# COMPLETE MINIMAL SURFACES AND MINIMAL HERISSONS

# HAROLD ROSENBERG & ERIC TOUBIANA

Let W denote complete minimal surfaces (c.m.s.'s) in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  of finite total curvature. We allow the surfaces in W to have a finite number of branch points. Let H be the surfaces M of W of total curvature  $4\pi$  ( $c(M) = 4\pi$ ). By convention a point is in H. Surfaces in H are called minimal herissons; they can be parametrized by their Gauss maps.

In [3], Langevin, Levitt and Rosenberg introduce a sum operation in W:

$$M_1 + M_2 = \bigcup_{z \in S^2} \left\{ \sum_i x_i + \sum_j y_j / g_1^{-1}(z) = \{x_i\}, \ g_2^{-1}(z) = \{y_j\} \right\},$$

where  $g_i: M_i \to S^2$  is the Gauss map. Then  $M_1 + M_2 \in H$  and the sum operation induces a group structure on H; indeed H is an infinite dimensional vector space where cM is the homothety of M by the real number c [3].

In this paper we will discuss some geometric properties of H, and supply details of some of the results announced in [3].

First we establish some elementary properties of W. The classical theory of Osserman of immersed surfaces in W extends to W. Each M in W has finite conformal type (i.e., M is conformally equivalent to a compact Riemann surface  $\overline{M}$  punctured at a finite number of points) and the Weierstrass representation  $(g, \omega)$  of M extends to  $\overline{M}$  meromorphically. The Gauss map of  $M \in W$  can miss at most (4N + b)/(N + 1) points, where b is the total branching order and N is the degree of the Gauss map. This is sharp. When b = 0, this is Osserman's theorem that for an immersed  $M \in W$ , g misses at most three points. It is still unknown if three is sharp. We show that a c.m.s. with a finite number of branch points has a dense Gaussian image. This is false in the presence of an infinite number of branch points [5].

In §2 we establish the Weierstrass representation of  $M_1 + M_2$  and completeness of  $M_1 + M_2$ . We construct an infinite family in H: surfaces that have *n* catenoid type ends for each  $n \ge 2$ . We establish equations such as  $M_{2n} + M_{2n} = C_1 + \cdots + C_n$ , where  $M_{2n}$  is the immersed Meeks-Jorge surface:

Received May 10, 1986 and, in revised form April 14, 1987.

 $g(z) = z^{2n-1}$ ,  $\omega = dz/(z^{2n}-1)^2$ , and  $C_k$  is a catenoid with axes parallel to  $e^{2\pi i k/n}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . We prove that if  $M \in H$  has n-catenoid type ends and if M is invariant by a rotation by  $2\pi/n$ , then  $M = M_{2n} + M_{2n}$ .

We prove M + M is a point if  $M \in W$  has only bounded ends (i.e., asymptotic to planes). Also M + M is a point where M is the three-punctured torus discovered by Costa and shown to be embedded by Hoffman and Meeks [1].

In §3 we define a sum operation in W which produces a nonorientable c.m.s. of total curvature  $2\pi$  (they are parametrized by  $P^2$ ). We add all points on  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  having the same (unoriented) tangent plane. This yields a simple method of constructing nonorientable minimal surfaces. We show how to write the Weierstrass representation of  $M_1 + M_2$ . It follows immediately that Enneper + Enneper = Henneberg's surface.

Let M be the surface of Meeks-Jorge with three catenoid type ends:

$$g(z) = z^2, \qquad \omega = dz/(z^3 - 1)^2.$$

Then N = M + M is a nonorientable c.m.s. with all catenoid type ends. There is still no example known of an immersed nonorientable c.m.s. having only catenoid type ends.

In §4, we discuss deformations, (in the sense of [6]) of  $M \in W$ .

We prove  $\varepsilon$  deformations of  $M \in W$  are also in W and have the same number of branch points.

Finally we prove minimal herissons have no deformations, if the ends are of catenoid type.

We remark that if M is a (small) piece of a minimal surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and if  $M^*$  is the conjugate surface, then  $M(t) = \cos(t)M + \sin(t)M^*$  is the usual Weierstrass deformation of M. So, at least locally, the sum operation was already known to Weierstrass.

## 1. Properties of W

Let M be a Riemann surface and  $X: M \to R^3$  a continuous map which is conformal and harmonic except at a finite number of points  $y_1, \dots, y_m$ . If the total curvature (c(M)) of M is finite and M is complete in the induced metric then we say M is a c.m.s. in W; the  $y_j$  are called the branch points.

**Proposition 1.1.** Each c.m.s. M in W has finite conformal type; i.e., there is a compact Riemann surface  $\overline{M}$  and M is conformally equivalent to  $\overline{M}$  punctured at a finite number of points (called the punctures).

**Proof.** The coordinate functions  $x_i$  of X are continuous and harmonic in punctured discs about each branch point, so they are harmonic at the branch points too. Let z = u + iv be a conformal parameter about a branch point

u = v = 0. Then  $\phi_k = \partial x_k / \partial u - i \partial x_k / \partial y$  extends analytically to the branch point and  $\sum_{k=1}^{3} \phi_k^2 = 0$  at the branch points as well.

Therefore the globally defined one-form  $\omega = \phi_1 - i\phi_2$  is analytic on M and if M is not a flat plane,  $g = \phi_3/(\phi_1 - i\phi_2)$  is a meromorphic map on M; at the branch points as well. Rotate M (i.e., X(M)) so that g has no poles at the branch points. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^3 |\phi_k|^2 = X_u \cdot X_u + X_v \cdot X_v,$$

and this is 0 at the branch point O if and only if  $\omega(0) = 0$ . Thus M has a Weierstrass representation  $(g, \omega)$  where  $\omega$  is an analytic one-form on M whose zeros are precisely the branch points of M, and poles of g.

Let N be a Riemannian manifold obtained from M by removing a small disc about each branch point and attaching another disc to obtain a smooth Riemannian surface, i.e., the new metric  $ds_N$  has no singularities. This can be done so that N is complete and  $c(N) < \infty$ . Thus by Huber's theorem [2], N is of finite conformal type. Hence M is topologically a compact Riemann surface punctured at a finite number of points. The metric on M is nonsingular and complete at each annular end of M. Then exactly as in Osserman [5], each end of M is conformally a punctured disc.

**Remark.** Clearly g and  $\omega$  extend meromorphically to  $\overline{M}$ , just as in [5].

**Proposition 1.2.** Let  $M \in W$ , and let b be the total branching order of M. Let N be the degree of the extended Gauss map  $g: \overline{M} \to S^2$ . Then  $g: M \to S^2$  misses at most (4N + b)/(N + 1) points.

*Proof.* We rotate M so that g has only simple poles and takes finite nonzero values at the punctures. Let  $q_1, \dots, q_k \in S = S^2$  be the points missed by g and let  $p_1, \dots, p_r \in \overline{M}$  be the punctures;  $g^{-1}\{q_1, \dots, q_k\} \subset \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ .

Let  $1 + a_j$  be the number of times g takes its value at  $p_j$ . Then

$$k \cdot N \le \sum_{j=1}^{r} (1+a_j) = r + \sum_{j=1}^{r} a_j \le r + n,$$

where n is the total ramification order.

Riemann's relation for  $\Omega = g'(z) dz$  yields 2N - n = 2 - 2s, where s is the genus of  $\overline{M}$ . Therefore

$$k \cdot N \le r + 2N + 2s - 2$$

Now  $\omega$  has double zeros at the poles of g and b zeros at the branch points. So

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} c_j - 2N = b + 2 - 2s,$$

where  $c_j$  is the multiplicity of the pole of  $\omega$  at  $p_j$ . We know  $c_j \ge 2$  and  $k \le r$ , hence

$$r + k - 2N \le 2r - 2N \le \sum_{j=1}^{r} c_j - 2N = 2 - 2s + b.$$

Combining this relation with  $k \cdot N \leq r + 2N + 2s - 2$ , we obtain the result.

**Remark.** When b = 0, this is Osserman's theorem that the Gauss map of an immersed  $M \in W$  can miss at most three points. Although it is not known if 3 is sharp in Osserman's theorem, it is true that (4N + b)/(N + 1)is sharp. The reader can check this for the minimal herissons with catenoid type ends constructed in §2.

**Proposition 1.3.** Let M be a c.m.s. with a finite number of branch points. Then g(M) is dense in S.

**Proof.** Assume the contrary, and rotate M so that g(M) misses a neighborhood of the north pole; i.e.,  $g: M \to \mathbb{C}$  is bounded. The induced metric on M is  $ds = |\omega|(1 + |g|^2/2)$  and it is singular at the branch points, the zeros of  $\omega$ . The metric  $d\tilde{s} = |\omega| = |f(z)| |dz|$  (where  $\omega = f(z) dz$  in a local conformal parameter) is then also complete on M, singular at the branch points, and flat elsewhere, since its curvature is given by  $-\Delta \log |f(z)|^2/|f(z)|^2$  and  $\log |f|$  is harmonic where  $f \neq 0$ .

As in 1.2, we attach a disc about each branch point to obtain a new Riemannian surface of finite total curvature. Then M is of finite topological type and by Osserman's techniques, M is of finite conformal type. More precisely, each end of M is conformally an annulus  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 < r_1 < |z| < r_2\}$ . Write  $\omega = f(z) dz$  on the end,  $f(z) \neq 0$  for  $r_1 < |z| < r_2$ . Then  $\Delta \log |f(z)| = 0$  and  $\int_{\gamma} |f(z)| |dz| = \infty$  for all paths  $\gamma(t)$  such that  $\lim_t |\gamma(t)| = r_2$  (by completeness at the end and boundedness of g). Therefore  $r_2 = \infty$  and the end is a punctured disc [5].

However g extends to the compact Riemann surface  $\overline{M}$  since if a puncture were an essential singularity of g, the image of the end would be dense in S. Now  $g: \overline{M} \to S$  is bounded, hence constant and M is a plane.

**Remark.** Osserman proved 1.3 assuming M simply connected and showed 1.3 is false in the presence of an infinite number of branch points [5].

## 2. Minimal herissons

A minimal herisson is an element of W of total curvature  $4\pi$ . We denote by H the minimal herissons and we agree a point is in H. Let  $M, M^1$  be in W and have limiting normal vectors at the ends  $v_1, \dots, v_n, v_1^1, \dots, v_m^1$  respectively. Let  $M + M^1$  be the surface parametrized by  $X: S - \{v_1, \dots, v_n, v_1^1, \dots, v_m^1\} \to R^3$  where X(v) is the sum in  $R^3$  of all points on M and  $M^1$  having v as normal vector.

# **Theorem 2.1.** $M + M^1$ is in H.

**Proof.** Clearly it suffices to show M + M is in H for  $M \in W$ . We will do this by constructing a Weierstrass pair  $(\tilde{g}, \tilde{\omega})$  for M + M. Naturally  $\tilde{g}(z) = z$ , so the problem is to construct  $\tilde{\omega}$ . Let  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  be the limiting normals at the ends of M and g be the Gauss map of M, degree g = n. Let  $X: M \to R^3$  parametrize M. For  $v \in S^2 - \{v_1, \dots, v_k\} = S'$  we define  $\tilde{X}(v) = \sum_{i=1}^n X(z_i)$ , where  $g^{-1}(v) = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ ; the  $z_i$  are not necessarily distinct. We will see  $\tilde{X}$  parametrizes a c.m.s.

Let  $u_1, \dots, u_l$  be the images of the ramification points of g (here we mean g'(z) = 0 and g(z) =some  $u_i$ ).

Let  $v \in S^2 - \{v_1, \dots, v_k, u_1, \dots, u_l\}, z_1, \dots, z_n$  the preimages S of g. Let  $D_i$  be a small disc on M at  $z_i, D \subset S^2$  a disc at v and  $h_i: D \to D_i$  an inverse of g.

Let  $\tilde{x}_k$  be coordinate functions of  $\tilde{X}$ ; then

$$\tilde{x}_3(v) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_3(h_i(v)), \qquad v \in D,$$

hence

(\*) 
$$\frac{\partial \tilde{x}_3}{\partial v}(v) \, dv = \sum \frac{\partial h_i(v)}{\partial v} \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial z_i}(h_i(v)) \, dv.$$

On  $D_i$ , write  $\omega = f_i(z_i) dz_i$ , and on D write  $\tilde{\omega} = f(v) dv$ . Then (\*) yields

$$\tilde{g}(v)f(v) dv = \sum \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial v}(v) \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial z_i}(h_i(v)) dv;$$

it is always true that  $\partial x_k/\partial z = \phi_k$ ,  $\tilde{g}(v) = v$  and  $g(h_i(v)) = v \ \forall v \in D$ , hence

$$f(v) dv = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial h_i(v)}{\partial v} f_i(h_i(v)) dv$$
$$= \sum f_i(h_i(v)) d(h_i(v)) = \sum f_i(z_i) dz_i.$$

Hence  $\tilde{\omega}/D = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i^*(\omega/D_i)$ .

Clearly this gives a globally defined form  $\tilde{\omega}$  on  $S^2 - \{v_i, u_j\}$ . Now we will extend  $\tilde{\omega}$  to  $u_1, \dots, u_l$ . We will suppose  $\omega$  is holomorphic at  $g^{-1}(u_j)$ ; the same proof works if  $\omega$  has a pole there.

Let  $u \in \{u_1, \dots, u_l\}$  and  $z_1, \dots, z_r$  be the distinct points of M,  $g(z_i) = u$ . For each point  $z_i \in \{z_1, \dots, z_r\}$ , we will define a holomorphic  $\tilde{\omega}_i$  in a

neighborhood of u and  $\tilde{\omega}$  will be the sum of the  $\tilde{\omega}_i$  in the neighborhood, hence holomorphic at u as well.

Let h = degree of g at  $z_i$ . Let (D, z) be a conformal disc at  $z_i$  where  $g(z) = z^h$ .

Write  $\omega = (\sum_{0}^{\infty} a_i z^i) dz$  in D, g(D) = E, and choose a conformal parameter v in E with u = 0. Let  $v \in E - 0$ . v has h distinct roots in D,  $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{h-1}\}$ . Let j be a generator of the group of hth roots of 1, so  $x_m = j^m x_0, m = 0, \dots, h-1$ . Let  $x_0 = v^{1/h}$  be a root chosen once and for all.

Define  $\tilde{\omega}$  in a neighborhood of v by

$$\tilde{\omega}_i = \sum_{m=0}^{h-1} f(x_m) \, dx_m,$$

where  $f(x) = \sum_{0}^{\infty} a_{i}x^{i}$  and  $x_{m}$  is in a small disc at  $j^{m}x_{0}$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\omega}_i &= \sum_{m=0}^{h-1} f(j^m x_0) d(j^m x_0) = \sum_0^{h-1} j^m f(j^m x_0) \, dx_0 \\ &= \sum_i j^m (a_0 + a_1 j^m x_0 + \dots + a_i j^{mi} x_0^i + \dots +) \, dx_0 \\ &= \sum_i (a_0 j^m + a_1 j^{2m} x_0 + \dots + a_i j^{m(i+1)} x_0^i + \dots) \, dx_0 \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( a_i x_0^i \sum_{m=0}^{h-1} j^{m(i+1)} \right) \, dx_0. \end{split}$$

Since

$$\sum_{i=0}^{h-1} (j^m)^i = \begin{cases} h & \text{if } m \equiv O(h), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\sum_{m=0}^{h-1} (j^{(i+1)})^m = h \Leftrightarrow i+1 \equiv O(h)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow i \equiv -1(h)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow i = lh - 1, \qquad l \in Z^+$$

Hence

$$\tilde{\omega}_{i} = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} h a_{lh-1} x_{0}^{lh-1} dx_{0},$$

$$x_{0} = v^{1/h}, \quad dx_{0} = \frac{1}{h} v^{(1-h)/h} dv, \quad x_{0}^{lh-1} = v^{(lh-1)/h},$$

$$ha_{lh-1} x_{0}^{lh-1} dx_{0} = ha_{lh-1} v^{(lh-1)/h} \frac{1}{h} v^{(1-h)/h} dv$$

$$= a_{lh-1} v^{(lh-1+1-h)/h} dv = a_{lh-1} v^{l-1} dv.$$

120

Thus

$$\tilde{\omega}_i = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a_{lh-1} v^{l-1} \, dv,$$

and  $\tilde{\omega}_i$  is in fact a form defined for all  $v \in E$  and is holomorphic. By construction  $\sum \tilde{\omega}_i = \tilde{\omega}$  so indeed  $\tilde{\omega}$  is holomorphic at u as well.

Now if  $\omega$  had a pole at  $z_i$ , the same proof shows that  $\tilde{\omega}$  can be extended meromorphically to  $z_i$ . It may happen that the pole of  $\omega$  does not give rise to a pole of  $\tilde{\omega}$ , in which case  $\tilde{\omega}$  is holomorphic at  $z_i$ , so M + M does not have a puncture at  $z_i$ .

An example. Let  $g(z) = z^2$ ,  $\omega = dz/(z^3 - 1)^2$ . This is the surface of Jorge-Meeks modelled on S minus the cube roots of unity. Then  $M + M = \tilde{M}$  has the Weierstrass representation:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{g}(u) &= u, \qquad \tilde{\omega}(u) = \frac{d(u^{1/2})}{(u^{3/2} - 1)^2} + \frac{d(-u^{1/2})}{(u^{3/2} + 1)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}u^{-1/2} \left[ \frac{(u^{3/2} + 1)^2 - (u^{3/2} - 1)^2}{(u^{3/2} - 1)^2(u^{3/2} + 1)^2} \right] \, du \\ &= \frac{2u}{(u^3 - 1)^2} \, du. \end{split}$$

Another example is a catenoid plus Enneper's surface:  $g_1(z) = z$ ,  $\omega_1(z) = dz/z^2$ ,  $g_2(z) = z$ ,  $\omega_2(z) = dz$ . Hence the sum is given by

$$\tilde{g}(z) = z,$$
  $\tilde{\omega}(z) = \frac{dz}{z^2} + dz = \left(\frac{1+z^2}{z}\right) dz.$ 

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $M \in W$  have all bounded ends (i.e., each end is asymptotic to a plane). Then  $\tilde{M} = M + M$  is a point.

**Proof.** We will show  $\tilde{\omega}$  has no poles at the ends of M; this means  $\tilde{\omega}$  is holomorphic on a compact Riemann surface, hence constant. Let  $p_1, \dots, p_l$  be the punctures of M. We know the poles of  $\tilde{\omega}$  can only be at the points  $g(p_1), \dots, g(p_l)$ ; we will see that  $\tilde{\omega}$  is holomorphic at each such point.

Let  $D \subset M$  be a conformal disc where the puncture p corresponds to 0 and  $g(z) = z^k$  in D. Let  $\omega = (a_{-n}/z^n + \cdots + a_{-1}/z + F(z)) dz$  in D, where F is holomorphic in D. Since each end is bounded, we have  $k \geq n$ ;  $x_3$  is bounded on D. We will first sum the points in D with the same normal; then  $\tilde{\omega}$  is obtained by adding the  $\tilde{\omega}$ 's so obtained at each puncture to holomorphic  $\tilde{\omega}$ 's at interior points. So it suffices to show the  $\tilde{\omega}$  obtained at the puncture is holomorphic.

We have

$$\tilde{\omega}/D = \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \left( \frac{a_{-n}}{(j^m z)^n} + \frac{a_{-n+1}}{(j^m z)^{n-1}} + \dots + \frac{a_{-1}}{j^m z} + F(j^m z) \right) d(j^m z),$$

where  $z^k = v$ , and j is a kth root of unity. Using the fact that  $k \ge n$  and

$$\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} j^{-m(g-1)} = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } g-1 \equiv O(k), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

one obtains  $\tilde{\omega}/D = (ka_{-1}/z) dz + G(z) dz$ , G holomorphic at 0.

Therefore it suffices to show  $a_{-1} = 0$ . The coordinate functions  $x_1 = \text{Re } \int \phi_1$  and  $x_2 = \text{Re } \int \phi_2$  are well defined on M where  $\phi_1 = \omega(1 - g^2)$  and  $\phi_2 = i\omega(1 + g^2)$ . A direct calculation yields

$$\operatorname{Re}(i\operatorname{Res}(\phi_1,0)) = \operatorname{Re}(i\operatorname{Res}(\phi_2,0)) = 0 = \operatorname{Re}(a_{-1}) = \operatorname{Re}(ia_{-1}).$$

Hence  $a_{-1} = 0$ .

**Remarks.** 1. Many examples of *M* with bounded ends exist. For example

$$g(z) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{z}{z^3 - 1}, \qquad \omega = \frac{1}{4}\frac{(z^3 - 1)^2}{(z^3 + 1/2)^2} dz,$$

modelled on C less the cube roots of -1/2 [7].

2. The calculation of  $\tilde{\omega}$  near bounded ends can yield global results. For example, one has M + M is a point where M is the Costa example of a three-punctured torus with two catenoid type ends and one bounded end (M is embedded [1]).  $\overline{M}$  is the torus C modulo  $Z^2$ ,  $g(z) = 2a\sqrt{2\pi}/P'(z)$ ,  $\omega = P(z) dz$  where P is the Weierstrass P function and a = P(1/2). The punctures are at 1/2, i/2 and 0 and the total curvature is  $12\pi$ . The limiting normals are the same at the catenoid type ends and g is 3 to 1 near the bounded end in M becomes regular in M + M and the catenoid ends become one end in M + M (one adds two points near  $\infty$  on the catenoid ends to one point in a compact part of M). This one end is either bounded or a catenoid type end and both of these cases are impossible (just apply the maximum principle to the one ended c.m.s. M + M). Therefore M + M is a point.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let M be the surface of Jorge-Meeks:  $g(z) = z^{2n-1}$ ,  $\omega = dz/(z^{2n}-1)^2$ , modelled on  $S - \{x^{2n} = 1\}$ . Then  $M + M = C_1 + \cdots + C_n$ , where each  $C_i$  is a catenoid; the axes of  $C_j$  are parallel to  $e^{2\pi i j/n}$ .

**Proof.** We calculate  $\tilde{\omega}$  of M + M: Let  $v \in C^*$ , and j be a generator of the 2n-1 roots of unity. Choose  $z_0, z_0^{2n-1} = v$  and let  $z = z_0$  also denote a local parameter in a neighborhood of  $z_0$  where  $v^{1/(2n-1)}$  is analytic. Then  $z_m = j^m z_0, m = 0, \dots, 2n-2$ , are local parameters for the other inverses of g, in a neighborhood of v.

We know

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\omega} &= \sum_{m=0}^{2n-2} \frac{dz_m}{(z_m^{2n}-1)^2} = \sum \frac{j^m \, dz}{(j^{m2n}z^{2n}-1)^2} \\ &= \sum \frac{j^m \, dz}{j^{2m}(z^{2n}-j^{-m})^2} = \sum_{m=0}^{2n-2} \frac{j^{-m} \, dz}{(z^{2n}-j^{-m})^2}, \end{split}$$

since  $j = j^{2n} \Rightarrow j^m = j^{2nm}$ . Moreover

$$\tilde{\omega} = \frac{1}{(z^{2n(2n-1)}-1)^2} \sum_{m=0}^{2n-2} j^{-m} \frac{(z^{2n(2n-1)}-1)^2}{(z^{2n}-j^{-m})^2} dz$$
$$= \frac{1}{(z^{2n(2n-1)}-1)^2} \sum_{0}^{2n-2} j^{-m} [z^{2n(2n-2)}+j^{-m}z^{2n(2n-3)}] + \dots + j^{-m(2n-2)}]^2 dz,$$

in consequence of

$$\frac{x^{(2n-1)}-1}{x-j^{-m}} = x^{2n-2} + j^{-m}x^{2n-3} + j^{-2m}x^{2n-4} + \dots + j^{-m(2n-3)}x + j^{-m(2n-2)}.$$

Thus

$$\tilde{\omega} = \frac{1}{(z^{2n(2n-1)}-1)^2} \sum_{m=0}^{2n-2} (2n-1) z^{2n(2n-2)} dz$$
$$= \frac{(2n-1)^2}{(z^{2n(2n-1)}-1)^2} z^{2n(2n-2)} dz,$$

where we have used  $\sum_{m=0}^{2n-2} (j^k)^m = 0$  for 0 < k < 2n-1. Now we write  $\tilde{\omega}$  in terms of v:

$$v = z^{2n-1}, \quad dz = \frac{1}{2n-1} v^{1/(2n-1)-1} dv, \quad \tilde{\omega} = \frac{(2n-1)v^{2n-2}}{(v^{2n}-1)^2} dv.$$

Since  $\tilde{\omega}$  is holomorphic on S punctured at the 2nth roots of unity, we see that M + M is modelled on this space. Next observe:

$$\frac{v^{2n-2}}{(v^{2n}-1)^2} = \frac{1}{n^2} \left[ \frac{1}{(v^2-1)^2} + \frac{\alpha}{(v^2-\alpha)^2} + \dots + \frac{\alpha^m}{(v^2-\alpha^m)^2} + \dots + \frac{\alpha^{n-1}}{(v^2-\alpha^{n-1})^2} \right],$$

where  $\alpha$  is a root generator of  $X^n = 1$ . So

$$\tilde{\omega} = \frac{2n-1}{n^2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\alpha^k}{(v^2 - \alpha^k)^2} \, dv,$$

the surfaces  $C_k$  parametrized by  $S^2 - \{z^2 = \alpha^k\}, g(z) = z, \text{ and } \omega = ((2n-1)/n^2)\alpha^k dz/(z^2-\alpha^k)^2$  are catenoids, and hence  $M+M = C_1 + \cdots + C_n$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $M \in H$  have exactly n ends, each of catenoid type and suppose M is invariant by a rotation by  $2\pi/n$ . Then  $M = M_n + M_n$  where  $M_n$  is the c.m.s. of Jorge-Meeks:  $g(z) = z^{n-1}$ ,  $\omega = dz/(z^n - 1)^2$ , modelled on S punctured at the nth roots of unity.

**Proof.** Let R be the rotation leaving M invariant. R permutes the ends of M, so permutes the limiting normals  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ , as well. The limiting normals are on a circle orthogonal to the axis of rotation, so taking this axis to be the  $x_3$ -axis, we have  $z_1 = \rho, z_2 = \rho j, \dots, z_n = \rho j^{n-1}$ , where  $\rho$  is a positive real number and j is a generator of the *n*th roots of unity.

We have M represented by  $(g, \omega)$ : g(z) = z,

$$\begin{split} \omega &= \sum_{p=1}^{n} \left( \frac{a_p}{(z-z_p)^2} + \frac{b_p}{z-z_p} \right) \, dz \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^{n} \left( \frac{a_p}{(z-\rho j^{p-1})^2} + \frac{b_p}{z-\rho j^{p-1}} \right) \, dz, \end{split}$$

where  $a_p$  are real,  $b_p = -2a_p \bar{j}^{p-1} \rho/(1+\rho^2)$ , and  $\sum_{p=0}^n a_p \pi^{-1}(z_p) = 0$ ,  $\pi$  being a stereographic projection. These relations among  $a_p$  and  $b_p$  will be derived in the proof of 2.5.

Now M is modelled on  $S - \{\rho, \rho j, \dots, \rho j^{n-1}\}$ , and R(M) as well. A Weierstrass representation of R(M) is given by  $(g^1, \omega^1)$  where  $g^1(z) = jg(z) = jz$  and  $\omega^1 = \overline{j}\omega$ .

Let  $z = \overline{j}u$ ,  $dz = \overline{j}du$ . Then if  $(g_0, \omega_0)$  denotes the Weierstrass pair of R(M) in this new coordinate, we have:  $g_0(u) = u$ ,

$$\begin{split} \omega_0 &= \overline{j}^2 \sum_{p=1}^n \left( \frac{a_p}{(\overline{j}u - \rho j^{p-1})^2} + \frac{b_p}{(\overline{j}u - \rho j^{p-1})} \right) \, du \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^n \left( \frac{\alpha_p}{(u - \rho j^p)^2} + \frac{b_p \overline{j}}{u - \rho j^p} \right) \, du \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^n \left( \frac{a_p}{(z - \rho j^p)^2} + \frac{b_p \overline{j}}{z - \rho j^p} \right) \, dz. \end{split}$$

Now R(M) = M and  $g(z) = g_0(z) = z$ , so  $\omega = \omega_0$ . This yields  $a_p = a_{p+1}$ ,  $p = 1, \dots, n-1$ , and  $b_{p+1} = b_p \overline{j}$ . Denote  $a_p$  by a, so

$$\overline{j}b_p = \overline{-j}\frac{2a\rho\overline{j}^{p-1}}{1+\rho^2} = \frac{-2a\rho\overline{j}^p}{1+\rho^2} = b_{p+1}.$$

Thus  $\sum_{p=1}^{n} \pi^{-1}(\rho j^p) = 0$  yields  $\rho = 1$  and  $z_i = j^i$ . We have shown that M has the representation g(z) = z,  $\omega = a \sum_{p=1}^{a} (1/(z - j^p)^2 - \overline{j}^p/(z - j^p)) dz$ , where a is real and M is modelled on S punctured at the *n*th roots of unity. Now  $M_n + M_n$  is also modelled on  $S - \{x^n = 1\}$ , is invariant by R, and has n catenoid type ends. Thus it has the same  $(g, \omega)$  (up to multiplication by a real number in  $\omega$ ) and  $M_n + M_n$  is a homothety of M.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  be distinct points of C. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of  $M \in H$  having n ends, each of catenoid type, and with limiting normals  $\pi^{-1}(z_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , is the existence of real numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \pi^{-1}(z_i) = 0$ .

*Proof.* We shall assume such a surface exists and derive what  $\omega$  must be. Then it will be clear this  $\omega$  works.

We assume M is parametrized by  $S - \{\pi^{-1}(z_1), \dots, \pi^{-1}(z_n)\}$  and g(z) = z. The ends of this type catenoid imply  $\omega$  has a double pole at each end and is holomorphic elsewhere. Hence

$$\omega = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{a_i}{(z-z_1)^2} + \frac{b_i}{z-z_i}\right) + P(z)\right] dz$$

where P is a polynomial. To understand M at  $\infty$ , apply the rotation by  $\pi$  about the  $x_1$  axis. This gives

$$\tilde{g}(z) = \frac{1}{g(z)}, \qquad \tilde{\omega} = -\omega g^2,$$
$$\tilde{\omega} = -\left[\sum \left(\frac{a_i z_i^2}{(z-z_i)^2} + \frac{2a_i z_i + z_i^2 b_i}{z-z_i} + a_i + b_i z_i + b_i z\right) + z^2 P(z)\right] dz,$$

and  $\infty$  is not a pole of  $\tilde{g}$ . Let u = 1/z and  $g_0, \omega_0$  be the induced representation:  $g_0(u) = u$ ,

$$\omega_{0} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{a_{i}z_{i}^{2}}{(1-uz_{i})^{2}} + \frac{2a_{i}z_{i}^{2} + b_{i}z_{i}^{3}}{1-uz_{i}} + \frac{2a_{i}z_{i} + b_{i}z_{i}^{2}}{u} + \frac{a_{i} + b_{i}z_{i}}{u^{2}} + \frac{b_{i}}{u^{3}}\right) + \frac{1}{u^{4}}P\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)\right] du.$$

We have  $\omega$  holomorphic at  $\infty$ , so  $\omega_0$  is holomorphic at 0, so that (\*)  $P \equiv 0$ ,  $\sum b_i = 0$ ,  $\sum a_i + b_i z_i = 0$ ,  $\sum 2a_i z_i + b_i z_i^2 = 0$ . Therefore

$$\omega = \left(\sum \frac{a_i}{(z-z_i)^2} + \frac{b_i}{z-z_i}\right) dz,$$

where  $a_i, b_i$  satisfy (\*).

Now we have Re  $\int \phi_k$  are period free, so

$$\operatorname{Re}(2\pi i \operatorname{Res}(\phi_k, z_j)) = 0, \qquad j = 1, \cdots, n, \ k = 1, 2, 3.$$

This gives

(\*\*)  

$$Im(b_i(1-z_i^2)-2a_iz_i) = 0,$$

$$Re(b_i(1+z_i^2)+2a_iz_i) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$Im(a_i + z_ib_i) = 0.$$

Here we use

$$\phi_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ z \frac{a_i(1-z_i^2)}{(z-z_i)^2} + \frac{b_i(1-z_i^2)-2a_iz_i}{z-z_i} - a_i - 2b_iz_i - b_i(z-z_i) \right] dz,$$

and analogous expressions for  $\phi_2$ ,  $\phi_3$ . Solving (\*\*) gives

Im 
$$a_j = 0$$
,  $b_j = \frac{-2a_j\overline{z}_j}{1+|z_j|^2}$ ,  $j = 1, \cdots, n$ .

So we have three equations (comes from (\*)):

(1) 
$$\sum_{1}^{n} b_i = 0,$$

(2) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i + b_i z_i = 0,$$

(3) 
$$\sum_{i}^{n} 2a_{i}z_{i} + b_{i}z_{i}^{2} = 0 \quad (\text{comes also from (1) and (**)}).$$

Write  $z_j = x_j + iy_j$ ; then  $\sum b_j = 0$  and (\*\*) imply

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{a_j x_j}{1+|z_j|^2} = 0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a_j y_j}{1+|z_j|^2}; \qquad a_j \text{ real}, \ j = 1, \cdots, n.$$

We know

$$\sum a_j + b_j z_j = 0, \qquad b_j = \frac{-2a_j \overline{z}_j}{1 + |z_j|^2},$$

hence

$$\sum_{j} \frac{a_j(1-|z_j|^2)}{1+|z_j^2|} = 0.$$

So finally

$$a_j \, ext{real}, \quad b_j = -2a_j rac{\overline{z}_j}{1+|z_j|^2}, \quad ext{and} \quad \sum_j a_j \pi^{-1}(z_j) = 0,$$

where

$$\pi^{-1}(z_j) = \left(\frac{x_j}{1+|z_j|^2}, \frac{y_j}{1+|z_j|^2}, \frac{1-|z_j|^2}{1+|z_j|^2}\right)$$

Thus if we are given real numbers  $a_j$  and points  $p_j \in S^2$  such that  $\sum a_j p_j = 0$ , we can define  $b_j$  by (\*\*) and get M as desired:

$$g(z) = z, \qquad \omega = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{a_i}{(z-z_i)^2} - \frac{2a_i\overline{z}_i}{1+|z_i|^2} \cdot \frac{1}{z-z_i} \right) dz.$$

There is a relation among catenoid type ends in general (in [8] this relation is derived for two ends).

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $M \in W$  have n ends, each of catenoid type, with limiting normals  $N_1, \dots, N_n$  ( $N_i$  pointing towards the opening of the *i*th end). Then there are positive real numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i N_i = 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3)$  be a point of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and  $X: M \to \mathbb{R}^3$  a parametrization of M, conformal except at the branch points. The function  $h(z) = \langle X(z), b \rangle$  is harmonic on M. Let  $S_i(R)$  be a cylinder with axis  $N_i$  and radius R, and let  $C_i(R)$  be the intersection of  $S_i(R)$  with the *i*th end. For large R,  $C_i(R)$  is "almost" a geometric circle. Let M(R) be the compact submanifold of M bounded by  $\bigcup_{i=1}^a C_i(R)$ . The flux of h across  $\partial M(R)$  is 0 by Stokes theorem and harmonicity of h. Hence

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{C_{i}(R)} \langle \nabla_{R^{3}} h, n_{i} \rangle \, ds = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{C_{i}(R)} \langle b, n_{i} \rangle, ds,$$

where  $n_i$  is the interior unit normal field to  $C_i(R)$ , tangent to M.

We calculate  $\int_{C_i(R)} \langle b, n_i \rangle ds$ . Choose coordinates in  $R^3$  so that the *i*th end is a graph over the  $(x_1, x_2)$  plane and  $N_i = (0, 0, 1)$ . Then  $x_3 = a_i \log R + O(R^{-1})$  with  $R = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$  and  $a_i > 0$ . A calculation yields

$$n_i = R^{-1}(-x_1, -x_2, -a_i) + O(R^{-2}).$$

It then follows that

$$\int_{C_i(R)} \langle b, n_i \rangle \, ds = 2\pi b_3 a_i + O(R^{-1}) = 2\pi \langle b, a_i N_i \rangle + O(R^{-1}).$$

Hence  $2\pi \langle b, \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i N_i \rangle + O(R^{-1}) = 0$ . Letting  $R \to \infty$ , we obtain  $\langle b, \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i N_i \rangle = 0$ . Since b was arbitrary, we have  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i N_i = 0$ .

#### 3. Nonorientable herissons

We define a nonorientable minimal herisson to be a c.m.s. of total curvature  $2\pi$  and having a finite number of branch points. Such a surface is parametrized by the projective plane P punctured at a finite number of points.

Let  $p: S \to P$  be the two-sheeted orientable cover of P. Then a nonorientable herisson lifts by p to an orientable herisson with  $(g, \omega)$  satisfying:  $g(z) = z, \ \omega = f(z) dz$  with  $f(z) = -(z^4)^{-1} \overline{f(-1/\overline{z})}$  (cf. [4]). For example, Henneberg's surface is parametrized by P minus one point, g(z) = z and  $f(z) = 1 - 1/z^4$ ; the points 1 and i are branch points.

Now for M, N in W, define M + N as before, except for each tangent plane in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  add all points of M and N with this tangent plane.

**Theorem 3.1.** For M, N in  $W, M \neq N$  is a nonorientable herisson, or a point.

**Proof.**  $M \stackrel{\sim}{+} N$  can be obtained by first adding all points of M and N having the same oriented tangent plane (to obtain an orientable herisson) and then adding all points on this surface having the same tangent plane. So it suffices to prove the theorem for  $M \stackrel{\sim}{+} M$  where M is an orientable herisson.

Let X parametrize M conformally (except at the branch points) and g(z) = z,  $\omega = f(z) dz$  be the Weierstrass pair of M. If  $a_1, \dots, a_p$  are the limiting normals at the ends of M, then  $\tilde{X}: S - \{\pm a_1, \dots, \pm a_p\}$  parametrizes  $M \neq M$  where  $\tilde{X}(z) = X(z) + X(-1/\overline{z})$ . Hence

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{x}_i}{\partial z}(z) = \frac{\partial x_i(z)}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{z^2} \frac{\overline{\partial x_i}}{\partial z} \left(-\frac{1}{\overline{z}}\right) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3.$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_1}{\partial x} &= \frac{f(z)}{2}(1-z^2) + \overline{f\left(-\frac{1}{\overline{z}}\right)} \left(1-\frac{1}{z^2}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{z^2},\\ \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_2}{\partial z} &= i\frac{f(z)}{2}(1+z^2) - \frac{i}{2}\overline{f\left(-\frac{1}{\overline{z}}\right)} \left(1+\frac{1}{z^2}\right)\frac{1}{z^2},\\ \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_3}{\partial z} &= f(z)z - \overline{f\left(-\frac{1}{\overline{z}}\right)}\frac{1}{z^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\tilde{f}(z) = f(z) - \frac{1}{z^4} \overline{f\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right)},$$
$$\tilde{g}(z) = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_3(z)/\partial z}{\tilde{f}(z)} = z.$$

Then  $(\tilde{g}, \tilde{f})$  defines a nonorientable c.m.s.,  $\tilde{f}$  is meromorphic and  $\tilde{f}(z) = -(z^4)^{-1}\overline{\tilde{f}(-1/\overline{z})}$ . So  $M \to M$  is parametrized by P if f is not constant and is a point if f is constant.

For example, if M is the catenoid,  $f(z) = 1/z^2$  so  $\tilde{f}(z) \equiv 0$  and M + M is a point. If M is Enneper's surface, f(z) = 1, so  $\tilde{f}(z) = 1 - 1/z^4$ . Hence M + M is Henneberg's surface.

128

For the surface  $g(z) = z^2$ ,  $\omega = dz/(z^3 - 1)^2$ . First we form  $M \stackrel{\sim}{+} M$ ; this gives the orientable herisson g(z) = z,  $\omega = (2z/(z^3 - 1)^2) dz$ . Then  $M \stackrel{\sim}{+} M$  is g(z) = z,  $\omega = (4z(z^6 + 1)/(z^6 - 1)^2) dz$ . This surface has three ends, each of catenoid type.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let M be a nonorientable c.m.s. with a finite number b of branch points. Let k be the number of points missed by the Gauss map (with values in P) and let N be the degree of the Gauss map (between the orientable covers). Then  $k \leq (2N+b)/(N+1)$ .

This follows easily from 1.2 so we leave the proof to the reader. We remark that this inequality is sharp: one has equality for nonorientable minimal herissons having all catenoid type ends. For example, when g(z) = z,  $\omega = (4z(z^6 + 1)/(z^6 - 1)^2) dz$ , we have N = 1, b = 4 and k = 3.

## 4. Deformations of surfaces in W

Let  $X: M \to \mathbb{R}^3$  parametrize an element of W, and let N denote a unit vector field normal to M in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . An  $\varepsilon \ \mathbb{C}^2$ -deformation of M is a minimal surface which is a graph over M and is  $\varepsilon \ \mathbb{C}^2$ -close to M; i.e.,  $X_1: M \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is a minimal surface of the form  $X_1(z) = X(z) + h(z)N(z)$  where  $h: M \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function with  $||h||_{\mathbb{C}^2} < \varepsilon$ . We do not require  $X_1$  to be conformal. This notion of deformations has been introduced and studied in [6] and [7].

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $M \in W$  and  $M_1$  be an  $\varepsilon C^2$ -deformation of M. Then for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small,  $M_1 \in W$ ,  $c(M_1) = c(M)$  and the branch points of  $M_1$ coincide with those of M (with multiplicity).

**Proof.** This theorem was proved in [6], when M has no branch points. In the presence of branch points, the same proof adapts to show  $M_1 \in W$  and  $c(M_1) = c(M)$ ; the Gauss maps of M and  $M_1$  are smooth and close near the branch points. We need only check the multiplicities are the same.

If D is a small disc at a branch point p = 0 of M, then one has a local Gauss Bonnet formula:

$$\int_D K + \int_{\partial D} k_g = 2\pi(n+1),$$

where K and  $k_g$  are the Gaussian and geodesic curvatures of M and  $\partial D$  respectively, and n is the multiplicity of the branch point. We include a proof of this in an appendix.

Since the left side of this equation for  $M_1$  is close to that of M, it follows the branch points have the same multiplicity.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $M \in H$  have only catenoid type ends. Then M is isolated, i.e., if  $M_1$  is a sufficiently small deformation of M then  $M_1$  is congruent to M.

*Proof.* M is parametrized by  $S - \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ ,  $z_i$  are the limiting normal vectors at the ends. We have g(z) = z and

$$\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{a_j}{(z-z_j)^2} - \frac{2a_j\overline{z}_j}{1+|z_j|^2} \cdot \frac{1}{z-z_j} \right) dz_j$$

with  $a_j$  real and  $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j \pi^{-1}(z_j) = 0$ . These properties of  $(g, \omega)$  were obtained in the proof of 2.5.

Now for  $M_1$  a small deformation of M, the limiting values of the Gauss maps of M and  $M_1$  are the same at the ends. Thus  $M_1$  is also parametrized by  $S - \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ , and  $g_1(z) = z$ ,

$$\omega_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{\alpha_j}{(z-z_j)^2} - \frac{2\alpha_j \overline{z}_j}{1+|z_j|^2} \cdot \frac{1}{z-z_j} \right) dz$$

with  $\alpha_j$  real and  $\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \pi^{-1}(z_j) = 0.$ 

Let us apply a rotation R to M so that at the *j*th end we have  $g(z_j) = 0$ . Then the end is a graph over the  $(x_1, x_2)$  plane of the form

$$x_3(z) = a_j K_j \log |z| + O(|z|),$$

where z is a conformal parameter at the end,  $z_j$  corresponds to 0, and  $K_j$  depends only on R and  $z_j$ .  $M_1$  also becomes a graph over the  $(x_1, x_2)$ -plane, near the perturbed end, and  $M_1$  is a graph of the form

$$x_3^1(z) = \alpha_j K_j^1 \log |z| + O(|z|).$$

Since  $K_j^1$  depends only on R and  $z_j$ , we have  $K_j^1 = K_j$ . Also the perturbed end is close (in  $R^3$ ) to the *j*th end of M, so  $\alpha_j K_j^1 = a_j K_j$ . Hence  $\alpha_j = a_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $\omega = \omega_1$ . Thus  $M = M_1$ .

#### Appendix

The local Gauss-Bonnet formula will result from the following.

**Lemma.** Let  $D_r$  be a disc of radius r centered at a branch point o of order n. Then

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \int_{\partial D_r} k_g \, ds = 2\pi (n+1)$$

*Proof.* Choose coordinates so that g(0) = 0,  $g(z) = a_p z^p + o(z^{p+1})$ ,  $\omega = (b_n z^n + o(z^{n+1})) dz$ ,  $b_n \neq 0$ ,  $a_p \neq 0$ .

$$\phi_1 = \frac{1}{2} (b_n z^n + o(z^n)) \, dz,$$
  

$$\phi_2 = \frac{i}{2} (b_n z^n + o(z^n)) \, dz,$$
  

$$\phi_3 = (a_p b_n z^{n+p} + o(z^{n+p})) \, dz.$$

Let  $z = re^{i\theta}$ ; then

$$2x_{1} = r^{n+1} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{b_{n}}{n+1}e^{i(n+1)\theta}\right) + o(r^{n+1}),$$
  

$$2x_{2} = -r^{n+1} \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{b_{n}}{n+1}e^{i(n+1)\theta}\right) + o(r^{n+1}),$$
  

$$x_{3} = r^{n+p+1} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{a_{p}b_{n}}{n+p+1}e^{i(n+p+1)\theta}\right) + o(r^{n+p+1}).$$

Let  $X^r = X/(|z| = r)$ ,  $X^r_{\theta} = \partial X^r / \partial \theta$ ; then  $k_g$  of  $X(S_r)$  is

$$|X_{\theta}^{r}, X_{\theta\theta}^{r}, \dot{N}|/\langle X_{\theta}^{r}, X_{\theta}^{r} \rangle^{3/2},$$

where

$$\begin{split} X_{\theta}^{r} &= \begin{vmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}r^{n+1}\operatorname{Im}(b_{n}e^{i(n+1)\theta}) + o(r^{n+1}) \\ -\frac{r^{n+1}}{2}\operatorname{Re}(be^{i(n+1)\theta}) + o(r^{n+1}) \\ -r^{n+p+1}\operatorname{Im}(a_{p}b_{n}e^{i(n+p+1)\theta}) + o(r^{n+p+1}) \end{vmatrix}, \\ X_{\theta\theta} &= \begin{vmatrix} -\frac{(n+1)}{2}r^{n+1}\operatorname{Re}(b_{n}e^{i(n+1)\theta}) + o(r^{n+1}) \\ +\frac{(n+1)}{2}r^{n+1}\operatorname{Im}(b_{n}e^{i(n+1)\theta}) + o(r^{n+1}) \\ -(n+p+1)r^{n+p+1}\operatorname{Re}(a_{p}b_{n}e^{i(n+p+1)\theta}) + o(r^{n+p+1}) \end{vmatrix}, \\ \overrightarrow{N} &= \frac{1}{1+o(r^{2p-1})} \begin{vmatrix} 2r^{p}\operatorname{Re}(a_{p}e^{ip\theta}) + o(r^{p}) \\ 2r^{p}\operatorname{Im}(a_{p}e^{ip\theta}) + o(r^{p}) \\ -1 + o(r^{2p-1}) \end{vmatrix}, \\ &|X_{\theta}^{r}, X_{\theta\theta}^{r}, \overrightarrow{N}| = \left(\frac{n+1}{4}\right) |b_{n}|^{2}r^{2n+2} + o(r^{2n+2}), \\ &k_{r} &= \frac{2(n+1)r^{2(n+1)} + o(r^{2n+2})}{|b_{n}|r^{3(n+1)}}, \end{split}$$

ds is the arc length on  $X(S_r), d = |X_{\theta}^r| d\theta$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} k_r \, ds &= k_r |X_{\theta}^r| \, d\theta \\ &= \frac{2(n+1)r^{2(n+1)} + o(r^{2(n+1)})}{|b_n| r^{3(n+1)}} \left(\frac{r^{n+1}}{2} |b_n| + o(r^{n+1})\right) \, d\theta \\ &= (n+1+o(1)) \, d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma.

Now if D is a disc about a branch point, apply the lemma to  $E_r = D - \{z \mid |z| < r\}$ . Then

$$\int_{E_r} K \, dA + \int_{\partial D} k_g \, ds - \int_{|z|=r} k_g \, ds = 0.$$

Thus, letting  $r \to 0$ , we obtain

$$\int_D K \, dA + \int_{\partial D} k_g \, ds = 2\pi (n+1).$$

# References

- D. Hoffman & W. Meeks, A complete embedded minimal surface in R<sup>3</sup> of genus one and three ends, J. Differential Geometry 21 (1985) 109-127.
- [2] A. Huber, On subharmonic functions and differential geometry in the large, Comment. Math. Helv. 32 (1957) 13-72.
- [3] R. Langevin, G. Levitt & H. Rosenberg, Herissons et multiherissons (enveloppe parametrees par leur applications de Gauss), to appear in Banach center publications, Vol. 20, Warsaw, 1987.
- [4] W. Meeks, The classification of complete minimal surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with total curvature greater than  $-8\pi$ , Duke Math. J. 48 (1981) 523–535.
- [5] R. Osserman, A survey of minimal surfaces, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York, 1969.
- [6] H. Rosenberg, Deformations of complete minimal surfaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 295 (1986) 475-489.
- [7] H. Rosenberg & E. Toubiana, Some remarks on deformations of complete minimal surfaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 295 (1986) 491-499.
- [8] R. Schoen, Uniqueness, symmetry and embeddedness of minimal surfaces, J. Differential Geometry 18 (1983) 791-809.

UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS VII