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## 1. Introduction

It has been a longstanding conjecture that the only complete embedded minimal surfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ of finite topological type are the plane, the catenoid, and the helicoid. This conjecture is false. We will exhibit a complete minimal surface, conformally the square torus $\mathbf{C} / \mathbf{Z}^{2}$ with three points removed, which is embedded in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$. It has the following interesting geometric properties.

- Its Gauss map composed with stereographic projection is given by a constant divided by the derivative of the Weierstrass $P$-function.
- It contains two straight lines which meet at right angles.
- It can be decomposed into eight congruent pieces, each of which lies in a different octant and each of which is a graph.
- It is invariant under the group of motions of $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ generated by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
0 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right] \text { and }\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

(which is the dihedral group with eight elements).
This surface was first written down by Costa in his thesis [3]. He established that it was complete, and of genus one with three ends (see Theorem 1). We computed the coordinates of the surface and drew computer pictures of it. Observing that it looked embedded and it had dihedral symmetry, we were

[^0]motivated to prove these observations mathematically. The symmetry of the surface is established in Theorem 2 of $\S 4$ and its embeddedness is proved in Theorem 3 of $\S 5$. Some computer graphics pictures of the surface appear in §6.
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## 2. Embedded minimal surfaces

A complete (not necessarily embedded) minimal surface $M$ in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ with finite total curvature is conformally equivalent to a compact Riemann surface $\bar{M}$ from which $r$ points, $p_{1}, \cdots, p_{r}$ have been removed, $r \geqslant 1$. Moreover the Gauss mapping, defined on $M$ as a meromorphic function, extends to $\bar{M}$. This is a theorem of Osserman [6] who also established that the total curvature of $M$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} K d A \leqslant 4 \pi(1-g-r)=2 \pi(\chi(\bar{M})-2 r) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $j, j=1, \cdots, r$, let $E_{j}$ be the image in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ of a punctured disk in $\bar{M}$ centered at $p_{j}$. This is called the " $j$ th end" of $M$. Jorge and Meeks [5] proved that $\left((1 / t) E_{j}\right) \cap S^{2}$ converges smoothly, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, to a geodesic on $S^{2}$.

This geodesic is covered with multiplicity $d_{j}$, for some positive integer $d_{j}$. Using these multiplicities it can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} K d A=4 \pi\left(1-g-r-\sum_{j=1}^{r}\left(d_{j}-1\right)\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

giving an interpretation of difference between the right- and left-hand sides of (1). It is easy to see that each end $E_{j}$ is embedded if and only if $d_{j}=1$, from which it follows that a necessary condition for a complete minimal surface of finite total curvature to be embedded is that equality holds in (1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int K d A=4 \pi(1-g-r)=2 \pi(\chi(\bar{M})-2 r) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another necessary condition is that the ends do not intersect each other, which implies that the values of the extended Gauss map agree (up to sign) at the ends $p_{1}, \cdots, p_{r}$.

We summarize these results as
Theorem 0. Suppose $M=M-\left(p_{1}, \cdots, p_{r}\right)$ is a complete minimal surface of
finite total curvature on which (3) holds and which satisfies the following property: if $g: M \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \cup(\infty)$ is the stereographic projection of the extended Gauss map, then after a rotation of $\mathbf{R}^{3}, g\left(p_{j}\right)=0$ or $\infty, j=1, \cdots, r$. Then the ends of $M$ are embedded and parallel.

The principal method for constructing complete minimal surfaces is the formula of Enneper-Weierstrass:

## The Weierstrass representation formula

Let $D$ be a Riemann surface, $f$ an analytic function on $D$, and $g$ a meromorphic function on $D$. Suppose further that $g$ has a pole of order $m$ at $z \in D$ if and only if $f$ has a zero of order $2 m$ at $z$. Form the $\mathbf{C}^{3}$-valued function

$$
\phi(z)=(f / 2)\left(1-g^{2}, i\left(1+g^{2}\right), 2 g\right)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(z)=\operatorname{Re} \int_{z_{0}}^{z} \phi(z) d z \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a regular conformal minimal immersion, well-defined on the universal covering space of $D$. (If the components of $\phi d z$ have no real periods then $X$ is well defined on $D$.) Furthermore $g$ is the stereographic projection of the Gauss normal mapping of $X$.

Every simply-connected minimal surface may be represented in the form (4).
Examples. Consider the following three examples

1. $D=\mathbf{C}, f=1, g=0$
2. $D=\mathbf{C}, f=1, g=z$
3. $D=\mathbf{C}-\{0\}, f=1, g=1 / z$.

From (4) it can be derived that the metric on $D$ is given by $\lambda^{2}=$ $|f|^{2}\left(1+|g|^{2}\right)^{2} / 2$. To show that each of these examples is a complete surface it is enough to establish that for every divergent curve $\gamma$ on $D$,

$$
\int_{\gamma}|f|\left(1+|g|^{2}\right) d t=\infty
$$

This computation is straightforward in each case. In Example 3, one must verify that the components of $\phi$ have no real periods, but this is obvious. We conclude that each example represents a regular conformally immersed complete surface. Example 1 is easily seen to be the plane. Since the total curvature is the area of the Gaussian image, it follows that both Examples 2 and 3 have total curvature $-4 \pi$. Since $r=1$ in Example 2, its total curvature is too small for it to be embedded; in fact this surface is Enneper's minimal surface. Example 3 satisfies the necessary conditions of Theorem 0 . In fact it is the
catenoid, an embedded minimal surface of rotation. While this is not hard to prove, it is not immediately obvious from the Weierstrass representation. Prior knowledge of the symmetry of the surface suggests how to go about proving it is embedded.

In the next section we present the new embedded example. It is produced by using the Weierstrass $\mathfrak{B}$-function in the Weierstrass representation formula. To put this example in the proper context we mention some recent progress on embeddedness. In [7], R. Schoen proved that a complete embedded minimal surface of finite total curvature with two ends must be the catenoid; there is no assumption about the genus. In [5], Jorge and Meeks were able to establish that a complete minimal surface of finite total curvature with genus 0 could not be embedded if it had three, four, or five ends. Indeed, there are no known complete and embedded minimal surfaces of genus 0 except the plane, the catenoid and the helicoid. Recently, the authors have been able to show that for every genus greater than zero, there exist complete embedded minimal surfaces of finite total curvature, [2].

## 3. Existence of the surface: the $\mathfrak{P}$-function

In [1], Costa established the following result.
Theorem 1. Let $P(z)$ be the Weierstrass $\mathfrak{B}$-function for the square lattice, $L$, generated by 1 and $i$. Let $T^{2}=\mathbf{C} / L$ and $p_{0}, p_{1}$, and $p_{2}$ the points in $T^{2}$ corresponding to $0, \omega_{1}=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\omega_{2}=\frac{i}{2}$. Let $a=2 \sqrt{2 \pi} P\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $D=T^{2}-$ $\left\{p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}$. The conformal minimal immersion $X: D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{3}$ defined by the Weierstrass representation formula (4) with $f=P$ and $g=a / P^{\prime}$ is regular and the surface $M=X(D) \subset \mathbf{R}^{3}$ is complete with total curvature equal to $-12 \pi$.

We will not prove this theorem here. However, we wish to describe in a series of remarks some of the features of the proof, emphasizing those properties which are needed for our proof of embeddedness.

Remarks. 1. The choice of the constant $a$ is forced by the requirement that the components of $\phi$ have no real periods.
2. The Weierstrass $\mathfrak{B}$-function for a lattice $L$ is defined to be the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(z)=\frac{1}{z^{2}}+\sum_{\Omega \in L-\{0\}}\left(\frac{1}{z-\Omega^{2}}-\frac{1}{\Omega^{2}}\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is the unique (up to a multiplicative constant) elliptic function on $\mathbf{C}$ with poles of order two exactly at the lattice points of $L$. In the next section we will use various properties of $P$ to prove that $M$ is highly symmetric. For complete details, see [1].
3. The derivative of $P, P^{\prime}$, is elliptic with poles of order 3 on $L$. Thus $g=a / P^{\prime}$ covers the extended complex plane three times (considered as a map from $T^{2}$ ), so the total curvature of $M$ is $-12 \pi$.

We may consider $F=\{u+i v \mid 0 \leqslant u, v \leqslant 1\}$ to be identified with $T^{2}$ once we identify opposite edges and $D=T^{2}-\left\{p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}$ to be identified with $F-\left\{0, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right\}$ where $\omega_{1}=\frac{1}{2}, \omega_{2}=\frac{i}{2}$. It can be shown that $e_{1}=P\left(\omega_{1}\right)=$ $-P\left(\omega_{2}\right)$ and that $e_{1}$ is real and positive. In fact, to six decimal places, $e_{1}=6.875185$ (see [1]).
4. The only zero of $P$ occurs at $\omega_{3}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{i}{2}$, and it is of order two. The zeros of $P^{\prime}$ in $F$ occur at $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$, and $\omega_{3}$ and are all simple. Thus the only pole in $D$ of $g=a / P^{\prime}$ occurs at $\omega_{3}$ and it is simple. Since $f=P$ has a double zero at $\omega_{3}$, the conformal minimal immersion given by (4) with $f=P, g=a / P^{\prime}$ is regular on $D=F-\left\{0, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right\}$.

Moreover, the Weierstrass 1 -forms (see (4))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1} d z=\frac{P}{2}\left(1-\frac{a^{2}}{P^{\prime 2}}\right) d z, \quad \phi_{2} d z=\frac{i P}{2}\left(1+\frac{a^{2}}{P^{\prime 2}}\right) d z, \quad \phi_{3} d z=\frac{a P}{P^{\prime}} d z \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

have the property that at each end point $0, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$, at least one $\phi_{i}$ has a pole of order two or more. This essentially implies that $X(D)=M$ is complete.

## Proposition 1.

(i) Each of the ends of $D$ is embedded and parallel.
(ii) Outside of a sufficiently large compact set $K \subset D, X$ is an embedding.
(iii) The third coordinate function $X_{3}(z)$ has the following behavior as one approaches the ends of $M$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { As } z \rightarrow \omega_{1}, X_{3}(z) \rightarrow-\infty ; \\
& \text { As } z \rightarrow \omega_{2}, X_{3}(z) \rightarrow+\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $z \rightarrow 0, X_{3}(z) \rightarrow 0$ and the end $E_{0}$ is asymptotic to a plane $x_{3}=$ constant.
Proof. (i) $D$ has genus 1 with $r=3$ and total curvature $-12 \pi$; therefore (3) is satisfied. From Remark 4, we have $g(0)=\infty$, and $g\left(\omega_{1}\right)=g\left(\omega_{2}\right)=0$. Statement (i) now follows from Theorem 0.
(ii) This follows from (i) and (iii): Statement (i) says each end is embedded and (iii) implies that the ends are mutually disjoint.
(iii) To prove this statement, we will compute $X_{3}(z)$. We start with the well-known identity

$$
P^{\prime 2}=4\left(P-e_{1}\right)\left(P-e_{2}\right)\left(P-e_{3}\right),
$$

where $P\left(\omega_{i}\right)=e_{i}, i=1,2,3$. In our case $e_{1}=-e_{2}$ and $e_{3}=0$. Therefore

$$
P^{\prime 2}=4 P\left(P-e_{1}\right)\left(P+e_{1}\right) .
$$

Thus using (6), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi(z) d z & =\frac{1}{2}\left(P-\frac{a^{2} P}{P^{\prime 2}}, i\left(P+\frac{a^{2} P}{P^{\prime 2}}\right), \frac{2 a P}{P^{\prime}}\right) d z \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(P-\frac{a^{2}}{4\left(P-e_{1}\right)\left(P+e_{1}\right)}, i\left(P+\frac{a^{2}}{4\left(P-e_{1}\right)\left(P+e_{1}\right)}\right),\right.  \tag{7}\\
& \left.\frac{a P^{\prime}}{2\left(P-e_{1}\right)\left(P+e_{1}\right)}\right) d z .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{3}(z) & =\operatorname{Re} \int_{z_{0}}^{z} \phi_{3}(\zeta) d \zeta=\frac{\tilde{a}}{4} \operatorname{Re} \int_{z_{0}}^{z} \frac{P^{\prime}}{2}\left[\frac{1}{P-e_{1}}-\frac{1}{P+e_{1}}\right] d \zeta \\
& =\frac{\tilde{a}}{8}\left[\ln \left|\frac{P(z)-e_{1}}{P(z)+e_{1}}\right|-\text { constant }\right], \quad \tilde{a}=a / e_{1} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

As $z \rightarrow 0, P(z) \rightarrow \infty$, so $X_{3}(z)$ converges to a constant value. As $z \rightarrow \omega_{1}$ (resp. $\left.\omega_{2}\right), P(z) \rightarrow e_{1}$ (resp. $e_{2}=-e_{1}$ ), so $X_{3}(z) \rightarrow-\infty$ (resp. $+\infty$ ).

Remark 5. We will choose $z_{0}=\omega_{3}$. With that choice,

$$
\begin{gather*}
X_{3}(z)=\frac{\tilde{a}}{8} \ln \left|\frac{P(z)-e_{1}}{P(z)+e_{1}}\right|,  \tag{9}\\
X_{i}(z)=\operatorname{Re} \int_{\omega_{3}}^{z} \phi_{i}(z) d z, \quad i=1,2 . \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Symmetries of the $\mathfrak{P}$-function. The symmetries of $M$ are a consequence of the symmetries of $P$. The ones we need are collected in Lemma 1 below. For completeness we give a construction of the $\mathfrak{P}$-function from which these symmetry properties follow easily.

The $\mathfrak{P}$-function may be constructed as follows. Consider the triangular domain I $\subset F$ with vertices $\omega_{3}, \omega_{1}$, and 1 (see Figure 1.1). Map I onto the positive quadrant by a Möbius transformation, say $f(z)$, with $f\left(\omega_{3}\right)=0$, $f\left(\omega_{1}\right)=e_{1}$, and $f(1)=\infty$. On the diagonal $\overline{\omega_{3}, 1}, f(z)$ is purely imaginary with $|f(z)|$ increasing, and $f(z)$ is real and increasing on the segments $\stackrel{\omega_{3} \omega_{1}}{ }$ and $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega_{1}}$. Extend $f(z)$ to triangle II by reflection across $\overrightarrow{\omega_{3}, 1}$; for $z \in \operatorname{II}, f(z)$ $=\overline{-f(\mu(z))}$ where $\mu\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)=\omega_{3}-i \bar{z}$. Now $f(z)$ is real on the line segment $\overrightarrow{\omega_{3}, \omega_{2}+1}$. Therefore, it may be extended by reflection to III $\cup$ IV, the square with vertices $\omega_{3}, \omega_{2}+1,1+i$, and $\omega_{1}+i ; f(z)=f(\beta(z))$ where $\beta\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)$
$=\omega_{3}+\bar{z}$. The function $f(z)$ is now defined on the right half of $F$ and is real on $\overrightarrow{\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}+i}$. Therefore it may be extended to $F$ by reflection across this line. Now extend $f$ to $\mathbf{C}$ by making it periodic with periods 1 and $i$. The result is a meromorphic function with poles of order two at the lattice points $L$, and zeros of order two precisely at the points congruent to $\omega_{3}$. Thus $f(z)$ is equal to $P(z)$ up to a multiplicative constant. Since $f\left(\omega_{1}\right)=P\left(\omega_{1}\right), f(z)=P(z)$. From this construction, the following lemma is easily proved.

Lemma 1. Let $P(z)$ be the Weierstrass $\mathfrak{ß - f u n c t i o n ~ f o r ~ t h e ~ u n i t - s q u a r e ~ l a t t i c e : ~}$
(i) $P\left(\mu\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)\right)=-\overline{P\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)}$, where $\mu\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)=\omega_{3}-i \bar{z}$;

$$
P\left(\rho\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)\right)=-P\left(\omega_{3}+z\right), \text { where } \rho\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)=\omega_{3}+i z
$$

$$
P\left(\beta\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)\right)=\bar{P}\left(\omega_{3}+z\right), \text { where } \beta\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)=\omega_{3}+\bar{z}
$$

(ii) $P(z)$ restricted to the vertical line segment $\overrightarrow{\omega_{3}, \omega_{1}}$ is a monotonically increasing map onto the interval $\left[0, e_{1}\right] ; P(z)$ restricted to the horizontal line segment $\overrightarrow{\omega_{1}, 1}$ is a monotonically increasing map onto $\left[e_{1}, \infty\right] ; P(z)$ restricted to the diagonal line segment $\overline{\omega_{3}, 1}$ maps this line segment monotonically onto the nonnegative imaginary axis.
(iii) $\operatorname{Re}(P(z))>0$ on the interior of the two triangles $A$ and $\beta(A)$ where $A$ is the triangle with vertices $0, \omega_{3}$, and $1 ; \operatorname{Re}(P(z))<0$ on the interior of $F-(A \cup \beta(A))$ (see Figure 1.2).
(iv) $\operatorname{Im}(P(z))>0$ on the interior of the two squares $B$ and $\alpha(B)$ where $\alpha\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)=\omega_{3}+i \bar{z}=\rho\left(\beta\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)\right)$ and $B$ is the square with vertices $\omega_{3}, \omega_{1}$, 1 , and $\omega_{2}+1$ (see Figure 1.3).


Figure 1

Remark 6. It follows from parts (i) and (ii) of Lemma 1 that $P(z)$ is purely imaginary on the diagonals through $\omega_{3}$. Moving away from $\omega_{3},|P(z)|$ is increasing from 0 to $\infty$ as one approaches the corners of $F$. Furthermore the diagonal with positive slope is mapped onto the nonpositive imaginary axis, the one with negative slope is mapped onto the nonnegative imaginary axis. On

$P(z)$ real on these lines. Arrow indicates direction of increasing $P(z)$.
Values of $P(z)$ given at vertices.

$P(z)$ imaginary or diagonal lines, with "negative" values on the positively sloped diagonal, positive values on the other.

Figure 2
each of the horizontal and vertical line segments bounding $B, \alpha(B)$, and $F-(B \cup \alpha(B)), P(z)$ is real and monotonic (see Figure 2.)

Lemma 2. Let $X_{3}(z)$ denote the third component of $X(z), z \in D$. Then $X_{3}(z)>0$ if and only if $z$ lies in the interior of $D \backslash(A \cup \beta(A))$, and $X_{3}(z)=0$ if and only if $z$ lies on $\mathscr{L}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}=\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0,1+i} \cup \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i, 1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

the union of the two diagonals of $F$. Moreover, $X$ maps the diagonal with positive slope one to one and onto the line $x_{1}-x_{2}=x_{3}=0$, and the diagonal with negative slope one to one and onto the line $x_{1}+x_{2}=x_{3}=0$.

Proof. By (9),

$$
X_{3}(\tilde{z})=\frac{a}{8} \ln \left|\frac{P(z)-e_{1}}{P(z)+e_{1}}\right|,
$$

where $\tilde{a}$ is real and positive. Since $e_{1}$ is real and positive, $X_{3}(z)>0$ if and only if $P(z)$ is closer to $-e_{1}$ than to $e_{1}$; i.e. if and only if $\operatorname{Re}(P(z))<0$. Also $X_{3}(z)=0$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re}(P(z))=0$. By virtue of Lemma 1, parts (ii) and (iii), we have that $X_{3}(z) \leqslant 0$ if and only if $z \in A \cup \beta(A)$ and $X_{3}(z)=0$ if and only if $z \subset \mathscr{L}$. All that is left to prove is the last statement of the lemma.

Consider the diagonal line segment $\overline{\omega_{3}, 1+i} \subset \mathscr{L}$. Parametrize this segment by $\eta(t)=\left(\frac{1}{2}+t\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}+t\right) i, 0 \leqslant t \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$. By Remark 6 following Lemma 1 , $P(\eta(t))=i \lambda(t)$ for a real-valued function $\lambda(t)$ with $\lambda(0)=0, \lambda\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=-\infty$ and $\lambda^{\prime}(t)<0,0<t<\frac{1}{2}$. From (7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1}(\eta(t)) d \eta(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left[i \lambda(t)+\frac{a^{2}}{4} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2}(t)+e_{1}^{2}}\right](d t+i d t), \\
& \phi_{2}(\eta(t)) d \eta(t)=\frac{i}{2}\left[i \lambda(t)-\frac{a^{2}}{4} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2}(t)+e_{1}^{2}}\right](d t+i d t),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\phi_{1}(\eta(t)) d \eta(t)\right) & =\frac{1}{2}\left[-\lambda(t)+\frac{a^{2}}{4}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}(t)+e_{1}^{2}}\right)\right] d t  \tag{12}\\
& =\operatorname{Re}\left(\phi_{2}(\eta(t)) d \eta(t)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

From (10) it follows that $X_{1}(\eta(t))=X_{2}(\eta(t))$. Since

$$
-\lambda(t)+\frac{a^{2}}{4} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2}(t)+e_{1}^{2}}>0
$$

$X_{i}(\eta(t))$ is monotonic increasing, with $X_{i}(\eta(0))=0, i=1,2$. Clearly $\operatorname{limit}_{t \rightarrow 1 / 2} X_{i}(\eta(t))=\infty, i=1,2$.

Using the properties of $P$ established in Lemma 1, it is now straightforward to complete the proof of the Lemma.

## 4. The symmetries of $M$

Let $G$ be the dihedral group with 8 elements. We may consider $G$ as acting on the square $F$ by reflections through the horizontal, vertical, and diagonal lines through $\omega_{3}$ and rotation by integer multiples of $\pi / 2$ about $\omega_{3}$. In complex notation,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\beta\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)=\omega_{3}+\bar{z} & \text { is reflection about the horizontal line, } \\
\rho^{k}\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)=\omega_{3}+(i)^{k} z & \text { is rotation by } k \pi / 2 \text { about } \omega_{3}, k=1,2,3, \\
\alpha\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)=\rho\left(\beta\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)\right)=\omega_{3}+i \bar{z} & \text { is reflection through the positive diagonal, } \\
\hat{\alpha}\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)=\rho^{2}\left(\beta\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)\right)=\omega_{3}-\bar{z} & \text { is reflection through the vertical line, and } \\
\mu\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)=\rho^{3}\left(\beta\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)\right)=\omega_{3}+i \bar{z} & \text { is reflection through the negative diagonal. }
\end{array}
$$

Clearly $G$ is generated by $\beta$ and $\rho$.
We may also consider $G$ as acting on $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ by identifying the generators $\beta$ and $\rho$ with the orthogonal motions

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0  \tag{13}\\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], R=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

$B$ is reflection in the ( $x_{1}, x_{3}$ )-plane and $R$ is rotation by $\pi / 2$ about the $x_{3}$-axis followed by reflection in the $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$-plane. It is easy to see that $G$, acting on $F$, induces an action of $G$ on $D=T^{2}-\left\{p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}$.

Theorem 2. $G$, acting on $\mathbf{R}^{3}$, is a symmetry group of $M=X(D) \subset \mathbf{R}^{3}$. The immersion $X: D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{3}$ is compatible with the action of $G$ on $D$ and $\mathbf{R}^{3}$. Specifically (i) $X \circ \rho=R \circ X$ and (ii) $X \circ \beta=B \circ X$. In the metric on $D$ induced by $X, G$ acts by isometries.

Proof. All that is necessary to establish the proof of the theorem is to prove (i) and (ii). By Lemma 1(i), $P\left(\rho\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)=-P\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)\right)$. By formula (7) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{1}\left(\rho\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)\right) & =\frac{1}{2}\left[-P\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)-\frac{a^{2}}{4}\left(\frac{1}{P^{2}\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)-e_{1}^{2}}\right)\right]  \tag{14.1}\\
& =i \phi_{2}\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)
\end{align*}
$$

From (14.1) it follows that $i \phi_{2}\left(\rho\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)\right)=i \phi_{2}\left(\omega_{3}+i z\right)=\phi_{1}\left(\omega_{3}-z\right)$. But $\phi\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)=\phi\left(\omega_{3}-z\right)$ since $P\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)=P\left(\omega_{3}-z\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{2}\left(\rho\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)\right)=-i \phi_{1}\left(\omega_{3}+z\right) \tag{14.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (14.1) and (14.2) and (10) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\left(\rho\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)\right) & =\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\left(\omega_{3}+i z\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \int_{\omega_{3}}^{z}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\left(\omega_{3}+i \zeta\right) i d \zeta \\
& =\operatorname{Re} \int_{\omega_{3}}^{z}-\left(\phi_{2},-\phi_{1}\right)\left(\omega_{3}+\zeta\right) d \zeta  \tag{15}\\
& =\operatorname{Re} \int_{\omega_{3}}^{z}\left(-\phi_{2}, \phi_{1}\right)\left(\omega_{3}+\zeta\right) d \zeta \\
& =\left(-X_{2}, X_{1}\right)\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By (9),

$$
X_{3}\left(\rho\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)\right)=\frac{\tilde{a}}{8} \ln \left|\frac{-P\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)-e_{1}}{-P\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)+e_{1}}\right|=-X_{3}\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)
$$

This together with (15) is statement (i) of the Theorem. Statement (ii) is proved in a similar fashion. By Lemma 1(i), $P\left(\beta\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)\right)=\bar{P}\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)$. Using (7) and the fact that $a$ is real, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{1}\left(\beta\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)\right) & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\bar{P}\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)-\left(\frac{a^{2}}{4}\right) \frac{1}{\bar{P}^{2}\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)-e_{1}^{2}}\right]  \tag{16.1}\\
& =\overline{\phi_{1}\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)}, \\
\phi_{2}\left(\beta\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)\right) & =\phi_{2}\left(\omega_{3}+\bar{z}\right) \\
& =\frac{i}{2}\left[\bar{P}\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)+\frac{a^{2}}{4} \frac{1}{\bar{P}^{2}\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)-e_{1}^{2}}\right] \\
& =\overline{-\phi_{2}\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\left(\beta\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \int_{\omega_{0}}^{z}\left(\bar{\phi}_{1},-\bar{\phi}_{2}\right)\left(\omega_{z}+\zeta\right) d \bar{\zeta}  \tag{17}\\
& =\left(X_{1},-X_{2}\right)\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By (9),

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{3}\left(\beta\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)\right) & =\frac{\tilde{a}}{8} \ln \left|\frac{\bar{P}\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)-e_{1}}{\bar{P}\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)+e_{1}}\right| \\
& =\frac{\tilde{a}}{8} \ln \left|\frac{P\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)-e_{1}}{P\left(\omega_{3}+z\right)+e_{1}}\right| \\
& =X_{3}\left(\omega_{3}+z\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This establishes statement (ii).
Corollary 1. (i) The $\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)$-planes and the $\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$-planes are planes of symmetry for $M=X(D)$. The line segments $\overrightarrow{\omega_{2}, 1+\omega_{2}}$ and $\overrightarrow{0,1}$ are mapped into the $\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)$-plane. The line segments $\stackrel{\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}+i}{ }$ and $\overrightarrow{0, i}$ are mapped into the $\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$-plane.
(ii) The isometry $\alpha=\rho \circ \beta$ of $D$ which is reflection across the positive diagonal of $F$, is compatible with the symmetry of $M$ given by the Euclidean motion $R B$, which is rotation by $\pi$ about the line $x_{1}-x_{2}=x_{3}=0$.

Remark 7. If we decompose $F$ into eight triangles I, $\cdots$, VIII as in Figure 1.1, it follows from Theorem 2 that each of the triangles is isometric to all of the others by an isometry in $G$.

From Theorem 2 and the above remark, the following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 2. $M$ is made up of eight congruent pieces. Each piece is isometric to $X(T)$, where $T \subset F$ is the triangle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{u+\left.i v\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \frac{1}{2} \leqslant v \leqslant u\right\}-\left\{\left(\left(\omega_{2}+1\right),(1+i)\right)\right\} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is produced from $X(T)$ by moving $X(T)$ by a symmetry in $G$.
Note. $\quad T$ is the triangle III in Figure 1.1.

## 5. $M$ is embedded

In this section, we will prove that $M$ is an embedded surface.
Theorem 3. Let $X: D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{3}$ be as in Theorem 1. $X$ is an embedding.
Proof. Let $T \subset D$ be the triangle defined in (18). We will show in Proposition 3 below, that $X \mid T$ is an embedding, that $X(T)$ lies in the positive octant of $\mathbf{R}^{3}$, and $X$ takes $\partial T$ into the boundary of the positive octant. The eight elements
of $G$, acting on $\mathbf{R}^{3}$, each moves the positive octant into a distinct octant of $\mathbf{R}^{3}$. Theorem 3 then follows from Corollary 2 to Theorem 2.

To prove Proposition 3, we will need some preliminary results. Loosely stated, the point of these four technical results is to show that $X(\partial T)$ lies on the boundary of the positive octant.

Lemma 3. For $\delta>0$ sufficiently small, $X$ is an embedding on

$$
\left\{z \in D\left|\left|X_{3}(z)\right|<\delta\right\} .\right.
$$

Proof. We know by Proposition 1(ii), that $X$ is an embedding outside of a compact set $K$ and that $X(K)$ and $X(D \backslash K)$ are disjoint sets. We have established in Lemma 2 that the set $\mathscr{L}=\overrightarrow{0,1+i} \cup \overrightarrow{i, 1}$ is equal to $X_{3}^{-1}(0)$ and that $X$ is one-to-one on $\mathscr{L}$. Since $X$ is an immersion it is locally one-to-one. Restricting our attention to $K$, the closed subset $\mathscr{L} \cap K$ is compact and therefore must have a neighborhood $N$ in $K$ on which $X$ is one-to-one. For $\delta>0$ sufficiently small, the set $\left\{z \in K\left|\left|X_{3}(z)\right|<\delta\right\}\right.$ must lie in $N$. Since $X$ is one-to-one on both $N$ and $D \backslash K$, and $X(N)$, and $X(D \backslash K)$ are disjoint, it follows that $X$ is one-to-one on

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{z \in\left|\left|X_{3}(z)\right|<\delta\right\}\right. \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note. It is possible to prove this lemma by arguing directly from the form of the third coordinate function $X_{3}(z)$, given in (10). We know that $X_{3}^{-1}(0)$ consists of the two diagonal lines through $\omega_{3}$ and we choose $K$ to contain $\omega_{3}$. The level curves of $X_{3}$ (at nonzero values) are given by the inverse image under $P$ of circles in the complex plane which are at constant distance from both $e_{1}$ and $-e_{1}$. Using these facts one can given an alternate proof of the lemma.
Lemma 4. The line segment $\overrightarrow{\omega_{3}, \omega_{3}+\frac{1}{2}}$ is mapped by $X$ in a one-to-one manner onto a curve lying in the nonnegative quadrant of the $\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)$-plane. The curve meets an axis only at $X\left(\omega_{3}\right)=0$.

Proof. By Corollary 1(i), $\omega_{3}, \omega_{3}+\frac{1}{2}$ is mapped into the ( $x_{1}, x_{3}$ )-plane. Parametrize this line segment by $\gamma(t)=\omega_{3}+t, 0 \leqslant t \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$. By Lemma 1 , parts (i) and (ii), $P(\gamma(t))=\lambda(t)$ with $\lambda(0)=0, \lambda\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=-e_{1}$, and $\lambda^{\prime}(t)<0$ on $\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. From (7) and (10) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
X_{1}(\gamma(t))=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\left(\lambda(t)\left(\lambda^{2}(t)-e_{1}^{2}\right)-a^{2} / 4\right)}{\lambda^{2}(t)-e_{1}^{2}} d t  \tag{20}\\
X_{3}(\gamma(t))=\frac{\tilde{a}}{8} \ln \left|\frac{\lambda(t)-e_{1}}{\lambda(t)+e_{1}}\right| \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

Clearly $X_{3}(\gamma(t))$ is monotonically increasing on [0, $\left.\frac{1}{2}\right)$ with $X_{3}(\gamma(t))=0$ if and only if $t=0$. Therefore $X(\gamma(t))$ is one-to-one and $X_{3}(\gamma(t)) \geqslant 0$ with equality if and only if $t=0$. To complete the proof of the lemma we now show that $X_{1}(\gamma(t)) \geqslant 0$ with equality if and only if $t=0$.

In fact, we will show that the integrand of (20) is strictly positive, from which the lemma follows. For $t \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right], \lambda(t) \in\left[-e_{1}, 0\right]$. Let $y(\lambda)=\lambda\left(\lambda^{2}-e_{1}^{2}\right)$ and consider $y(\lambda)$ on $\left[-e_{1}, 0\right]$. The positivity of the integrand of (20) is equivalent to $y(\lambda)<a^{2} / 4$ on $\left[-e_{1}, 0\right]$. We will now show this is the case.

Since $y(0)=y\left(-e_{1}\right)=0, y(\lambda) \geqslant 0$ on $\left[-e_{1}, 0\right]$, and $y^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)=0$ on $\left[-e_{1}, 0\right]$ only at $\lambda_{0}=-e_{1} / \sqrt{3}$,

$$
0 \leqslant y(\lambda) \leqslant y\left(\lambda_{0}\right)=2 e_{1}^{3} / 3 \sqrt{3}
$$

However $a^{2} / 4=2 \pi e_{1}^{2}$ and $e_{1}<6.9$, by Remark 3. Hence $y(\lambda)<a^{2} / 4$. We are done.
Lemma 5. The line segment $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega_{2}, i}$ is mapped by $X$ into the upper half of the $\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$-plane. It is a graph over the positive $x_{3}$-axis and the value of $X_{2}$ tends to $+\infty$ as one approaches $\omega_{2}$ or $i$.
 $\stackrel{P}{\omega_{2}, i}$ by $t i, \frac{1}{2}<t<1$. By Lemma 1 and Remark $6, P(t i)=K(t)$ for some real-valued function $K(t)$ with $K^{\prime}(t)<0, \operatorname{limit}_{t \rightarrow 1 / 2} K(t)=-e_{1}$, and $\operatorname{limit}_{t \rightarrow 1} K(t)=-\infty$.

Using these facts together with (7), (9) and (10) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{3}(t i)=\frac{\tilde{a}}{8} \ln \left|\frac{K(t)-e_{1}}{K(t)+e_{1}}\right|  \tag{22}\\
& X_{2}(t i)=X\left(\frac{3}{4} i\right)-\int_{3 / 4}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2} K(t)+\frac{a^{2}}{8}\left(\frac{1}{K^{2}(t)-e_{1}^{2}}\right)\right) d t  \tag{23}\\
& X_{1}(t i) \equiv 0 \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (23) and the properties of $K(t)$ we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 1 / 2} X_{2}^{\prime}(t i)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} X_{2}^{\prime}(t i)=\infty \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the properties of $K(t)$ and equation (22) it follows that $X_{3}(t i)$ is positive, monotonically decreasing and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 1 / 2} X_{3}(t i)=+\infty, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow 1} X_{3}(t i)=0
$$

Therefore $X(t i)$ one-to-one. Moreover, we can reparametrize by $s=X_{3}(t i)$, $0<s<\infty$, and write the curve in question as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(s)=X\left(X_{3}^{-1}(s)\right)=\left(0, X_{2}\left(X_{3}^{-1}(s)\right), s\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $s \rightarrow 0, \Gamma(s)$ is a divergent curve in the embedded end $E_{0}$ and as $s \rightarrow \infty$, $\Gamma(s)$ diverges in the embedded end $E_{2}$. Since these ends are asymptotically parallel to the ( $x_{1}, x_{2}$ )-plane,

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0}\left|\Gamma_{2}(s)\right|=\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty}\left|\Gamma_{2}(s)\right|=\infty
$$

By (25) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \Gamma_{2}(s)=\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_{2}(s)=+\infty \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence of Proposition 2 below, $\overrightarrow{\omega_{2}, i}$ is actually mapped into the interior of the positive quadrant of the ( $x_{2}, x_{3}$ )-plane.

Let $T$ be the triangle defined in (18) and let $R=T \cup \hat{\alpha}(T)$. In Figure 1.1, $R=$ III $\cup$ VI.

Proposition 2. $X$ maps $\stackrel{\circ}{R}$ into $E=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \mid x_{2}>0, x_{3}>0\right\}$.
Proof. Let

$$
S_{\varepsilon, N}=\left\{\left.z \in D\right|_{\varepsilon} \leqslant X_{3}(z) \leqslant N\right\}
$$

(see Figure 3). According to Proposition 1 and Lemma 3, if we chose $\varepsilon>0$ small enough and $N$ large enough then $X$ is an embedding of the complement of $S_{\varepsilon, N}$ in $\left\{z \in D \mid X_{3}>0\right\}$. In particular $X$, restricted to $C_{\varepsilon}=X_{3}^{-1}(\varepsilon)$ and to $C_{N}=X_{3}^{-1}(N)$, is an embedding. By (9), these curves are level sets of $\ln |w(z)|$, where

$$
w(z)=\frac{P(z)-e_{1}}{P(z)+e_{1}}
$$

and are easily seen to be simple closed curves. Furthermore, $C_{\varepsilon}$ and $C_{N}$ are symmetric under reflection across $\widehat{\omega_{2}, \omega_{2}+1}$ and their images under $X$, which we shall label $C_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ and $C_{N}^{\prime}$, are symmetric with respect to reflection through the $\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)$-plane and the ( $x_{2}, x_{3}$ )-plane. These facts are an immediate consequence of Theorem 2. Since they are simple, each of the arcs $C_{\varepsilon}$ and $C_{N}$ meet $\overrightarrow{\omega_{2}}, \omega_{2}+1$ exactly twice at points symmetric with respect to the midpoint, $\omega_{3}$. We label these pairs of points $q_{\varepsilon}, \hat{\alpha}\left(q_{\varepsilon}\right)$ and $q_{N}, \hat{\alpha}\left(q_{N}\right)$, respectively.


Figure 3
The points $q_{\varepsilon}$ and $\hat{\alpha}\left(q_{\varepsilon}\right)$ divide $C_{\varepsilon}$ into two arcs one of which, $C_{\varepsilon}^{+}$, is mapped by $X$ into the half-space $\left\{x_{2}>0\right\}$. It must be the arc which cuts $\vec{\omega}_{2}, i$ since we are free to choose $\varepsilon$ small enough, by Lemma 5, so that $C_{\varepsilon}$ must cut $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega_{2}, i}$ at a point where $X_{2}$ is positive. Applying the same argument to $C_{N}$, we can assert that the subarc, $C_{N}^{+}$, of $C_{N}$ on which $X_{2}>0$ is the one which crosses $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega_{2}, i}$.

Let $W_{\varepsilon, N}$ be the intersection of $R$ with $S_{\varepsilon, N}$. We now know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
X\left(\partial W_{\varepsilon, N}\right) & =X\left(C_{\varepsilon}^{+} \cup C_{N}^{+} \cup \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{q_{\varepsilon}} \cup \hat{\alpha}\left(\overrightarrow{q_{\varepsilon} q_{N}}\right)\right) \\
& \subset \partial\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \mid x_{2}>0, \varepsilon<x_{3}<N\right\} \subset E .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the convex hull property for minimal surfaces, $W_{\varepsilon, N}$ is contained in

$$
\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \mid x_{2}>0, \varepsilon \leqslant x_{3} \leqslant N\right\} .
$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$ finishes the proof.
Proposition 3. Let $T$ be the triangle defined in (18)

$$
T=\left\{u+i v \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{2} \leqslant u \leqslant 1\right., \frac{1}{2} \leqslant v \leqslant u\right\}-\left\{\left(\omega_{3}+\frac{1}{2}\right),(1+i)\right\} .
$$

Then $\left.X\right|_{T}$ is an embedding of $T$ into the nonnegative octant of $\mathbf{R}^{3}$, taking $T$ into the boundary of the octant, and $\overleftarrow{T}^{\circ}$ into the interior.
Proof. The boundary of the $T$ consists of the line segments $\overrightarrow{\omega_{3}, \omega_{3}+\frac{1}{2}}$, $\stackrel{\omega_{3}}{ }, 1+i$, and $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega_{2}, i} . \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega_{3}, \omega_{3}+\frac{1}{2}}$ is mapped monotonically onto a curve in the nonnegative quadrant of the $\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)$-plane, meeting the axes only at $X\left(\omega_{3}\right)=0$ (see Lemma 4.) $\stackrel{\omega_{3}, 1+i}{i}$ is mapped monotonically onto the ray $x_{1}-x_{2}=x_{3}$ $=0, x_{1} \geqslant 0$ (see Lemma 2.) $\overrightarrow{\omega_{2}, i}$ is mapped monotonically into the interior of the positive quadrant of the ( $x_{1}, x_{3}$ )-plane. (This follows from Lemma 5 and Proposition 2.) Let $Q$ denote the closure of the positive octant of $\mathbf{R}^{3}$. We have established that $X(\partial T) \subset \partial Q$.

Consider $T_{\varepsilon, N}=W_{\varepsilon, N} \cap T$. It is straightforward to restate the argument of Proposition 2 applied now to $T_{\varepsilon, N}$ to show that $X\left(T_{\varepsilon, N}\right)$ is contained in $Q$. We conclude that $X$ maps $T$ into $Q$ (and necessarily takes $\dddot{T}$ into $\grave{Q}$ ).

To complete the proof we need to show that $X$ is an embedding; we will do this by actually proving that $X(T)$ is a graph over the plane $P=\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{3} \mid x \cdot \vec{u}\right.$ $=0\}$, where $\vec{u}=(1,1 / 2,0)$. Let $\pi$ denote the projection of $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ onto $P$. We assert that $\pi \circ X: T_{\varepsilon, N} \rightarrow P$ is actually a submersion which is one-to-one on the boundary of $T_{\varepsilon, N}$. Since $T_{\varepsilon, N}$ is simply connected this implies that $\pi \circ X$ is actually one-to-one on $T_{\varepsilon, N}$ and that $X\left(T_{\varepsilon, N}\right)$ is a graph over $P$. Since the disks $T_{\varepsilon, N}$ exhaust $T, T$ itself is embedded as a graph over $P$.

Proof of the assertion. Let $\sigma: S^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \cup\{\infty\}$ denote stereographic projection. Recall that the Gauss map of $X$ is given by $\sigma^{-1} \circ g$ where $g=a / P^{\prime}$. From Lemma 1 which lists properties of $P$, it is easy to show that $g(T)$ is one of the regions in $\mathbf{C} \cup\{\infty\}$ bounded by the lines $\{t i \mid t \leqslant 0\}$ and $\{t(-1+i) \mid t \geqslant 0\}$. By Remark 3 and Corollary 2 the total curvature of $X(T)$ is $-3 \pi / 2$. Since the total curvature of $X(T)$ is the area of the Gaussian image it follows that $g(T)$ is the "smaller sector" which contains the negative real axis. Therefore $\sigma^{-1} \circ g(T)$ is a region lying in the hemisphere $H=\{n \mid n \cdot \vec{u} \leqslant 0\}$ which meets $\partial H=P \cap S^{2}$ only at the points $(0,0, \pm 1)$. Noting that $\sigma^{-1} \circ g(z)=(0,0, \pm 1)$ on $T$ only at $\omega_{3}$ where $g\left(\omega_{3}\right)=0$ and that $\omega_{3} \notin T_{\varepsilon, N}$, we conclude that $\sigma^{-1} \circ g\left(T_{\varepsilon, N}\right)$ is a compact subset of the interior of $H$. This means that
projection of $X\left(T_{\varepsilon, N}\right)$ onto $P$ is regular: hence $\pi \circ X$ is a submersion of $T_{\varepsilon, N}$.
To complete the proof of the assertion we must show that $X$ is one-to-one on $\partial T_{\varepsilon, N}$. This boundary consists of four arcs two of which are line segments. The line segment $\xrightarrow[q_{e} q_{N}]{ }$ is mapped by $X$ one-to-one into the ( $x_{1}, x_{3}$ )-plane which projects one-to-one onto $P$. The other line segment bounding $T_{\varepsilon, N}$ lies on $\overrightarrow{\omega_{2}, i}$ which is mapped one-to-one into the ( $x_{2}, x_{3}$ )-plane and this plane also projects one-to-one onto $P$. Now let's look at the subarc $\mathscr{S}_{\text {of }} C_{\varepsilon}^{+}$which bounds $T_{\varepsilon, N}$. Since $X$ maps $\mathscr{S}$ one-to-one into the plane $x_{3}=N, \pi \circ X$ maps $\mathscr{S}$ into the line $P \cap\left(x_{3}=N\right)$. But the projection $\pi$ is locally one-to-one along $X(\mathscr{S})$ so it must be globally one-to-one. A similar argument shows that $\pi \circ X$ is one-to-one on the subarc of $C_{N}^{+}$which bounds $T_{\varepsilon, N}$.


Figure 4
Remark 8. We note that in the proof of Proposition 3, the choice of the plane $P$ is somewhat arbitrary. In fact we could use the same argument to show that $X(T)$ is a graph over the plane $P_{t}$, the plane orthogonal to $\vec{u}_{t}=(1, t, 0)$, for $t, 0<t<1$. With slightly more work, it can be shown that $X(T)$ is a graph over $P_{0}$, the ( $x_{2}, x_{3}$ )-plane.

It is also the case that $X(R)$ is a graph over $P_{t}, 0 \leqslant t<1$.


All of the images are viewed from above the $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$-plane, looking toward the origin. The quadrilateral pattern on the surface is the image of a checkerboard pattern on the parameter domain $D$. Applying the symmetry $B$ of (13) to the half of $M$ in the second illustration produces the other half of the surface. Similarly $R$ of (13) moves the half of the surface in the third illustration into the other half.

These images were created by James T. Hoffman. Assistance in the production of the photographs was provided by Richard Newton and the Digital Image Analysis Laboratory at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst.
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