

**A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR A FAMILY OF
SELMAPPINGS SATISFYING A GENERAL CONTRACTIVE
CONDITION OF OPERATOR TYPE**

CIHANGIR ALACA

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science and Arts,
Sinop University, 57000 Sinop,
Turkey
cihangiralaca@yahoo.com.tr*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove a common fixed point theorem for a family of selfmappings satisfying a general contractive condition of operator type.

1. INTRODUCTION

The class of generalized contraction mappings, introduced and studied by Ćirić in [6], is very significant in a fixed point theory. As noted by Gornićki and Rhoades [8], a contractive condition (2.1) on a pair of generalized contractions. Jungck [9] proved a fixed point theorem for commuting maps generalizing the Banach's fixed point and further he [10] introduced more generalizing commutativity, so called compatibility, which is more general than that of weak commutativity defined by Sessa [12]. Lately, Branciari [4] obtained a fixed point results for a single mapping satisfying an analogue of Banach's contraction principle (see [3] and [5]) for an integral type inequality. Rhoades [11] proved two fixed point theorems involving more general contractive conditions. Vijayaraju et al. [13] established a general principle, which made it possible to proved many fixed point theorems for a pair of maps of integral type. Aliouche [1] gave a common fixed point theorem for selfmappings of a symmetric space under a contractive condition of integral type. Altun and Turkoglu [2] proved a fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive of operator type.

The main purpose of this paper is to give a common fixed point theorem for a family of selfmappings satisfying a general contractive condition of operator type.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let X be a nonempty set and let $\{T_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ be a family of selfmappings on X and J indexing set. A point $u \in X$ is called a common fixed point for a family

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47H10, 54E50, 58J20.

Key words and phrases. Common fixed point, contractive condition of operator type.

$\{T_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ iff for each T_α . The following theorem was given by Ćirić [7] for a family of generalized contraction.

Theorem 1. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $\{T_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ be a family of selfmappings of X . If there exists fixed $\beta \in J$ such that for each $\alpha \in J$:*

$$(2.1) \quad d(T_\alpha x, T_\beta y) \leq \lambda \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(x, y), d(x, T_\alpha x), d(y, T_\beta y), \\ \frac{1}{2} [d(x, T_\beta y) + d(y, T_\alpha x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

for some $\lambda = \lambda(\alpha) \in (0, 1)$ and all $x, y \in X$, then all T_α have a unique common fixed point, which is a unique fixed point of each T_α , $\alpha \in J$.

The following theorem was given by Branciari [4] was to analyze the existence of fixed points for mappings of f defined on a complete metric space (X, d) satisfying a contractive condition of integral type.

Theorem 2. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $c \in (0, 1)$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that for each $x, y \in X$ one has*

$$\int_0^{d(fx, fy)} \varphi(t) dt \leq c \int_0^{d(x, y)} \varphi(t) dt$$

where $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping which is summable (i.e. with finite integral) on each compact subset of $[0, +\infty)$, non-negative and such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\int_0^\varepsilon \varphi(t) dt > 0$; then f has a unique fixed point $a \in X$ such that for each $x \in X$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n x = a$.

The following concept of $O(f; \cdot)$ and its examples was given by Altun and Turkoglu [2].

Let $F([0, \infty))$ be class of all function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ and let Θ be class of all operators

$$O(\bullet; \cdot) : F([0, \infty)) \rightarrow F([0, \infty)), \quad f \rightarrow O(f; \cdot)$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $O(f; t) > 0$ for $t > 0$ and $O(f; 0) = 0$,
- (ii) $O(f; t) \leq O(f; s)$ for $t \leq s$,
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} O(f; t_n) = O(f; \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n)$,
- (iv) $O(f; \max\{t, s\}) = \max\{O(f; t), O(f; s)\}$ for some $f \in F([0, \infty))$.

Example 1. *If $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a Lebesgue integrable mapping which is finite integral on each compact subset of $[0, \infty)$, non-negative and such that for each $t > 0$, $\int_0^t f(s) ds > 0$, then the operator defined by*

$$O(f; t) = \int_0^t f(s) ds$$

satisfies the conditions (i)-(iv).

Example 2. *If $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ non-decreasing, continuous function such that $f(0) = 0$ and $f(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$, then the operator defined by*

$$O(f; t) = \frac{f(t)}{1 + f(t)}$$

satisfies the conditions (i)-(iv).

Example 3. If $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ non-decreasing, continuous function such that $f(0) = 0$ and $f(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$, then the operator defined by

$$O(f; t) = \frac{f(t)}{1 + \ln(1 + f(t))}$$

satisfies the conditions (i)-(iv).

3. A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM AND IT'S RESULTS

Now, we prove a common fixed point theorem for a family of selfmappings satisfying a general contractive condition of operator type in complete metric spaces.

Theorem 3. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $\{T_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ be a family of selfmappings of X . If there exists a fixed $\beta \in J$ such that for each $\alpha \in J$:

$$(3.1) \quad O(f; d(T_\alpha x, T_\beta y)) \leq \lambda O(f; m(x, y))$$

where $O(\bullet; \cdot) \in \Theta$ and

$$(3.2) \quad m(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, T_\alpha x), d(y, T_\beta y), \frac{1}{2} [d(x, T_\beta y) + d(y, T_\alpha x)] \right\}$$

for some $\lambda = \lambda(\alpha) \in (0, 1)$ and all $x, y \in X$, then all T_α have a unique common fixed point, which is a unique fixed point of each T_α , $\alpha \in J$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in J$ and $x \in X$ be arbitrary. Consider a sequence, defined inductively by

$$x_0 = x, x_{2n+1} = T_\alpha x_{2n}, x_{2n+2} = T_\beta x_{2n+1}, \quad (n \geq 0).$$

For each integer $n \geq 0$, from (3.1),

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} O(f; d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})) &= O(f; d(T_\alpha x_{2n}, T_\beta x_{2n+1})) \\ &\leq \lambda O(f; m(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.2), we have

$$m(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) = \max \{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})\}.$$

Substituting into (3.3) and (iv), one obtains

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} O(f; d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})) &\leq \lambda O(f; \max \{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})\}) \\ &= \lambda \max \{O(f; d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})), O(f; d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}))\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $O(f; d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})) \geq O(f; d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}))$, then from (3.4) we have

$$O(f; d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})) \leq \lambda O(f; d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}))$$

which is a contradiction ($\lambda < 1$). Thus $O(f; d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})) < O(f; d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}))$ and so from (3.4) one obtains

$$O(f; d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})) \leq \lambda O(f; d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})).$$

Similarly, we get that

$$O(f; d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})) \leq \lambda O(f; d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})).$$

Thus, for any $n \geq 1$ we have

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} O(f; d(x_n, x_{n+1})) &\leq \lambda O(f; d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \\ &\leq \lambda^2 O(f; d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})) \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \lambda^n O(f; d(x_0, x_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit of (3.5), as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} O(f; d(x_n, x_{n+1})) = 0,$$

which, from (i), implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0.$$

Therefore, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence. (Similarly, see [2]).

Since X is complete, there is a $p \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = p.$$

From (3.1) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} O(f; d(x_{2n+1}, T_\beta p)) &= O(f; d(T_\alpha x_{2n}, T_\beta p)) \\ &\leq \lambda \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(x_{2n}, p), d(x_{2n}, T_\alpha x_{2n}), d(p, T_\beta p), \\ \frac{1}{2} [d(x_{2n}, T_\beta p) + d(p, T_\alpha x_{2n})] \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$O(f; d(p, T_\beta p)) \leq \lambda O(f; d(p, T_\beta p)),$$

which implies that

$$O(f; d(p, T_\beta p)) = 0,$$

which from (i), implies that $d(p, T_\beta p) = 0$; hence $T_\beta p = p$.

Now we show that p is a fixed point of all $\{T_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$. Let $\alpha \in J$ be arbitrary. Then from (3.1) with $x = y = p = T_\beta p$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} O(f; d(T_\alpha p, p)) &= O(f; d(T_\alpha p, T_\beta p)) \leq \lambda(\alpha) O(f; m(p, p)) \\ &\leq \lambda(\alpha) \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} O(f; d(p, p)), O(f; d(p, T_\alpha p)), O(f; d(p, T_\beta p)), \\ \frac{1}{2} [O(f; d(p, T_\beta p)) + O(f; d(p, T_\alpha p))] \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \lambda(\alpha) \max \left\{ O(f; d(p, T_\alpha p)), \frac{1}{2} O(f; d(p, T_\alpha p)) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$O(f; d(T_\alpha p, p)) \leq \lambda(\alpha) O(f; d(p, T_\alpha p))$$

which implies that

$$O(f; d(T_\alpha p, p)) = 0,$$

which, from (i), implies that $d(T_\alpha p, p) = 0$ or $T_\alpha p = p$. Thus, all T_α have a common fixed point.

Now we prove the uniqueness of the fixed point p . Suppose that q is another a fixed point of T_β . Then it follows, as above, that q is a common fixed point of all $\{T_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$. Thus, from (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} O(f; d(p, q)) &= O(f; d(T_\alpha p, T_\beta q)) \\ &\leq \lambda O(f; m(p, q)) \\ &= \lambda O(f; d(p, q)), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$O(f; d(p, q)) = 0,$$

which, from (i), implies that $d(p, q) = 0$. Hence $p = q$. Thus, p is a unique common fixed point of all $\{T_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$. \square

Remark 1. *It is clear that Theorem 3 is a generalization of Theorem 1 in [2].*

Remark 2. *We can have new result, if we combine Theorem 3 and some examples for $O(f; \cdot)$.*

Remark 3. *Theorem 3 is a generalization of Theorem 1, in fact letting $f = I$ (identity map) and $O(f; t) = t$ in (3.1) (it is obvious that $O(f; \cdot) \in \Theta$) one has*

$$d(T_\alpha x, T_\beta y) = O(f; d(T_\alpha x, T_\beta y)) \leq \lambda O(f; m(x, y)) = \lambda m(x, y),$$

thus Ćirić's [6,7] generalized contraction also satisfies.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aliouche, A common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible mappings in symmetric spaces satisfying a contractive condition of integral type, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 322 (2) (2006) 796 – 802.
- [2] I. Altun and D. Turkoglu, A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of operator type, J. Comput. Anal. Appl., 9 (1) (2007) 9 – 14.
- [3] S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales, Fund. Math., 3 (1922) 133 – 181.
- [4] A. Branciari, A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, Internat. J. Math.&Math. Sci. 29 (9) (2002) 531 – 536.
- [5] R. Caccioppoli, Un teorema generale sull'esistenza di elementi uniti in una trasformazione funzionale, Rend. Accad. dei Lincei, 11 (1930) 794 – 799.
- [6] Lj. B. Ćirić, Generalized contractions and fixed point theorems, Publ. Inst. Math., 12 (26) (1971) 19 – 26.
- [7] Lj. B. Ćirić, On a family of contractive maps and fixed points, Publ. Inst. Math., 17 (31) (1974) 45 – 51.
- [8] J. Gornicki, B. E. Rhoades, A general fixed point theorem for involutions, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 27 (31) (1996) 45 – 51.
- [9] G. Jungck, Commuting maps and fixed points, Amer. Math. Monthly, 83 (1976) 261 – 263.
- [10] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 9 (1986) 771 – 779.
- [11] B. E. Rhoades, Two fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 63 (2003) 4007 – 4013.
- [12] S. Sessa, On Weak Commutativity Condition of Mappings in Fixed Point Considerations, Publ. Inst. Math., 32 (46) (1982) 149 – 153.
- [13] P. Vijayaraju, B. E. Rhoades and R. Mohanraj, A fixed point theorem for a pair of maps satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 15 (2005) 2359 – 2364.