# EMBEDDED HYPERSPHERES WITH PRESCRIBED MEAN CURVATURE 
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In [10] Yau raises the nonlinear global problem: is there an embedding $Y: S^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ of the $n$-dimensional sphere into Euclidean $(n+1)$-space, whose mean curvature is a preassigned sufficiently smooth function $H$ defined on $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ ? A theorem of Bakelman and Kantor [4] asserts the existence of such hypersurfaces assuming only natural conditions that $H$ decay faster than the mean curvature of concentric spheres. It is the purpose of this paper to give a new simple geometric treatment of the required a priori estimates and a complete presentation of the existence and uniqueness proof of this result.

A condition that a function $H$ decays in a domain $U \subset \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ - $\{0\}$ from an arbitrary point, say zero, faster than $|X|^{-1}$, where $|X|$ is the Euclidean length of $X$, is given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
0<H \in C^{1}(\bar{U}) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \rho H(\rho X) \leqslant 0, \quad \text { for all } \rho X \in U \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Theorem. (a) Suppose that the function $H$ satisfies condition (1) in the annular region $U=\left\{X \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}: r_{1}<|X|<r_{2}\right\}$ where $0<r_{1} \leqslant 1 \leqslant r_{2}$ and that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H(x)>|X|^{-1} & \text { for }|X|=r_{1} \\
H(X)<|X|^{-1} & \text { for }|X|=r_{2} \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

Then for some $0<\alpha<1$ there exists an embedded hypersphere $Y \in C^{2, \alpha}\left(S^{n}\right)$ with mean curvature $\mathfrak{M} Y=H(Y)$ which is a radial graph over the unit sphere such that $r_{1} \leqslant|Y| \leqslant r_{2}$.
(b) Let $Y$ be a sphere about zero with $\mathfrak{M} Y=H(Y)$. If there is a second embedded $C^{2}$ hypersurface $Z$ about zero that satisfies $\mathfrak{N} Z=H(Z)$, and the function $H$ satisfies condition (1) in the domain between $Y$ and $Z$, then the hypersurfaces are homothetic, i.e.,

$$
Z=\left(1+t_{0}\right) Y, \text { for some } t_{0}>-1,
$$

[^0]and all intermediate homotheties satisfy the equation
$$
\Re\left(\left(1+\theta t_{0}\right) Y\right)=H\left(\left(1+\theta t_{0}\right) Y\right) \text { for all } 0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 1
$$

We construct these embeddings, which are radial projections of the standard sphere, by solving the quasilinear elliptic partial differential equation for prescribed mean curvature on the sphere. In the first section we derive the equation by pulling the expression for the mean curvature back from the hypersurface by a homogeneity argument.

We obtain explicit a priori gradient bounds for a class of equations including the mean curvature equation in the second section. We derive the estimates intrinsically, utilizing the maximum principle in much the same way that it is used by Yau in, e.g., [9], but by applying an operator more suited to the mean curvature equation than the Laplacian. The estimate [3, Theorem 4] is more complicated but gives a gradient bound for the mean curvature equation in a general Riemannian space.

In the third section we assemble the a priori estimates and prove the existence of solutions by applying the Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem. Uniqueness up to homothety follows from the maximum principle. In much the same way, Aeppli [1] and A. D. Aleksandrov [2] have shown uniqueness up to homothety for this problem in case $H$ is homogeneous of degree minus one.

Oliker [6] has obtained an analogous result for prescribed Gauss curvature. Related Dirichlet problems for hypersurfaces with prescribed or zero mean curvature, which project centrally to convex domains of the hemisphere, but using different parameterizations, have been considered from the point of view of Schauder theory by Serrin [7] and the direct method by Tausch [8].

We thank Professors S. T. Yau and R. Schoen for their encouragement and comments, and the University of California at San Diego for our pleasant visit while completing this work.

## 1. Derivation of the equation

We derive an expression for the mean curvature of the radial graph of a function on the unit sphere. We use moving frames and adapt the convention that lower case indices are summed from 1 to $n$ and capitals from 1 to $n+1$.
Let $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n+1}\right\}$ be a local orthonormal frame field defined on $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ such that $e_{n+1}$ is in the outward radial direction. Let $\left\{\omega^{B}\right\}$ denote the dual coframe field. The connection forms are defined as the skew symmetric matrix $\left\{\omega_{A}^{B}\right\}$ such that

$$
d \omega^{A}=\omega^{B} \wedge \omega_{B}^{A} .
$$

Covariant differentiation or $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d e_{A}=\omega_{A}^{B} e_{B} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For hyperspheres $S^{n}(r)$ of constant radius $r$, the position vector is

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=r e_{n+1} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ provide an orthonormal frame on $X$ so we have $d X=\omega^{i} e_{i}$, and by substituting (4) and (3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{i}=r \omega_{n+1}^{i} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The graph $Y$ is conveniently represented by $Y=e^{u} e_{n+1}$, where $u$ is a function on the unit sphere. If $u$ is extended to $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}-\{0\}$ as a constant along radii, the gradient and Hessian of $u$, given by

$$
d u=u_{i} \omega^{i}, \quad u_{A B} \omega^{B}=d u_{A}-u_{B} \omega_{A}^{B},
$$

are homogeneous of degrees -1 and -2 , respectively. Restricting to $Y$ and using (5) give the Hessian formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i j} \omega^{j}=d u_{i}-u_{j} \omega_{i}^{j}+e^{-u} u_{i} \omega^{n+1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By exterior differentiating the position vector $Y$, using (5),

$$
d Y=\left(e_{i}+e^{u} u_{i} e_{n+1}\right) \omega^{i}
$$

where $E_{i}=e_{i}+e^{u} u_{i} e_{n+1}$ forms a basis to the tangent space at $Y$. The induced metric is $d s^{2}=g_{i j} \omega^{i} \otimes \omega^{j}$ where the coefficients are obtained by taking the Euclidean inner product

$$
g_{i j}=\left\langle E_{i}, E_{j}\right\rangle=\delta_{i j}+e^{2 u} u_{i} u_{j} .
$$

Hence the inverse matrix is given by $g^{i j}=\delta_{i j}-f^{2} e^{2 u} u_{i} u_{j}$, where $f=$ $\left(1+e^{2 u}|\nabla u|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$. The unit normal vector to $Y$ is $N=f\left(e_{n+1}-e^{u} u_{i} e_{i}\right)$. Finally the mean curvature $\mathfrak{R}$ of the hypersurface $Y$ with respect to the inner normal is given by

$$
n \mathfrak{N}(Y)=g^{k m}\left\langle d N\left(E_{k}\right), E_{m}\right\rangle .
$$

We find using (6),

$$
\begin{aligned}
d N= & f\left(e^{-u} \delta_{i j}-e^{u} u_{i} u_{j}-e^{u} u_{i j}\right) \omega^{i} e_{j}+f u_{j} \omega^{n+1} e_{j} \\
& +f u_{i} \omega^{i} e_{n+1}+N d \log f .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\left\langle d N\left(E_{k}\right), E_{m}\right\rangle=f e^{-u}\left(g_{k m}-e^{2 u} u_{k m}\right)$, so that

$$
n \mathfrak{R}=-f^{3} e^{-u}\left(e^{2 u}\left(1+e^{2 u}|\nabla u|^{2}\right) u_{k k}-e^{4 u} u_{k} u_{k m} u_{m}-n\left(1+e^{2 u}|\nabla u|^{2}\right)\right) .
$$

By the homogeneity of the derivatives of $u$, we can equate their values on $Y$ and $S^{n}(1)$. Pulling back, we conclude that on $S^{n}(1)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\left(1+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \delta_{k m}\right. & \left.-u_{k} u_{m}\right) u_{k m} \\
& =n\left(1+|\nabla u|^{2}\right)-n e^{u}\left(1+|\nabla u|^{2}\right)^{3 / 2} \mathfrak{N}, \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

or in divergence form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(\left(1+|\nabla u|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \nabla u\right)=n\left(1+|\nabla u|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}-n e^{u} \Re . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. A gradient estimate for equations of prescribed mean curvature

We prove a gradient estimate for a class of equations, which includes the equation of prescribed mean curvature.

Lemma. Let $u \in C^{2}\left(S^{n}\right)$ be a solution to

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{i j} u_{i j}=b\left(x, u,|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{i j}=\left(1+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \delta_{i j}-u_{i} u_{j} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $b=b(x, u, v) \in C^{1}\left(S^{n} \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}\right)$. Suppose there are nonnegative constants $A_{i}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|b_{x}\right| \leqslant A_{1}(1+v)^{3 / 2}, \quad b_{u} \geqslant-A_{2}(1+v),  \tag{11}\\
(1+3 v) b-2(1+v) v b_{v} \geqslant-A_{3}(1+v)^{3 / 2} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Assume that $\sup |u| \leqslant M$. Then there exist constants $C_{1}\left(n, A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right)$ and $C_{2}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{C_{2} u(x)}|\nabla u(x)|^{2} \leqslant C_{1} e^{C_{2} M} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Assuming initially that $u \in C^{3}$, we consider the function $\varphi=e^{2 C u}$ where $C$ is a constant to be chosen later, and $v=|\nabla u|^{2}$. Computing at the point $x_{0}$ where $\varphi$ attains its maximum,

$$
\begin{gather*}
0=\nabla \varphi\left(x_{0}\right)  \tag{13}\\
0 \geqslant a^{i j} \varphi_{i j}\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

(13) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=u_{j} u_{j i}+C v u_{i}, \quad i=1, \cdots, n \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i} u_{i j} u_{j}=-C v^{2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i} u_{i j} u_{j k} u_{k}=C^{2} v^{3} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may choose a coordinate frame at $x_{0}$ satisfying $\delta_{1 i} v^{1 / 2}=u_{i}$. Either $v\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ in which case (12) holds or in these coordinates we have from (15),

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{11}=-C v  \tag{18}\\
u_{i j} u_{i j} \geqslant C^{2} v^{2} \tag{19}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (9) it follows

$$
(1+v) u_{i i}-v u_{11}=b
$$

We solve for the Laplacian using (18),

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i i}=-C v+C+(b-C)(1+v)^{-1} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also differentiate the equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2 u_{m} u_{m k} \delta_{i j}-u_{i k} u_{j}-u_{j k} u_{i}\right) u_{i j}+a^{i j} u_{i j k}=b_{k} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the Ricci curvature on the standard sphere is $R_{i j}=(n-1) \delta_{i j}$, the Ricci formula (e.g., [9]) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i} u_{i j j}=u_{i} u_{j j i} i+(n-1) v . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contracting (21) with $u_{k}$ and using (16), (17), (20) and (22) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1+v) u_{i} u_{i j j}-u_{i} u_{j} u_{k} u_{i j k} \\
& \quad=(n-1) v(1+v)+2 C^{2} v^{2}+2 C(b-C) v^{2}(1+v)^{-1}+b_{k} u_{k} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

We differentiate the right member of (9) and obtain from (16),

$$
b_{k} u_{k} \geqslant-\left|b_{x}\right| v^{1 / 2}-2 C b_{v} v^{2}+b_{u} v
$$

At the maximum point, (14) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \geqslant a^{i j} u_{i j} C v+2 C u_{i} u_{i j} u_{j}+(1+v) u_{i j} u_{i j}  \tag{24}\\
& \quad-u_{i} u_{i j} u_{j k} u_{k}+(1+v) u_{i} u_{i j j}-u_{i} u_{j} u_{k} u_{i j k} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we may substitute in (9), (16), (17), (19) and (23), multiply by ( $1+v$ ), and group terms involving $b$ to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \geqslant C^{2} v^{2}(v-1)+(n-1) v(v+1)^{2}+(v+1)\left(b_{u} v-\left|b_{x}\right| v^{1 / 2}\right)  \tag{25}\\
& +C v\left((1+3 v) b-2 b_{v} v(v+1)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the structure hypotheses (11), estimating the binomials and multiplying by $\exp (6 \mathrm{Cu})$ we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \geqslant & \left(C^{2}+n-1-3\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)\right) \varphi^{3}-2 C A_{3} e^{C u_{\varphi} \varphi^{5 / 2}} \\
& -\left(C^{2}-2 n+2\right) e^{2 C u} \varphi^{2}-\left(2 A_{2}+2 C A_{3}-n+1\right) e^{4 C u_{\varphi}} \\
& -3 A_{1} e^{5 C u_{\varphi} / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By setting $C^{2}=3\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)$ and comparing each term to the first, we have at $x_{0}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (n-1) \varphi^{3} \leqslant 4 \max \left\{2 C A_{3} e^{C u} \varphi^{5 / 2},\left(C^{2}-2 n+2\right) e^{2 C u} \varphi^{2},\right. \\
& \left.\left(2 A_{2}+2 C A_{3}-n+1\right) e^{4 C u} \varphi, 3 A_{1} e^{5 C u^{\prime}} \varphi^{1 / 2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By neglecting terms which are negative for small $C$ and interpolating, we find that there is a constant $k$ depending only on $n$ such that

$$
\varphi(x) \leqslant k e^{2 C M} \max \left\{\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)\left(1+A_{3}^{2}\right), A_{1}^{2 / 5}\right\} .
$$

Hence we have found explicitly $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ which tend toward zero as $A_{1}+A_{2}$ does.

By manipulating all expressions involving third derivatives in weak form, it is possible to use the Ricci formula and the differential equation to eliminate third derivatives from $a^{i j} \varphi_{i j}$ first, then use (13)-(18) as before and show that (25) and (12) hold assuming only that $u \in C^{2}$.

## 3. Proof of the Theorem

Let the radial graph be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=e^{u(x)} e_{n+1} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ is an unknown function of the unit vector $x \in S^{n}$. We show that the equation of the prescribed mean curvature derived in $\S 1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\left(1+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \delta_{i j}-u_{i} u_{j}\right) u_{i j} \\
& \quad=n\left(1+|\nabla u|^{2}\right)-n e^{u} H\left(e^{u} x\right)\left(1+|\nabla u|^{2}\right)^{3 / 2} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

can be solved by using the Leray-Schauder fixed point theroem [5, Theorem 10.6]. For simplicity we extend the definition of $H$ to $U=\mathbf{R}^{n+1}-\{0\}$ so that it equals the original on the annulus $r_{1} \leqslant|X| \leqslant r_{2}$ and so that (1) holds. Hence (2) holds with equalities replaced by $|X| \leqslant r_{1}$ or $|X| \geqslant r_{2}$. We will show that a solution for the extended problem lies in the original annulus and so solves the original problem. Let $B$ be the Banach space $C^{1, \alpha}\left(S^{n}\right)$. For the parameter $0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1$, we construct a family of solution operators $T_{t}$ on $B$ given by sending $w \in B$ to the solution $u_{t}$ of

$$
\begin{align*}
L[w] u_{t} & =\operatorname{div}\left(\left(1+|\nabla w|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \nabla u_{t}\right)-u_{t} \\
& =t\left[n\left(1+|\nabla w|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}-n e^{w} H\left(e^{w} x\right)-w\right] \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

This is well defined since $L[w]$ is a selfadjoint linear elliptic operator on $L^{2}\left(S^{n}\right)$ with trivial kernel. If $L[w] u=0$, then

$$
0=\int_{S^{n}} u L(u) d u=-\int_{S^{n}}\left[\left(1+|\nabla w|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}\right]
$$

implies $u=0$. By the Fredholm alternative and elliptic regularity, (28) can be solved by $u_{t} \in C^{2, \alpha}\left(S^{n}\right)$, hence $T_{t}$ is a compact operator on $B$. Also $T_{0} w=0$ for all $w \in B$. Since a solution of (27) is a fixed point of $T_{1}$ by the Leray-Schauder theorem, it suffices to find an a priori estimated $\|u\|_{B}<\bar{M}$ for any $u \in B$ such that $T_{t} u=u$ and any $0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1$.

Supremum estimates follow from the maximum principle and assumption (2). To obtain an upper bound, let $u \in B$ satisfy $T_{t} u=u$. Let $x_{1}$ be the point where $u\left(x_{1}\right)=\sup _{S^{n}}(u)$, and $\bar{u}$ the constant function $\bar{u}=u\left(x_{1}\right)$. If $\bar{u}>$ $\log r_{2}(>0)$, by assumptions (1) and (2) we then have

$$
L[u] u\left(x_{1}\right)=\left.t\left(n-n e^{u} H-u\right)\right|_{x=x_{1}}>-t u\left(x_{1}\right) \geqslant-u\left(x_{1}\right)=L[\bar{u}] \bar{u},
$$

which is a contradiction. The lower bound $u \geqslant \log r_{1}$ is similar.
A fixed point of $T_{t}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
a^{i j} u_{i j}= & b_{t}=\operatorname{tn}\left(1+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \\
& +\left[-t n e^{u} H\left(e^{u} x\right)+(1-t) u\right]\left(1+|\nabla u|^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}, \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a^{i j}$ is given by (10). By differentiating $b_{t}$ we find first that conditions (11) of the Lemma are satisfied for all $0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1$ by taking

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=\sup _{r_{1} \leqslant|X| \leqslant r_{2}}|X|^{2}\left|\nabla^{T} H(X)\right|, \\
& A_{2}=0, \\
& A_{3}=n \sup _{r_{1} \leqslant|X| \leqslant r_{2}}|H(X) X|+\log r_{2}, \\
& M=\max \left\{\log r_{2},-\log r_{1}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the radial projection $\nabla^{\top}$ is the Euclidean gradient minus the radial derivative. Applying the lemma to solutions of (29) gives that there are constants $C_{1}=C_{1}\left(n, r_{1}, r_{2}, \sup |H|, \sup \left|\nabla^{T} H\right|\right)$ and $C_{2}=C_{2}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \sup \left|\nabla^{T} H\right|\right)$ such that for all $0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1$,

$$
|\nabla u|^{2} \leqslant C_{1} \exp C_{2}\left(\sup _{S^{n}} u-\inf _{S^{n}} u\right) \leqslant C_{1}\left(r_{2} / r_{1}\right)^{C_{2}},
$$

where the $C_{i}$ are functions which go to zero as sup $\left|\nabla^{T} H\right|$ does.

That there exist an $0<\alpha<1$ depending only on $n$ and sup $|\nabla u|$, and $\bar{M}$ depending on $n, \sup u, \sup |\nabla u|, \sup |H|$, which in turn depends only on $n$, $r_{1}, r_{2}, \sup |H|, \sup \left|\nabla^{T} H\right|$, but not on $t$, such that

$$
|u|_{C^{1, \alpha}\left(S^{n}\right)} l<\bar{M},
$$

follows from [5, Theorem 12.6], partitioning and the compactness of $S^{n}$. This completes the proof of the existence theorem.

To obtain the uniqueness result, consider the case where there are points of $Z$ outside $Y$. The case where there are points of $Z$ inside $Y$ is handled similarly. Let $Y$ and the outer surfaces of $Z$ be given locally by the functions $u$ and $z$, respectively, which satisfy (8) written $P u=Q$ for short. Consider the surface $\tilde{u}=u+C$, which is a homothetic dilation of $u$ by (26), where $C>0$ is the constant for which $\tilde{u}(x) \geqslant z(x)$ for all $x$ while $\tilde{u}\left(x_{2}\right)=z\left(x_{2}\right)$ at some $x_{2}$. Using assumption (1) and definition (8) we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
P \tilde{u}\left(x_{2}\right) & =P u\left(x_{2}\right)=Q\left(x_{2}, u, \nabla u\right) \\
& \leqslant Q\left(x_{2}, \tilde{u}, \nabla \tilde{u}\right)=Q\left(x_{2}, z, \nabla z\right)=P z\left(x_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the strong maximum principle, $z \equiv \tilde{u}$, hence by (1),

$$
P\left(u+C^{\prime}\right)(x)=P u(x)=Q(x, u, \nabla u)=Q\left(x, u+C^{\prime}, \nabla\left(u+C^{\prime}\right)\right),
$$

for $0 \leqslant C^{\prime} \leqslant C$ and all $x$. Thus we have shown that the only way several solutions of the equation occur is as an interval of dilations of one another.

We remark that in case the function $H$ is radially symmetric, then $\nabla^{T} H=0$, and the solutions are also radially symmetric. Although this follows from the uniqueness statement, it can also be derived from the fact that the gradient bound obtained here tends to zero as sup $\left|\nabla^{T} H\right|$ vanishes.
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