Omega$ for which $\Delta_{t_0} X$ is nonnull is the unique symmetric bilinear form

$$I_\gamma : T_\gamma \Omega \times T_\gamma \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R},$$

such that

$$I_\gamma(Y, Y) = L''(0),$$

where L is the length function of a fixed endpoint variation of γ in Ω with variation vector field $Y \in T_\gamma \Omega$.

Corollary 4.3. If $\gamma \in \Omega$ is a reflecting geodesic of constant speed $c > 0$ and sign ε such that $\gamma(t_0) \in B$ and $\Delta X := \Delta_{t_0} X$ is nonnull, then

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\gamma(Y, W) &= \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \int_a^b \{ \langle Y^{\perp'}, W^{\perp'} \rangle - \langle R(Y, \gamma') \gamma', W \rangle \} dt \\
&+ \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \langle S_{\Delta X}(P(Y)), P(W) \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $Y, W \in T_\gamma \Omega$.

From Lemma 4.1, it follows immediately that

$$I_\gamma(Y, W) = I_\gamma(Y^\perp, W^\perp) \quad \text{for all } Y, W \in T_\gamma \Omega.$$

Thus there is no loss of information in restricting the index form I_γ to

$$T_\gamma^\perp \Omega := \{Y \in T_\gamma \Omega : Y \perp \gamma'\}.$$

We write I_γ^\perp for this restriction.

Integration by parts produces a new version of the formula above.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\gamma \in \Omega$ be a reflecting geodesic of constant speed $c > 0$ and $\text{sgn } \varepsilon$ such that $\gamma(t_0) \in B$ and $\Delta X := \Delta_{t_0} X$ is nonnull. If Y and $W \in T_\gamma \Omega$ have breaks $a_1 < \cdots < t_0 = a_j < \cdots < a_k$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} I_\gamma(Y, W) &= -\frac{\varepsilon}{c} \int_a^b \langle Y^{\perp''} + R(Y, \gamma')\gamma', W^\perp \rangle dt \\ &+ \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \langle S_{\Delta X}(P(Y)) + \Delta_{t_0} Y^{\perp'}, P(W) \rangle + \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \sum_{i \neq j} \langle \Delta_{a_i} Y^{\perp'}, W^\perp(a_i) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

proof. In Corollary 4.3, we can rewrite

$$\langle Y^{\perp'}, W^{\perp'} \rangle = \frac{d}{dt} \langle Y^{\perp'}, W^\perp \rangle - \langle Y^{\perp''}, W^\perp \rangle.$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_\gamma(Y, W) &= \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \int_a^b \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} \langle Y^{\perp'}, W^\perp \rangle - \langle Y^{\perp''}, W^\perp \rangle - \langle R(Y, \gamma')\gamma', W \rangle \right\} dt \\ &+ \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \langle S_{\Delta X}(P(Y)), P(W) \rangle \\ &= -\frac{\varepsilon}{c} \int_a^b \{ \langle Y^{\perp''}, W^\perp \rangle + \langle R(Y^\perp, \gamma')\gamma', W^\perp \rangle \} dt \\ &+ \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \langle Y^{\perp'}, W^\perp \rangle |_{a_{i-1}}^{a_i} + \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \langle S_{\Delta X}(P(Y)), P(W) \rangle \\ &= -\frac{\varepsilon}{c} \int_a^b \{ \langle Y^{\perp''}, W^\perp \rangle + \langle R(Y^\perp, \gamma')\gamma', W^\perp \rangle \} dt \\ &+ \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \sum_{i \neq j} \langle \Delta_{a_i} Y^{\perp'}, W^\perp(a_i) \rangle + \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \Delta_{t_0} \langle Y^{\perp'}, W^\perp \rangle \\ &+ \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \langle S_{\Delta X}(P(Y)), P(W) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, we use the notation as in the proofs of Lemma 2.7 and Theorem 3.3. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{t_0} \langle Y^{\perp'}, W^\perp \rangle &= \langle Y^{\perp'}_-, W^\perp_- \rangle - \langle Y^{\perp'}_+, W^\perp_+ \rangle \\ &= \langle Y^{\perp'}_-, P(W^\perp) \rangle + \frac{1}{\langle \Delta X, \Delta X \rangle} \langle \Delta_{t_0} W^\perp, \Delta X \rangle X_- \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \langle Y_{+, \perp}^{\perp'}, P(W^\perp) \rangle + \frac{1}{\langle \Delta X, \Delta X \rangle} \langle \Delta_{t_0} W^\perp, \Delta X \rangle \langle X_+, \rangle \\
& = \langle \Delta_{t_0} Y^{\perp'}, P(W) \rangle + \frac{1}{\langle \Delta X, \Delta X \rangle} \langle \Delta_{t_0} W^\perp, \Delta X \rangle \\
& \quad \times \{ \langle Y_{-, \perp}^{\perp'}, X_- \rangle - \langle Y_{+, \perp}^{\perp'}, X_+ \rangle \} \\
& = \langle \Delta_{t_0} Y^{\perp'}, P(W) \rangle, \\
& \text{since } \langle Y_{-, \perp}^{\perp'}, X_- \rangle - \langle Y_{+, \perp}^{\perp'}, X_+ \rangle = \Delta_{t_0} \frac{d}{dt} \langle Y^\perp, X \rangle. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 4.8. *Let $\gamma \in \Omega$ be a reflecting geodesic of constant speed $c > 0$ and $\text{sgn } \varepsilon$ such that $\gamma(t_0) \in B$ and $\Delta X := \Delta_{t_0} X$ is nonnull. Then $Y \in T_\gamma^\perp \Omega$ is an element of the nullspace of I_γ^\perp if and only if Y satisfies following two properties:*

$$(4.6) \quad Y \text{ is a Jacobi field on } [a, t_0] \text{ and } [t_0, b],$$

$$(4.7) \quad S_{\Delta X}(P(Y)) + \Delta_{t_0} Y' \text{ is normal to } B.$$

proof. Let Y be in the nullspace of I_γ^\perp and have breaks $a_1 < \cdots < t_0 = a_j < \cdots < a_k$. First we show that each restriction $Y|_{I_i}$ is a Jacobi field. For a fixed t inside the interval I_i , let y be an arbitrary tangent vector to M at $\gamma(t)$. Construct $W = fV$ as in the proof of Corollary 2.8. Then, since $Y \perp \gamma'$, we have

$$0 = I_\gamma(Y, W) = -\frac{\varepsilon}{c} \int_{t-\zeta}^{t+\zeta} \langle Y'' + R(Y, \gamma')\gamma', fV^\perp \rangle dt.$$

It follows as before that $Y'' + R(Y, \gamma')\gamma'$ is zero at t , hence identically zero on I_i , and so Y is Jacobi there. The proof that Y is differentiable on $[a, t_0]$ and $[t_0, b]$ again follows the same pattern as for the proof of Corollary 2.8. Thus

$$0 = I_\gamma(Y, W) = \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \langle S_{\Delta X}(P(Y)) + \Delta_{t_0} Y', P(W) \rangle.$$

Since P is a surjection, $S_{\Delta X}(P(Y)) + \Delta_{t_0} Y'$ is normal to B .

Conversely, if (4.6) and (4.7) hold, then Y is an element of the nullspace of I_γ^\perp . \square

§5. Conjugate points

Let $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ be a reflecting geodesic such that $\gamma(t_0) \in B$ and $\Delta X := \Delta_{t_0} X$ is nonnull. Consider a variation $\varphi : [a, b] \times (-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow M$ such that $\varphi(t, 0) = \gamma(t)$ and $\varphi_s = \varphi(\cdot, s)$ is a reflecting geodesic for each s and the

parameters $t_0(s)$ at which the geodesics reflect is smooth for s . Let Y be the variation vector field. Then, we can prove the following.

Lemma 5.1.

$$(5.1) \quad Y'' + R(Y, X)X = 0 \quad \text{on } [a, t_0] \text{ and } [t_0, b],$$

$$(5.2) \quad S_{\Delta X}(P(Y)) + \Delta_{t_0}Y' \text{ is normal to } B,$$

$$(5.3) \quad \langle Y, X \rangle = C_1t + C_2 \quad \text{for some constant } C_1 \text{ and } C_2.$$

Proof. (1): Since φ is a variation through reflecting geodesics, Y is a Jacobi field along γ on $[a, t_0]$ and $[t_0, b]$, hence, satisfies (5.1).

(2): Let $\beta : (-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow B$ be $\beta(s) = \varphi(t_0(s), s)$. And we put $Z(s) = X(t_0(s) - 0, s) - X(t_0(s) + 0, s)$. Then, we find

$$S_{\Delta X}(P(Y)) = S_{Z(0)}(\beta'(0)) = (S_Z(\beta'))(0) = -\tan(D_{\beta'}Z)(0).$$

Further, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\beta'}Z &= t'_0(s) \frac{DX}{\partial t}(t_0(s) - 0, s) + \frac{DX}{\partial s}(t_0(s) - 0, s) \\ &\quad - (t'_0(s) \frac{DX}{\partial t}(t_0(s) + 0, s) + \frac{DX}{\partial s}(t_0(s) + 0, s)) \\ &= \frac{DY}{\partial t}(t_0(s) - 0, s) - \frac{DY}{\partial t}(t_0(s) + 0, s). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$S_{\Delta X}(P(Y)) = -\tan \Delta Y'.$$

(3): We set $\langle X(t, s), X(t, s) \rangle = c(s)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \frac{DY}{\partial t}(t, s), X(t, s) \rangle &= \langle \frac{DX}{\partial s}(t, s), X(t, s) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \langle X(t, s), X(t, s) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} c'(s). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$\langle Y(t), X(t) \rangle' = \langle Y'(t), X(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} c'(0).$$

Thus, for some constant $C_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$, we have

$$\langle Y, X \rangle = \begin{cases} C_1 t + C_2 & \text{on } [a, t_0] \\ C_1 t + C_3 & \text{on } [t_0, b] \end{cases} \quad (C_1 := \frac{1}{2}c'(0)).$$

The result follows from $\Delta_{t_0} \langle Y, X \rangle = 0$. \square

Lemma 5.2. *If φ is a variation through reflecting geodesic of constant speed $c > 0$, then*

$$(5.4) \quad \langle Y, X \rangle = \text{const.}$$

Furthermore,

$$(5.5) \quad Y' = Y^{\perp'} \quad \text{on } [a, t_0] \text{ and } [t_0, b].$$

proof. Since $\langle X(t, s), X(t, s) \rangle = \text{const.}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \frac{DY}{\partial t}(t, s), X(t, s) \rangle &= \langle \frac{DX}{\partial s}(t, s), X(t, s) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \langle X(t, s), X(t, s) \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle Y(t, s), X(t, s) \rangle = \langle \frac{DY}{\partial t}(t, s), X(t, s) \rangle = 0.$$

Since $\Delta_{t_0} \langle Y, X \rangle = 0$, (5.4) holds. Furthermore, we have

$$Y' = D_X Y = D_X (Y^\perp + \frac{\langle Y, X \rangle}{\langle X, X \rangle} X) = D_X Y^\perp + \frac{\langle Y, X \rangle}{\langle X, X \rangle} D_X X = Y^{\perp'}. \quad \square$$

Definition 5.3. Let γ be a reflecting geodesic such that $\gamma(t_0) \in B$ and $\Delta_{t_0} X$ is nonnull. If $Y \in T_{\gamma} \tilde{\Omega}$ satisfies the conditions (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3), then Y is called an *admissible Jacobi field* along γ . Let \mathcal{J}_γ be the set of all admissible Jacobi fields on γ . An admissible Jacobi field Y along γ is a *perpendicular admissible Jacobi field* if Y is normal to γ . Let \mathcal{J}_γ^\perp be the set of all perpendicular admissible Jacobi fields on γ . An admissible Jacobi field Y along γ is a *continuous admissible Jacobi field* if $Y(t_0) \in T_{\gamma(t_0)} B$. Let $\mathcal{J}_\gamma^{\text{con}}$ be the set of all continuous admissible Jacobi fields on γ .

By Corollary 4.5 elements of the nullspace of I_γ^\perp are perpendicular admissible Jacobi fields. If Y is an admissible Jacobi field, then $Y \perp \gamma \Leftrightarrow$ there

exist $t_i \in [a, b]$ ($i = 1, 2$) such that $Y(t_i) \perp \gamma$ ($i = 1, 2$) \Leftrightarrow there exist $t_i \in [a, b]$ ($i = 1, 2$) such that $Y(t_1) \perp \gamma$ and $Y'(t_2) \perp \gamma$, since (5.3). Y is an admissible Jacobi field if and only if Y^T and Y^\perp are admissible Jacobis. \mathcal{J}_γ , \mathcal{J}_γ^\perp and \mathcal{J}_γ^{con} forms real vector spaces.

Lemma 5.4. *Let Y be an admissible Jacobi field on a reflecting geodesic γ . Then Y is the variation vector field of a variation φ of γ through reflecting geodesics.*

proof. Let $\beta : (-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow B$ be a curve with $\beta(0) = \gamma(t_0)$ and $\beta'(0) = P(Y)$. Let $A^{tan}(s)$ and $B^{tan}(s)$ be the vector fields on β gotten by B parallel translation of $\tan X(t_0 - 0)(= \tan X(t_0 + 0))$ and $\tan Y'(t_0 - 0) + S_{nor X(t_0 - 0)}(P(Y))$ ($= \tan Y'(t_0 + 0) + S_{nor X(t_0 + 0)}(P(Y))$) along β . And let $A_\pm^{nor}(s)$ and $B_\pm^{nor}(s)$ be the vector fields on β gotten by normal parallel translation of $nor X(t_0 \pm 0)$ and $nor Y'(t_0 \pm 0) - II(P(Y), \tan X(t_0 \pm 0))$ along β . Where the function II is the shape tensor defined by $II(V, W) = nor D_V W$ for any tangent vector field V and W to B . Finally, we put $A_\pm(s) = A^{tan}(s) + A_\pm^{nor}(s)$ and $B_\pm(s) = B^{tan}(s) + B_\pm^{nor}(s)$. If $Z_\pm(s) = A_\pm(s) + sB_\pm(s)$ for all s , then $Z_\pm(0) = X(t_0 \pm 0)$. Furthermore,

$$Z'_\pm(0) = A'_\pm(0) + B_\pm(0) = Y'(t_0 - 0).$$

For Z_\pm as above, we now define a required variation φ as follows. Let \exp be the exponential map and $t_0(s) = d_Y s + t_0$. Then

$$(5.7) \quad \varphi(t, s) = \begin{cases} \exp_{\beta(s)}((t - t_0(s))Z_-(s)) & \text{on } t \in [a, t_0(s)] \\ \exp_{\beta(s)}((t - t_0(s))Z_+(s)) & \text{on } t \in [t_0(s), b] \end{cases}$$

defines a variation of γ . The longitudinal curves of φ satisfy $X(t_0(s) \pm 0, s) = Z_\pm(s)$. Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & X(t_0(s) - 0, s) - X(t_0(s) + 0, s) \\ &= A_-^{nor}(s) - A_+^{nor} + s(B_-^{nor}(s) - B_+^{nor}(s)), \end{aligned}$$

and this is normal to B .

If V is the variation vector field of φ , then $V(t_0 \pm 0) = Y(t_0 \pm 0)$ since $P(V) = \beta'(0) = P(Y)$. By construction, it follows that

$$V'(t_0 \pm 0) = \frac{DX}{\partial s}(t_0 \pm 0, 0) = (D_{\beta'} Z_\pm)(0) = Z'_\pm(0).$$

Thus we get $V = Y$. \square

Definition 5.5. Let γ be a reflecting geodesic such that $\gamma(t_0) \in B$ and $\Delta_{t_0} X$ is nonnull. We say that $\gamma(t_2)$ is a *conjugate point* to $\gamma(t_1)$ ($t_1 \neq t_2$) with respect to B if there exists a nontrivial admissible Jacobi field Y along γ with $Y(t_1) = 0$ and $Y(t_2) = 0$.

Example 1. Let $M = \mathbf{R}^2$ be the Euclidean plane and

$$B = \{(x, y) \mid \frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1\} \quad (0 < a \leq b).$$

And let $r = (a, 0) \in B$ and $p = (0, be)$, $q = (0, -be) \in M$, where $e = \sqrt{b^2 - a^2}/b$. A curve $\gamma : [0, 2b] \rightarrow M$ is defined by

$$\gamma(t) = \begin{cases} (\frac{at}{b}, be(1 - \frac{t}{b})) & \text{on } [0, b] \\ (\frac{a}{b}(2b - t), be(1 - \frac{t}{b})) & \text{on } [b, 2b] \end{cases}.$$

It holds that $\gamma(0) = p$, $\gamma(b) = r$ and $\gamma(2b) = q$. If $U_1 = \partial/\partial x$ and $U_2 = \partial/\partial y$ are the natural frame field, then

$$\gamma'(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{a}{b}U_1 - eU_2 & \text{on } [0, b] \\ -\frac{a}{b}U_1 - eU_2 & \text{on } [b, 2b] \end{cases}.$$

Thus γ is a unit-speed reflecting geodesic. We define a variation $\varphi : [0, 2b] \times (-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow M$ of γ by

$$\varphi(t, \theta) = \begin{cases} (\frac{a \cos \theta}{t_0(\theta)}t, be + \frac{b(\sin \theta - e)}{t_0(\theta)}t) & \text{on } I_-(\theta) \\ (\frac{a(t - 2b) \cos \theta}{t_0(\theta) - 2b}, -be + \frac{b(t - 2b)(\sin \theta + e)}{t_0(\theta) - 2b}) & \text{on } I_+(\theta) \end{cases},$$

where

$$t_0(\theta) = \sqrt{(a \cos \theta)^2 + (b \sin \theta - be)^2},$$

$$I_-(\theta) = [0, t_0(\theta)] \times (-\delta, \delta)$$

$$I_+(\theta) = [t_0(\theta), 2b] \times (-\delta, \delta).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta}(t, \theta) &= -\frac{at}{t_0(\theta)^2}(t_0(\theta) \sin \theta + t'_0(\theta) \cos \theta)U_1 \\ &+ \frac{bt}{t_0(\theta)^2}(t_0(\theta) \cos \theta - t'_0(\theta)(\sin \theta - e))U_2 \quad \text{on } I_-(\theta) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta}(t, \theta) &= -\frac{a(t-2b)}{(t_0(\theta)-2b)^2}((t_0(\theta)-2b)\sin\theta + t'_0(\theta)\cos\theta)U_1 \\ &+ \frac{b(t-2b)}{(t_0(\theta)-2b)^2}((t_0(\theta)-2b)\cos\theta - t'_0(\theta)(\sin\theta + e))U_2 \quad \text{on } I_+(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Since $t'_0(\theta) = -be$, the variation vector field Y is

$$Y(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{aet}{b}U_1 + \frac{a^2t}{b^2}U_2 & \text{on } [0, b] \\ \frac{ae(t-2b)}{b}U_1 - \frac{a^2(t-2b)}{b^2}U_2 & \text{on } [b, 2b] \end{cases}.$$

It follows that

$$Y(b-0) = aeU_1(r) + \frac{a^2}{b}U_2(r)$$

and

$$Y(b+0) = -aeU_1(r) + \frac{a^2}{b}U_2(r).$$

Thus an admissible Jacobi field Y is discontinuous for $a \neq b$. Furthermore $\gamma(0) = p$ is a conjugate point to $\gamma(2b) = q$ with respect to B since $Y(0) = 0$ and $Y(2b) = 0$. We note that Hasegawa mentioned this example in [2].

Example 2. Let $M = \mathbf{R}^3$ be the Euclidean space and B be a regular smooth curve on

$$\tilde{B} = \{(x, y, z) \in M \mid \frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} + \frac{z^2}{a^2} = 1\} \quad (0 < a \leq b).$$

Then $p = (0, be, 0)$ is a conjugate point to $q = (0, -be, 0)$ with respect to B and \tilde{B} .

Example 3. Let $M = \mathbf{R}_1^3$ be the Lorentzian space with the metric

$$\langle (x, y, z), (x, y, z) \rangle = -x^2 + y^2 + z^2$$

and

$$B = \{(0, y, z) \mid y^2 + z^2 = 1\},$$

that is, a sphere $S^1(1)$ in the hyperplane $x = 0$. And take $r = (0, 1, 0) \in B$ and $p = (c, 0, 0), q = (d, 0, 0) \in M$ with $(1-c^2)(1-d^2) > 0$. Let $\gamma : [0, \bar{c} + \bar{d}] \rightarrow M$ ($\bar{c} := \sqrt{|1-c^2|}, \bar{d} := \sqrt{|1-d^2|}$) be a curve defined by

$$\gamma(t) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{c(\bar{c}-t)}{\bar{c}}, \frac{t}{\bar{c}}, 0 \right) & \text{on } [0, \bar{c}] \\ \left(\frac{d(t-\bar{c})}{\bar{d}}, \frac{\bar{c} + \bar{d} - t}{\bar{d}}, 0 \right) & \text{on } [\bar{c}, \bar{c} + \bar{d}] \end{cases}.$$

Then $\gamma(0) = p$, $\gamma(\bar{c}) = r$ and $\gamma(\bar{c} + \bar{d}) = q$ hold. If $U_0 = \partial/\partial x$, $U_1 = \partial/\partial y$, $U_2 = \partial/\partial z$ are the natural frame field of \mathbf{R}_1^3 such that $\langle U_0, U_0 \rangle = -1$, then

$$\gamma'(t) = \begin{cases} -\frac{c}{\bar{c}}U_0 + \frac{1}{\bar{c}}U_1 & \text{on } [0, \bar{c}] \\ \frac{d}{\bar{d}}U_0 - \frac{1}{\bar{d}}U_1 & \text{on } [\bar{c}, \bar{c} + \bar{d}] \end{cases}$$

and

$$\langle \gamma', \gamma' \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{1-c^2}{\bar{c}^2} & \text{on } [0, \bar{c}] \\ \frac{1-d^2}{\bar{d}^2} & \text{on } [\bar{c}, \bar{c} + \bar{d}] \end{cases}.$$

Thus γ is a timelike or spacelike unit-speed geodesic. Since we have

$$\gamma'(\bar{c} - 0) - \gamma'(\bar{c} + 0) = -\left(\frac{c}{\bar{c}} + \frac{d}{\bar{d}}\right)U_0(r) + \left(\frac{1}{\bar{c}} + \frac{1}{\bar{d}}\right)U_1(r)$$

and

$$T_r B = \text{Span}\{U_2(r)\},$$

γ is a reflecting geodesic. We define a variation $\varphi : [0, \bar{c} + \bar{d}] \times (-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow M$ of γ by

$$\varphi(t, \theta) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{c(\bar{c}-t)}{\bar{c}}, \frac{t \cos \theta}{\bar{c}}, \frac{t \sin \theta}{\bar{c}}\right) & \text{on } [0, \bar{c}] \times (-\delta, \delta) \\ \left(\frac{d(t-\bar{c})}{\bar{d}}, \frac{(\bar{c}+\bar{d}-t) \cos \theta}{\bar{d}}, \frac{(\bar{c}+\bar{d}-t) \sin \theta}{\bar{d}}\right) & \text{on } [\bar{c}, \bar{c} + \bar{d}] \times (-\delta, \delta) \end{cases}.$$

It holds that

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}(t, \theta) = \begin{cases} -\frac{c}{\bar{c}}U_0 + \frac{\cos \theta}{\bar{c}}U_1 + \frac{\sin \theta}{\bar{c}}U_2 & \text{on } [0, \bar{c}] \times (-\delta, \delta) \\ \frac{d}{\bar{d}}U_0 - \frac{\cos \theta}{\bar{d}}U_1 - \frac{\sin \theta}{\bar{d}}U_2 & \text{on } [\bar{c}, \bar{c} + \bar{d}] \times (-\delta, \delta) \end{cases}.$$

Let

$$v := -\sin \theta \cdot U_1(\varphi(\bar{c}, \theta)) + \cos \theta \cdot U_2(\varphi(\bar{c}, \theta))$$

and

$$w := \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}(\bar{c} - 0, \theta) - \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}(\bar{c} + 0, \theta).$$

Then it follows that $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$ since $T_{\varphi(\bar{c}, \theta)} B = \text{Span}\{v\}$ and

$$w = -\left(\frac{c}{\bar{c}} + \frac{d}{\bar{d}}\right)U_0(\varphi(\bar{c}, \theta)) + \cos \theta \left(\frac{1}{\bar{c}} + \frac{1}{\bar{d}}\right)U_1(\varphi(\bar{c}, \theta)) + \sin \theta \left(\frac{1}{\bar{c}} + \frac{1}{\bar{d}}\right)U_2(\varphi(\bar{c}, \theta)).$$

Hence φ is a variation through reflecting geodesics. Furthermore it holds that

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta}(t, \theta) = \begin{cases} -\frac{t \sin \theta}{\bar{c}}U_1 + \frac{t \cos \theta}{\bar{c}}U_2 & \text{on } [0, \bar{c}] \times (-\delta, \delta) \\ -\frac{(\bar{c}+\bar{d}-t) \sin \theta}{\bar{d}}U_1 + \frac{(\bar{c}+\bar{d}-t) \cos \theta}{\bar{d}}U_2 & \text{on } [\bar{c}, \bar{c} + \bar{d}] \times (-\delta, \delta) \end{cases}.$$

Thus the variation vector field Y is

$$Y(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{\bar{c}} U_2 & \text{on } [0, \bar{c}] \\ \frac{\bar{c} + \bar{d} - t}{\bar{d}} U_2 & \text{on } [\bar{c}, \bar{c} + \bar{d}] \end{cases} .$$

This shows that Y is a perpendicular and continuous admissible Jacobi field and $\gamma(0)$ is a conjugate point to $\gamma(\bar{c} + \bar{d})$ with respect to B .

§6. Normal reflecting geodesics

In this section we treat special cases of reflecting geodesics.

Definition 6.1. Let γ be a reflecting geodesic. If $X(t_0 - 0)$ is normal to B (thus so is $X(t_0 + 0)$), γ is called a *normal reflecting geodesic*.

For example, a reflecting geodesic with $\gamma(a) = \gamma(b)$ is a normal reflecting geodesic and so is a reflecting geodesic with $\gamma(a)$ or $\gamma(b) \in B$.

Proposition 6.2. *An admissible Jacobi field Y on a normal reflecting geodesic γ is the variation vector field of a variation φ of γ through normal reflecting geodesics if and only if*

$$(6.1) \quad S_{X(t_0 \pm 0)}(P(Y)) + Y'(t_0 \pm 0) \text{ are normal to } B.$$

proof. Let $\varphi : [a, b] \times (-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow M$ be such a variation with the variation vector field Y and $\beta : (-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow B$ a curve defined to be $\beta(s) = \varphi(t_0(s), s)$. Then $\beta'(0) = P(Y)$ and we put

$$Z_{\pm}(s) := X(t_0(s) \pm 0, s).$$

These are normal to B and

$$D_{\beta'(s)} Z_{\pm} = t'_0(s) \frac{DX}{\partial t}(t_0(s) \pm 0, s) + \frac{DX}{\partial s}(t_0(s) \pm 0, s) = \frac{DY}{\partial t}(t_0(s) \pm 0, s).$$

Hence $Z'_{\pm}(0) = Y'(t_0 \pm 0)$. Furthermore, we have

$$\tan Z'_{\pm} = \tan D_{\beta'} Z_{\pm} = -S_{Z_{\pm}}(\beta'),$$

hence

$$\tan Y'(t_0 \pm 0) = -S_{X(t_0 \pm 0)}(P(Y)).$$

It follows that

$$S_{X(t_0 \pm 0)}(P(Y)) + Y'(t_0 \pm 0) = \text{nor} Y'(t_0 \pm 0).$$

Converse is the case of $A^{tan} = B^{tan} = 0$ in Lemma 5.4. \square

Corollary 6.3. *An admissible Jacobi field Y on a normal (reflecting) geodesic γ with $t_0 = a$ is the variation vector field of a variation φ of γ through normal (reflecting) geodesics if and only if*

$$S_{X(a)}(Y(a)) + Y'(a) \text{ is normal to } B.$$

This coincides with the well-known fact, see Proposition 10.28 in [4], for example.

§7. Variation of energy

Let $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ be a piecewise smooth curve. Then the integral

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b \langle \alpha', \alpha' \rangle dt$$

is called *energy*. Let $E(s)$ be the value of E on the longitudinal curve $t \mapsto \varphi(t, s)$, so

$$E(s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b \langle \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \rangle dt,$$

where φ is the variation of α in $\tilde{\Omega}$. By contrast with L , the function E is always smooth without restriction on φ . Formulas for the first and second variations of E are simpler analogues of those for L .

Lemma 7.1. *Let $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ be a piecewise smooth curve such that $\alpha(t_0) \in B$. Let φ be a variation of α in $\tilde{\Omega}$, with Y and A the variation and transverse acceleration vector fields of φ . If $f = f(t, s) = \langle \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \rangle$, then*

$$(7.1) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \Big|_{s=0} = \langle Y', \alpha' \rangle = - \langle Y, \alpha'' \rangle + \frac{d}{dt} \langle Y, \alpha' \rangle,$$

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial s^2} \Big|_{s=0} &= \langle Y', Y' \rangle - \langle R(Y, \alpha') \alpha', Y \rangle + \langle A', \alpha' \rangle \\ &= - \langle Y'' + R(Y, \alpha') \alpha', Y \rangle + \langle A', \alpha' \rangle + \frac{d}{dt} \langle Y', Y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

proof. We readily compute

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} = \langle \frac{D}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \rangle = \langle \frac{D}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \rangle,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial s^2} &= \left\langle \frac{D}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}, \frac{D}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{D}{\partial s} \frac{D}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \frac{D}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}, \frac{D}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s} \right\rangle + \left\langle R \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{D}{\partial t} \frac{D}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \Big|_{s=0} &= \langle Y', \alpha' \rangle = - \langle Y, \alpha'' \rangle + \frac{d}{dt} \langle Y, \alpha' \rangle, \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial s^2} \Big|_{s=0} &= \langle Y', Y' \rangle - \langle R(Y, \alpha') \alpha', Y \rangle + \langle A', \alpha' \rangle \\ &= - \langle Y'' + R(Y, \alpha') \alpha', Y \rangle + \langle A', \alpha' \rangle + \frac{d}{dt} \langle Y', Y \rangle. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 7.2. (*First Variation Formula*) *Let $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ be a piecewise smooth curve such that $\alpha(t_0) \in B$. Let φ be a variation of α in $\tilde{\Omega}$ with the variation vector field Y . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} E'(0) &= - \int_a^b \langle Y, \alpha'' \rangle dt + \langle Y, \alpha' \rangle \Big|_a^b \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \langle Y(a_i - 0) + Y(a_i + 0), \Delta_{a_i} \alpha' \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_1 < \cdots < a_j = t_0 < \cdots < a_k$ are the breaks of α .

proof. As in the proof of Proposition 2.6, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E'(0) &= \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_a^b f(t, s) dt \right) \Big|_{s=0} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d}{ds} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \int_{a_{i-1}(s)}^{a_i(s)} f(t, s) dt \right) \Big|_{s=0} \\ &= \int_a^b \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \Big|_{s=0} dt + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \{ a'_i(0) f(a_i - 0, 0) - a'_{i-1}(0) f(a_{i-1} + 0, 0) \} \\ &= \int_a^b \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \Big|_{s=0} dt + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k a'_i(0) \{ f(a_i - 0, 0) - f(a_i + 0, 0) \}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7.2, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} E'(0) &= - \int_a^b \langle Y, \alpha'' \rangle dt + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \langle Y, \alpha' \rangle \Big|_{a_{i-1}}^{a_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k a'_i(0) \{ \langle \alpha'(a_i - 0), \\ &\quad \alpha'(a_i - 0) \rangle - \langle \alpha'(a_i + 0), \alpha'(a_i + 0) \rangle \} \\ &= - \int_a^b \langle Y, \alpha'' \rangle dt + \sum_{i=1}^k \Delta_{a_i} \langle Y, \alpha' \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle Y, \alpha' \rangle \Big|_a^b + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k a'_i(0) \Delta_{a_i} \langle \alpha', \alpha' \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& a'_i(0)\Delta_{a_i} \langle \alpha', \alpha' \rangle + 2\Delta_{a_i} \langle Y, \alpha' \rangle \\
& = \langle a'_i(0)\alpha'(a_i - 0) + 2Y(a_i - 0), \alpha'(a_i - 0) \rangle \\
& \quad - \langle a'_i(0)\alpha'(a_i + 0) + 2Y(a_i + 0), \alpha'(a_i + 0) \rangle \\
& = \langle a'_i(0)\alpha'(a_i + 0) + Y(a_i + 0) + Y(a_i - 0), \alpha'(a_i - 0) \rangle \\
& \quad - \langle a'_i(0)\alpha'(a_i - 0) + Y(a_i - 0) + Y(a_i + 0), \alpha'(a_i + 0) \rangle \\
& \quad = \langle Y(a_i + 0) + Y(a_i - 0), \Delta_{a_i} \alpha' \rangle \\
& \quad + \langle a'_i(0)\alpha'(a_i + 0), \alpha'(a_i - 0) \rangle - \langle a'_i(0)\alpha'(a_i - 0), \alpha'(a_i + 0) \rangle \\
& \quad = \langle Y(a_i + 0) + Y(a_i - 0), \Delta_{a_i} \alpha' \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

since (2.1). \square

Corollary 7.3. *Let $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ be a piecewise smooth curve such that $\alpha(t_0) \in B$. The first variation of energy is zero for every fixed endpoint variation of α in $\tilde{\Omega}$ if and only if α is a reflecting geodesic or a geodesic.*

proof. Suppose $E'(0) = 0$ for every fixed endpoint variation φ . First we show that each segment $\alpha|_{I_i}$ is geodesic. It suffices to show that $\alpha''(t) = 0$ for $t \in I_i^\circ$. Let y be any tangent vector to M at $\alpha(t)$, and let f be a bump function on $[a, b]$ with $\text{supp} f \subset [t - \zeta, t + \zeta] \subset I_i$. Let V be the vector field on α obtained by parallel translation of y , and finally let $Y = fV$.

Since $Y(a)$ and $Y(b)$ are both zero, exponential formula $\varphi(t, s) = \exp_{\alpha(t)}(sY(t))$ produces a fixed endpoint variation of α whose variation vector field is Y . Since $E'(0) = 0$, the formula in Proposition 7.2 reduces to

$$0 = - \int_a^b \langle Y, \alpha'' \rangle dt = \int_{t-\zeta}^{t+\zeta} \langle fV, \alpha'' \rangle dt.$$

This holds for all y and $\zeta > 0$. Hence $\langle y, \alpha''(t) \rangle = 0$ for all y ; hence $\alpha'' = 0$.

As before, let y be an arbitrary tangent vector at $\alpha(a_i)$ ($i \neq j$), and let f be a bump function at a_i with $\text{supp} f \subset I_i \cup I_{i+1}$ ($i \neq j$). For a fixed endpoint variation with vector field fV the first variation formula now reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = E'(0) &= \frac{1}{2} \langle Y(a_i - 0) + Y(a_i + 0), \Delta_{a_i} \alpha' \rangle \\
&= \langle y, \Delta_{a_i} \alpha' \rangle \quad \text{for all } y.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\Delta_{a_i} \alpha' = 0$ ($i \neq j$). This shows that (1.5) is true and $0 = \langle Y(t_0 - 0) + Y(t_0 + 0), \Delta_{t_0} X \rangle$. The latter means that $\langle y, \Delta_{t_0} X \rangle = 0$ for any $y \in T_{\alpha(t_0)} B$. Furthermore, for a fixed endpoint variation of α with $t'_0(0) \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle Y(t_0 - 0) + t'_0(0)X(t_0 - 0) + Y(t_0 + 0) + t'_0(0)X(t_0 + 0), \Delta_{t_0} X \rangle \\ &= \langle Y(t_0 - 0) + Y(t_0 + 0), \Delta_{t_0} X \rangle + t'_0(0) \Delta_{t_0} \langle X, X \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently (1.7) is true.

Conversely we assume that α is a reflecting geodesic. For any fixed endpoint variation of α whose vector field is Y , by the first variation formula,

$$E'(0) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Y(t_0 - 0) + Y(t_0 + 0), \Delta_{t_0} X \rangle = 0. \quad \square$$

Proposition 7.4. (*Second Variation Formula*) *Let $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ be a reflecting geodesic such that $\gamma(t_0) \in B$ and $\Delta X := \Delta_{t_0} X$ is nonnull. If φ is a variation of γ in $\tilde{\Omega}$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} E''(0) &= \int_a^b \{ \langle Y', Y' \rangle - \langle R(Y, \gamma') \gamma', Y \rangle \} dt \\ &+ \langle A, \gamma' \rangle \Big|_a^b + \langle S_{\Delta X}(P(Y)), P(Y) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

proof. Using (7.2), we can prove as in Theorem 3.2. \square

If γ is such a reflecting geodesic, then strictly analogous to the index form I_γ for L is the *Hessian* H_γ for E . Explicitly, H_γ is the unique \mathbf{R} -linear form on $T_\gamma \Omega$ such that $H_\gamma(Y, Y) = E''(0)$, where E is the energy function of a variation of γ in $\tilde{\Omega}$ whose variation vector field is Y . By the second variation formula above it follows as in Corollary 4.6,

$$\begin{aligned} H_\gamma(Y, W) &= \int_a^b \{ \langle Y', W' \rangle - \langle R(Y, \gamma') \gamma', W \rangle \} dt \\ &+ \langle S_{\Delta X}(P(Y)), P(W) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for $Y, W \in T_\gamma \Omega$.

Rererences

1. T.Hasegawa, The index theorem of geodesics on a Riemannian manifold with boundary, Kodai Math. J., **1** (1978), 285-288.

2. T.Hasegawa, On the position of a conjugate point of a reflected geodesic in E^2 and E^3 , *Yokohama Math. J.*, **32** (1984), 233-237.
3. N.Innami, Integral formulas for polyhedral and spherical billiards, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **50**, No.2, (1998), 339-357.
4. J.Milnor, *Morse Theory*, Princeton University Press (1963).
5. B.O'Neill, *Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Application to Relativity*, Academic Press (1983).
6. T.Sakai, *Riemannian Geometry*, Shokabo Press (1992).

Naoto Abe

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science Science University of Tokyo
Wakamiya-cho 26, Shinjuku-ku Tokyo 162-0827, Japan
e-mail: abe@ma.kagu.sut.ac.jp

Masakazu Takiguchi

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science Science University of Tokyo
Wakamiya-cho 26, Shinjuku-ku Tokyo 162-0827, Japan