

HOMOGENEOUS CONVEX DOMAINS OF NEGATIVE SECTIONAL CURVATURE

HIROHIKO SHIMA

Let Ω be an affine homogeneous convex domain in a finite dimensional real vector space V , not containing any full straight line. Then we know that Ω admits an invariant volume element

$$v = K dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n$$

and that the *canonical bilinear form*

$$D\alpha = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2 \log K}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} dx^i dx^j$$

defines an invariant Riemannian metric on Ω , [2], [6]. In this note we prove the following theorem.

Theorem. *An affine homogeneous convex domain Ω not containing any full straight line has negative sectional curvature with respect to $D\alpha$ if and only if Ω is the interior of a paraboloid:*

$$y^0 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (y^i)^2 > -1,$$

where $\{y^0, y^1, \dots, y^{n-1}\}$ is an affine coordinate system of V .

We first recall the construction of clans from homogeneous convex domains, [6]. In the following we assume that a homogeneous convex domain Ω contains the zero vector 0. Let G be a connected triangular affine Lie group which acts simply transitively on Ω , and let \mathfrak{g} be the affine Lie algebra corresponding to G . For $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, we denote by $f(X)$, $q(X)$ the linear part and the translation vector of X respectively. Since q is a linear isomorphism of \mathfrak{g} onto V , for each $x \in V$ there exists a unique $X_x \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $q(X_x) = x$. We define an operation of multiplication in V by the formula

$$(1) \quad x \cdot y = f(X_x)y \quad \text{for } x, y \in V.$$

Then we have

Communicated by Y. Matsushima, June 30, 1975.

$$(2) \quad [L_x, L_y] = L_{x \cdot y - y \cdot x},$$

where $L_x y = x \cdot y$, or equivalently

$$(2') \quad x \cdot (y \cdot z) - (x \cdot y) \cdot z = y \cdot (x \cdot z) - (y \cdot x) \cdot z.$$

We put

$$(3) \quad \alpha_0(x) = \text{Tr } L_x,$$

and identify the tangent space of Ω at 0 with V . Then the value of $D\alpha$ at 0 gives an inner product \langle, \rangle on V such that

$$(4) \quad \langle x, y \rangle = \alpha_0(x \cdot y).$$

By (2') and (4) we get

$$(5) \quad \langle x \cdot y, z \rangle + \langle y, x \cdot z \rangle = \langle y \cdot x, z \rangle + \langle x, y \cdot z \rangle.$$

The algebra V together with the linear function α_0 is said to be a *clan* corresponding to Ω . If we define a bracket operation in V by

$$(6) \quad [x, y] = x \cdot y - y \cdot x,$$

then V is a Lie algebra with respect to this bracket operation and q is a Lie algebra isomorphism of \mathfrak{g} onto V . Therefore we may identify \mathfrak{g} with V by means of q . Following Nomizu [4], we shall express the Riemannian connection, the curvature tensor and the sectional curvature of Ω in terms of its clan V ; those expressions were originally obtained by Y. Matsushima (unpublished).

Proposition 1. *The Riemannian connection ∇ for $D\alpha$ is given by*

$$\nabla_x y = \frac{1}{2}(L_x - {}^t L_x)y,$$

i.e., ∇_x is the skew symmetric part of L_x .

Proof. According to [4], we have

$$\nabla_x y = \frac{1}{2}[x, y] + U(x, y),$$

where $2\langle U(x, y), z \rangle = \langle [z, x], y \rangle + \langle x, [z, y] \rangle$. By (5), (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle U(x, y), z \rangle &= \langle z \cdot x - x \cdot z, y \rangle + \langle x, z \cdot y - y \cdot z \rangle \\ &= \langle z \cdot x, y \rangle + \langle x, z \cdot y \rangle - \langle x \cdot z, y \rangle - \langle x, y \cdot z \rangle \\ &= \langle x \cdot z, y \rangle + \langle z, x \cdot y \rangle - \langle x \cdot z, y \rangle - \langle x, y \cdot z \rangle \\ &= \langle z, x \cdot y \rangle - \langle x, y \cdot z \rangle = \langle L_x y - {}^t L_y x, z \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows that

$$U(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(L_x y - {}^t L_y x) = \frac{1}{2}(L_y x - {}^t L_x y) ,$$

so that

$$V_x y = \frac{1}{2}(L_x y - L_y x) + \frac{1}{2}(L_y x - {}^t L_x y) = \frac{1}{2}(L_x - {}^t L_x) y .$$

Proposition 2. Let S_x be the symmetric part of L_x , i.e., let $S_x = \frac{1}{2}(L_x + {}^t L_x)$. Then we have

(i)
$$S_x y = S_y x,$$

and the curvature tensor R and the sectional curvature k are given by

(ii)
$$R(x, y) = -[S_x, S_y],$$

(iii)
$$k(x, y) = \frac{\|S_x y\|^2 - \langle S_x x, S_y y \rangle}{\|x\|^2 \|y\|^2 - \langle x, y \rangle^2} ,$$

where $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$.

Proof. (i) is equivalent to (5). In fact we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle S_x y, z \rangle &= \langle (L_x + {}^t L_x) y, z \rangle = \langle x \cdot y, z \rangle + \langle y, x \cdot z \rangle \\ &= \langle y \cdot x, z \rangle + \langle x, y \cdot z \rangle = \langle (L_y + {}^t L_y) x, z \rangle = 2\langle S_y x, z \rangle . \end{aligned}$$

Since $R(x, y) = [V_x, V_y] - V_{[x, y]}$, by Proposition 1, (2) and (6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} R(x, y) &= \frac{1}{4}[L_x - {}^t L_x, L_y - {}^t L_y] - \frac{1}{2}(L_{[x, y]} - {}^t L_{[x, y]}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\{[L_x, L_y] - [L_x, {}^t L_y] - [{}^t L_x, L_y] \\ &\quad + [{}^t L_x, {}^t L_y] - 2[L_x, L_y] + 2[{}^t L_x, {}^t L_y]\} \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}[L_x + {}^t L_x, L_y + {}^t L_y] = -[S_x, S_y] . \end{aligned}$$

From (i), (ii) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R(x, y)y, x \rangle &= \langle -[S_x, S_y]y, x \rangle = \langle -S_x S_y y + S_y S_x y, x \rangle \\ &= \langle S_x y, S_y x \rangle - \langle S_y y, S_x x \rangle = \|S_x y\|^2 - \langle S_x x, S_y y \rangle , \end{aligned}$$

which together with $k(x, y) = \frac{\langle R(x, y)y, x \rangle}{\|x\|^2 \|y\|^2 - \langle x, y \rangle^2}$ gives (iii).

A clan V is said to be *elementary* if V satisfies the following conditions :

(E.1)
$$V = \{u\} + P \quad (\text{direct sum of vector spaces}) ,$$

(E.2)
$$u \cdot u = u , \quad u \neq 0 ,$$

(E.3)
$$u \cdot p = \frac{1}{2}p \quad \text{and} \quad p \cdot u = 0 \quad \text{for } p \in P ,$$

(E.4)
$$p \cdot q = \Phi(p, q)u \quad \text{for } p, q \in P ,$$

where Φ is a positive definite symmetric bilinear form on P .

The domain Ω corresponding to an elementary clan is the interior of a paraboloid (cf. [5], [6]):

$$\Omega = \{au + p; a - \frac{1}{2}\Phi(p, p) > -1 \text{ for } a \in \mathbf{R}, p \in P\} .$$

To prove our theorem, therefore, it suffices to show

Theorem. *Let V be a clan. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *The sectional curvature $k < 0$.*

(ii) *V is an elementary clan.*

Proof. We first prove that (i) implies (ii). Since V is a clan, there exists a nonzero element $u \in V$ such that (cf. [5])

$$(7) \quad u \cdot u = u ,$$

$$(8) \quad V \cdot \{u\} \subset \{u\} ,$$

and moreover putting $P = \{p \in V; p \cdot u = 0\}$ we have:

$$(9) \quad V = \{u\} + P \quad (\text{orthogonal decomposition}),$$

$$(10) \quad L_u \text{ leaves } P \text{ invariant, and the eigenvalues of } L_u \text{ on } P = 0 \text{ or } \frac{1}{2} .$$

Let p be an element in P such that $L_u p = 0$. By (7), (8) and (9) we obtain

$$\langle S_u u, q \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle (L_u + {}^t L_u)u, q \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle u, q \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle u, u \cdot q \rangle = 0$$

for all $q \in P$, so that $S_u u \in \{u\}$. Put $S_u u = \lambda u$ ($\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$). Then it follows from Proposition 2(i) that

$$\langle S_u u, S_p p \rangle = \langle \lambda u, S_p p \rangle = \lambda \langle S_p u, p \rangle = \lambda \langle S_u p, p \rangle = \lambda \langle u \cdot p, p \rangle = 0 .$$

Therefore by Proposition 2 (iii) we have

$$k(u, p)(\|u\|^2 \|p\|^2 - \langle u, p \rangle^2) = \|S_u p\|^2 - \langle S_u u, S_p p \rangle = \|S_u p\|^2 \geq 0 .$$

Since $k < 0$, we have $p = 0$. Hence it follows from (10) that the eigenvalues of L_u on P are equal to $\frac{1}{2}$. By [5] this means that

$$(11) \quad p \cdot q = \Phi(p, q)u \quad \text{for } p, q \in P ,$$

where Φ is a positive definite symmetric bilinear form on P . Since $\langle x, u \rangle = \alpha_0(x)$ for all $x \in V$, u is the principal idempotent of V and $V = \{u\} + P$ is the principal decomposition of V , [6]. Therefore V is an elementary clan.

Conversely we shall prove that (i) follows from (ii). Let $u_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_0(u)}}u$, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1} be an orthonormal basis of V such that $p_i \in P$. Then we have

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} u_0 \cdot u_0 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_0(u)}} u_0, & p_i \cdot p_j &= \frac{\delta_{ij}}{\sqrt{\alpha_0(u)}} u_0, \\ u_0 \cdot p_i &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\alpha_0(u)}} p_i, & p_i \cdot u_0 &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

δ_{ij} being Kronecker's delta. Let $x = \lambda_0 u_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i p_i$ and $y = \mu_0 u_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu_i p_i$ be elements in V where $\lambda_j, \mu_j \in \mathbf{R}$. By (12) we get

$$(13) \quad x \cdot y = \frac{\lambda_0 \mu_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i \mu_i}{\sqrt{\alpha_0(u)}} u_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_0 \mu_i}{2\sqrt{\alpha_0(u)}} p_i,$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_x y, u_0 \rangle &= \left\langle \frac{1}{2} (L_x + {}^t L_x) y, u_0 \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle x \cdot y, u_0 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle y, x \cdot u_0 \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \frac{\lambda_0 \mu_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i \mu_i}{\sqrt{\alpha_0(u)}} u_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_0 \mu_i}{2\sqrt{\alpha_0(u)}} p_i, u_0 \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \mu_0 u_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu_i p_i, \frac{\lambda_0}{\sqrt{\alpha_0(u)}} u_0 \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\alpha_0(u)}} \left(2\lambda_0 \mu_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i \mu_i \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_x y, p_k \rangle &= \left\langle \frac{1}{2} (L_x + {}^t L_x) y, p_k \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle x \cdot y, p_k \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle y, x \cdot p_k \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \frac{\lambda_0 \mu_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i \mu_i}{\sqrt{\alpha_0(u)}} u_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_0 \mu_i}{2\sqrt{\alpha_0(u)}} p_i, p_k \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \mu_0 u_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu_i p_i, \frac{\lambda_k}{\sqrt{\alpha_0(u)}} u_0 + \frac{\lambda_0}{2\sqrt{\alpha_0(u)}} p_k \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{\lambda_0 \mu_k + \mu_0 \lambda_k}{2\sqrt{\alpha_0(u)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(14) \quad S_x y = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\alpha_0(u)}} \left\{ \left(2\lambda_0 \mu_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i \mu_i \right) u_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_0 \mu_i + \mu_0 \lambda_i) p_i \right\},$$

from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_x y\|^2 - \langle S_x x, S_y y \rangle &= \frac{1}{4\alpha_0(u)} \left\{ \left(2\lambda_0 \mu_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i \mu_i \right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_0 \mu_i + \mu_0 \lambda_i)^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (15) \quad & - \left(2\lambda_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i^2 \right) \left(2\mu_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu_i^2 \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 4\lambda_0\mu_0\lambda_i\mu_i \} \\
 & = -\frac{1}{4\alpha_0(u)} \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu_i^2 \right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i\mu_i \right)^2 \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_0\mu_i - \mu_0\lambda_i)^2 \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if x and y are linearly independent, then we have $k(x, y) < 0$ by Proposition 2 (iii) and Schwarz's inequality. Hence our theorem is completely proved.

References

- [1] E. Heintze, *On homogeneous manifolds of negative curvature*, Math. Ann. **211** (1974) 23–34.
- [2] J. L. Koszul, *Domaines bornés homogènes et orbites de groupes de transformations affines*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **89** (1961) 515–533.
- [3] ———, *Ouverts convexes homogènes des espaces affines*, Math. Z. **79** (1962) 254–259.
- [4] K. Nomizu, *Invariant affine connections on homogeneous spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **76** (1954) 33–65.
- [5] H. Shima, *On certain locally flat homogeneous manifolds of solvable Lie groups*, Osaka J. Math. **13** (1976) 213–229.
- [6] E. B. Vinberg, *The theory of convex homogeneous cones*, Trudy Moskov Math. Obshch. **12** (1963) 303–358; English transl., Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. **13** (1964) 340–403.

YAMAGUCHI UNIVERSITY, JAPAN