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#### Abstract

We quantize the simply-laced isomonodromy systems using the theory of Manin matrices and Talalaev's quantum spectral curve method.
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## §1. Introduction

It is an interesting problem to quantize isomonodromic deformation equations. In [11] Reshetikhin showed that the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov system is a quantization of the Schlesinger equations, which govern the isomonodromic deformations of linear differential systems of the form

$$
\frac{d u}{d x}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{R_{i}}{x-t_{i}} u
$$

where the matrices $R_{i}$ do not depend on $x$ (a similar result has been also obtained by Harnad, see [7]). Reshetikhin's result was generalized in [1, 5], where the authors constructed a quantization of the equations of Jimbo-Miwa-Môri-Sato [8], which govern the isomonodromic deformations of systems of the form

$$
\frac{d u}{d x}=T+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{R_{i}}{x-t_{i}} u
$$

where the matrices $T, R_{i}$ do not depend on $x$ and $T$ is diagonal with distinct eigenvalues. In [9] Nagoya-Sun further generalized the above results. They
quantized the Hamiltonian system governing the isomonodromic deformations of systems of the form

$$
\frac{d u}{d x}=A x+B+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{R_{i}}{x-t_{i}} u,
$$

where the matrices $A, B, R_{i}$ do not depend on $x$ and $A$ is diagonal with distinct eigenvalues.

On the other hand, in [2] Boalch introduced an interesting class of Hamiltonian systems of isomonodromy type, called the simply-laced isomonodromy systems. They partially govern the isomonodromic deformations of systems of the form

$$
\frac{d u}{d x}=A x+T+[A, Y]+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{R_{i}}{x-t_{i}} u,
$$

where the matrices $A, T, Y, R_{i}$ do not depend on $x$ and $A, T$ are diagonal. Since $A$ is not assumed to have distinct eigenvalues, such systems contain the systems considered by Nagoya-Sun. Boalch showed that the simply-laced isomonodromy systems have a beautiful $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$-symmetry, which specializes to the well-known Harnad duality (see [6]) when $A=0$.

Recently, Rembado [10] quantized the simply-laced isomonodromy systems. In this note, we give a different way to quantize the simply-laced isomonodromy systems. Our approach is to use the theory of Manin matrices and Talalaev's quantum spectral curve method (see [3, 13]). As mentioned in [10], our result has been announced in 2015.

This note is organized as follows. Section 2 is the classical theory. The first three subsections are devoted to a brief review on Boalch's simply-laced isomonodromy systems and their remarkable properties. In Section 2.4, we give some useful expressions of the Hamiltonians of the simply-laced isomonodromy systems. For instance, we express the Hamiltonians in terms of the spectral curve (see Theorem 2.10, which we call the determinant formula). They are interesting in their own right and seem to be new. Section 3 is the quantum theory. In Section 3.1 we first construct the deformation quantization of the phase space and some commutative subalgebra $\mathcal{H}$ in which our quantized Hamiltonians live. For the construction of $\mathcal{H}$ and the proof of commutativity we use Talalaev's quantum spectral curve method. In Section 3.2, we show that $\mathcal{H}$ is invariant under some $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$-symmetry using the theory of Manin matrices. In Section 3.3, we finally construct the quantized Hamiltonians and prove that our quantized systems satisfy the integrability condition (Theorem 3.10).

## §2. Simply-laced isomonodromy systems

In this section we recall the definition of simply-laced isomonodromy systems and their basic properties.

### 2.1. The Poisson structure

Throughout this note we fix the following data:

- non-empty finite sets $\Sigma, I$ and a surjective map $\pi: \Sigma \rightarrow I$;
- a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $V_{\lambda}$ for each $\lambda \in \Sigma$.

Put $\Sigma_{i}=\pi^{-1}(i)$ for each $i \in I$ (so $\Sigma=\bigsqcup_{i \in I} \Sigma_{i}$ ) and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{i} & =\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Sigma_{i}} V_{\lambda} \quad(i \in I), \\
V & =\bigoplus_{i \in I} W_{i}=\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Sigma} V_{\lambda} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\Gamma \in \operatorname{End}(V)$ and $i, j \in I$, let $\Gamma_{i j} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(W_{j}, W_{i}\right)$ be the $(i, j)$-block of $\Gamma$ with respect to the decomposition $V=\bigoplus_{i \in I} W_{i}$. We often write $\Gamma=\Theta+\Xi$, where $\Theta=\bigoplus_{i \in I} \Theta_{i} \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} \operatorname{End}\left(W_{i}\right)$ is the block diagonal part of $\Gamma$ and $\Xi=\left(\Xi_{i j}\right)$ is the block off-diagonal part.

Let $\mathfrak{Z}$ be the center of the closed subgroup $\prod_{i \in I} \mathrm{GL}\left(W_{i}\right) \subset \mathrm{GL}(V)$ and $\mathfrak{z}$ be its Lie algebra. By the definition $\mathfrak{Z}$ consists of all $C \in \operatorname{GL}(V)$ of the form

$$
C=\bigoplus_{i \in I} c_{i} 1_{W_{i}} \quad\left(c_{i} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}\right)
$$

Let $\mathcal{W}=\mathbb{C}\langle x, \partial\rangle$ be the first Weyl algebra. Consider elements $M=M(\partial, x)$ of $\operatorname{End}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{W}$ of the form

$$
M(\partial, x)=A_{1} \partial-A_{0} x-\Gamma \quad\left(A_{0}, A_{1} \in \mathfrak{z}, \Gamma=\Theta+\Xi \in \operatorname{End}(V)\right) .
$$

Since $A_{0}, A_{1} \in \mathfrak{z}$, they have the form

$$
A_{0}=\bigoplus_{i \in I} a_{0 i} 1_{W_{i}}, \quad A_{1}=\bigoplus_{i \in I} a_{1 i} 1_{W_{i}} \quad\left(a_{0 i}, a_{1 i} \in \mathbb{C}\right) .
$$

Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \operatorname{End}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{W}$ be the set consisting of all such $M$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. $\left(a_{0 i}, a_{1 i}\right) \neq(0,0)$ for any $i \in I$.
2. The map $\boldsymbol{a}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}=\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}, i \mapsto a_{0 i} / a_{1 i}$ (which we call the spectral map) is injective.
3. For any $i \in I$, the $i$-th diagonal block $\Theta_{i}$ of $\Gamma$ is semisimple with eigenspaces $V_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Sigma_{i}$. Namely, it has the form

$$
\Theta_{i}=\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Sigma_{i}} \theta_{\lambda} 1_{V_{\lambda}},
$$

where $\theta_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Sigma_{i}$ are distinct complex numbers.
We may identify $\mathcal{M}$ with the direct product $\mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{M}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{A}:=\left\{\left.\left(a_{0 i}, a_{1 i}\right)_{i \in I} \in\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\}\right)^{I}| | \begin{array}{ll}
a_{0 i} & a_{0 j} \\
a_{1 i} & a_{1 j}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, \neq 0(i \neq j)\right\}, \\
& \mathbb{T}:=\left\{\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Sigma} \theta_{\lambda} 1_{V_{\lambda}} \in \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Sigma} \mathbb{C} 1_{V_{\lambda}} \mid \theta_{\lambda} \neq \theta_{\mu} \text { if } \pi(\lambda)=\pi(\mu), \lambda \neq \mu\right\}, \\
& \mathbb{M}:=\left\{\Xi \in \operatorname{End}(V) \mid \Xi_{i i}=0(i \in I)\right\}=\bigoplus_{i, j \in I ; i \neq j} \operatorname{Hom}\left(W_{j}, W_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this way we regard $\mathcal{M}$ as a non-singular affine variety. Observe that the complex algebraic torus $\mathfrak{Z}$ freely acts on $\mathcal{M}$ by the left multiplication and the spectral map is $\mathfrak{Z}$-invariant.

Let us introduce a Poisson structure on $\mathcal{M}$. For convenience, fix a basis of $V$ which respects the decomposition $V=\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Sigma} V_{\lambda}$. Define a bivector $\Pi$ on $\mathcal{M}=\mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{M}$ by

$$
\Pi=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j \in I, i \neq j} \sum_{p, q}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{0 i} & a_{0 j} \\
a_{1 i} & a_{1 j}
\end{array}\right| \frac{\partial}{\partial\left(\Xi_{i j}\right)_{p q}} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial\left(\Xi_{j i}\right)_{q p}},
$$

where $\left(\Xi_{i j}\right)_{p q}$ are the matrix entries of the $(i, j)$-block of $\Xi \in \mathbb{M}$ with respect to the fixed basis. Obviously it defines a $\mathfrak{Z}$-invariant Poisson structure on $\mathcal{M}$.

Recall that $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ acts on the Weyl algebra $\mathcal{W}$ by

$$
\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \ni g=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right):\binom{\partial}{x} \longmapsto g\binom{\partial}{x}=\binom{a \partial+b x}{c \partial+d x} .
$$

This action induces a right $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$-action on $\mathcal{M}$ commuting with the $\mathfrak{Z}$-action as follows:

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1} & -A_{0}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\partial}{x}-\Gamma \stackrel{g}{\longmapsto} M^{g}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1} & -A_{0}
\end{array}\right) g\binom{\partial}{x}-\Gamma .
$$

By a direct calculation one can check that if $\boldsymbol{a}$ is the spectral map of $M$, then the spectral map of $M^{g}$ is $g^{-1} \boldsymbol{a}$, where $g^{-1}: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is the Möbius
transformation defined by $g^{-1}$. This action preserves the Poisson structure since the determinant

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{0 i} & a_{0 j} \\
a_{1 i} & a_{1 j}
\end{array}\right|
$$

is invariant under the $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$-action.

### 2.2. Symplectic fiber bundles

Fix an injective map $\boldsymbol{a}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}, i \mapsto a_{i}$ and let us describe the closed Poisson subvariety $\mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}} \subset \mathcal{M}$ consisting of all $M \in \mathcal{M}$ whose spectral map is $\boldsymbol{a}$.

Put

$$
I_{\mathrm{fin}}=\{i \in I \mid \boldsymbol{a}(i) \neq \infty\}, \quad U=\bigoplus_{i \in I_{\mathrm{fin}}} W_{i}, \quad W_{\infty}=\bigoplus_{i \in I \backslash I_{\mathrm{fin}}} W_{i} .
$$

Then $V=W_{\infty} \oplus U$, and $W_{\infty}=W_{\boldsymbol{a}^{-1}(\infty)}$ if $\infty \in \boldsymbol{a}(I)$ (otherwise $W_{\infty}=0$ ). For $M=A_{1} \partial-A_{0} x-\Gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$, define $C=\bigoplus_{i \in i} c_{i} 1_{W_{i}} \in \mathfrak{Z}$ by

$$
c_{i}= \begin{cases}-a_{0 i} & \left(a_{i}=\infty\right) \\ a_{1 i} & \left(a_{i} \neq \infty\right)\end{cases}
$$

In terms of the decomposition $V=W_{\infty} \oplus U$, the matrix $C^{-1} M$ is expressed as

$$
C^{-1} M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1_{U}
\end{array}\right) \partial-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1_{W_{\infty}} & 0 \\
0 & A
\end{array}\right) x-C^{-1} \Gamma,
$$

where

$$
A=\bigoplus_{i \in I_{\text {fin }}} a_{i} 1_{W_{i}} \in \operatorname{End}(U)
$$

Put $T=C^{-1} \Theta \in \mathbb{T}$ and decompose it as

$$
T=\bigoplus_{i \in I} T_{i}, \quad T_{i} \in \operatorname{End}\left(W_{i}\right) .
$$

Each $T_{i}$ has the form

$$
T_{i}=\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Sigma_{i}} t_{\lambda} 1_{V_{\lambda}},
$$

where $t_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Sigma_{i}$ are given by

$$
t_{\lambda}= \begin{cases}-a_{0 i}^{-1} \theta_{\lambda} & \left(a_{i}=\infty\right), \\ a_{1 i}^{-1} \theta_{\lambda} & \left(a_{i} \neq \infty\right)\end{cases}
$$

Proposition 2.1. The map

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z} \times \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{T}, \quad M \mapsto\left(C, C^{-1} \Xi, T\right)
$$

is a $\mathfrak{Z}$-equivariant isomorphism, where $\mathfrak{Z}$ acts on $\mathfrak{Z} \times \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{T}$ by

$$
Z \ni \gamma:(C, X, T) \mapsto(\gamma C, X, T)
$$

In particular, $\mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}} / \mathfrak{Z}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{T}$.
Proof. The map $\mathfrak{Z} \times \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ defined by

$$
(C, X, T) \mapsto C\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1_{U}
\end{array}\right) \partial-C\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1_{W_{\infty}} & 0 \\
0 & A
\end{array}\right) x-C(T+X)
$$

gives an inverse.
The Poisson structure on $\mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ descends to a Poisson structure on the quotient $\mathcal{M}_{a} / \mathcal{Z}$, whose symplectic leaves are exactly the fibers of the projection $\mathcal{M}_{a} / \mathfrak{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{T},[M] \mapsto T$. Thus $\mathcal{M}_{a} / \mathfrak{Z}$ has a structure of symplectic fiber bundle over $\mathbb{T}$. On the other hand, the two-form on $\mathbb{M}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{\boldsymbol{a}} & =-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j \in I, i \neq j} c_{i} c_{j}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{0 i} & a_{0 j} \\
a_{1 i} & a_{1 j}
\end{array}\right|^{-1} \operatorname{tr}\left(d X_{i j} \wedge d X_{j i}\right) \\
& =-\sum_{i, j \in I_{\mathrm{fin}}, i \neq j} \frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(d X_{i j} \wedge d X_{j i}\right)}{2\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right)}-\sum_{i \in I_{\mathrm{fin}}} \operatorname{tr}\left(d X_{i \infty} \wedge d X_{\infty i}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $X_{i \infty}, X_{\infty i}$ are the blocks of $X$ for $\operatorname{Hom}\left(W_{\infty}, W_{i}\right), \operatorname{Hom}\left(W_{i}, W_{\infty}\right)$, makes $\mathbb{M}$ into a symplectic manifold, which we denote by $\mathbb{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$. It is easy to see that the above isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}} / \mathfrak{Z} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}} \times \mathbb{T}$ is an isomorphism of symplectic fiber bundles. We regard $\mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}} / \mathfrak{Z}$ as the trivial symplectic fiber bundle in this way.

### 2.3. Simply-laced isomonodromy systems

Fix an injective map $\boldsymbol{a}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Take any $M=A_{1} \partial-A_{0} x-\Gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ and consider the differential equation $M v=0$ for (locally defined) $V$-valued analytic function $v(x)$. Clearly this equation is invariant under the $\mathfrak{Z}$-action. Using the decomposition $V=W_{\infty} \oplus U$, we write

$$
T=T_{\infty} \oplus T_{\mathrm{fin}}, \quad C^{-1} \Gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{\infty} & P \\
Q & B
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Note that the block diagonal part of $B$ with respect to the decomposition $U=\bigoplus_{i \in I_{\text {fin }}} W_{i}$ is equal to $T_{\text {fin }}$. Define

$$
L(x)=A x+B+Q\left(x-T_{\infty}\right)^{-1} P \in \operatorname{End}(U) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(x)
$$

Then $C^{-1} M$ is decomposed as

$$
\begin{align*}
C^{-1} M & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x-T_{\infty} & -P \\
-Q & \partial-A x-B
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x-T_{\infty} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\left(x-T_{\infty}\right)^{-1} P \\
-Q & \partial-A x-B
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x-T_{\infty} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
-Q & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\left(x-T_{\infty}\right)^{-1} P \\
0 & \partial-L(x)
\end{array}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

in $\operatorname{End}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{W} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} \otimes \mathbb{C}(x)$. Thus generically the equation $M v=0$ for $v=w \oplus u$ is equivalent to the system of equations

$$
w=\left(x-T_{\infty}\right)^{-1} P u, \quad \frac{d u}{d x}=L(x) u
$$

which reduces to the second equation $d u / d x=L(x) u$ for $u$ as the first equation uniquely determines $w$ from $u$.

If $\infty \in \boldsymbol{a}(I)$, then $T_{\infty}=T_{\boldsymbol{a}^{-1}(\infty)}$ and

$$
Q\left(x-T_{\infty}\right)^{-1} P=\sum_{\lambda \in \Sigma_{a^{-1}(\infty)}} \frac{Q \operatorname{Id}_{\lambda} P}{x-t_{\lambda}}
$$

where $\mathrm{Id}_{\lambda}$ denotes the idempotent of $\operatorname{End}\left(W_{\infty}\right)$ for $V_{\lambda}$. In particular, $L(x)$ has an at most simple pole at each eigenvalue of $T_{\infty}$. If $\infty \notin \boldsymbol{a}(I)$, then $W_{\infty}=0$ and

$$
L(x)=A x+B, \quad A=A_{1}^{-1} A_{0}, \quad B=A_{1}^{-1} \Gamma
$$

The map

$$
\mathcal{L}_{a}: \mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(U) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(x), \quad M \mapsto L(x)
$$

is $\mathfrak{Z}$-invariant as so is the map $M \mapsto C^{-1} M$. Thus it descends to a map $\mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}} / \mathfrak{Z} \simeq \mathbb{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(U) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(x)$, which is explicitly given by

$$
\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{T} \ni(X, T) \mapsto A x+T_{\mathrm{fin}}+B^{\circ}+Q\left(x-T_{\infty}\right)^{-1} P
$$

where we write

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & P \\
Q & B^{\circ}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The following fact is well-known in the formal reduction theory of linear ordinary differential equations (see [2, Lemma C.4]).

Proposition 2.2. For any $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$, there exists a formal series

$$
\widehat{F}=1_{U}+F_{1} / x+F_{2} / x^{2}+\cdots, \quad F_{i} \in \operatorname{End}(U)
$$

such that

$$
\widehat{F} L \widehat{F}^{-1}+\frac{d \widehat{F}}{d x} \widehat{F}^{-1}=A x+T_{\mathrm{fin}}+\widehat{R}(x), \quad \widehat{R}(x)=\frac{R+R_{1} / x+R_{2} / x^{2}+\cdots}{x}
$$

with $R, R_{i} \in \operatorname{End}(U)$ commuting with $A, T_{\text {fin }}$ and $\left[R^{s}, R_{i}\right]=-i R_{i}$, where $R^{s}$ is the semisimple part of $R$.

Using the above $\widehat{F}$, let us define our Hamiltonian systems.
Definition 2.3 ([2, Theorem 5.9]). The simply-laced isomonodromy system is the non-autonomous Hamiltonian system on the symplectic fiber bundle $\mathcal{M}_{a} / \mathcal{Z}=\mathbb{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ with the Hamiltonian one-form $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}=\sum_{\lambda \in \Sigma} H_{\lambda}^{a} d t_{\lambda}$ defined by

$$
H_{\lambda}^{a}(M):= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Res}_{x=t_{\lambda}}^{\left.\operatorname{tr}\left(L(x)^{2}\right) d x\right)} & \left(a_{\pi(\lambda)}=\infty\right), \\ \operatorname{Res}_{x=\infty}^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(\frac{\partial \widehat{F}}{\partial x} \widehat{F}^{-1} \operatorname{Id}_{\lambda}^{U} x d x\right) & \left(a_{\pi(\lambda)} \neq \infty\right),\end{cases}
$$

where $\operatorname{Id}_{\lambda}^{U}$ denotes the idempotent of $\operatorname{End}(U)$ for $V_{\lambda}$.
Remark 2.4. Our symplectic form on $\mathbb{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ is minus Boalch's original one, while the definition of Hamiltonians is the same. This is because our sign convention for the associated Hamiltonian equation is different to Boalch's: if $m_{i}$ are local coordinates on $\mathbb{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ then we consider the system of differential equations $\partial m_{i} / \partial t_{\lambda}=\left\{H_{\lambda}^{a}, m_{i}\right\}$, while Boalch considers $\partial m_{i} / \partial t_{\lambda}=\left\{m_{i}, H_{\lambda}^{a}\right\}$.

The simply-laced isomonodromy system is completely integrable and governs the isomonodromic deformations of the linear differential system $d u / d x=$ $L(x) u$ along $t_{\lambda}$ 's; see [2, Theorems 5.7, 6.1]. Furthermore, the systems for various $\boldsymbol{a}$ have the following beautiful symmetry. Recall that each $g \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ gives a $\mathfrak{Z}$-equivariant Poisson automorphism of $\mathcal{M}$. It induces a Poisson isomorphism

$$
\Phi_{g}: \mathcal{M}_{a} / \mathfrak{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g^{-1}} / \mathcal{Z}
$$

covering some automorphism $T \mapsto T^{g}=\bigoplus t_{\lambda}^{g} 1_{V_{\lambda}}$ of the base space $\mathbb{T}$ as a bundle map. It follows from [2, Theorem 5.4] that for any $g \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$, there exists $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{z}$ such that for any (local) solution $T \mapsto X(T) \in \mathbb{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ of the Hamiltonian system with Hamiltonian one-form $\Phi_{g}^{*} \varpi_{g^{-1}}$ a , the map

$$
T \mapsto e^{\Lambda T^{2}} X(T) e^{-\Lambda T^{2}}
$$

is a solution of the simply-laced isomonodromy system $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}$. In particular, the two Hamiltonian systems $\Phi_{g}^{*} \varpi_{g^{-1} \boldsymbol{a}}, \varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ are gauge equivalent. Thus the difference $\Phi_{g}^{*} \varpi_{g^{-1}} \boldsymbol{a}-\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ may be non-zero but comes from some gauge transformation of the symplectic fiber bundle $\mathbb{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}} \times \mathbb{T}$.

For instance, take any $i \in I_{\text {fin }}$ and put

$$
g_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{i} & -1  \tag{2.2}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})
$$

Then $g_{i}^{-1}\left(a_{i}\right)=\infty$, and a direct calculation shows

$$
t_{\lambda}^{g_{i}}= \begin{cases}-t_{\lambda} & \left(\lambda \in \Sigma_{i}\right) \\ t_{\lambda} & \left(a_{\pi(\lambda)}=\infty\right) \\ \frac{t_{\lambda}}{a_{i}-a_{\pi(\lambda)}} & (\text { otherwise })\end{cases}
$$

Thus for any $\lambda \in \Sigma_{i}$, we have

$$
\left.\Phi_{g_{i}}^{*}\left(H_{\lambda}^{g_{i}^{-1} a} d t_{\lambda}\right)=H_{\lambda}^{g_{i}^{-1}} a^{( } M^{g_{i}}\right) \frac{d t_{\lambda}^{g_{i}}}{d t_{\lambda}} d t_{\lambda}=-H_{\lambda}^{g_{i}^{-1} a}\left(M^{g_{i}}\right) d t_{\lambda}
$$

In this case, we can show the following:
Proposition 2.5. For any $\lambda \in \Sigma_{i}$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$, we have

$$
H_{\lambda}^{a}(M)=-H_{\lambda}^{g_{i}^{-1} a}\left(M^{g_{i}}\right)
$$

Note that if we put $L_{i}(x)=\mathcal{L}_{g_{i}^{-1} a}\left(M^{g_{i}}\right)$, then

$$
H_{\lambda}^{g_{i}^{-1}} \boldsymbol{a}\left(M^{g_{i}}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Res}_{x=-t_{\lambda}}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(L_{i}(x)^{2}\right) d x\right)
$$

Thus for any $\lambda \in \Sigma$, the Hamiltonian $H_{\lambda}^{a}$ can be described as the residue of the trace of the square of some matrix-valued rational function. The proof of Proposition 2.5 will be given in the next subsection.

### 2.4. Trace and determinant formulae for Hamiltonians

Fix an injective map $\boldsymbol{a}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$. In this section we introduce some useful formulae for the Hamiltonians $H_{\lambda}^{a}$ and use them to prove Proposition 2.5. The results in this section are based on our earlier work [14].

For $M=A_{1} \partial-A_{0} x-\Theta-\Xi \in \mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$, let $M_{0}=M_{0}(\partial, x) \in \mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ be its block diagonal part:

$$
M_{0}(\partial, x)=M-\Xi=A_{1} \partial-A_{0} x-\Theta .
$$

Theorem 2.6 (Trace formula). For $i \in I_{\mathrm{fin}}, \lambda \in \Sigma_{i}$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$, the following equality holds:

$$
\left.H_{\lambda}^{a}(M)=-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{Res}_{x=\infty}^{\operatorname{Res}} x \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\Xi M_{0}(y, x)^{-1}\right)^{k}\right] d y\right) d x .
$$

Let us prove the theorem. Fix $i \in I_{\text {fin }}, \lambda \in \Sigma_{i}$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$. Using the fixed basis of $V$, we identify the coordinate ring of the complex affine variety $\mathfrak{g l}(V)$ with the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}\left[z_{p q} ; p, q=1,2, \ldots, \operatorname{dim} V\right]$, and put $Z=\left(z_{p q}\right)$. Let $\mathbb{C} \llbracket \mathfrak{g l}(V) \rrbracket$ be the formal completion of the local ring of $\mathfrak{g l}(V)$ at 0 , which is identified with the ring of formal power series $\mathbb{C} \llbracket z_{p q} ; p, q=1,2, \ldots, \operatorname{dim} V \rrbracket$. The adjoint action of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ on $\mathfrak{g l}(V)$ induces an action on $\mathbb{C} \llbracket \mathfrak{g l}(V) \rrbracket$.

Put $\bar{y}=y-a_{i} x-t_{\lambda}$ and embed $\mathbb{C}(x, y)$ in $\mathbb{C}((\bar{y}))\left(\left(x^{-1}\right)\right)$ in the obvious manner.

Lemma 2.7. The substitution $Z=\Xi M_{0}(y, x)^{-1}$ gives a well-defined map

$$
\mathbb{C} \llbracket \mathfrak{g l}(V) \rrbracket^{\operatorname{GL}(V)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}((\bar{y}))\left(\left(x^{-1}\right)\right) .
$$

Proof. Since any element of $\mathbb{C} \llbracket \mathfrak{g l}(V) \rrbracket^{\operatorname{GL}(V)}$ is uniquely expressed as a formal series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} \operatorname{tr}\left(Z^{k}\right)$, it is sufficient to show

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{ord}_{1 / x}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\Xi M_{0}(y, x)^{-1}\right)^{k}\right]\right)=\infty
$$

where $\operatorname{ord}_{1 / x}$ denotes the order of a formal Laurent series in $x^{-1}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}((\bar{y}))$. For $\mu, \nu \in \Sigma$, let $\Xi_{\mu \nu}$ be the $(\mu, \nu)$-block of $\Xi$ with respect to the decomposition $V=\bigoplus_{\mu \in \Sigma} V_{\mu}$. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\Xi M_{0}(y, x)^{-1}\right)^{k}\right]=\sum_{\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{k} \in \Sigma} \frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(\Xi_{\mu_{1} \mu_{2}} \Xi_{\mu_{2} \mu_{3}} \cdots \Xi_{\mu_{k} \mu_{1}}\right)}{\prod_{l=1}^{k} f_{\mu_{l}}(y, x)},
$$

where

$$
f_{\mu}(y, x)=a_{1 \pi(\mu)} y-a_{0 \pi(\mu)} x-\theta_{\mu} \quad(\mu \in \Sigma) .
$$

For $\mu \in \Sigma$ with $a_{\pi(\mu)}=\infty$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{f_{\mu}(y, x)}=\frac{1}{-a_{0 \pi(\mu)}\left(x-t_{\mu}\right)},
$$

while for $\mu \in \Sigma$ with $\pi(\mu) \in I_{\text {fin }}$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{f_{\mu}(y, x)}=\frac{1}{a_{1 \pi(\mu)}\left(\bar{y}-\left(a_{\pi(\mu)}-a_{i}\right) x-\left(t_{\mu}-t_{\lambda}\right)\right)} .
$$

Hence

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{1 / x}\left(\frac{1}{f_{\mu}(y, x)}\right) \geq \begin{cases}0 & \left(\mu \in \Sigma_{i}\right) \\ 1 & \left(\mu \in \Sigma \backslash \Sigma_{i}\right)\end{cases}
$$

which implies

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{1 / x}\left(\prod_{l=1}^{k} f_{\mu_{l}}(y, x)^{-1}\right) \geq \#\left\{l \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\} \mid \pi\left(\mu_{l}\right) \neq i\right\}
$$

for $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{l} \in \Sigma$. On the other hand, $\Xi_{\mu \nu}=0$ if $\pi(\mu)=\pi(\nu)$ (recall that $\Xi$ is block off-diagonal). It follows that if

$$
\#\left\{l \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\} \mid \pi\left(\mu_{l}\right)=i\right\}>\frac{k}{2}
$$

then

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\Xi_{\mu_{1} \mu_{2}} \Xi_{\mu_{2} \mu_{3}} \cdots \Xi_{\mu_{k} \mu_{1}}\right)=0 .
$$

Thus we obtain

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{1 / x}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\Xi M_{0}(y, x)^{-1}\right)^{k}\right]\right) \geq \frac{k}{2} \rightarrow \infty \quad(k \rightarrow \infty) .
$$

We apply Lemma 2.7 to the formal series

$$
\operatorname{tr} \log (1-Z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{tr} Z^{k},
$$

which is equal to

$$
\log \operatorname{det}(1-Z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}(1-\operatorname{det}(1-Z))^{k}
$$

Substituting $\Xi M_{0}(y, x)^{-1}$ for $Z$, we obtain

$$
1-Z=1-\Xi M_{0}(y, x)^{-1}=\left(M_{0}(y, x)-\Xi\right) M_{0}(y, x)^{-1}=M(y, x) M_{0}(y, x)^{-1},
$$

and hence

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\Xi M_{0}(y, x)^{-1}\right)^{k}\right]=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}\left(1-\frac{\operatorname{det} M(y, x)}{\operatorname{det} M_{0}(y, x)}\right)^{k}
$$

as elements of $\mathbb{C}((\bar{y}))\left(\left(x^{-1}\right)\right)$. On the other hand, the decomposition (2.1) yields

$$
\frac{\operatorname{det} M(y, x)}{\operatorname{det} M_{0}(y, x)}=\frac{\operatorname{det}(y-L(x))}{\operatorname{det}\left(y-A x-T_{\mathrm{fin}}\right)} .
$$

Taking the formal series $\widehat{F}$ shown in Proposition 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}(y-L(x)) & =\operatorname{det}\left(\widehat{F}(y-L) \widehat{F}^{-1}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(y-A x-T_{\mathrm{fin}}-\widehat{R}+\widehat{F}^{\prime} \widehat{F}^{-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\frac{\operatorname{det} M(y, x)}{\operatorname{det} M_{0}(y, x)}=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(y-A x-T_{\mathrm{fin}}-\widehat{R}+\widehat{F}^{\prime} \widehat{F}^{-1}\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(y-A x-T_{\mathrm{fin}}\right)}
$$

Lemma 2.8. The substitution $Z=\left(\widehat{R}-\widehat{F}^{\prime} \widehat{F}^{-1}\right)\left(y-A x-T_{\mathrm{fin}}\right)^{-1}$ gives a well-defined map

$$
\mathbb{C} \llbracket \mathfrak{g l}(V) \rrbracket^{\operatorname{GL}(V)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}((\bar{y}))\left(\left(x^{-1}\right)\right) .
$$

Proof. For each $\mu \in \Sigma$ with $a_{\pi(\mu)} \neq \infty$, we have

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{1 / x}\left(\frac{1}{y-a_{\pi(\mu)} x-t_{\mu}}\right) \geq 0
$$

which together with the inequality $\operatorname{ord}_{1 / x}\left(\widehat{R}-\widehat{F}^{\prime} \widehat{F}^{-1}\right) \geq 1$ shows

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{1 / x}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\left(\widehat{R}-\widehat{F}^{\prime} \widehat{F}^{-1}\right)\left(y-A x-T_{\mathrm{fin}}\right)^{-1}\right)^{k}\right]\right) \geq k
$$

This completes the proof.
Applying the above lemma to the formal series $\operatorname{tr} \log (1-Z)=\log \operatorname{det}(1-Z)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{tr} & {\left[\left(\left(\widehat{R}-\widehat{F}^{\prime} \widehat{F}^{-1}\right)\left(y-A x-T_{\mathrm{fin}}\right)^{-1}\right)^{k}\right] } \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}\left(1-\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(y-A x-T_{\mathrm{fin}}-\widehat{R}+\widehat{F}^{\prime} \widehat{F}^{-1}\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(y-A x-T_{\mathrm{fin}}\right)}\right)^{k} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}\left(1-\frac{\operatorname{det} M(y, x)}{\operatorname{det} M_{0}(y, x)}\right)^{k}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\Xi M_{0}(y, x)^{-1}\right)^{k}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus Theorem 2.6 follows from the lemma below.
Lemma 2.9. The following equality holds:

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{Res}_{x=\infty}^{\operatorname{Res}} x \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\left(\widehat{R}-\widehat{F}^{\prime} \widehat{F}^{-1}\right)\left(y-A x-T_{\mathrm{fin}}\right)^{-1}\right)^{k}\right] d \bar{y} d x=-H_{\lambda}^{a}(M)
$$

Proof. From the inequalities shown in the proof of the previous lemma we easily deduce

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{x=\infty}^{\operatorname{Res}} \frac{\operatorname{Res}}{y=0} x \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\left(\widehat{R}-\widehat{F}^{\prime} \widehat{F}^{-1}\right)\left(y-A x-T_{\mathrm{fin}}\right)^{-1}\right)^{k}\right] d \bar{y} d x=0 \quad(k \geq 3) .
$$

Furthermore, since $\widehat{R}_{\mu \nu}=0(\mu \neq \nu)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Res}_{x=\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Res}}{\bar{y}=0} \mathrm{x} \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\left(\widehat{R}-\widehat{F}^{\prime} \widehat{F}^{-1}\right)\left(y-A x-T_{\mathrm{fin}}\right)^{-1}\right)^{2}\right] d \bar{y} d x \\
& =\sum_{\substack{\mu \in \Sigma \\
a_{\pi(\mu)} \neq \infty, \mu \neq \lambda}} \operatorname{Res}_{x=\infty} x \frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\widehat{R}-\widehat{F}^{\prime} \widehat{F}^{-1}\right)_{\lambda \mu}\left(\widehat{R}-\widehat{F}^{\prime} \widehat{F}^{-1}\right) \mu \lambda\right)}{\left(a_{i}-a_{\pi(\mu)}\right) x+\left(t_{\lambda}-t_{\mu}\right)} d x \\
& =\sum_{\substack{\mu \in \Sigma \\
a_{\pi(\mu)} \neq \infty, \mu \neq \lambda}} \operatorname{Res}_{x=\infty}^{\operatorname{Res}} x \frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\widehat{F}^{\prime} \widehat{F}^{-1}\right)_{\lambda \mu}\left(\widehat{F}^{\prime} \widehat{F}^{-1}\right)_{\mu \lambda}\right)}{\left(a_{i}-a_{\pi(\mu)}\right) x+\left(t_{\lambda}-t_{\mu}\right)} d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

which is zero because $\operatorname{ord}_{1 / x}\left(\widehat{F}^{\prime} \widehat{F}^{-1}\right) \geq 2$. Finally, a direct calculation shows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{x=\infty}{\text { Res } \operatorname{Res}} x \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\widehat{R}-\widehat{F}^{\prime} \widehat{F}^{-1}\right)\left(y-A x-T_{\text {fin }}\right)^{-1}\right) d \bar{y} d x \\
& \quad=\underset{x=\infty}{\operatorname{Res}} x \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\widehat{R}-\widehat{F}^{\prime} \widehat{F}^{-1}\right)_{\lambda \lambda}\right) d x=\operatorname{tr}\left(R_{1}\right)_{\lambda \lambda}-H_{\lambda}^{a}(M)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left[R^{s}, R_{1}\right]=R_{1}$ we have $\operatorname{tr}\left(R_{1}\right)_{\lambda \lambda}=0$. Thus we obtain the desired formula.

The above arguments also yield the following formula:
Theorem 2.10 (Determinant formula). For $i \in I_{\mathrm{fin}}, \lambda \in \Sigma_{i}$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$, the following equality holds:

$$
H_{\lambda}^{a}(M)=-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \underset{x=\infty}{\operatorname{Res}}\left(\underset{y=a_{i} x+t_{\lambda}}{\operatorname{Res}} x\left(1-\frac{\operatorname{det} M(y, x)}{\operatorname{det} M_{0}(y, x)}\right)^{k} d y\right) d x .
$$

For $\lambda \in \Sigma$ with $a_{\pi(\lambda)}=\infty$, we can also describe the Hamiltonian $H_{\lambda}^{a}$ in a similar form.

Proposition 2.11. For $\lambda \in \Sigma$ with $a_{\pi(\lambda)}=\infty$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$, the following equalities hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{\lambda}^{a}(M) & =-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \underset{y=\infty}{\operatorname{Res}}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{x=t_{\lambda}}^{\operatorname{Re}} y \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\Xi M_{0}(y, x)^{-1}\right)^{k}\right] d x\right) d y \\
& =-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \underset{k=\infty}{\operatorname{Res}}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{x=t_{\lambda}}^{\operatorname{Re}} y\left(1-\frac{\operatorname{det} M(y, x)}{\operatorname{det} M_{0}(y, x)}\right)^{k} d x\right) d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We embed $\mathbb{C}(x, y)$ in $\mathbb{C}\left(\left(x-t_{\lambda}\right)\right)\left(\left(y^{-1}\right)\right)$. Then a direct calculation shows

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{1 / y}\left(\frac{1}{a_{0 \pi(\mu)} y-a_{1 \pi(\mu)} x-\theta_{\mu}}\right) \geq \begin{cases}0 & \left(a_{\pi(\mu)}=\infty\right), \\ 1 & \left(a_{\pi(\mu)} \neq \infty\right)\end{cases}
$$

for every $\mu \in \Sigma$. Thus arguments similar to the proofs of Lemmas 2.7, 2.9 yield the equalities among the infinite sums

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{tr} & {\left[\left(\Xi M_{0}(y, x)^{-1}\right)^{k}\right] } \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}\left(1-\frac{\operatorname{det} M(y, x)}{\operatorname{det} M_{0}(y, x)}\right)^{k} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}\left(1-\frac{\operatorname{det}(y-L(x))}{\operatorname{det}\left(y-A x-T_{\text {fin }}\right)}\right)^{k} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\left(L(x)-A x-T_{\text {fin }}\right)\left(y-A x-T_{\text {fin }}\right)^{-1}\right)^{k}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

in $\mathbb{C}\left(\left(x-t_{\lambda}\right)\right)\left(\left(y^{-1}\right)\right)$. Since

$$
\left(y-A x-T_{\mathrm{fin}}\right)^{-1}=y^{-1} \sum_{l \geq 0}\left(A x+T_{\mathrm{fin}}\right)^{l} y^{-l},
$$

the order counting shows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Res}_{y=\infty} y \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\left(L(x)-A x-T_{\text {fin }}\right)\left(y-A x-T_{\text {fin }}\right)^{-1}\right)^{k}\right] d y \\
= \begin{cases}0 & (k \geq 3), \\
-\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(L-A x-T_{\text {fin }}\right)^{2}\right] & (k=2), \\
-\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(L-A x-T_{\text {fin }}\right)\left(A x+T_{\text {fin }}\right)\right] & (k=1) .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \underset{y=\infty}{\operatorname{Res} y \operatorname{tr}} & {\left[\left(\left(L(x)-A x-T_{\text {fin }}\right)\left(y-A x-T_{\text {fin }}\right)^{-1}\right)^{k}\right] d y } \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(L-A x-T_{\text {fin }}\right)^{2}\right]-\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(L-A x-T_{\text {fin }}\right)\left(A x+T_{\text {fin }}\right)\right] \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(L-A x-T_{\text {fin }}\right)\left(L+A x+T_{\text {fin }}\right)\right] \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left[L^{2}-\left(A x+T_{\text {fin }}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

whose residue at $x=t_{\lambda}$ is equal to that of $-\operatorname{tr}\left(L^{2}\right) / 2$.

As an application of Theorem 2.6 and Proposition 2.11, we will give a proof of Proposition 2.5.

Proof of Proposition 2.5. Define variables $x_{i}, y_{i}$ by

$$
\binom{y_{i}}{x_{i}}=g_{i}\binom{y}{x}=\binom{a_{i} y-x}{y} .
$$

Then

$$
M^{g_{i}}(y, x)=M\left(y_{i}, x_{i}\right), \quad M_{0}^{g_{i}}(y, x)=M_{0}\left(y_{i}, x_{i}\right) .
$$

Also, for $F \in \mathbb{C}(x, y)=\mathbb{C}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ we have

$$
\underset{y=\infty}{\operatorname{Res}}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{x=-t_{\lambda}}^{\operatorname{Res}} F d x\right) d y=-\operatorname{Res}_{x_{i}=\infty}^{\left.\operatorname{Res}_{y_{i}=a_{i} x_{i}+t_{\lambda}} F d y_{i}\right) d x_{i} . . . ~}
$$

Thus Theorem 2.6 and Proposition 2.11 yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
-H_{\lambda}^{g_{i}^{-1}} \boldsymbol{a}\left(M^{g_{i}}\right) & =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \underset{y=\infty}{\operatorname{Res}}\left(\underset{x=-t_{\lambda}}{\operatorname{Res}} y \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\Xi M_{0}^{g_{i}}(y, x)^{-1}\right)^{k}\right] d x\right) d y \\
& =-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{Res}_{x_{i}=\infty}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{y_{i}=a_{i} x_{i}+t_{\lambda}} x_{i} \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\Xi M_{0}\left(y_{i}, x_{i}\right)^{-1}\right)^{k}\right] d y_{i}\right) d x_{i} \\
& =H_{\lambda}^{a}(M) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## §3. Quantization

Fix an injective map $\boldsymbol{a}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$. This section is devoted to quantize the simply-laced Hamiltonian system on $\mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}} / \mathfrak{Z}$.

We denote the coordinate ring of a complex affine variety $S$ by $\mathbb{C}[S]$.

### 3.1. Formal deformation quantization and Lax matrices

We first construct a formal deformation quantization of the affine Poisson variety $\mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}} / \mathcal{Z}$. Recall that for each $M=A_{1} \partial-A_{0} x-\Theta-\Xi \in \mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ we have defined

$$
C=\bigoplus_{i \in I} c_{i} 1_{W_{i}}, \quad T=C^{-1} \Theta=\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Sigma} t_{\lambda} 1_{V_{\lambda}} .
$$

Varying $M$ we thus obtain functions $c_{i}, t_{\lambda},\left(\Xi_{i j}\right)_{p q}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$, which satisfy

$$
\left\{\left(\Xi_{i j}\right)_{p q},\left(\Xi_{k l}\right)_{r s}\right\}=-\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k} \delta_{p s} \delta_{q r}\left|\begin{array}{cc}
a_{0 i} & a_{0 j} \\
a_{1 i} & a_{1 j}
\end{array}\right|, \quad\left\{c_{i}, \cdot\right\}=\left\{t_{\lambda}, \cdot\right\}=0
$$

where $a_{0 i}, a_{1 i}(i \in I)$ are defined by

$$
\left(a_{1 i},-a_{0 i}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(0, c_{i}\right) & \left(a_{i}=\infty\right) \\ \left(c_{i},-c_{i} a_{i}\right) & \left(a_{i} \neq \infty\right)\end{cases}
$$

We also regard $c_{i}, t_{\lambda}$ as coordinate functions on $\mathfrak{Z} \times \mathbb{T}$. Then $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\right]$ is a $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{Z} \times \mathbb{T}]$-algebra and every element of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{Z} \times \mathbb{T}]$ is Casimir.

Let $\mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ be the $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{Z} \times \mathbb{T}] \llbracket \hbar \rrbracket$-algebra with generators $\left(\widehat{\Xi}_{i j}\right)_{p q}(i \neq j \in I$, $\left.p=1,2, \ldots, \operatorname{dim} W_{i}, q=1,2, \ldots, \operatorname{dim} W_{j}\right)$ and fundamental relations

$$
\left[\left(\widehat{\Xi}_{i j}\right)_{p q},\left(\widehat{\Xi}_{k l}\right)_{r s}\right]=-\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k} \delta_{p s} \delta_{q r} \hbar\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{0 i} & a_{0 j} \\
a_{1 i} & a_{1 j}
\end{array}\right| .
$$

This is obviously a formal deformation quantization of the Poisson algebra $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathcal{M}_{a}\right]$.

The matrices $C, \Theta, T$ may now be regarded as elements of $\operatorname{End}(V) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{A}} \boldsymbol{a}$. Let $\widehat{\Xi}=\left(\widehat{\Xi}_{i j}\right) \in \operatorname{End}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ be the block off-diagonal matrix with each $\widehat{\Xi}_{i j}$ having matrix entries $\left(\widehat{\Xi}_{i j}\right)_{p q}$. Define

$$
\widehat{M}(\partial, x)=A_{1} \partial-A_{0} x-\Theta-\widehat{\Xi} \in \operatorname{End}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{a}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{W}
$$

where

$$
A_{0}=\bigoplus_{i \in I} a_{0 i} 1_{W_{i}}, A_{1}=\bigoplus_{i \in I} a_{1 i} 1_{W_{i}} \in \operatorname{End}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{a}}
$$

For $i, j \in I$, let $\widehat{M}_{i j}$ be the $(i, j)$-block of $\widehat{M}$ and $\left(\widehat{M}_{i j}\right)_{p q}$ be its matrix entries.
Proposition 3.1. The equality

$$
\left[\left(\widehat{M}_{i j}\right)_{p q},\left(\widehat{M}_{k l}\right)_{r s}\right]=\left(\delta_{i j} \delta_{k l} \delta_{p q} \delta_{r s}-\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k} \delta_{p s} \delta_{q r}\right) \hbar\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{0 i} & a_{0 k} \\
a_{1 i} & a_{1 k}
\end{array}\right|
$$

holds for any $i, j, k, l, p, q, r, s$.
Proof. By the definition we have

$$
\left(\widehat{M}_{i j}\right)_{p q}=\delta_{i j} \delta_{p q}\left(a_{1 i} \partial-a_{0 i} x-\theta_{i, p}\right)-\left(\widehat{\Xi}_{i j}\right)_{p q},
$$

where $\theta_{i, p}$ is the $p$-th diagonal entry of the $i$-th block $\Theta_{i}$ of $\Theta$. Since the matrix entries of $\widehat{\Xi}$ commute with $x, \partial$ and the elements of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z} \times \mathbb{T}]$, we obtain the desired formula as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\left(\widehat{M}_{i j}\right)_{p q},\left(\widehat{M}_{k l}\right)_{r s}\right]=} & \delta_{i j} \delta_{p q} \delta_{k l} \delta_{r s}\left[a_{1 i} \partial-a_{0 i} x-\theta_{i, p}, a_{1 k} \partial-a_{0 k} x-\theta_{k, r}\right] \\
& +\left[\left(\widehat{\Xi}_{i j}\right)_{p q},\left(\widehat{\Xi}_{k l}\right)_{r s}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\delta_{i j} \delta_{p q} \delta_{k l} \delta_{r s} \hbar\left(-a_{1 i} a_{0 k}+a_{0 i} a_{1 k}\right)+\left[\left(\widehat{\Xi}_{i j}\right)_{p q},\left(\widehat{\Xi}_{k l}\right)_{r s}\right] \\
& =\left(\delta_{i j} \delta_{k l} \delta_{p q} \delta_{r s}-\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k} \delta_{p s} \delta_{q r}\right) \hbar\left|\begin{array}{cc}
a_{0 i} & a_{0 k} \\
a_{1 i} & a_{1 k}
\end{array}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

We let $\mathfrak{Z}$ act on $\mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ by

$$
\mathcal{Z} \ni \gamma=\bigoplus_{i \in I} \gamma_{i} 1_{W_{i}}:\left(c_{i}, t_{\lambda},\left(\widehat{\Xi}_{i j}\right)_{p q}\right) \mapsto\left(\gamma_{i}^{-1} c_{i}, t_{\lambda}, \gamma_{i}^{-1}\left(\widehat{\Xi}_{i j}\right)_{p q}\right),
$$

so that $\gamma: \widehat{M} \mapsto \gamma^{-1} \widehat{M}$. This action induces an action on the quasi-classical limit $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\right]$, which coincides with the one induced from the $\mathfrak{Z}$-action on $\mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$. Hence the invariant part $\mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{a}}^{\boldsymbol{3}} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ is a formal deformation quantization of the quotient space $\mathcal{M}_{a} / \mathcal{Z}$.

Using the decomposition $V=W_{\infty} \oplus U$ we write

$$
C^{-1} \widehat{\Xi}=\widehat{X}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \widehat{P} \\
\widehat{Q} & \widehat{B}^{\circ}
\end{array}\right), \quad T=T_{\infty} \oplus T_{\mathrm{fin}} .
$$

Let $\widehat{B}_{i j}^{\circ}, \widehat{Q}_{i}, \widehat{P}_{i}$ be the blocks of $\widehat{B}^{\circ}, \widehat{Q}, \widehat{P}$ with respect to the decomposition $U=$ $\bigoplus_{i \in I_{\text {fin }}} W_{i}$ (so they are the blocks of $\widehat{X}$ ). Then their matrix entries generate $\mathcal{A}_{a}^{\mathcal{B}}$ as a $\left.\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{T}] \llbracket \hbar\right]$-algebra and satisfy the following commutation relation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\left(\widehat{B}_{i j}^{\circ}\right)_{p q},\left(\widehat{B}_{k l}^{\circ}\right)_{r s}\right]=-\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k} \delta_{p r} \delta_{q s} \hbar\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right), \quad\left[\left(\widehat{P}_{i}\right)_{p q},\left(\widehat{Q}_{j}\right)_{r s}\right]=\delta_{i j} \delta_{p s} \delta_{q r} \hbar,} \\
{\left[\left(\widehat{B}_{i j}^{\circ}\right)_{p q},\left(\widehat{Q}_{k}\right)_{r s}\right]=\left[\left(\widehat{B}_{i j}^{\circ}\right)_{p q},\left(\widehat{P}_{k}\right)_{r s}\right]=\left[\left(\widehat{Q}_{i}\right)_{p q},\left(\widehat{Q}_{j}\right)_{r s}\right]=\left[\left(\widehat{P}_{i}\right)_{p q},\left(\widehat{P}_{j}\right)_{r s}\right]=0 .}
\end{gathered}
$$

Define

$$
\widehat{L}(x)=A x+T_{\mathrm{fin}}+\widehat{B}^{\circ}+\widehat{Q}\left(x-T_{\infty}\right)^{-1} \widehat{P} \in \operatorname{End}(U) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{a}}^{3} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(x)
$$

Observe that the quasi-classical limit of $\widehat{L}(x)$ is the map

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}} / \mathfrak{Z} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(U) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(x), \quad[M] \mapsto L(x)
$$

regarded as an element of $\operatorname{End}(U) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\left[\mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}} / \mathfrak{Z}\right] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(x)$ (which we also denote by $L(x)$ ).
Proposition 3.2. $\widehat{L}(x)$ is a Lax matrix of Gaudin type, i.e., it satisfies the following " $R L L=L L R$ " relation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\left(\widehat{L}_{i j}\right)_{p q}(x),\left(\widehat{L}_{k l}\right)_{r s}(y)\right]=\frac{\delta_{j k} \delta_{q r} \hbar}{x-y}( } & \left.\left(\widehat{L}_{i l}\right)_{p s}(y)-\left(\widehat{L}_{i l}\right)_{p s}(x)\right) \\
& -\frac{\delta_{l i} \delta_{s p} \hbar}{x-y}\left(\left(\widehat{L}_{k j}\right)_{q r}(y)-\left(\widehat{L}_{k j}\right)_{q r}(x)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Put

$$
\widehat{L}^{+}(x)=A x+T_{\mathrm{fin}}+\widehat{B}^{\circ}, \quad \widehat{L}^{-}(x)=\widehat{Q}\left(x-T_{\infty}\right)^{-1} \widehat{P},
$$

so that $\widehat{L}(x)=\widehat{L}^{+}(x)+\widehat{L}^{-}(x)$. Denoting the diagonal entries of $T_{i}$ by $t_{i, p}$, we have

$$
\left(\widehat{L}_{i j}^{+}\right)_{p q}(x)=\delta_{i j} \delta_{p q}\left(a_{i} x+t_{i, p}\right)+\left(\widehat{B}_{i j}^{\circ}\right)_{p q}, \quad\left(\widehat{L}_{i j}^{-}\right)_{p q}(x)=\sum_{r} \frac{\left(\widehat{Q}_{i}\right)_{p r}\left(\widehat{P}_{j}\right)_{r q}}{x-t_{\infty, r}}
$$

and obviously

$$
\left[\left(\widehat{L}_{i j}^{+}\right)_{p q}(x),\left(\widehat{L}_{k l}^{-}\right)_{r s}(y)\right]=0 .
$$

Thus it is sufficient to show that both $\widehat{L}^{+}, \widehat{L}^{-}$satisfy the $R L L=L L R$ relation. First, we have

$$
\left[\left(\widehat{L}_{i j}^{+}\right)_{p q}(x),\left(\widehat{L}_{k l}^{+}\right)_{r s}(y)\right]=\left[\left(\widehat{B}_{i j}^{\circ}\right)_{p q},\left(\widehat{B}_{k l}^{\circ}\right)_{r s}\right]=-\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k} \delta_{p s} \delta_{q r} \hbar\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right)
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\left(\widehat{L}_{i l}^{+}\right)_{p s}(y)-\left(\widehat{L}_{i l}^{+}\right)_{p s}(x)=\delta_{i l} \delta_{p s} a_{i}(y-x),
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\delta_{j k} \delta_{q r}}{x-y}\left(\left(\widehat{L}_{i l}^{+}\right)_{p s}(y)-\left(\widehat{L}_{i l}^{+}\right)_{p s}(x)\right)-\frac{\delta_{l i} \delta_{s p}}{x-y}\left(\left(\widehat{L}_{k j}^{+}\right)_{q r}(y)-\left(\widehat{L}_{k j}^{+}\right)_{q r}(x)\right) \\
& =-\delta_{i l} \delta_{p s} \delta_{j k} \delta_{q r} a_{i}+\delta_{k j} \delta_{r q} \delta_{l i} \delta_{s p} a_{k}=-\delta_{i l} \delta_{p s} \delta_{j k} \delta_{q r}\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\widehat{L}^{+}$satisfy the $R L L=L L R$ relation. Next we have

$$
\left[\left(\widehat{L}_{i j}^{-}\right)_{p q}(x),\left(\widehat{L}_{k l}^{-}\right)_{r s}(y)\right]=\sum_{u, v} \frac{\left[\left(\widehat{Q}_{i}\right)_{p u}\left(\widehat{P}_{j}\right)_{u q},\left(\widehat{Q}_{k}\right)_{r v}\left(\widehat{P}_{l}\right)_{v s}\right]}{\left(x-t_{\infty, u}\right)\left(y-t_{\infty, v}\right)}
$$

The commutation relation for $\left(\widehat{Q}_{i}\right)_{p q},\left(\widehat{P}_{j}\right)_{r s}$ implies

$$
\left[\left(\widehat{Q}_{i}\right)_{p u}\left(\widehat{P}_{j}\right)_{u q},\left(\widehat{Q}_{k}\right)_{r v}\left(\widehat{P}_{l}\right)_{v s}\right]=\delta_{j k} \delta_{q r} \delta_{u v} \hbar\left(\widehat{Q}_{i}\right)_{p u}\left(\widehat{P}_{l}\right)_{v s}-\delta_{l i} \delta_{s p} \delta_{v u} \hbar\left(\widehat{Q}_{k}\right)_{r v}\left(\widehat{P}_{j}\right)_{u q}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{u, v} \frac{\left[\left(\widehat{Q}_{i}\right)_{p u}\left(\widehat{P}_{j}\right)_{u q},\left(\widehat{Q}_{k}\right)_{r v}\left(\widehat{P}_{l}\right)_{v s}\right]}{\left(x-t_{\infty, u}\right)\left(y-t_{\infty, v}\right)} \\
& \quad=\sum_{u} \frac{\delta_{j k} \delta_{q r}\left(\widehat{Q}_{i}\right)_{p u}\left(\widehat{P}_{l}\right)_{u s}-\delta_{l i} \delta_{s p}\left(\widehat{Q}_{k}\right)_{r u}\left(\widehat{P}_{j}\right)_{u q}}{\left(x-t_{\infty, u}\right)\left(y-t_{\infty, u}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&=\frac{\hbar}{x-y}\left(\sum_{u}\right. \frac{\delta_{j k} \delta_{q r}\left(\widehat{Q}_{i}\right)_{p u}\left(\widehat{P}_{l}\right)_{u s}-\delta_{l i} \delta_{s p}\left(\widehat{Q}_{k}\right)_{r u}\left(\widehat{P}_{j}\right)_{u q}}{y-t_{\infty, u}} \\
&\left.-\sum_{u} \frac{\delta_{j k} \delta_{q r}\left(\widehat{Q}_{i}\right)_{p u}\left(\widehat{P}_{l}\right)_{u s}-\delta_{l i} \delta_{s p}\left(\widehat{Q}_{k}\right)_{r u}\left(\widehat{P}_{j}\right)_{u q}}{x-t_{\infty, u}}\right) \\
&=\frac{\hbar}{x-y}\left(\delta_{j k} \delta_{q r}\left(\widehat{L}_{i l}^{-}\right)_{p s}(y)-\delta_{i l} \delta_{s p}\left(\widehat{L}_{k j}^{-}\right)_{r q}(y)\right. \\
&\left.-\delta_{j k} \delta_{q r}\left(\widehat{L}_{i l}^{-}\right)_{p s}(x)-\delta_{l i} \delta_{s p}\left(\widehat{L}_{k j}^{-}\right)_{r q}(x)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows the $R L L=L L R$ relation for $\widehat{L}^{-}$.
For a square matrix $N=\left(N_{p q}\right)$ with entries in a possibly non-commutative ring, let $\operatorname{det}^{\text {col }} N$ be the column determinant of $N$ :

$$
\operatorname{det}^{\mathrm{col}} N:=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) N_{\sigma(1) 1} \cdots N_{\sigma(n) n}
$$

Corollary 3.3. Define $\operatorname{qch}_{p}(\widehat{L})(x) \in \mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{a}}^{\boldsymbol{3}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(x), p=0,1, \ldots, \operatorname{dim} U$ by

$$
\operatorname{det}^{\mathrm{col}}(\partial-\widehat{L}(x))=\sum_{p=0}^{\operatorname{dim} U} \operatorname{qch}_{p}(\widehat{L})(x) \partial^{\operatorname{dim} U-p}
$$

1. We have $\left.\operatorname{qch}_{p}(\widehat{L})(x)\right|_{\hbar=0}=\operatorname{ch}_{p}(L)(x)$, where

$$
\operatorname{det}(y-L(x))=\sum_{p=0}^{\operatorname{dim} U} \operatorname{ch}_{p}(L)(x) y^{\operatorname{dim} U-p}
$$

2. We have

$$
\left[\operatorname{qch}_{p}(\widehat{L})(x), \operatorname{qch}_{q}(\widehat{L})(y)\right]=0
$$

as rational functions of $x, y$ for all $p, q$.
Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.2 and Talalaev's result [12, Theorem 1] (see also [3, p. 3]).

Take the Laurent expansion of each $\operatorname{qch}_{p}(\widehat{L})(x)$ at $x=\infty$ :

$$
\operatorname{qch}_{p}(\widehat{L})(x)=\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{qch}_{p, m}(\widehat{L}) x^{m} \in \mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{a}}^{3} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\left(\left(x^{-1}\right)\right)
$$

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{T}]\left[\hbar \rrbracket\right.$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{a}}^{\boldsymbol{3}}$ generated by $\mathrm{qch}_{p, m}(\widehat{L}), p=1,2, \ldots, \operatorname{dim} U$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the above corollary implies:
Corollary 3.4. The algebra $\mathcal{H}$ is commutative.

## 3.2. $\quad \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$-invariance of $\mathcal{H}$

Take any $g \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$. One can define a $\mathfrak{Z}$-equivariant $\mathbb{C}$-algebra isomorphism $g_{*}: \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1} \boldsymbol{a}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ by

$$
\left(c_{i}, t_{\lambda},\left(\hat{\Xi}_{i j}\right)_{p q}\right) \mapsto\left(c_{i}^{g}, t_{\lambda}^{g},\left(\widehat{\Xi}_{i j}\right)_{p q}\right),
$$

where $c_{i}^{g}(i \in I), t_{\lambda}^{g}(\lambda \in \Sigma)$ are defined so that

$$
\left(a_{1 i},-a_{0 i}\right) g= \begin{cases}\left(0, c_{i}^{g}\right) & \left(g^{-1}\left(a_{i}\right)=\infty\right) \\ \left(c_{i}^{g},-c_{i}^{g} g^{-1}\left(a_{i}\right)\right) & \left(g^{-1}\left(a_{i}\right) \neq \infty\right)\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
c_{\pi(\lambda)}^{g} t_{\lambda}^{g}=c_{\pi(\lambda)} t_{\lambda} .
$$

Note that $c_{i}^{g} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} c_{i}, t_{\lambda}^{g} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} t_{\lambda}$, and the isomorphism between the quasiclassical limits induced from $g_{*}$ coincides with the pull-back by the action $\mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{a}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g^{-1} \boldsymbol{a}}, M \mapsto M^{g}$.

Let $\widehat{M}^{g}, \widehat{L}^{g}$ be the transforms of the matrices $\widehat{M}, \widehat{L}$ associated to $g^{-1} \boldsymbol{a}$ by $g_{*}$. Then

$$
\widehat{M}^{g}(\partial, x)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1} & -A_{0}
\end{array}\right) g\binom{\partial}{x}-\Theta-\widehat{\Xi} \in \operatorname{End}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{a}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{W} .
$$

Theorem 3.5. We have $\operatorname{qch}_{p, m}\left(\widehat{L}^{g}\right) \in \mathcal{H}$ for all $p, m$.
In our proof of Theorem 3.5 we will use the general theory of Manin matrices. A square matrix $N=\left(N_{p q}\right)$ with entries in a possibly non-commutative ring is called a Manin matrix if the equality

$$
\left[N_{p q}, N_{r s}\right]=\left[N_{r q}, N_{p s}\right]
$$

holds for any $p, q, r, s$. It is known that the column determinants of Manin matrices have the following nice properties (see [4]):

1. The column determinant of a Manin matrix is anti-symmetric with respect to columns/rows.
2. If two Manin matrices $N=\left(N_{p q}\right), N^{\prime}=\left(N_{p q}^{\prime}\right)$ of the same size satisfy $\left[N_{p q}, N_{r s}^{\prime}\right]=0$ for all $p, q, r, s$, then $N N^{\prime}$ is also a Manin matrix and

$$
\operatorname{det}^{\mathrm{col}}\left(N N^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{det}^{\mathrm{col}}(N) \operatorname{det}^{\mathrm{col}}\left(N^{\prime}\right)
$$

3. Let $N$ be a Manin matrix expressed in a block form

$$
N=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
N_{l \times l} & N_{l \times m} \\
N_{m \times l} & N_{m \times m}
\end{array}\right),
$$

and assume that $N_{l \times l}$ has a two-sided inverse. Then the following Schur's formula holds:

$$
\operatorname{det}^{\mathrm{col}} N=\operatorname{det}^{\mathrm{col}}\left(N_{l \times l}\right) \operatorname{det}^{\mathrm{col}}\left(N_{m \times m}-N_{m \times l} N_{l \times l}^{-1} N_{l \times m}\right) .
$$

Proposition 3.1 implies:
Corollary 3.6. $\widehat{M}$ is a Manin matrix, i.e., the equality

$$
\left[\left(\widehat{M}_{k j}\right)_{r q},\left(\widehat{M}_{i l}\right)_{p s}\right]=\left[\left(\widehat{M}_{i j}\right)_{p q},\left(\widehat{M}_{k l}\right)_{r s}\right]
$$

holds for any $i, j, k, l, p, q, r, s$.
Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\left(\widehat{M}_{k j}\right)_{r q},\left(\widehat{M}_{i l}\right)_{p s}\right] } & =\left(\delta_{k j} \delta_{i l} \delta_{r q} \delta_{p s}-\delta_{k l} \delta_{j i} \delta_{r s} \delta_{q p}\right) \hbar\left|\begin{array}{cc}
a_{0 k} & a_{0 i} \\
a_{1 k} & a_{1 i}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =-\left(\delta_{j k} \delta_{i l} \delta_{r q} \delta_{p s}-\delta_{k l} \delta_{i j} \delta_{r s} \delta_{q p}\right) \hbar\left|\begin{array}{cc}
a_{0 i} & a_{0 k} \\
a_{1 i} & a_{1 k}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\left[\left(\widehat{M}_{i j}\right)_{p q},\left(\widehat{M}_{k l}\right)_{r s}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem 3.5. Since the entries of $C$ are central, the product $C^{-1} \widehat{M}$ is a Manin matrix and

$$
\operatorname{det}^{\mathrm{col}}\left(C^{-1} \widehat{M}\right)=\operatorname{det}(C)^{-1} \operatorname{det}^{\mathrm{col}}(\widehat{M})
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
C^{-1} \widehat{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x-T_{\infty} & -\widehat{P} \\
-\widehat{Q} & \partial-A x-T_{\mathrm{fin}}-\widehat{B}^{\circ}
\end{array}\right)
$$

up to conjugation by a permutation matrix, and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}^{\mathrm{col}}\left(C^{-1} \widehat{M}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(x-T_{\infty}\right) \operatorname{det}^{\operatorname{col}}(\partial-\widehat{L}(x)) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Schur's formula. Since $\operatorname{det}^{\text {col }}(\partial-\widehat{L}(x)) \in \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\left(\left(x^{-1}\right)\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{W}$, the column determinant of $\widehat{M}$ may be expressed as

$$
\operatorname{det}^{\mathrm{col}} \widehat{M}(\partial, x)=\operatorname{det}(C) \sum_{m, n \geq 0} h_{m n} x^{m} \partial^{n}, \quad h_{m n} \in \mathcal{H} .
$$

Now we write

$$
g=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)
$$

and put

$$
\binom{\tilde{\partial}}{\tilde{x}}=g\binom{\partial}{x}=\binom{a \partial+b x}{c \partial+d x} .
$$

Since $\widehat{M}^{g}(\partial, x)=\widehat{M}(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{x})$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}^{\mathrm{col}} \widehat{M}^{g}(\partial, x) & =\operatorname{det}(C) \sum_{m, n \geq 0} h_{m n} \tilde{x}^{m} \tilde{\partial}^{n} \\
& =\operatorname{det}(C) \sum_{m, n \geq 0} h_{m n}(a \partial+b x)^{m}(c \partial+d x)^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The right hand side lives in $\operatorname{det}(C) \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C} \mathcal{W}$. Thus the equality (3.1) for $\widehat{M^{g}}, \widehat{L}^{g}$ shows

$$
\operatorname{det}^{\operatorname{col}}\left(\partial-\widehat{L}^{g}(x)\right) \in \frac{\operatorname{det}(C)}{\operatorname{det}\left(C^{g}\right)} \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\left(\left(x^{-1}\right)\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{W},
$$

where $C^{g}:=\bigoplus_{i \in I} c_{i}^{g} 1_{W_{i}}$. Since $\operatorname{det}(C) / \operatorname{det}\left(C^{g}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, we obtain the assertion.

### 3.3. Quantized simply-laced isomonodromy systems

For $i \in I$ and $\lambda \in \Sigma_{i}$, we define

$$
\widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{a}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} \underset{x=t_{\lambda}}{\operatorname{Res} \operatorname{tr}\left(\widehat{L}(x)^{2}\right) d x} & \left(i \notin I_{\mathrm{fin}}\right), \\ -\frac{1}{2} \underset{x=-t_{\lambda}}{\operatorname{Res}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\widehat{L}^{g_{i}}(x)^{2}\right) d x & \left(i \in I_{\mathrm{fin}}\right),\end{cases}
$$

where $g_{i} \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ is defined in (2.2). Proposition 2.5 shows that the quasiclassical limit of each $\widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{a}$ is equal to $H_{\lambda}^{a}$.

The following lemma implies that $\widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{a} \in \mathcal{H}$ for all $\lambda \in \Sigma$ (note that the residue of any exact meromorphic one-form is zero):

Lemma 3.7. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a possibly non-commutative ring and suppose that a matrix $N(x)=\left(N_{p q}(x)\right) \in M_{n}\left(\mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(x)\right)$ satisfies the $R L L=L L R$ relation:

$$
\left[N_{p q}(x), N_{r s}(y)\right]=\frac{\delta_{q r}\left(N_{p s}(y)-N_{p s}(x)\right)-\delta_{s p}\left(N_{q r}(y)-N_{q r}(y)\right)}{x-y} .
$$

Then

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(N(x)^{2}\right)=\operatorname{qch}_{1}(N)(x)^{2}-2 \operatorname{qch}_{2}(N)(x)-(n-1) \operatorname{tr}\left(N^{\prime}(x)\right),
$$

where $N^{\prime}(x)=d N / d x$.

Proof. A direct calculation shows

$$
\operatorname{qch}_{1}(N)=\operatorname{tr}(N), \quad \operatorname{qch}_{2}(N)=\sum_{p<q}\left(N_{p p} N_{q q}-N_{q p} N_{p q}\right)-\sum_{p=1}^{n}(p-1) N_{p p}^{\prime} .
$$

On the other hand, the $R L L=L L R$ relation implies

$$
N_{p p} N_{q q}=N_{q q} N_{p p}, \quad N_{q p} N_{p q}=N_{p q} N_{q p}-N_{q q}^{\prime}+N_{p p}^{\prime} .
$$

Using the above we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}\left(N^{2}\right)-(\operatorname{tr} N)^{2} & =\sum_{p<q}\left(-N_{p p} N_{q q}-N_{q q} N_{p p}+N_{p q} N_{q p}+N_{q p} N_{p q}\right) \\
& =\sum_{p<q}\left(-2 N_{p p} N_{q q}+2 N_{q p} N_{p q}+N_{q q}^{\prime}-N_{p p}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =-2 \operatorname{qch}_{2}(N)-2 \sum_{p=1}^{n}(p-1) N_{p p}^{\prime}+\sum_{p<q}\left(N_{q q}^{\prime}-N_{p p}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =-2 \operatorname{qch}_{2}(N)-(n-1) \sum_{p=1}^{n} N_{p p}^{\prime},
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives the desired equality.
Take any $\lambda, \mu \in \Sigma$ and put $i=\pi(\lambda), j=\pi(\mu)$. We calculate $\partial \widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{a} / \partial t_{\mu}-$ $\partial \widehat{h}_{\mu}^{a} / \partial t_{\lambda}$.
Lemma 3.8. If $a_{i}=\infty$ then

$$
\frac{\partial \widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{a}}{\partial t_{\mu}}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2\left(t_{\lambda}-t_{\mu}\right)^{2}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\widehat{Q} \operatorname{Id}_{\lambda} \widehat{P} \widehat{Q} \operatorname{Id}_{\mu} \widehat{P}+\widehat{Q} \operatorname{Id}_{\mu} \widehat{P} \widehat{Q} \mathrm{Id}_{\lambda} \widehat{P}\right) & \left(a_{j}=\infty\right) \\ \frac{1}{c_{i} c_{j}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{\mu}^{V} \widehat{\Xi} \operatorname{Id}_{\lambda}^{V} \widehat{\Xi}\right) & \left(a_{j} \neq \infty\right)\end{cases}
$$

where $\operatorname{Id}_{\lambda}^{V}, \operatorname{Id}_{\mu}^{V}$ denote the idempotents of $\operatorname{End}(V)$ for $V_{\lambda}, V_{\mu}$, respectively.
Proof. Decompose $\widehat{L}=\widehat{L}^{+}+\widehat{L}^{-}$as in the proof of Proposition 3.2. Since the matrix entries of $\widehat{L}^{+}(x)$ commute with those of $\widehat{L}^{-}(x)$ and are holomorphic at $x=t_{\lambda}$, we have

$$
\underset{x=t_{\lambda}}{\operatorname{Res}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\widehat{L}(x)^{2}\right) d x=2 \operatorname{Res}_{x=t_{\lambda}}^{\operatorname{Res}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\widehat{L}^{+}(x) \widehat{L}^{-}(x)\right) d x+\underset{x=t_{\lambda}}{\operatorname{Res}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\widehat{L}^{-}(x)^{2}\right) d x
$$

The two terms on the right hand side may be calculated as

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{x=t_{\lambda}}^{\operatorname{Re}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\widehat{L}^{+}(x) \widehat{L}^{-}(x)\right) d x=\operatorname{Res}_{x=t_{\lambda}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(A x+T_{\text {fin }}+\widehat{B}^{\circ}\right) \widehat{Q}\left(x-T_{\infty}\right)^{-1} \widehat{P}\right) d x
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(A t_{\lambda}+T_{\text {fin }}+\widehat{B}^{\circ}\right) \widehat{Q} \mathrm{Id}_{\lambda} \widehat{P}\right), \\
\operatorname{Res}_{x=t_{\lambda}}^{\operatorname{Res}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\widehat{L}^{-}(x)^{2}\right) d x & =\operatorname{Res}_{x=t_{\lambda}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\widehat{Q}\left(x-T_{\infty}\right)^{-1} \widehat{P} \widehat{Q}\left(x-T_{\infty}\right)^{-1} \widehat{P}\right) d x \\
& =\sum_{\substack{\nu \in \Sigma_{i} \\
\nu \neq \lambda}} \frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(\widehat{Q} \operatorname{Id}_{\lambda} \widehat{P} \widehat{Q} \mathrm{Id}_{\nu} \widehat{P}+\widehat{Q} \mathrm{Id}_{\nu} \widehat{P} \widehat{Q} \mathrm{Id}_{\lambda} \widehat{P}\right)}{t_{\lambda}-t_{\nu}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{a}= & \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(A t_{\lambda}+T_{\mathrm{fin}}+\widehat{B}^{\circ}\right) \widehat{Q} \operatorname{Id}_{\lambda} \widehat{P}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \Sigma_{i} \\
\nu \neq \lambda}} \frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(\widehat{Q} \mathrm{Id}_{\lambda} \widehat{P} \widehat{Q} \operatorname{Id}_{\nu} \widehat{P}+\widehat{Q} \operatorname{Id}_{\nu} \widehat{P} \widehat{Q} \mathrm{Id}_{\lambda} \widehat{P}\right)}{t_{\lambda}-t_{\nu}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\frac{\partial \widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{a}}{\partial t_{\mu}}= \begin{cases}\frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(\widehat{Q} \operatorname{Id}_{\lambda} \widehat{P} \widehat{Q} \mathrm{Id}_{\mu} \widehat{P}+\widehat{Q} \mathrm{Id}_{\mu} \widehat{P} \widehat{Q} \operatorname{Id}_{\lambda} \widehat{P}\right)}{2\left(t_{\lambda}-t_{\mu}\right)^{2}} & \left(a_{j}=\infty\right), \\ \operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{\mu}^{U} \widehat{Q} \operatorname{Id}_{\lambda} \widehat{P}\right) & \left(a_{j} \neq \infty\right),\end{cases}
$$

where recall that $\operatorname{Id}_{\mu}^{U}$ denotes the idempotent of $\operatorname{End}(U)$ for $V_{\mu}$. Note that $\widehat{Q}, \widehat{P}$ are blocks of $C^{-1} \widehat{\Xi}$. Thus if $a_{j} \neq \infty$ then

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{\mu}^{U} \widehat{Q} \operatorname{Id}_{\lambda} \widehat{P}\right)=\frac{1}{c_{i} c_{j}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{\mu}^{V} \widehat{\Xi} \operatorname{Id}_{\lambda}^{V} \widehat{\Xi}\right) .
$$

Define $\kappa_{i j} \in \mathbb{C}$ by

$$
\kappa_{i j}= \begin{cases}0 & (i=j), \\ -1 & \left(a_{i}=\infty, a_{j} \neq \infty\right) \\ 1 & \left(a_{i} \neq \infty, a_{j}=\infty\right) \\ \frac{1}{a_{i}-a_{j}} & (\text { otherwise })\end{cases}
$$

Proposition 3.9. The following equality holds:

$$
\frac{\partial \widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{a}}{\partial t_{\mu}}-\frac{\partial \widehat{h}_{\mu}^{a}}{\partial t_{\lambda}}=\hbar\left(\operatorname{dim} V_{\lambda}\right)\left(\operatorname{dim} V_{\mu}\right) \kappa_{i j} .
$$

Proof. First, suppose $i=j$. If $a_{i}=a_{j}=\infty$, then Lemma 3.8 shows

$$
\frac{\partial \widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{a}}{\partial t_{\mu}}=\frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(\widehat{Q} \operatorname{Id}_{\lambda} \widehat{P} \widehat{Q} \operatorname{Id}_{\mu} \widehat{P}+\widehat{Q} \operatorname{Id}_{\mu} \widehat{P} \widehat{Q} \mathrm{Id}_{\lambda} \widehat{P}\right)}{2\left(t_{\lambda}-t_{\mu}\right)^{2}}
$$

which is a symmetric function of $(\lambda, \mu)$. Hence

$$
\frac{\partial \widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{a}}{\partial t_{\mu}}=\frac{\partial \widehat{h}_{\mu}^{a}}{\partial t_{\lambda}} .
$$

If $a_{i}=a_{j} \neq \infty$, then $\widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{\boldsymbol{a}}=-\left(g_{i}\right)_{*}\left(\widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{g_{i}^{-1} \boldsymbol{a}}\right)$ and hence

$$
\frac{\partial \widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{a}}{\partial t_{\mu}}=-\frac{d t_{\mu}^{g_{i}}}{d t_{\mu}} \frac{\partial \widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{a}}{\partial t_{\mu}^{g_{i}}}=-\frac{d t_{\mu}^{g_{i}}}{d t_{\mu}}\left(g_{i}\right)_{*}\left(\frac{\partial \widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{g_{i}^{-1} a}}{\partial t_{\mu}}\right)=\left(g_{i}\right)_{*}\left(\frac{\partial \widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{g_{i}^{-1} a}}{\partial t_{\mu}}\right)
$$

because $t_{\mu}^{g_{i}}=-t_{\mu}$. Since $\lambda, \mu \in \Sigma_{i}$ and $g_{i}^{-1}\left(a_{i}\right)=\infty, \partial \widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{g_{i}^{-1} a} / \partial t_{\mu}$ is a symmetric function of $(\lambda, \mu)$. Hence

$$
\frac{\partial \widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{a}}{\partial t_{\mu}}=\frac{\partial \widehat{h}_{\mu}^{a}}{\partial t_{\lambda}} .
$$

Next, suppose $i \neq j$. If $a_{i}=\infty$, then Lemma 3.8 shows

$$
\frac{\partial \widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{a}}{\partial t_{\mu}}=\frac{1}{c_{i} c_{j}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{\mu}^{V} \widehat{\Xi} \operatorname{Id}_{\lambda}^{V} \widehat{\Xi}\right),
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial \widehat{h}_{\mu}^{a}}{\partial t_{\lambda}}=-\frac{d t_{\lambda}^{g_{j}}}{d t_{\lambda}} g_{j}^{*}\left(\frac{\partial \widehat{h}_{\mu}^{g_{j}^{-1}} \boldsymbol{a}}{\partial t_{\lambda}}\right)=-\frac{d t_{\lambda}^{g_{j}}}{d t_{\lambda}} \frac{1}{c_{j}^{g_{j}} c_{i}^{g_{j}}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{\lambda}^{V} \widehat{\Xi} \operatorname{Id}_{\mu}^{V} \widehat{\Xi}\right) .
$$

A direct calculation shows

$$
c_{k}^{g_{j}}= \begin{cases}-c_{j} & (k=j) \\ c_{k} & \left(a_{k}=\infty\right) \\ \left(a_{j}-a_{k}\right) c_{k} & (\text { otherwise })\end{cases}
$$

Hence

$$
\frac{\partial \widehat{h}_{\mu}^{a}}{\partial t_{\lambda}}=\frac{1}{c_{j} c_{i}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{\lambda}^{V} \widehat{\Xi} \operatorname{Id}_{\mu}^{V} \widehat{\Xi}\right) .
$$

Thus we obtain

$$
\frac{\partial \widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{a}}{\partial t_{\mu}}-\frac{\partial \widehat{h}_{\mu}^{a}}{\partial t_{\lambda}}=\frac{1}{c_{i} c_{j}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{\mu}^{V} \widehat{\Xi} \operatorname{Id}_{\lambda}^{V} \widehat{\Xi}-\operatorname{Id}_{\lambda}^{V} \widehat{\Xi} \operatorname{Id}_{\mu}^{V} \widehat{\Xi}\right) .
$$

If $a_{i}, a_{j} \neq \infty$, then

$$
\frac{\partial \widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{a}}{\partial t_{\mu}}=-\frac{d t_{\mu}^{g_{i}}}{d t_{\mu}}\left(g_{i}\right)_{*}\left(\frac{\partial \widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{g_{i}^{-1} a}}{\partial t_{\mu}}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{\mu}^{V} \widehat{\Xi} \operatorname{Id}_{\lambda}^{V} \widehat{\Xi}\right)}{\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right)^{2} c_{i} c_{j}}
$$

and hence

$$
\frac{\partial \widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{a}}{\partial t_{\mu}}-\frac{\partial \widehat{h}_{\mu}^{a}}{\partial t_{\lambda}}=\frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{\mu}^{V} \widehat{\Xi} \operatorname{Id}_{\lambda}^{V} \widehat{\Xi}-\operatorname{Id}_{\lambda}^{V} \widehat{\Xi} \operatorname{Id}_{\mu}^{V} \widehat{\Xi}\right)}{\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right)^{2} c_{i} c_{j}} .
$$

On the other hand, the commutation relations for the entries of $\widehat{\Xi}$ yield

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{\mu}^{V} \widehat{\Xi} \operatorname{Id}_{\lambda}^{V} \widehat{\Xi}-\operatorname{Id}_{\lambda}^{V} \widehat{\Xi} \operatorname{Id}_{\mu}^{V} \widehat{\Xi}\right)=-\hbar\left(\operatorname{dim} V_{\lambda}\right)\left(\operatorname{dim} V_{\mu}\right)\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{0 j} & a_{0 i} \\
a_{1 j} & a_{1 i}
\end{array}\right| .
$$

Also, by the definition we have

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{0 j} & a_{0 i} \\
a_{1 j} & a_{1 i}
\end{array}\right|= \begin{cases}c_{i} c_{j} & \left(a_{i}=\infty, a_{j} \neq \infty\right), \\
-c_{i} c_{j} & \left(a_{i} \neq \infty, a_{j}=\infty\right), \\
-c_{i} c_{j}\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right) & \text { (otherwise) }\end{cases}
$$

Now the assertion immediately follows.
For $\lambda \in \Sigma$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{H}_{\lambda}^{a} & =\widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{a}-\frac{\hbar \operatorname{dim} V_{\lambda}}{2} \sum_{\mu \neq \lambda}\left(\operatorname{dim} V_{\mu}\right) \kappa_{\pi(\lambda) \pi(\mu)} t_{\mu} \\
& =\widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{a}-\frac{\hbar \operatorname{dim} V_{\lambda}}{2} \sum_{j \neq \pi(\lambda)} \kappa_{\pi(\lambda) j} \operatorname{tr} T_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

The quasi-classical limit of each $\widehat{H}_{\lambda}^{a}$ is equal to $H_{\lambda}^{a}$.
Theorem 3.10. For any $\lambda, \mu \in \Sigma$, the following equalities hold:

$$
\left[\widehat{H}_{\lambda}^{a}, \widehat{H}_{\mu}^{a}\right]=0, \quad \frac{\partial \widehat{H}_{\lambda}^{a}}{\partial t_{\mu}}=\frac{\partial \widehat{H}_{\mu}^{a}}{\partial t_{\lambda}}
$$

Proof. All the $\widehat{H}_{\lambda}^{a}$ live in $\mathcal{H}$, and hence pairwise commute. Also, for $\lambda \neq \mu \in \Sigma$ we have

$$
\frac{\partial \widehat{H}_{\lambda}^{a}}{\partial t_{\mu}}=\frac{\partial \widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{a}}{\partial t_{\mu}}-\frac{\hbar}{2}\left(\operatorname{dim} V_{\lambda}\right)\left(\operatorname{dim} V_{\mu}\right) \kappa_{\pi(\lambda) \pi(\mu)} .
$$

By Proposition 3.9, we thus obtain

$$
\frac{\partial \widehat{H}_{\lambda}^{a}}{\partial t_{\mu}}-\frac{\partial \widehat{H}_{\mu}^{a}}{\partial t_{\lambda}}=\frac{\partial \widehat{h}_{\lambda}^{a}}{\partial t_{\mu}}-\frac{\partial \widehat{h}_{\mu}^{a}}{\partial t_{\lambda}}-\hbar\left(\operatorname{dim} V_{\lambda}\right)\left(\operatorname{dim} V_{\mu}\right) \kappa_{\pi(\lambda) \pi(\mu)}=0,
$$

which completes the proof.

Thus the family $\left\{\widehat{H}_{\lambda}^{a}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Sigma}$ gives a quantization of the simply-laced isomonodromy system.
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