

REFLECTION GROUPS IN NON-NEGATIVE CURVATURE

FUQUAN FANG & KARSTEN GROVE

Dedicated to Jeff Cheeger on the occasion of his 70th birthday

Abstract

We provide an equivariant description / classification of all complete (compact or not) nonnegatively curved manifolds M together with a co-compact action by a reflection group W , and moreover, classify such W . In particular, we show that the building blocks consist of the classical constant curvature models and generalized open books with nonnegatively curved bundle pages, and derive a corresponding splitting theorem for the universal cover.

1. Introduction

The theory of discrete groups of motions generated by reflections has a long history (cf., e.g., [4]) going back to the study of planar regular polygons and space polyhedra. Its impact on the modern development of Lie theory, and symmetric spaces going back to Cartan and Killing is well known.

Much of the work on reflection groups has been focussed on constant curvature spaces. Here, the euclidean and spherical cases are well understood ultimately due to the works of Coxeter [7]. In the hyperbolic case the situation is very different. A complete classification of reflection groups in the hyperbolic plane was achieved by Poincaré [15] (cf. also von Dyck [9]), and in the hyperbolic 3-space by Andreev [2], whereas hyperbolic reflection groups in higher dimensions are very far from being understood. A surprising theorem of Vinberg [19] asserts that there are no co-compact hyperbolic reflection group in dimensions ≥ 30 .

Here we deal with general Riemannian manifolds with variable, but nonnegative (sectional) curvature equipped with a co-compact proper action by a discrete reflection group. Our results provide an essentially complete understanding of these objects.

In contrast to the classical framework discussed above, but motivated by applications to polar actions (like the one in [10]), a *reflection*

is nothing but an isometric involution whose fixed point set has a component of codimension one, called a *mirror*. Most subtleties caused by this generality evaporate when passing to a canonical finite cover (see Proposition 2.3).

The following simple example is at the core of our work: Consider a reflection $r : M \rightarrow M$ whose mirror Λ separates M . From the Cheeger-Gromoll soul construction it follows that M is the double of a disc bundle $\mathbb{D}(\nu)$. Note that this double can also be described as the sphere bundle $\mathbb{S}(\nu \oplus \varepsilon)$ (ε is the trivial line bundle), as well as an *open book* with two *pages* $\mathbb{D}(\nu)$, i.e., parametrized by \mathbb{S}^0 , having common boundary, the *binding* Λ .

It turns out that a natural generalization of the above *open book* type of manifold, together with the *classical space forms* constitute the *building blocks* needed in general. To explain the appearance of building blocks, we say that the action $W \times M \rightarrow M$ is *decomposable* if the orbit space M/W metrically is a finite quotient of a product, and *indecomposable* otherwise. With this terminology one of our main results is the following *Rigidity Theorem*

Theorem A. *A nonnegatively curved manifold M^n with an indecomposable co-compact action by a reflection group W is isometric to either \mathbb{R}^n , or \mathbb{T}^n , or equivariantly diffeomorphic to either \mathbb{S}^n , or $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$ with a linear action, unless all mirrors in M meet.*

Here the spherical case relies on showing that the orbit space is a simplex (cf. section 2), whereas the part where the universal cover of M is non-compact also relies on Cheeger-Gromoll splitting results for co-compact actions and for compact manifolds with infinite fundamental group, as well as on Bieberbach's celebrated Theorem (cf. section 4). Recall, that by the latter, any compact flat manifold is finitely covered by a flat torus, i.e., $M = \mathbb{T}^n / G$, where $G \subset O(n)$ is the holonomy. In particular, Theorem A shows that the holonomy group G must be trivial when the action is indecomposable. We will prove, moreover, that if the orbit space splits as a metric product of euclidean simplices, then \mathbb{T}^n / G must be an iterated torus bundle, with holonomy group G a very special elementary abelian 2-group in $GL(\mathbb{Z}, n)$ (see Corollary 5.6). The Klein bottle serves as the simplest example.

To describe the structure that arises when all mirrors meet consider the following generalizations of the open book with two pages discussed above:

MODEL EXAMPLES. Let ρ be a linear (irreducible) representation of a finite Coxeter group W on \mathbb{R}^k , and ν a smooth vector bundle with base space S . The obvious action by W on the bundle $\nu \oplus \varepsilon^k$, where ε is a trivial line bundle, induces an action by W on the total space of the sphere bundle $M_{\rho, \nu} = \mathbb{S}(\nu \oplus \varepsilon^k) =: \mathbb{S}(\nu, \rho)$. Note that, this action has k

mirrors (up to conjugation), whose intersection is $B := \mathbb{S}(\nu) \subset \mathbb{S}(\nu \oplus \varepsilon^k)$, and “normal” to B the action is ρ . Note also that the equivariant projection $\nu \oplus \varepsilon^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ induces an equivariant map $L : \mathbb{S}(\nu \oplus \varepsilon^k) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^k$, with $L^{-1}(0) = B$ and $L^{-1}([0, 1]x) = P$ diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{D}(\nu)$ for any $x \in \partial\mathbb{D}^k = \mathbb{S}^{k-1}$. For this reason we call $M_{\rho, \nu}$ a $k - 1$ dimensional *open book* with binding B and *pages* P , parametrized by \mathbb{S}^{k-1} .

In general, given ℓ linear representations ρ_i of finite Coxeter groups W_i on \mathbb{R}^{k_i} , and ℓ smooth vector bundles ν_i with base S . The obvious $W = W_1 \times \dots \times W_\ell$ action on the product of the bundles $\nu_i \oplus \varepsilon^{k_i}$ induces a W action on the *fiber product*, $M_{\bar{\rho}, \bar{\nu}} := \mathbb{S}(\bar{\nu}, \bar{\rho})$ of the sphere bundles $\mathbb{S}(\nu_i \oplus \varepsilon^{k_i})$, i.e., the pull back by the diagonal map $\Delta : S \rightarrow S \times \dots \times S$ of the product of the sphere bundles $\mathbb{S}(\nu_i \oplus \varepsilon^{k_i})$. As in the case of a single representation and bundle, there is a canonically associated W equivariant map $L : M_{\bar{\rho}, \bar{\nu}} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{k_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{D}^{k_\ell}$ where $B = L^{-1}(0, \dots, 0)$ is the intersection of all mirrors for W , and $P = L^{-1}([0, 1]x_1, \dots, [0, 1]x_\ell)$ for any $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{S}^{k_1-1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}^{k_\ell-1}$ is a manifold with corners diffeomorphic to the fiber product $\mathbb{D}(\bar{\nu})$ of the disc bundles $\mathbb{D}(\nu_i)$. We say that $M_{\bar{\rho}, \bar{\nu}}$ is an *iterated open book* with *pivot binding* B and *pages* P .

Using this terminology we have the following general *Structure Theorem* when all mirrors meet.

Theorem B. *A compact nonnegatively curved manifold M with reflection group W , all of whose mirrors meet admits a finite cover M' which is equivariantly equivalent to an (iterated) open book $M_{\bar{\rho}, \bar{\nu}}$, with pages a nonnegatively curved (fiber product) disc bundle $\mathbb{D}(\bar{\nu})$.*

For more details including further restrictions on the metric on the pages, we refer to section 3, in particular Theorems 4.3 and 4.7 and the description about additional geometric structure in the form of the presence of *spherical heavens* of souls in the spirit of Yim’s work [23]. Also, *conversely*, using a construction due to Guijarro [13], it follows that an (iterated) open book with the given data has an invariant metric with nonnegative curvature.

Note, that by definition obviously a reflection group on a positively curved manifold is indecomposable. Also iterated open books are excluded due to the presence of zero curvatures, and an open book with positive curvature has soul a point, and is hence a sphere. In particular, the above results have the following corollary in positive curvature also proved, but not explicitly stated in this generality in [10]:

Corollary. *A compact manifold M with positive curvature and reflection group W supports a W -invariant metric of constant curvature.*

When passing to the universal cover, Theorems A and B in particular lead to the following general *Splitting Theorem*

Theorem C. *Let M be a complete nonnegatively curved manifold with co-compact reflection group W . Then the lifted reflection group \hat{W} on the universal cover \tilde{M} is a product of Coxeter groups,*

$$\hat{W} = \hat{W}_0 \times \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \hat{W}_i \times \hat{W}_\ell,$$

where \hat{W}_0 is affine, and the remaining factors are spherical. Correspondingly, \tilde{M} admits a \hat{W} invariant metric splitting,

$$\tilde{M} = \mathbb{R}^k \times \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \mathbb{S}^{k_i} \times \Theta_\ell \times N,$$

where N can be any simply connected compact manifold of nonnegative curvature on which all \hat{W}_i act trivially, \mathbb{S}^{k_i} is a nonnegatively curved standard sphere with a linear \hat{W}_i action, and Θ_ℓ is a compact simply connected nonnegatively curved (iterated) open book.

As a consequence we derive the following *Group Structure Theorem*,

Corollary. *A group W is a co-compact reflection group of a complete nonnegatively curved manifold if and only if*

$$W \cong \hat{W}_0 \times \cdots \times \hat{W}_\ell / N,$$

where \hat{W}_0 is an affine Coxeter group, \hat{W}_i , $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, is a spherical Coxeter group, and $N \triangleleft \hat{W}$ a normal subgroup isomorphic to a product of a torsion free lattice and an elementary abelian 2-group.

As indicated earlier, aside from obviously being of interest on its own, understanding reflections groups in nonnegative curvature provides the first step in understanding so-called polar actions on such manifolds (cf. [10], where a complete classification of polar actions in positive curvature, of cohomogeneity at least two, was established). The reason is that so-called sections of a polar action are nonnegatively curved manifolds with a reflection group. Basic examples of such actions are provided by compact Lie groups with adjoint actions, where the sections are the maximal tori. Note, that in this context, it is important to include non-compact reflection manifolds, since sections may not be compact even when the polar manifold is.

In general, there will be no classification like in [10] because of the presence of open books as sections. In fact, potentially one might be able to construct new nonnegatively curved (polar) manifolds as in the case of cohomogeneity one actions considered in [12], when sections are open books.

Note also, however, that a polar action with open books as sections, should be considered as *reducible*, since the associated reflection group of a section has an *invariant subspace* (a totally geodesic submanifold). Thus, Theorem A, is the key starting point in an analysis of *irreducible*

polar actions on compact simply connected manifolds of nonnegative curvature, for which the following was proposed in [10]:

Conjecture. *An irreducible polar action on a simply connected non-negatively curved compact manifold is equivariantly diffeomorphic to a quotient of a polar action on a symmetric space.*

The general structure / classification of compact simply connected nonnegatively curved polar manifolds will be addressed in forthcoming papers.

We conclude the introduction with a short outline of the paper.

In the first section we provide the necessary background for reflection groups in our generality, including the notion of a *Coxeter action*, where the orbit space M/W is isometric to the closure C of any open chamber c , i.e., of a connected component of the complement of the union of all mirrors. We analyze the lift to the universal cover and establish the existence of a canonical lift, the *Coxeter cover*, where the action by W is Coxeter (Proposition 2.3).

The overall strategy in our approach is based on the fact that follows from the work of Wörner [20] that the chamber C for a Coxeter action is a product $C = C_0 \times C_1 \times C_2 \times \dots \times C_\ell$ where C_0 is a manifold without boundary (typically a point), and each C_i , $i \geq 1$ is a smooth nonnegatively curved convex manifold with corners, and either (1) C_i has more than $n_i = \dim C_i$ faces, but any n_i faces of C_i meet, or (2) C_i has $k_i \leq n_i$ faces and they all meet. In section 2, we show that if there is only one factor and it is of type (1) then C is a simplex. This is then used to prove the spherical part of Theorem A (cf. 2.5 and 2.6). The case where there is only one factor in the splitting, but it has type (2) is then handled in section 3. This is where the open book structures appear, from which Theorem B follows.

The starting point in section 4 is the observation that a co-compact action on a noncompact manifold of nonnegative curvature is decomposable unless the manifold is euclidean space, and similarly an action is decomposable on a compact manifold with infinite fundamental group unless it is flat (cf. 4.2 and 4.3). Consequently, the rest of the section deals with reflection groups on flat manifolds, and in particular the flat part of Theorem A follows from 5.5.

Finally, in section 5 we give proofs of Theorem C and Corollary D.

Acknowledgements. It is our pleasure to thank Burkhard Wilking for pointing out the Cheeger-Gromoll Isometry Splitting Theorem (Corollary 6.2 in [5]) to us. Our original proof of Theorem 5.1 for a co-compact reflection group was based on the work of Yim [23] on the heaven of pseudo souls, and Gromov's theorem about groups of polynomial growth. It is also our pleasure to thank John Harvey and the

referee for constructive comments and questions leading to an improved exposition.

The first named author is supported in part by an NSFC key grant and the University of Notre Dame. The second named author is supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation and a Humboldt award. He also wants to thank the Max Planck Institute and the Hausdorff Center for Mathematics in Bonn for hospitality

2. Preliminaries and the Coxeter cover.

Although our focus in this paper is to analyse and describe complete nonnegatively curved manifolds with co-compact reflection groups, we begin with a brief review and discussion of general (co-compact) *reflection groups*, establish notation and derive important facts about covers. See also [10] and [12], where several examples are discussed, as well as [1].

For us, a *reflection* r on a Riemannian manifold M is an isometric involution, whose fixed point set M^r contains a component Λ of codimension 1. Any such component Λ , is called a *mirror* for r . It is sometimes advantageous to label reflections by mirrors, Λ_r , keeping in mind that different mirrors may be mirrors for the same reflection. It is essential for us not to require that mirrors separate M into different components interchanged by the reflection! Note that the latter, however, is the case for reflections on a simply connected manifold [8].

Let $W \subset \text{Isom}(M)$ be a discrete closed subgroup of isometries of M generated by all reflections contained in W . We will call any such group W a *reflection group* of M . An *open chamber* $c \subset M$ is by definition a connected component of the complement of the union of all mirrors \mathfrak{M} for all reflections in W . Clearly, W acts transitively on the set of all open chambers. However, the *stabilizer group* W_c may be non-trivial in general.

We say that

- The action $W \times M \rightarrow M$ is *Coxeter* if W_c is trivial.
- In this case we also say that (M, W) is a *Coxeter manifold*.

It is well known that the action is Coxeter when M is simply connected [8] (and in this case W is a Coxeter group), or when M is a *section* of a polar action on a simply connected manifold ([3, 12]). We will see below in Proposition 2.3 that M admits a natural equivariant W_c cover, M' with a Coxeter action by W . We will refer to this cover as the *Coxeter cover* of (M, W) , or simply of M .

The closure $C = \text{cl}(c)$ is called a *closed chamber* or simply a *chamber*, and clearly $M/W = C/W_c$. In particular, $M/W = C$ when the action is Coxeter. Moreover, any point p in the boundary $\partial C = C - c$ of C is in

one or more mirrors (at most $\dim M$). Since W is discrete, it follows that the isotropy group W_p for any such $p \in \partial C$ is a finite Coxeter group, and locally C is a finite union of strongly convex sets. A *chamber face* of C is by definition a component of the intersection $C \cap \Lambda$, $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{M}$, which contains an open subset of Λ . We can provide each chamber face with a label $i \in I$ and will denote the face by F_i and the corresponding reflection by r_i . As mentioned above, note though that different faces can correspond to the same reflection, i.e., possibly $r_i = r_j$. Obviously, W_c takes chamber faces to chamber faces, the image of which under the projection map $C \rightarrow C/W_c = M/W$ constitute the *faces* of the orbit space M/W . By construction we note that the boundaries ∂C and $\partial(M/W)$ are the union of chamber faces, respectively of faces. Note that in general, C is not an Alexandrov space, whereas $C/W_c = M/W$ is.

We now proceed to investigate natural reflection groups induced from W to covers of M beginning with the universal cover.

Consider the universal covering map $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$, and let \tilde{W} be the group acting on \tilde{M} consisting of all lifts of all elements of W . Clearly W fits into an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1 \rightarrow \tilde{W} \rightarrow W \rightarrow 1,$$

where $\pi_1 := \pi_1(M)$. Note that in general \tilde{W} is not a reflection group, and it may not be finitely generated (even when W is).

Now let $\hat{W} \triangleleft \tilde{W}$ be the normal subgroup generated by all reflections in \tilde{W} . Note that a mirror for any such reflection of \tilde{M} is a connected component of the lift of a mirror in M . Since \tilde{M} is simply connected, each mirror separates \tilde{M} . Hence, \hat{W} is a Coxeter group and its action on \tilde{M} is a Coxeter action with chamber \tilde{C} . Furthermore, since $\tilde{C} = \tilde{M}/\hat{W}$ is a retract of \tilde{M} , we see that \tilde{C} is simply connected (compare also Prop. 2.14 in [1]).

Since both π_1 and \hat{W} are normal subgroups of \tilde{W} , so is $\hat{W} \cap \pi_1$. Moreover, it follows that $\hat{W} \cap \pi_1 \triangleleft \hat{W}$ and $\hat{W} \cap \pi_1 \triangleleft \pi_1$, with quotients W and $\Gamma := \pi_1/(\hat{W} \cap \pi_1)$ respectively. We now claim that $\tilde{W}/(\hat{W} \cap \pi_1)$ is isomorphic to the direct product $W \times \Gamma$, i.e., we have an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \hat{W} \cap \pi_1 \rightarrow \tilde{W} \rightarrow W \times \Gamma \rightarrow 1.$$

Indeed, this is an immediate consequence of the following algebraic lemma applied to the quotient $\tilde{W}/(\hat{W} \cap \pi_1)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume \hat{N} contains two normal subgroups $N \triangleleft \hat{N}$ and $G \triangleleft \hat{N}$ such that $\hat{N} = \langle N, G \rangle$. Then $\hat{N} = N \times G$, the direct product, if $N \cap G = \{1\}$.*

Proof. By the assumption, conjugation by elements of \mathbf{G} defines a homomorphism $\rho : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbf{N})$. Similarly, conjugation by elements of \mathbf{N} defines a homomorphism $\tau : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbf{G})$. Note that, for any $x \in \mathbf{N}$ and $g \in \mathbf{G}$, we have

$$gxg^{-1} = \rho(g)(x), \text{ and } x^{-1}gx = \tau(x)(g).$$

Thus $gx = \rho(g)(x)g$ and $gx = x\tau(x)(g)$, and it follows that $\rho(g)(x)g = x\tau(x)(g)$. Hence

$$x^{-1}\rho(g)(x) = \tau(x)(g)g^{-1}$$

where the left side belongs to \mathbf{N} , and right side belongs to \mathbf{G} . From the assumption, $\mathbf{N} \cap \mathbf{G} = \{1\}$, it follows that both are trivial, in other words both ρ and τ are trivial, i.e. \mathbf{N} and \mathbf{G} commute. q.e.d.

Thus, for the induced action by $\mathbf{W} = \hat{\mathbf{W}}/\hat{\mathbf{W}} \cap \pi_1$ on $\hat{M} := \tilde{M}/\hat{\mathbf{W}} \cap \pi_1$, a covering space of M with deck transformation group $\Gamma = \pi_1/(\pi_1 \cap \hat{\mathbf{W}})$ we have

Corollary 2.2. *The action by \mathbf{W} on \hat{M} is Coxeter, it commutes with the Γ -action, and its chambers \hat{C} are isometric to \tilde{C} , in particular they are simply connected.*

Proof. By construction, it is obvious that chambers of $\hat{\mathbf{W}}$ in \tilde{M} are projected isometrically onto chambers for \mathbf{W} on \hat{M} and that \mathbf{W} acts simply transitive on its set of chambers in \hat{M} , i.e., the action is Coxeter. q.e.d.

Note that in general the stabilizer $\Gamma_{\hat{C}}$ of a \mathbf{W} chamber in \hat{M} is non-trivial and acts freely on the chamber. Since the actions commute, this stabilizer is independent of the chamber and is the kernel Γ_0 of the induced Γ action on the set of chambers in \hat{M} . This now leads to our desired “resolution” $M' = \hat{M}/\Gamma_0$ of M , and $\Gamma' := \Gamma/\Gamma_0 \cong \mathbf{W}_c$, and the chamber $C' = \hat{C}/\Gamma_0$, where \mathbf{W}_c means the \mathbf{W} -stabilizer of a chamber c in M . The manifold M' is a regular covering space of M called its *Coxeter cover*.

Proposition 2.3 (Coxeter cover). *Any manifold M with reflection group \mathbf{W} , admits a commuting lift to a regular Γ' cover M' of M with Coxeter action by \mathbf{W} and $\Gamma' \cong \mathbf{W}_c$.*

Proof. Again it is clear from the construction that the chambers of the induced \mathbf{W} action on M' are C' , and that \mathbf{W} acts simply transitive on its set of chambers. Moreover, \mathbf{W} commutes with the induced action by $\Gamma' := \Gamma/\Gamma_0$ and $\mathbf{W} \cap \Gamma'$ is trivial.

To see that Γ' is isomorphic to \mathbf{W}_c , note that for any $\gamma' \in \Gamma'$ and any chamber C' there is a unique $w(\gamma') \in \mathbf{W}$ with $\gamma'(C') = w(\gamma')(C')$. It follows that $w(\gamma') \in \mathbf{W}_c$ and the map $\Gamma' \rightarrow \mathbf{W}_c$ is clearly a homomorphism. Conversely, given any $w \in \mathbf{W}_c$ and chamber C' projecting to C there is a unique $\gamma' \in \Gamma'$ such that $w(C') = \gamma'(C')$. q.e.d.

The following example proposed by the referee illustrates the construction and properties of the Coxeter cover.

Example 2.4. Let $M = S^1 \times S^1$ and $W = \mathbb{Z}_2$ switching the factors. There is one chamber, so the action is not Coxeter, and the orbit space M/\mathbb{Z}_2 is a Möbius band. Clearly $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{R}^2$, $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, $\tilde{W} = D_\infty \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $\hat{W} = D_\infty$. Moreover $\hat{W} \cap \pi_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}$, $\Gamma = \pi_1/(\hat{W} \cap \pi_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, $\Gamma_0 \cong 2\mathbb{Z}$, $\Gamma' = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\hat{M} = \tilde{M}/(\hat{W} \cap \pi_1) = S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ with chamber $\hat{C} = [0, 1] \times S^1$ the infinite strip, $M' = \hat{M}/\Gamma_0$ is a 2-fold cover of M with chamber C' the cylinder $[0, 1] \times S^1$.

Remark 2.5. We remark that W may not be a Coxeter group. However, \hat{W} is a Coxeter group. Hence W is a quotient group of \hat{W} by a normal subgroup. Notice that if \hat{W} is an irreducible spherical Coxeter group of rank at least 3, then the normal subgroup is in the center, which is either trivial or \mathbb{Z}_2 . Similarly, if \hat{W} is an irreducible affine Coxeter group of rank at least 3, then $\hat{W} = \mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes W_0$, where W_0 is an irreducible spherical Coxeter group. A normal subgroup is a sublattice of \mathbb{Z}^n or an extension of such a sublattice by a center \mathbb{Z}_2 in W_0 .

Remark 2.6. From the structure of fundamental groups of manifolds with nonnegative curvature, we know that both $\pi_1(M)$ as well as $\pi_1(\hat{M}) = \pi_1 \cap \hat{W} \triangleleft \pi_1$ are finitely generated, so all groups in the discussion above are finitely generated in our context of nonnegative curvature.

Note also, that if C' is a simplex (or a product of simplices), which in nonnegative curvature is often the case (cf. the subsequent sections), then $C' = \tilde{C} = \hat{C}$, i.e. $\Gamma_0 = \{1\}$ and \hat{M} is the Coxeter cover of M . It follows that $W = \hat{W}/\pi_1(\hat{M})$ and $W_c = \pi_1(M)/\pi_1(\hat{M})$. In particular, $\pi_1(M) \triangleleft \hat{W}$ if the action is Coxeter.

Motivated by 2.3 and the fact that sections of polar actions on simply connected manifolds are always Coxeter,

- We will focus our attention to co-compact Coxeter actions throughout,

with the exceptions of 3.7, 5.5, and 5.6.

It is important to us that for Coxeter actions, the chambers $C = M/W$ have a particularly nice structure:

Remark 2.7 (Coxeter chamber structure). By definition, $C \subset M$ is convex, and assuming W is finitely generated, its boundary $\partial C = \bigcup_{i \in I} F_i$ is the union of its faces F_i , $i \in I := \{1, \dots, k\}$, giving rise to a natural stratification of C . To describe the stratification, it is convenient to use the following notation: For any subset $J \subset I$ set $\hat{F}_J := \bigcap_{i \in I-J} F_i$, and $F_J := \bigcup_{i \in J} F_i$, i.e., \hat{F}_J is the intersection of faces opposite of F_J .

Note that for $J_1 \subset J_2$ obviously $F_{J_1} \subset F_{J_2}$, $\hat{F}_{J_2} \subset \hat{F}_{J_1}$, and $F_I = \partial C$. By convention we set $\hat{F}_I = C$ and $F_\emptyset = \emptyset$.

With this notation all strata \hat{F}_J are *locally totally geodesic*. At interior points, the fibers of the normal bundle to \hat{F}_J is the orbit space of the normal slice representation of its isotropy group $W_{\hat{F}_J} = W_{I-J}$. Since \hat{F}_J has codimension 1 in \hat{F}_{J-j} for any $j \in J$ it follows that this *normal bundle is flat and trivial*, in fact it is “spanned” by parallel fields. In particular, C also has the structure of a *smooth manifold with corners*, i.e., locally diffeomorphic to open balls of \mathbb{R}_+^n . We also point out that since the angle between any two faces is at most $\pi/2$, any of the strata \hat{F}_J are *extremal subsets* of the Alexandrov space C , see, e.g., the survey [14].

There are other natural and useful convex domains associated to C , namely the so-called *residues* of C . Here the J -residue of C , $J \subset I$ is the set $W_J C$, whose boundary is $W_J F_{I-J}$.

The above general structure for C is especially useful in the context of nonnegative curvature, since it enables us to employ numerous *strong convexity arguments* throughout. For example the distance function on C to any face $F_i \subset C$ or union of faces F_J (in particular the whole boundary) is *concave*. One is thus in position to apply corresponding *Sharafutdinov retractions* from C to the associated *soul* of C as in the original approaches to open manifolds in [5] and [17] (This procedure even applies to super level sets of these concave functions as long as they have maximal dimension).

In the context described above, the general work of Wörner [20] about the structure of compact Alexandrov spaces with nonnegative curvature and non-empty boundary, as well as Yim’s work [22, 23] on the *heaven* of *pseudo-souls* in a complete open manifold M of nonnegative curvature is very useful for us. Here by definition a subset $S \subset M$ is called a *pseudo-soul* if it is isometric to a soul $S_0 \subset M$, and homologous to S_0 in M .

3. Equivariant smooth rigidity: Not all faces meet

Unless otherwise stated we assume throughout that M is a nonnegatively curved compact or complete Riemannian n manifold with a co-compact reflection group W acting in a Coxeter fashion on M with chamber C .

We first point out that the maximal number of faces F_i of C having non-empty intersection is n . In fact, at a point p of intersection the corresponding faces of the chamber in the unit tangent sphere has at most n faces, and in the latter case this is a spherical $(n - 1)$ simplex, actually a fundamental domain for the isotropy group W_p of W at p [10]

(for a more general result we refer to [21]). Also note, that if n faces of C have non-empty intersection, then the intersection consists of isolated points. It follows that, either:

- All faces intersect, in which case C has at most $1 \leq k \leq n$ faces, or
- There is a minimal $0 \leq k \leq n$ such that: There exist $k + 1$ faces with empty intersection.

The above discussion applies to general Alexandrov spaces with non-negative curvature, for which Wörner [20] proved the following *Splitting Theorem* (Theorem 1.2):

Theorem 3.1 (Wörner). *A compact n -dimensional Alexandrov space A , with non-empty boundary, not all of whose faces meet is isometric to a product $X^{n-k} \times Y^k$ of nonnegatively curved Alexandrov spaces, where X is isometric to the intersection of any k faces of C , with k chosen as above.*

Remark 3.2. It also follows (cf. [20]) that the maximal number of faces of A is $2n$, in which case A is a product of intervals. When applied to C , we conclude in particular that W is generated by $k \leq 2n$ elements.

Repeated applications of 3.1 above yields a metric splitting of the Coxeter chamber C of the form

$$(3.3) \quad C = \Delta_1 \times \dots \times \Delta_r \times \mathbb{V} \times N$$

where N is a closed nonnegatively curved manifold (typically a point), and each of the remaining factors is a smooth nonnegatively curved convex manifold with corners, and boundary face structure given by

- (1) Δ_i has more than $n_i = \dim \Delta_i$ faces, but any n_i faces of Δ_i meet,
- (2) \mathbb{V} has $k \leq \dim \mathbb{V}$ faces and they all meet.

The presence of a non-trivial N occurs when taking products with a trivial action on N (cf. 6.2).

Our objective in this section is to begin an analysis of the case where C has only one factor, and this factor is of the first kind Δ . We will refer to this as the *maximal indecomposable* case. The following is crucial

Lemma 3.4 (Simplex). *When the action is maximal indecomposable, C is an n -simplex.*

Proof. First note that it is an immediate consequence of Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 in [20] that if C has at least $n + 1$ faces and any n of them meet, then in fact C has exactly $n + 1$ faces, F_1, \dots, F_{n+1} . Moreover, for each $i = 1, \dots, n + 1$ the intersection of faces opposite F_i , denoted by \tilde{F}_i , consists of exactly one point p_i , which we refer to as the vertex opposite F_i .

Now consider a vertex, say p_{n+1} and its opposite face F_{n+1} . From Lemma 5.1 in [20] we immediately get that p_{n+1} is the set at maximal distance to F_{n+1} , in particular it is the soul of C constructed from $\text{dist}(F_{n+1}, \cdot)$. Using that all non-maximal super level sets of $\text{dist}(F_{n+1}, \cdot)$ are convex we construct (applying a standard partition of unity argument starting inductively at the most singular strata involving F_1, \dots, F_n and then F_{n+1}) a smooth gradient like vector field on $C - \{p_{n+1}\}$ which is tangent to all strata F_1, \dots, F_n , radial near p_{n+1} and transverse to F_{n+1} . Since a small ball around p_{n+1} in C is clearly a simplex, this completes the proof. q.e.d.

Remark 3.5. An alternative proof of the above claim using only Riemannian geometry, i.e., not appealing to [20] can be carried out by considering the convex $J = \{1, \dots, n\}$ residue $A_{p_{n+1}} := W_{p_{n+1}}C = \cup_{w \in W_{p_{n+1}}} wC$ of C in M , where $W_{p_{n+1}} = W_J$ is the isotropy group of p_{n+1} . Note, that $\partial A_{p_{n+1}}$ is the union of faces opposite p_{n+1} , and that $A_{p_{n+1}}$ has smooth totally geodesic interior, with p_{n+1} an interior point. Now one applies Riemannian convexity arguments as in the soul theorem in a $W_{p_{n+1}}$ equivariant fashion, which eventually leads to the conclusion that the soul of $A_{p_{n+1}}$ is $\{p_{n+1}\}$.

Note that if all mirrors meet in the Coxeter cover M' of M , they certainly meet in M as well. So the assumptions in Theorem A in particular imply that its Coxeter chamber by the above lemma is a simplex. Thus the following Theorem and its Corollary will complete the proof of half of Theorem A in the introduction.

Theorem 3.6 (Spherical space form). *Let (M, W) be a compact non-negatively curved Coxeter manifold with finite fundamental group and chamber C a simplex. Then M admits a W invariant metric of constant curvature 1.*

Proof. By 2.6, $\pi_1(M)$ is a normal subgroup of the Coxeter group \hat{W} acting on the universal cover \tilde{M} . By assumption \tilde{M} is compact and hence \hat{W} is a finite Coxeter group, acting simply transitively on its set of chambers. In this case all tangent cones at any point of a boundary strata of a chamber $\tilde{C} = \tilde{M}/\hat{W}$ ($= C$) is isometric to a corresponding tangent cone for the linear action by the Coxeter group \hat{W} on S^n . From the above lemma and arguing as in Corollary 2.10 of [10] we see that \tilde{C} admits a metric of constant curvature 1, which extends via \hat{W} to an invariant metric on \tilde{M} . Since $\pi_1(M) \triangleleft \hat{W}$ one gets an induced constant curvature metric on M invariant under W . q.e.d.

In the above theorem it is well known that $\pi_1(M) \triangleleft \hat{W}$ is either trivial or \mathbb{Z}_2 acting as the antipodal map on the sphere. This has the following somewhat surprising consequence:

Corollary 3.7. *Let (M, W) be compact nonnegatively curved manifold with finite fundamental group whose Coxeter cover has simplex chambers. Then M admits a W invariant metric of constant curvature.*

Proof. From 3.6 and 2.6, we know that the Coxeter cover $M' = \hat{M}$ is either \mathbb{S}^n or \mathbb{RP}^n with an invariant metric of nonnegative curvature. Recall that by construction of the Coxeter cover in 2.3 the action by $\Gamma' \cong W_c$ on M' commutes with the W action. In particular, any mirror as well as its complement is preserved by Γ' . If M' is \mathbb{RP}^n such a complement is a (convex) open disc, whose soul must be a point preserved by W_c . But since the action is free, W_c must be trivial.

If M' is \mathbb{S}^n , it follows that $\Gamma' = \pi_1(M)$ commutes with $\hat{W} = W$. Arguing as in the projective space case, it follows that $W_c \cong \Gamma'$ is either trivial or $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \langle a \rangle$. In the latter case note that a induces an automorphism of W which is reflected also in the induced action, by say A on the orbit space simplex \mathbb{S}^n/W . Now A fixes the soul point of the simplex \mathbb{S}^n/W and maps vertices according to the induced automorphism of the diagram for W . Now using convexity and critical point theory arguments $\langle A \rangle$ -equivariantly, we conclude that $\hat{C} = \mathbb{S}^n/W$ admits an A -invariant metric of constant curvature, analogous to the proof of Corollary 2.10 of [10], just like its linear model. It follows, that M admits an invariant constant curvature metric, i.e., $M = \mathbb{RP}^n$ and $W_c \cong \Gamma' = \pi_1(M)$ acts on \mathbb{S}^n as the antipodal map. q.e.d.

Remark 3.8. We will see that when M has non-compact universal cover, and the action is indecomposable, then sections are flat. In particular, C' is a flat simplex when the action is maximal indecomposable. This will lead to a proof of the second half of Theorem A in the introduction (cf. the Torus Theorem 5.5).

4. Open book structures: All faces meet

In this section we will develop complete structure results for Coxeter manifolds of nonnegative curvature, where all mirrors meet, equivalently the chamber $C = \mathbb{V}$ in the splitting 3.3. These Theorems will have Theorem B of the introduction as an immediate consequence.

As in the case of maximal indecomposable Coxeter actions it is crucial to understand the structure of a chamber C . Note that in the case under consideration, there are $k \leq n$ mirrors in M and their intersection coincides with the fixed point set M^W , which in C is also the intersection $B := F_1 \cap \dots \cap F_k$ of all its faces, i.e., \hat{F}_\emptyset recalling our notation from 2.7 to be used throughout below. Moreover, for each of $p \in B$, W acts effectively on the normal sphere $\mathbb{S}^\perp = \mathbb{S}^{k-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ to B at p , and W is a finite Coxeter group.

For each face F_i we let $S_i \subset C$ be the soul in C associated to the distance function $d_i := \text{dist}(F_i, \cdot)$ to F_i . Recall that by construction, S_i is the image, $Sh_i(C)$ of the associated Sharafutdinov deformations retraction, $Sh_i : C \rightarrow C$ of C . Since, this retraction is a concatenation of *gradient pushes*, and gradient pushes preserve extremal sets [14] it follows immediately that

- For each $i \in I$, the soul S_i meets every component of \hat{F}_i (cf. definition in 2.7).

In particular, if $S_i \subset \hat{F}_i$ it follows that \hat{F}_i is connected. Moreover,

Lemma 4.1 (Reduction). *If S_i is not a subset of \hat{F}_i , it is perpendicular to it, and the normal slice representation of W along B is reducible.*

Proof. Consider the $I - i$ residue $W_{\hat{F}_i}C$ of C with boundary $W_{\hat{F}_i}\hat{F}_i$. Clearly, the usual Riemannian construction of the soul of $W_{\hat{F}_i}C$ is $W_{\hat{F}_i}$ invariant and equivalent to working on C . In particular, its soul is $W_{\hat{F}_i}S_i$, a totally geodesic submanifold of $W_{\hat{F}_i}C$. Pick a point $p \in S_i \cap \hat{F}_i \subset W_{\hat{F}_i}S_i$. Obviously, the tangent space to the soul $W_{\hat{F}_i}S_i$ of $W_{\hat{F}_i}C$ at p is $W_{\hat{F}_i}$ invariant. If this is not a subspace of the tangent space to \hat{F}_i , its complement is perpendicular to it, i.e., S_i is perpendicular to \hat{F}_i .

If $S_i - \hat{F}_i \neq \emptyset$, there is a smallest strata $D = \hat{F}_J$ containing S_i and meeting it at interior points of D . Suppose first that $D = C$ and let pq be a minimal geodesic from $p \in c \cap S_i$ to $S_i \cap \hat{F}_i$, and pq_i a minimal geodesic from p to F_i . Clearly, pq_i is perpendicular to pq as well as to F_i . It follows that pq_i and pq are adjacent edges in an isometrically embedded flat rectangle in C with opposite edges in F_i , respectively a minimal geodesic γ from $S_i \cap \hat{F}_i$ to F_i . Since qp is not on the boundary of the normal space of directions to \hat{F}_i in C at q , it follows that γ is a geodesic in \hat{F}_i , and in particular we see that the W normal slice representation is reducible.

In general, if S_i is not contained in \hat{F}_i , let $D = \hat{F}_J$ be the smallest strata containing S_i and meeting it at interior points. In the residue, $W_{\hat{F}_i}C$ consider the corresponding totally geodesic subset $M^{W_{I-J}} \cap W_{\hat{F}_i}C$, i.e., the intersection of the residue with the mirrors determined by \hat{F}_J . Clearly the soul of the residue is contained in this subset. Moreover, the Sharafutdinov retraction of the residue preserves the subset, and since it is totally geodesic, this restricted deformation retraction is also distance non-increasing with respect to the intrinsic metric on the set. From these properties, it follows as in the original approach by Sharafutdinov (cf. also [22]) that the intrinsic soul of the subset is isometric to “extrinsic” soul, i.e., the soul of the residue. Again by invariance, it follows that the intrinsic soul of the strata D is isometric to S_i , and in particular intersects D at interior points. The proof is now completed as above. q.e.d.

We are now ready to describe the structure of C , when the action ρ of W on the normal spaces \mathbb{R}^k to B is irreducible.

Note that for each i , the strata \hat{F}_i is a connected, compact nonnegatively curved manifold with boundary B . Moreover, when S_i is contained in \hat{F}_i it follows (as in the proof above) that \hat{F}_i has the structure of a disc bundle of a nonnegatively curved vector bundle ν_i over S_i .

Using the description in the model examples of the introduction, we will show that for each i , M is equivariantly equivalent to the open book $M_{\rho, \nu_i} = \mathbb{S}(\nu_i \oplus \varepsilon^k) =: \mathbb{S}(\nu_i, \rho) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^k$. To do this, we will show that $C = M/W$ is $\mathbb{S}(\nu_i \oplus \varepsilon^k)/W \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^k/W = \text{cone}(\Delta_s^{k-1})$, where $\Delta_s^{k-1} = \mathbb{S}^{k-1}/W$. Due to this description, we also say that $C = \mathbb{S}(\nu_i \oplus \varepsilon^k)/W$ is a book with binding B and pages $\mathbb{D}(\nu_i) = \hat{F}_i$ parametrized by Δ_s^{k-1} . Indeed, with Δ_s^{k-2} being the space of directions in Δ_s^{k-1} opposite its face labelled i , we have:

Lemma 4.2 (Book chamber). *If the action by W on normal spaces to B is irreducible, and W has rank $k \leq n$, then C has the structure of the ball bundle of $\nu_i \times \text{cone}(\Delta_s^{k-2})$.*

Alternatively, C has the structure of a book with binding B and pages \hat{F}_i parametrized by Δ^{k-1} , the normal space of directions in C along B .

Proof. Since by the reduction lemma 4.1, the soul $S_i \subset \hat{F}_i$ for $d_i := \text{dist}(F_i, \cdot)$ is a totally geodesic submanifold, and also a soul for \hat{F}_i , the first claim is an immediate consequence of the soul construction for C (or alternatively for its $I-i$ residue), recalling that the normal bundle in C to \hat{F}_i along interior points (including S_i), is spanned by $k-2$ parallel fields (see Remark 2.7).

For the same reason, F_i is the “sphere bundle” boundary of this bundle, i.e., the normal space of directions bundle of S_i in C . Note, that each fiber of this bundle is the join of a normal sphere to S_i in \hat{F}_i with Δ_s^{k-2} . Moreover, the bundle orthogonal to \hat{F}_i is trivial.

Viewing the join $\mathbb{S}^m * \mathbb{S}^{k-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{k-1} = \text{cone}(\mathbb{S}^{k-2})$ as a $k-2$ dimensional *open book* with *binding* \mathbb{S}^m and pages \mathbb{D}^{m+1} (following the flow lines for the gradient of the distance function to either sphere), provides the desired induced structure on $\mathbb{S}^m * \Delta^{k-2} \subset \mathbb{S}^m * \mathbb{S}^{k-2}$. This in turn yields the book structure on F_i and then for all of C , using that the simplex bundle over S_i is trivial. Specifically, one constructs (much like in [11]) a smooth vector field on C which is radial near B , tangent to all strata and transverse to the submanifold with corners, $S_i \times \Delta^{k-1}$, that emerged from the soul construction. Using that all normal bundles to all strata are trivial as observed in 2.7, one can also arrange that $S_i \times \Delta^{k-1} \subset C$ is perpendicular to all strata. q.e.d.

The following is now a simple consequence of the fact that all constructions above can be carried over to M equivariantly, and noting the

same structure on the sphere bundle $\mathbb{S}(\nu_i \oplus \varepsilon^k)$ of $\nu_i \times \mathbb{R}^k$ equipped with the obvious action by W .

Theorem 4.3 (Open Book). *Let (M, W) be a nonnegatively curved Coxeter n -manifold with rank $k \leq n$, where all mirrors meet in $B = M^W$. If the normal representation ρ along B is irreducible, then M is equivariantly diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}(\nu \oplus \varepsilon^k)$, where ν is a nonnegatively curved vector bundle with sphere bundle B .*

Alternatively, M is an open book with binding B and nonnegatively curved pages $\mathbb{D}(\nu)$ parametrized by \mathbb{S}^{k-1} .

Remark 4.4 (Converse). We point out that conversely we can construct a W invariant metric with nonnegative curvature on a manifold with these data. To do this we use the open book description of $\mathbb{S}(\nu \oplus \varepsilon^k) = \mathbb{S}(\nu) \times \mathbb{D}^k \cup_{\partial} \mathbb{D}(\nu) \times \mathbb{S}^{k-1}$, where $\mathbb{S}(\nu) \times \mathbb{D}^k$ is a small tubular neighborhood of $B = \mathbb{S}(\nu) \subset \mathbb{S}(\nu \oplus \varepsilon^k)$, and the W -action can be written as the gluing of the linear actions on each piece. By [13] we can modify the metric on ν so that it is product near infinity. We take product metrics on $\mathbb{D}(\nu) \times \mathbb{S}^{k-1}$ and on $\mathbb{S}(\nu) \times \mathbb{D}^k$, where the metric on \mathbb{D}^k is also a product near the boundary. The desired claim follows.

Remark 4.5. Note that M is a sphere, if the soul S_i is a point, and in this case the action is a suspension or iterated suspension of the irreducible normal sphere action by W .

Also, B can have at most two components, and if it does, ν is a trivial line bundle, S_i is isometric to B , and $M = S_i \times \mathbb{S}^k$. If in this case, S_i is not a point, M is actually metrically a product, and the action on the second factor is the suspension of the normal sphere action by W , and the metric is invariant with nonnegative curvature (cf. remark about heavens below). In particular, the orbit space $M/W = B \times (\mathbb{S}^k/W)$ splits, but this is the only decomposable case where k faces meet and the normal action to the binding is irreducible.

Remark 4.6 (Spherical heavens). In the situation of the open book theorem any two souls S_i and S_j obviously have the same homotopy type, namely that of C . In fact, since the corresponding Sharafutdinov maps are distance non-increasing deformation retractions of C and the souls are closed manifolds, it in fact follows as in [22] that they are isometric.

When the souls are not points, it turns out that in fact much more structure compatible with the open book description is present. This is because there is a large family of choices for "Sharafutdinov retractions". To explain this, note that for any J -tuple of nonnegative numbers $a_J = \{a_i \geq 0\}_{i \in J}$ the subset

$$C^{a_J} = \{p \in C \mid \text{dist}(p, F_i) \geq a_i, i \in J\}$$

is convex when non-empty. Clearly, this set can be obtained from C by applying various "partial Sharafutdinov retractions". Moreover, when

non-collapsed, i.e., $\dim C^{a_J} = n$ one can consider any union or intersection of its k faces as in the case of C where a_J is the trivial J tuple. For such non-collapsed convex sets C^{a_J} we can utilize further Sharaftudinov retractions associated to any face or union of faces of it. It follows, that all souls obtained in this fashion are isometric. Even more, the arguments of [23] carry over to our case verbatim since they pivot only around distance non increasing deformation retractions on convex subsets of a Riemannian manifold. As a result, M contains a totally geodesic *spherical heaven*, \mathcal{H} of pseudo souls isometric to the product of S with a nonnegatively curved metric on an ℓ -sphere, where $\ell \geq k - 1$ is the dimension of the flat trivial sub bundle of the normal bundle S^\perp to S in M spanned by all parallel fields. Here $\ell = k - 1$ when ν has a unique soul, in which case, the heaven $\mathcal{H} = S \times \mathbb{S}^{k-1}$ provides a canonical W -invariant “edge” of the open book opposite its binding. When $\ell > k - 1$, $\nu = \nu_0 \oplus \varepsilon^{\ell-k+1}$ and the heaven intersects the binding in a product of S with a nonnegatively curved metric on an $\ell - k$ sphere, and in this case $M = \mathbb{S}(\nu_0 \oplus \varepsilon^{\ell-k+1} \oplus \varepsilon^k) = \mathbb{S}(\nu_0 \oplus \varepsilon^{\ell+1})$ of course also has an open book structure with binding the sphere bundle of ν_0 , and pages the disc bundle of ν_0 parametrized by an ℓ -sphere. In the latter description, however we do not know if ν_0 supports a nonnegatively curved metric.

It remains to consider, the situation where the action by W on the normal spaces to B is reducible. In this case, $W = W_1 \times \dots \times W_\ell$, acts in a component-wise fashion on the normal sphere $\mathbb{S}^\perp = \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}^{k_1}) * \dots * \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}^{k_\ell})$. We point out here that in our formulation below, the component of the W_i action is not necessarily required to be irreducible.

Although we are primarily interested in the indecomposable case, we point out that the product $(M_1 \times \dots \times M_\ell, W_1 \times \dots \times W_\ell)$ of any ℓ irreducible non maximal indecomposable actions (M_i, W_i) , $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ provides a decomposable example where all mirrors meet. Our description below will include this.

Before, formulating our result, we elaborate further on the notion of an *iterated open book*, which is based on having leaves being manifolds with corners:

Suppose for example, P is a manifold with corners, the most “singular” having local type $\mathbb{R}^{n-k} \times \mathbb{R}_+^k$. An ℓ_1 dimensional open book with pages P and binding a manifold with corners of type $\mathbb{R}^{n-k} \times \mathbb{R}_+^{k-1}$ will then be a manifold with corners of type $\mathbb{R}^{n-k+\ell_1} \times \mathbb{R}_+^{k-1}$, i.e., having decreased the corner type by one. This way, k open book iterations results in a manifold without corners. Note that a k -fold iterated open book N has a *page map* $L = (L_1, \dots, L_k) : N \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{m_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{D}^{m_k}$ with k coordinates, where a page is of the form $L^{-1}(I^k)$, where each factor I is a radial line in the corresponding disc. We will refer to $L^{-1}(0, \dots, 0)$ as the *pivot binding* of the iterated book.

A special case of this arises as described in the model examples of the introduction:

Given ℓ linear representations ρ_i of finite Coxeter groups W_i on \mathbb{R}^{k_i} , and ℓ smooth vector bundles ν_i with base S . The obvious $W = W_1 \times \dots \times W_\ell$ action on the product of the bundles $\nu_i \oplus \varepsilon^{k_i}$ induces a W action on the fiber product, $M_{\bar{\rho}, \bar{\nu}} := \mathbb{S}(\bar{\nu}, \bar{\rho})$ of the sphere bundles $\mathbb{S}(\nu_i \oplus \varepsilon^{k_i})$, i.e., the pull back by the diagonal map $\Delta : S \rightarrow S \times \dots \times S$ of the product of the sphere bundles $\mathbb{S}(\nu_i \oplus \varepsilon^{k_i})$. As in the case of a single representation and bundle as above, there is a canonically associated W equivariant page map $L : M_{\bar{\rho}, \bar{\nu}} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{k_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{D}^{k_\ell}$ where $B = L^{-1}(0, \dots, 0)$ is the intersection of all mirrors for W , and $P = L^{-1}([0, 1]x_1, \dots, [0, 1]x_\ell)$ for any $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{S}^{k_1-1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}^{k_\ell-1}$ is a manifold with corners diffeomorphic to the fiber product $\mathbb{D}(\bar{\nu})$ of the disc bundles $\mathbb{D}(\nu_i)$.

Theorem 4.7 (Iterated open book). *Let M be a compact nonnegatively curved Coxeter W -manifold where all mirrors meet. Then there is a splitting (allowing one factor) of the normal slice representation splits as $\bar{\rho} = \rho_1 \times \dots \times \rho_\ell$ on $\mathbb{S}^\perp = \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}^{k_1}) * \dots * \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}^{k_\ell})$, such that M is W -equivariantly diffeomorphic to a fiber product, $M_{\bar{\rho}, \bar{\nu}} := \mathbb{S}(\bar{\nu}, \bar{\rho})$. Moreover, the fiber product of any of ν_1, \dots, ν_ℓ mutually orthogonal subbundles, is a totally geodesic subbundle of the sum of all of them, a vector bundle with non-negative sectional curvature over a soul S of the chamber C .*

Alternatively, M is an iterated open book with pivot binding B and page a nonnegatively curved fiber product $\mathbb{D}(\bar{\nu})$ with orthogonal totally geodesic subbundles $\mathbb{D}(\nu_J)$, $J \subset \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ with right angles at all corners along its totally geodesic boundary strata.

Proof. For a W -invariant decomposition of \mathbb{S}^\perp , we apply Theorem 4.3 to the W_1 -action on M . It follows that M is W_1 -equivariantly diffeomorphic to a sphere bundle $\mathbb{S}(\nu_1 \oplus \varepsilon^{k_1})$ over S_1 , where S_1 is the soul of a chamber, C_1 for the W_1 -action on M . As seen in the proof of Lemma 4.2, $\mathbb{D}(\nu_1)$ can be taken to be any of the strata \hat{F}_i^1 in C_1 , and the fixed point set of the W_1 action, i.e., the intersection of all W_1 mirrors Λ_i^1 , is the subbundle $\mathbb{S}(\nu_1) =: B_1 = \bigcap_i \Lambda_i^1$. Note that, since W_j , $j \neq 1$ fixes the normal spaces to B_1 along $B = M^W$, the totally geodesic submanifold $\hat{\Lambda}_i^1 \subset M$ (the double of \hat{F}_i^1) is invariant under $W_2 \times \dots \times W_\ell$ for any i . In addition, since $\hat{\Lambda}_i^1 = \mathbb{S}(\nu_1 \oplus \varepsilon^1)$, we are in position to complete the proof by induction.

Specifically, we note that W_2 acts on $M = \mathbb{S}(\nu_1 \oplus \varepsilon^{k_1}) \searrow S_1$ in a fiber preserving fashion commuting with the W_1 -action. If W_2 acts trivially on the base, then W_2 acts linearly along the fiber, hence $\nu_1 = \varepsilon^{k_2} \oplus \nu'_1$ and $M = \mathbb{S}(\nu'_1 \oplus \varepsilon^{k_1} \oplus \varepsilon^{k_2}) \searrow S_1$ with its $W_1 \times W_2$ action. Therefore, we may assume that, the action of each factor W_i , $i \geq 2$, is nontrivial on S_1 , hence $\mathbb{S}(\nu_1 \oplus \varepsilon^{k_1}) \searrow S_1$ is an equivariant $W_2 \times \dots \times W_\ell$ bundle. By

induction we may assume that the soul S_1 is $W_2 \times \cdots \times W_\ell$ equivariantly diffeomorphic to a fiber product $\mathbb{S}(\hat{\nu}_1, \hat{\rho}_1)$ of Sphere bundles $\mathbb{S}(\nu_i \oplus \varepsilon^{k_i})$, $i \neq 1$ over a totally geodesic submanifold $S \subset S_1$, where S is the soul of a chamber of the $W_2 \times \cdots \times W_\ell$ action on S_1 . In particular, the orbit space of the $W_2 \times \cdots \times W_\ell$ action on S_1 is the fiber product of the chambers in the disk bundles $\mathbb{D}(\nu_2 \oplus \varepsilon^{k_2-1}), \dots, \mathbb{D}(\nu_\ell \oplus \varepsilon^{k_\ell-1})$. Therefore, the orbit space of the W -action on M is the fiber product of chambers of $\mathbb{D}(\nu_1 \oplus \varepsilon^{k_1-1}), \mathbb{D}(\nu_2 \oplus \varepsilon^{k_2-1}), \dots, \mathbb{D}(\nu_\ell \oplus \varepsilon^{k_\ell-1})$, where the double of $\mathbb{D}(\nu_1 \oplus \varepsilon^{k_1-1})$ is the restriction of the sphere bundle $\mathbb{S}(\nu_1 \oplus \varepsilon^{k_1})$ to S . It follows that M is W equivariantly diffeomorphic to the fiber product $\mathbb{S}(\bar{\nu}, \bar{\rho})$ of $\mathbb{S}(\nu_i \oplus \varepsilon^{k_i})$ over S . q.e.d.

Remark 4.8. We leave the details of the proof of the (equivalent) iterated open book statement to the reader. Here, rather than using the induction hypothesis on the soul S_1 , one uses it on the whole $W_2 \times \cdots \times W_\ell$ invariant page $\mathbb{D}(\nu_1)$. We also point out that each irreducible sub action gives rise to a coordinate page map for an open book decomposition as in Theorem 4.3. All together one gets a W equivariant page map $F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{k_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{D}^{k_\ell}$ with pages as claimed. As in the case of the open book description, one gets even more geometric structure when the normal W action is reducible. For example, one gets several heavens \mathcal{H}_i corresponding to the W_i sub-actions, and their (orthogonal) intersections as totally geodesic submanifolds of M .

We note that

- The chamber C is a bundle over the soul S with fiber the product $\mathbb{S}^{i_1} * \Delta_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}^{i_\ell} * \Delta_\ell$.

Remark 4.9 (Reconstruction). As in the Remark 4.4, the nonnegatively curved metric on M can be constructed from a $W_2 \times \cdots \times W_\ell$ -invariant complete metric of non-negative curvature on the vector bundle ν_1 over S_1 , by modifying the metric near infinity (cf. [13]) in a $W_2 \times \cdots \times W_\ell$ invariant fashion.

Alternatively one can use the iterated open book description to achieve this as soon as the nonnegatively curved page metrics have been modified so as to be product metrics along the boundary and its corners. This again is done inductively using [13] combined with the information that say the disc bundles $\mathbb{D}(\nu_1)$ and the fiber product of the remaining disc bundles $\mathbb{D}(\hat{\nu}_1)$ are orthogonal totally geodesic sub bundles of the $\mathbb{D}(\bar{\nu})$, so that either one of these manifolds with corners can be used a soul of the page.

Prompted by the structure emerged in this section, we raise the following questions:

Problem 4.10. *Are there obstructions for the sum / quotient of two nonnegatively curved bundles with common soul to have nonnegative curvature?*

5. Metric rigidity: Non compact universal cover

Our main goal in this section is to derive rigidity properties for non-negatively curved manifolds M having noncompact universal cover and supporting a cocompact reflection group. In particular, we will see that the action is indecomposable if and only if M is flat with Coxeter chamber C' a euclidean simplex. Moreover, in this case M is either a flat torus or flat euclidean space.

We begin with the case where M itself is non-compact (and complete).

By the Cheeger - Gromoll soul theorem such a manifold contains a metrically embedded, totally convex compact submanifold S (a soul of M) whose normal bundle is diffeomorphic to M . Moreover, by Corollary 6.2 in [5], M splits uniquely as a product $\bar{M} \times \mathbb{R}^k$, where the isometry group $I(\bar{M})$ of \bar{M} is compact and $I(M) = I(\bar{M}) \times I(\mathbb{R}^k)$. Thus in the presence of a cocompact isometric action their work immediately yields

Theorem 5.1 (Strong Splitting). *Assume M is a complete open manifold of nonnegative curvature with a cocompact isometric group action. Then M is isometric to a metric product $\mathbb{R}^k \times S$, where S is a soul of M .*

In particular,

Corollary 5.2 (Noncompact Indecomposable). *A complete open manifold M with nonnegative curvature and cocompact reflection group W is indecomposable if and only if M is isometric to flat euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n and W is an affine Coxeter group with chamber $C = M/W$ a euclidean n -simplex.*

Here the last claim follows from the fact, that the factors in 3.3 all must be euclidean simplices for any cocompact Coxeter action on \mathbb{R}^k , and that a co-compact Affine Coxeter group has orbit space a simplex if it is indecomposable, or equivalently, in this case, irreducible.

Also, for M compact with infinite fundamental group we get

Proposition 5.3. *Let M be a compact nonnegatively curved manifold with infinite fundamental group and reflection group W . Then, the action is decomposable unless M is flat.*

Proof. From the Cheeger-Gromoll-Toponogov splitting theorem [18, 6] we know that the universal cover of M^n splits isometrically as $\mathbb{R}^k \times N$, where \mathbb{R}^k is flat euclidean k -space, $k \geq 1$ and N is a compact simply connected nonnegatively curved manifold. Since mirrors for the lifted reflection group \hat{W} contain either an \mathbb{R}^k factor or an N factor we have that $\hat{W} = \hat{W}_{\mathbb{R}^k} \times \hat{W}_N$, yielding a nontrivial splitting for the \hat{W} chamber unless N is a point. The desired result follows. q.e.d.

Throughout the remaining part of this section M is a compact flat manifold. We start with the following simple observation, concerning

actions where the Coxeter chamber C' does not contain any simplex factors in 3.3:

Lemma 5.4 (Flat open book). *Assume M is a compact flat manifold with a Coxeter action by a reflection group W . If all mirrors meet, then $W \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{k+\ell}$ and M is isometric to $N \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2^\ell} \mathbb{T}^\ell \times \mathbb{T}^k$, where \mathbb{Z}_2^ℓ acts freely on a compact flat manifold N , $\mathbb{Z}_2^\ell \times W \subset I(\mathbb{S}^1)^{\ell+k}$ acting componentwise on $\mathbb{T}^{k+\ell} = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}^1$ by reflections.*

Proof. It is clear that the intersection of mirrors is a flat manifold. Let N denote a fixed point connected component. From 4.3, respectively 4.7 we know that M is a bundle with fiber a sphere respectively a product of spheres over a soul. Being flat, the soul S must be flat, and the fiber must be a product of circles. Therefore, M is the fiber product of \mathbb{S}^1 -bundles $\mathbb{S}(\nu_i \oplus \varepsilon)$, where ν_i , $1 \leq i \leq k + \ell$, are all real line bundles over S . Assume the first ℓ bundles are nontrivial, and respectively the last k bundles are trivial. In particular, N is a free \mathbb{Z}_2^ℓ bundle over S . It is clear $W \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{k+\ell}$ acting on $\mathbb{T}^{k+\ell}$ by componentwise reflections, commuting with the componentwise \mathbb{Z}_2^ℓ action on the first ℓ factors (different from the W action on the component, note that $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \subset I(\mathbb{S}^1)$). The desired result follows. q.e.d.

It follows in particular that the action is indecomposable if and only if the chamber of its associated Coxeter action is a euclidean simplex. Moreover, by 2.6 we know that if the Coxeter cover M' of M has chamber a simplex, then $\pi_1(M') \subset \hat{W}$, and the \hat{W} chamber in \tilde{M} is a simplex as well. However, as pointed out above, it then follows that the affine Coxeter group is irreducible.

Recall that, an irreducible affine Coxeter group W of rank m must be one of types $\tilde{A}_m, \tilde{B}_m, \tilde{C}_m, \tilde{D}_m, \tilde{E}_6, \tilde{E}_7, \tilde{E}_8, \tilde{F}_4, \tilde{G}_2$ (cf., e.g., [4]), and $W = \mathbb{Z}^m \rtimes W_0$, where W_0 is an irreducible spherical Coxeter group, of type $A_m, B_m = C_m, D_m, E_6, E_7, E_8, F_4, G_2$. We say that a reflection group W acting on a flat manifold M is *irreducible* if the \hat{W} action on \mathbb{R}^m is irreducible. With this terminology, we now know that the W action is indecomposable if and only if it is irreducible, if and only if its Coxeter chamber is a simplex.

Before proving our main result below about irreducible actions, recall that by Bieberbach's celebrated theorem, a finite cover of M is isometric to a flat torus $\mathbb{T}^m = \mathbb{R}^m / \mathbb{Z}^m$. Note that every isometry of \mathbb{T}^m lifts to a lattice preserving isometry of \mathbb{R}^m , whose isometry group is $I(\mathbb{R}^m) \cong \mathbb{R}^m \rtimes O(m)$, and vice-versa. Therefore, $I(\mathbb{T}^m)$ contains \mathbb{T}^m as a normal subgroup with quotient a finite subgroup of $O(m)$.

In view of Lemma 5.4 and subsequent comments above, the following in particular completes the proof of Theorem A in the introduction:

Theorem 5.5 (Torus Theorem). *Let M be a compact flat manifold with an irreducible / indecomposable reflection group action by W . Then*

(1) M is a flat torus \mathbb{T}^m .

(2) The W action is Coxeter.

(3) $W \cong A \rtimes W_0$, where A is a finite abelian group of rank at most m , and W_0 is a finite irreducible spherical Coxeter group.

Proof. By Bieberbach's theorem, $M = \mathbb{T}^m / G$ where $G \subset O(m)$ is the holonomy. Note that G preserves the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^m \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, hence G is also a finite subgroup of $GL(\mathbb{Z}, m)$.

By section 2, W lifts to a reflection group $\hat{W} \subset \tilde{W} \subset I(\mathbb{R}^m) = \mathbb{R}^m \rtimes O(m)$ such that $\tilde{W}/\pi_1 = \hat{W}/(\pi_1 \cap \hat{W}) = W$. Recall that $\hat{W} = \mathbb{Z}^m \rtimes W_0$, where W_0 is a maximal finite subgroup of \hat{W} , a spherical Coxeter group. Since π_1 is a torsion free group, $\pi_1 \cap \hat{W}$ is a torsion free normal subgroup of \hat{W} , and hence $\pi_1 \cap \hat{W} \subset \mathbb{Z}^m$ is a sublattice. In particular, the split epimorphism $\hat{W} \rightarrow W_0$ induces a split epimorphism $W = \hat{W}/(\pi_1 \cap \hat{W}) \rightarrow W_0$ with kernel, A , a quotient of the sublattice in \mathbb{Z}^m . Hence (3) follows.

Now we prove (1), i.e., G is trivial. Recall that π_1 is a normal extension of \mathbb{Z}^m by G . Hence the holonomy homomorphism gives an epimorphism from $\Gamma = \pi_1/\pi_1 \cap \hat{W}$ onto $G \subset O(m)$. By Corollary 2.2, $\Gamma \times W$ acts on a flat covering space \hat{M} of M , hence G commutes with W_0 , the image of \hat{W} in $O(m)$. In particular, every $g \in G$ commutes with every $w \in W_0 \subset O(m)$. Therefore, the linear irreducible Coxeter W_0 action commutes with the linear G -action on \mathbb{S}^{m-1} . It follows that $G \subset \mathbb{Z}_2 = \langle \pm \mathbb{I} \rangle$, generated by the antipodal map. If $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$, then π_1 is a normal extension of \mathbb{Z}^m by \mathbb{Z}_2 with monodromy $-\mathbb{I}$. Such an extension always splits, contradicting the fact that π_1 is torsion free.

Given (1), \tilde{W} is an extension of W by \mathbb{Z}^m , hence, by (3), a split extension over W_0 with kernel a subgroup of translations of \mathbb{R}^m . If the W action is not Coxeter, then W_c is isomorphic to a finite subgroup of \tilde{W} (in fact, isomorphic to a chamber isotropy group of \tilde{W} on \mathbb{R}^m), hence a subgroup of a conjugate of W_0 in \tilde{W} . Therefore, W_c is trivial, since the W_0 action on \mathbb{R}^m is Coxeter. The desired result follows. q.e.d.

The proof above, in fact also yields the somewhat surprising statement, that if the Coxeter chamber C' only contains simplex factors in its decomposition 3.3, then in particular it is Coxeter (cf. 2.3). Precisely we have:

Corollary 5.6. *Let M be a compact flat manifold with a reducible reflection group W , where $\hat{W} = \hat{W}_1 \times \cdots \times \hat{W}_k$ such that \hat{W}_i is irreducible. If the chamber C' is a direct product of euclidean simplices $\Delta_1 \times \cdots \times \Delta_k$, then $M = \mathbb{T}^m / G$, where the holonomy group $G \subset \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ a subgroup of $GL(\mathbb{Z}, m)$ consists of block matrices with i -th block $\pm \mathbb{I}$. Moreover, the W action is Coxeter.*

It is easy to see that, if M is as in the above corollary, then it is an iterated torus bundles with structure group \mathbb{Z}_2 .

The Klein bottle is the simplest example of the above type. Specifically, we have:

Remark 5.7. Any reflection group W on a Klein bottle \mathbb{K} is reducible. Moreover, if C' is a product of intervals, then $W \cong D_{2k} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, or $D_{2k} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ for k odd, or $D_{2k} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ for k even, where D_{2k} is the dihedral group of order $2k$, \mathbb{Z}_2 is the center of D_{2k} in the balanced product.

The first assertion follows immediately from 5.5. Note that \mathbb{K} is the quotient $\mathbb{T}^2/\langle\gamma\rangle$, where the involution γ is given by $(x, y) \mapsto (-x, \bar{y})$, with $x, y \in \mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ unit complex numbers. From 5.6 we know that W is the quotient of the product of reflection groups on \mathbb{R}^1 , hence, from 5.5 (3), the quotient of the product of two dihedral groups $D_{2k} \times D_{2l}$ acting componentwise on $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$, for some $k, l \geq 1$. Moreover, the reflection group $D_{2k} \times D_{2l}$ commutes with the deck involution γ , i.e., $w\gamma w^{-1} = \gamma$ for any $w \in D_{2k} \times D_{2l}$. Therefore, $l = 1$ or 2 . If k is even, the center of D_{2k} is \mathbb{Z}_2 generated by the antipodal map on \mathbb{S}^1 , hence $\gamma \in D_{2k} \times D_4$. By the assumption on C' we know that, if $l = 1$, then D_2 is not the complex conjugation on \mathbb{S}^1 . From the fact that the quotient of a dihedral group is again a dihedral group the second assertion follows.

6. Universal cover and group decomposition

Our objective in this section is to prove Theorem C and Corollary D in the introduction.

To do this assume without loss of generality that the co-compact W action on M is Coxeter with chambers, $C = M/W$. Based on the previous sections and 3.3 we have a metric decomposition of the form

$$(6.1) \quad C = \prod_{i=1}^r \Delta_i^e \times \prod_{j=1}^{\ell-1} \Delta_j^s \times \mathbb{V}_\ell \times N$$

where N is a closed nonnegatively curved manifold without boundary (possibly a point), the Δ_i^e are euclidean simplexes (including intervals), Δ_j^s are spherical simplices, and \mathbb{V} is a (iterated) *book chamber*.

We start with a simple observation

Lemma 6.2 (Trivial factor). *The above M is isometric to $\bar{M} \times N$ where W acts trivially on N , and \bar{M} is a nonnegatively curved Coxeter W -manifold with orbit space as above without the N factor.*

Proof. Consider the composition of submetrics $p : M \rightarrow M/W \rightarrow N$. This yields a horizontal and vertical splitting of the tangent bundle of M , both of which are integrable and totally geodesic. Clearly the fiber \bar{M}

supports an induced W -action, with chamber $\bar{C} = \prod_{i=1}^r \Delta_i^e \times \prod_{j=1}^{\ell-1} \Delta_j^s \times \mathbb{V}_\ell$.

Using the decomposition (6.1) we can define an equivariant map $f : M \rightarrow \bar{M} \times N$ by identifying a chamber C with $\bar{C} \times N$, a chamber for the product W -action on $\bar{M} \times N$, where W acts trivially on N . It is clear that f is a diffeomorphism which restricts to an isometry on every chamber wC , for any $w \in W$. The desired result follows. q.e.d.

The following shows that (6.2) does not hold unless the action is Coxeter.

Example 6.3. Consider the product action on $\mathbb{S}^m \times \mathbb{S}^n$ of a linear irreducible Coxeter W action on \mathbb{S}^m and the trivial action on \mathbb{S}^n . Let $\mathbb{S}^m \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{S}^n$ be the orbit space of the free diagonal antipodal involution. Then the induced W -action on $\mathbb{S}^m \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{S}^n$ is not Coxeter. A chamber C is isometric to $\Delta \times \mathbb{S}^n$, but the chamber isotropy group $W_c = \mathbb{Z}_2$ acts freely on the product with orbit space $\Delta \times \mathbb{P}^n$.

Note, that this example may be modified by replacing the antipodal map on the \mathbb{S}^n factor by any isometric involution a . In particular, if we take $n = m = 1$, $W = A_2$ and $a = r$ a reflection, we get a non-Coxeter action on the Klein bottle, with chamber, $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \Delta^1$ and orbit space, an “open envelope”, i.e, the double of a flat rectangle, leaving one side open (cf. 5.6).

By Lemma 6.2 we now assume N is a point. Note, that faces of C are products of all factors but one, with faces of the remaining factor. Moreover, each such set i of such faces, generate a reflection group W_i any two of which commute.

Proof of Theorem C. Let us first consider the case where $\pi_1(M)$ is infinite. Then by the Cheeger-Gromoll splitting theorem, the universal cover \tilde{M} is isometric to the product $\mathbb{R}^k \times N$, where N is a compact simply connected manifold. Clearly, the chamber \tilde{C} for the lifted \hat{W} action is a product of euclidean simplices with a chamber C_N in N , and $\hat{W} = \hat{W}_0 \times \hat{W}_N$, where \hat{W}_0 is an affine Coxeter group, and \hat{W}_N is a finite Coxeter group.

In particular, it remains to prove the claim when $\pi_1(M)$ is finite. Thus it suffices to consider that case where M is compact and simply connected. In this case, there are no euclidean simplices in the splitting of \tilde{C} , and an open book chamber is simply connected as well. The splitting of the tiles, by equivariance, obviously gives rise to a local hence global splitting of M into factors consisting of spheres and an open (iterated) book as claimed, with corresponding actions of Coxeter groups. q.e.d.

Proof of Corollary D. By Theorem C, passing to the universal cover, \tilde{M} , the lifted reflection group \hat{W} is a product $\hat{W}_0 \times \hat{W}_1 \times \cdots \times \hat{W}_\ell$, where \hat{W}_0 is an affine Coxeter group, \hat{W}_j , $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, are finite spherical Coxeter groups. Note that $W = \hat{W}/N$, where N is a normal subgroup in \hat{W} acting freely on \tilde{M} , as a subgroup of the deck transformations. It suffices to prove that N is abelian. Note, that N clearly projects to a normal subgroup $p_j(N) \subset \hat{W}_j$, and moreover, N is contained in the product of $p_0(N) \times \cdots \times p_\ell(N)$. Hence it remains only to show that $p_j(N)$ is abelian.

Note that $p_j(N)$ acts freely on the j -th factor. Therefore, $p_0(N) \subset \hat{W}_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}^m \rtimes W_0$ is contained in the torsion free lattice (cf. Theorem 5.5). A spherical factor \hat{W}_j of rank 2, must come from either an open book factor or a factor acting linearly on a sphere of dimension at least 2. In either case \hat{W}_j has a fixed point, and hence, $p_j(N)$ must be trivial. Finally, from the well-known fact that a normal subgroup of an irreducible spherical Coxeter group of rank at least 3 is contained in its center (trivial or \mathbb{Z}_2) the desired result follows.

Conversely, for an abelian normal subgroup $N \cong \mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{Z}_2^q \triangleleft \hat{W}_0 \times \hat{W}_1 \times \cdots \times \hat{W}_\ell$, where \mathbb{Z}_2^q is in the center of the product of spherical Coxeter groups, which acts freely on the product of spheres $\mathbb{S}^{k_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}^{k_\ell}$, as a sub-action of the product of the antipodal maps. Therefore N acts freely on the product $\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{S}^{k_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}^{k_\ell}$, and W acts as reflection groups on the quotient space, a manifold with non-negative curvature. The proof is now complete. q.e.d.

References

- [1] D. Alekseevsky, A. Kriegel, M. Losik, & P.W. Michor, *Reflection groups on Riemannian manifolds*, Annali di Matematica **186** (2007), 25–58, MR 2263330, Zbl 1232.51010.
- [2] E.M. Andreev, *Convex polyhedra in Lobačevskii spaces* (Russian), Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **81 (123)** (1970), 445–478, MR 0259734, Zbl 0217.46801.
- [3] M. Alexandrino & D. Töben, *Singular Riemannian foliations on simply connected spaces*, Differential Geom. Appl. **24** (2006), 383–397, MR 2231053, Zbl 1099.53023.
- [4] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique. Fasc. XXXIV. Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitres 4, 5 et 6*. Hermann, Paris 1968, MR 0240238, Zbl 0186.33001.
- [5] J. Cheeger & D. Gromoll, *On the structure of complete manifolds of nonnegative curvature*, Ann. of Math. **96** (1972), 413–443, MR 0309010, Zbl 0246.53049.
- [6] J. Cheeger & D. Gromoll, *The splitting theorem for manifolds of nonnegative Ricci curvature*, J. Differential Geometry, **6** (1971), 119–128, MR 0303460, Zbl 0223.53033.

- [7] H. S. M. Coxeter, *Discrete groups generated by reflections*, Annals of Math. **35** (1934), 588–621, MR 1503182, Zbl 0010.01101.
- [8] M. W. Davis, *The geometry and topology of Coxeter groups*, London Mathematical Society Monographs Series, **32**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2008, MR 2360474, Zbl 1142.20020.
- [9] W. von Dyck, *Gruppentheoretische Studien*, Math. Ann. **20** (1882), 1–44, MR 1510147.
- [10] F. Fang, K. Grove, & G. Thorbergsson, *Tits geometry and positive curvature*, arXiv:1205.6222.
- [11] K. Grove & C.-W. Kim, *Positively curved manifolds with low fixed point cohomogeneity*, J. Diff. Geom. **67** (2004), 1–33, MR 2153480, Zbl 1099.53027.
- [12] K. Grove & W. Ziller, *Polar manifolds and actions*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **11** (2012), 279–313, MR 3000673, Zbl 1266.53039.
- [13] L. Guijarro, *Improving the metric in an open manifold with nonnegative curvature*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **126**(1998), 1541–1545, MR 1443388, Zbl 1006.53028.
- [14] A. Petrunin, *Semiconcave functions in Alexandrov's geometry. Surveys in differential geometry*, Vol. XI, 137–201, Surv. Differ. Geom., 11, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2007, MR 1443388, Zbl 1166.53001.
- [15] H. Poincaré, *Théorie des groupes fuchsien*, Acta Math. **1** (1882), 1–62, MR 1554574, JFM 14.0338.01.
- [16] R. K. W. Roeder, J. H. Hubbard, & W. D. Dunbar, *Andreev's theorem on hyperbolic polyhedra*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **57** (2007), 825–882, MR 2336832, Zbl 1127.51012.
- [17] V. A. Sharafutdinov, *Convex sets in a manifold of nonnegative curvature*, (Russian) Mat. Zametki **26** (1979), 129–136, MR 0553571, Zbl 0439.53052.
- [18] V. A. Toponogov, *The metric structure of Riemannian spaces of non-negative curvature containing straight lines* (Russian), Sibirsk. Mat. Ž. **5** (1964), 1358–1369, MR 0185551, Zbl 0187.43801.
- [19] E. B. Vinberg, *Discrete reflection groups in Lobachevsky spaces*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Warsaw, 1983), 593–601, Warsaw, 1984, MR 0804716, Zbl 0563.51011.
- [20] A. Wörner, *A splitting theorem for nonnegatively curved Alexandrov spaces*, Geom. Topol. **16** (2012), 2391–2426, MR 3033520, Zbl 1261.53044.
- [21] B. Wilking, *Positively curved manifolds with symmetry*, Ann. of Math. (2) **163** (2006), no. 2, 607–668, MR 2199227, Zbl 1104.53030.
- [22] J.-W. Yim, *Distance nonincreasing retraction on a complete open manifold of nonnegative sectional curvature*, Ann. Global. Anal. Geom. **6** (1988), 191–206, MR 0982765, Zbl 0668.53025.
- [23] J.-W. Yim, *Space of souls in a complete open Riemannian manifold of nonnegative curvature*, J. Diff. Geom. **32** (1990) 429–455, MR 1072913, Zbl 0733.53017.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
CAPITAL NORMAL UNIVERSITY
BEIJING 100048, CHINA

E-mail address: fuquan_fang@yahoo.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME
NOTRE DAME, IN 46556
E-mail address: kgrove2@nd.edu