

# Matched pairs of generalized Lie algebras and cocycle twists

Tao ZHANG

*College of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Normal University,  
Xinxiang 453007, China*

*E-mail:* zhangtao@henannu.edu.cn

## Abstract

We introduce the conception of matched pairs of  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie algebras, and construct an  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie algebra through them. We prove that the cocycle twist of a matched pair of  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie algebras can also be matched.

**2000 MSC:** 17B62, 18D35

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

A generalized Lie algebra in the comodule category of a cotriangular Hopf algebra which included Lie superalgebras and Lie color algebras as special cases has been studied by many authors (see [1, 2] and the references therein).

On the other hand, there is a general theory of matched pairs of Lie algebras which was introduced and studied by Majid in [4]. It says that we can construct a Lie algebra through a matched pair of Lie algebras.

In this note, we introduce the conception of matched pairs of  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie algebras, and construct an  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie algebra through them. Furthermore, we prove that the cocycle twist of a matched pair of  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie algebras can also be matched.

We now fix some notation. Let  $H$  be a Hopf algebra, and write the comultiplication  $\Delta : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$  as  $\Delta(h) = \sum h_1 \otimes h_2$ . When  $V$  is a left  $H$ -comodule with coaction  $\rho : V \rightarrow H \otimes V$ , we write  $\rho(v) = \sum v_{(-1)} \otimes v_{(0)}$ . We frequently omit the summation sign in the following context.

A pair  $(H, \beta)$  is called a cotriangular Hopf algebra if  $H$  is a Hopf algebra and  $\beta : H \otimes H \rightarrow k$  is a convolution-invertible bilinear map satisfying, for all  $h, g, l \in H$ ,

- (CT1)  $\beta(h_1, g_1)g_2h_2 = \beta(h_2, g_2)h_1g_1$ ;
- (CT2)  $\beta(h, gl) = \beta(h_1, g)\beta(h_2, l)$ ;
- (CT3)  $\beta(hg, l) = \beta(g, l_1)\beta(h, l_2)$ ;
- (CT4)  $\beta(h_1, g_1)\beta(g_2, h_2) = \varepsilon(g)\varepsilon(h)$ .

A map satisfying (CT2)–(CT4) is called a skew-symmetric bicharacter. We always assume  $H$  is (co)commutative if necessary.

A convolution invertible map  $\sigma : H \otimes H \rightarrow k$  is called a left cocycle if, for  $h, g, l \in H$ ,

$$\sigma(h_1, g_1)\sigma(h_2g_2, l) = \sigma(g_1, l_1)\sigma(h, g_2l_2)$$

and a right cocycle if

$$\sigma(h_1g_1, l)\sigma(h_2, g_2) = \sigma(h, g_1l_1)\sigma(g_2, l_2)$$

**Definition 1.1** (see [1]). Let  $(H, \beta)$  be a cotriangular Hopf algebra. An  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie algebra is a left  $H$ -comodule  $\mathcal{L}$  together with Lie bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  that is an  $H$ -comodule morphism satisfying, for all  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{L}$ ,

(1)  $\beta$ -anticommutativity:

$$[a, b] = -\beta(a_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)})[b_{(0)}, a_{(0)}]$$

(2)  $\beta$ -Jacobi identity:

$$[[a, b], c] + \beta(a_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)})[[b_{(0)}, c_{(0)}], a_{(0)}] + \beta(a_{(-1)}b_{(-1)}, c_{(-1)})[[c_{(0)}, a_{(0)}], b_{(0)}] = 0$$

When  $H = k\mathbb{Z}_2$ ,  $\beta(x, y) = (-1)^{xy}$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , this is exactly Lie superalgebra. When  $H = kG$ , where  $G$  is an Abelian group with a bicharacter  $\beta : G \times G \rightarrow k^*$  such that  $\beta(h, g) = \beta(g, h)^{-1}$  for all  $h, g \in G$ , this is exactly Lie color algebra studied in [6].

**Example 1.1.** Let  $A$  be a left  $H$ -comodule algebra. Define  $[\cdot, \cdot]_\beta$  to be  $[a, b]_\beta := ab - \sum \beta(a_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)})b_{(0)}a_{(0)}$ . Then  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_\beta)$  is an  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie algebra and is denoted by  $A_\beta$

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $(H, \beta)$  be a cotriangular Hopf algebra. An  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie coalgebra is a left  $H$ -comodule  $\mathcal{A}$  together with Lie cobracket  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$  that is an  $H$ -comodule morphism satisfying

(1)  $\beta$ -anticocommutativity:

$$\delta(a) = -\beta(a_{[1](-1)}, a_{[2](-1)})a_{[2](0)} \otimes a_{[1](0)}$$

(2)  $\beta$ -co-Jacobi identity:

$$\begin{aligned} & a_{[1][1]} \otimes a_{[1][2]} \otimes a_{[2]} + \beta(a_{[1][1](-1)}a_{[1][2](-1)}, a_{[2](-1)})a_{[2]} \otimes a_{[1][1]} \otimes a_{[1][2]} \\ & + \beta(a_{[1][1](-1)}, a_{[1][2](-1)}a_{[2](-1)})a_{[1][2]} \otimes a_{[2]} \otimes a_{[1][1]} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where we use the notion  $\delta(a) = \sum a_{[1]} \otimes a_{[2]}$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Example 1.2.** Let  $C$  be a left  $H$ -comodule coalgebra. Define  $\delta_\beta : C \rightarrow C \otimes C$  to be

$$\delta_\beta(c) = \sum c_1 \otimes c_2 - \beta(c_{1(-1)}, c_{2(-1)})c_{2(0)} \otimes c_{1(0)}$$

Then  $(C, \delta_\beta)$  is an  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie coalgebra and is denoted by  $(C_\beta, \delta_\beta)$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** Let  $H$  be a Hopf algebra with a skew-symmetric bicharacter  $\beta : H \otimes H \rightarrow k$ , and suppose  $\sigma : H \otimes H \rightarrow k$  is a left cocycle.

(a) Define  $H_\sigma$  to be  $H$  as a coalgebra, with multiplication defined to be

$$h \cdot_\sigma l := \sigma^{-1}(h_1, l_1)h_2l_2\sigma(h_3, l_3)$$

Then  $H$  (with a suitable antipode) is a Hopf algebra.

(b) Define the map  $\beta_\sigma : H_\sigma \otimes H_\sigma \rightarrow k$  by, for all  $h, l \in H$ ,

$$\beta_\sigma(h, l) := \sigma^{-1}(l_1, h_1)\beta(h_2, l_2)\sigma(h_3, l_3)$$

If  $(H, \beta)$  is cotriangular, then  $(H_\sigma, \beta_\sigma)$  is also cotriangular.

(c) If  $A$  is a left  $H$ -comodule algebra, define  $A^\sigma$  to be  $A$  as a vector space and  $H_\sigma$ -comodule, with multiplication given by

$$a \cdot^\sigma b := \sigma(a_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)})a_{(0)}b_{(0)}$$

Then  $A^\sigma$  is an  $H_\sigma$ -comodule algebra.

**Definition 1.3.** An  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie bialgebra  $\mathcal{H}$  is a vector space equipped simultaneously with an  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie algebra structure  $(\mathcal{H}, [ , ])$  and an  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie coalgebra  $(\mathcal{H}, \delta)$  structure such that the following compatibility condition is satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta([a, b]) &= [a, b_{[1]}] \otimes b_{[2]} + \beta(a_{(-1)}, b_{[1](-1)})b_{[1](0)} \otimes [a_{(0)}, b_{[2]}] + a_{[1]} \otimes [a_{[2]}, b] \\ &\quad + \beta(a_{[2](-1)}, b_{(-1)})[a_{[1]}, b_{(0)}] \otimes a_{[2](0)} \end{aligned} \tag{LB}$$

and we denoted it by  $(\mathcal{H}, [ , ], \delta)$ .

## 2 Matched pair of $(H, \beta)$ -Lie algebras

Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}$  be both  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie algebras, and for  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $h, g \in \mathcal{H}$ , denote maps  $\triangleright : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\triangleleft : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  by  $\triangleright(h \otimes a) = h \triangleright a$ ,  $\triangleleft(h \otimes a) = h \triangleleft a$ . If  $\mathcal{H}$  is an  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie algebra and the map  $\triangleright : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  satisfies

$$[h, g] \triangleright a = h \triangleright g \triangleright a - \beta(h_{(-1)}, g_{(-1)})g_{(0)} \triangleright h_{(0)} \triangleright a$$

then  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a left  $\mathcal{H}$ -module. Note that when considering  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie algebras, all action maps must be  $H$ -comodule maps. So, for  $h \in \mathcal{H}, a \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have

$$\rho(h \triangleright a) = \sum h_{(-1)}a_{(-1)} \otimes h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)}$$

Also, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $\mathcal{H}$ -module Lie algebra, then

$$h \triangleright [a, b] = [h \triangleright a, b] + \beta(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})[a_{(0)}, h_{(0)} \triangleright b]$$

and if  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $\mathcal{H}$ -module Lie coalgebra, then

$$\delta(h \triangleright a) = h \triangleright a_{[1]} \otimes a_{[2]} + \beta(h_{(-1)}, a_{[1](-1)})a_{[1](0)} \otimes h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{[2]}$$

**Definition 2.1.** Assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  are  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie algebras. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a left  $\mathcal{H}$ -module,  $\mathcal{H}$  is a right  $\mathcal{A}$ -module, and the following (BB1) and (BB2) hold, then  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H})$  is called a *matched pair of  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie algebras*:

$$(BB1) \quad h \triangleright [a, b] = [h \triangleright a, b] + \beta(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})[a_{(0)}, h_{(0)} \triangleright b] + (h \triangleleft a) \triangleright b - \beta(a_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)})(h \triangleleft b_{(0)}) \triangleright a_{(0)};$$

$$(BB2) \quad [h, g] \triangleleft a = [h, g \triangleleft a] + \beta(g_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})[h \triangleleft a_{(0)}, g_{(0)}] + h \triangleleft (g \triangleright a) - \beta(h_{(-1)}, g_{(-1)})g_{(0)} \triangleleft (h \triangleright a).$$

**Theorem 2.1.** If  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H})$  is a matched pair of  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie algebras, then the double cross sum  $\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathcal{H}$  forms an  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie algebra which equals  $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{H}$  as a linear space, but with Lie bracket

$$[a \oplus h, b \oplus g] = ([a, b] + h \triangleright b - \beta(g_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})g_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)}) \oplus ([h, g] + h \triangleleft b - \beta(g_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})g_{(0)} \triangleleft a_{(0)})$$

**Proof.** We show that the  $\beta$ -Jacobi identity holds for  $\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathcal{H}$ . By definition,  $[h, a] = h \triangleright a + h \triangleleft a$ ,  $[a, h] = -\beta(a_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})a_{(0)} \triangleright h_{(0)} - \beta(a_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})a_{(0)} \triangleleft h_{(0)}$ . So, for  $h, g \in \mathcal{H}, a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $[[h, g], a] = [h, g] \triangleright a + [h, g] \triangleleft a$ , and for the second item of  $\beta$ -Jacobi identity,

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta(h_{(-1)}g_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})[[a_{(0)}, h_{(0)}], g_{(0)}] \\ &= \beta(h_{(-2)}g_{(-2)}, a_{(-2)})\beta(a_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})\beta((h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)})_{(-1)}, g_{(-1)}) \\ &\quad \times g_{(0)} \triangleright (h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)})_{(0)} - \beta(h_{(-2)}g_{(-2)}, a_{(-2)})\beta(a_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)}) \\ &\quad \times [h_{(0)} \triangleleft a_{(0)}, g_{(0)}] + \beta(h_{(-2)}g_{(-2)}, a_{(-2)})\beta(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)}) \\ &\quad \times \beta((h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)})_{(-1)}, g_{(-1)})g_{(0)} \triangleleft (h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)})_{(0)} \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand sides are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{1st RHS} &= \beta(h_{(-3)}g_{(-2)}, a_{(-3)})\beta(a_{(-2)}, h_{(-2)})\beta(h_{(-1)}a_{(-1)}, g_{(-1)})g_{(0)} \triangleright h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)} \\ &= \beta(h_{(-3)}, a_{(-3)})\beta(g_{(-3)}, a_{(-4)})\beta(a_{(-2)}, h_{(-2)}) \\ &\quad \times \beta(h_{(-1)}, g_{(-1)})\beta(a_{(-1)}, g_{(-2)})g_{(0)} \triangleright h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)} \\ &= \beta(g_{(-3)}, a_{(-2)})\beta(h_{(-1)}, g_{(-1)})\beta(a_{(-1)}, g_{(-2)})g_{(0)} \triangleright h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)} \\ &= \beta(g_{(-2)}, a_{(-2)})\beta(h_{(-1)}, g_{(-3)})\beta(a_{(-1)}, g_{(-1)})g_{(0)} \triangleright h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)} \\ &= \beta(h_{(-1)}, g_{(-1)})g_{(0)} \triangleright h_{(0)} \triangleright a \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that  $\triangleright : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a left  $H$ -comodule map in the first equality, (CT2) and (CT3) for  $\beta$  in second equality, the cocommutative of  $H$  in the fourth equality, and (CT4) for  $\beta$  in the fifth equality. Similarly,

$$\text{3rd RHS} = \beta(h_{(-1)}, g_{(-1)})g_{(0)} \triangleleft (h_{(0)} \triangleright a)$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{2nd RHS} &= -\beta(h_{(-2)}, a_{(-2)})\beta(g_{(-2)}, a_{(-3)})\beta(a_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})[h_{(0)} \triangleleft a_{(0)}, g_{(0)}] \\ &= -\beta(g_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})[h \triangleleft a_{(0)}, g_{(0)}] \end{aligned}$$

As for the third item of  $\beta$ -Jacobi identity,

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta(h_{(-1)}, g_{(-1)}a_{(-1)})[[g_{(0)}, a_{(0)}], h_{(0)}] \\ &= -\beta(h_{(-2)}, g_{(-1)}a_{(-1)})\beta((g_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)})_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})h_{(0)} \triangleright (g_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)})_{(0)} \\ &\quad - \beta(h_{(-2)}, g_{(-1)}a_{(-1)})\beta((g_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)})_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})h_{(0)} \triangleleft (g_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)})_{(0)} \\ &\quad + \beta(h_{(-1)}, g_{(-1)}a_{(-1)})[g_{(0)} \triangleleft a_{(0)}, h_{(0)}] \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand sides are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{1st RHS} &= -\beta(h_{(-2)}, g_{(-2)}a_{(-2)})\beta(g_{(-1)}a_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})h_{(0)} \triangleright (g_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)}) \\
&= -\beta(h_{(-4)}, g_{(-2)})\beta(h_{(-3)}, a_{(-2)})\beta(g_{(-1)}, h_{(-2)}) \\
&\quad \times \beta(a_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})h_{(0)} \triangleright (g_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)}) \\
&= -\beta(h_{(-4)}, g_{(-2)})\beta(h_{(-2)}, a_{(-2)})\beta(g_{(-1)}, h_{(-3)}) \\
&\quad \times \beta(a_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})h_{(0)} \triangleright (g_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)}) \\
&= -\beta(h_{(-2)}, g_{(-2)})\beta(g_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})h_{(0)} \triangleright (g_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)}) \\
&= -h \triangleright (g \triangleright a)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, 2nd RHS =  $-h \triangleleft (g \triangleright a)$ . We now see

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{3rd RHS} &= -\beta(h_{(-2)}, g_{(-1)}a_{(-1)})\beta((g_{(0)} \triangleleft a_{(0)})_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})[h_{(0)}, (g_{(0)} \triangleleft a_{(0)})_{(0)}] \\
&= -\beta(h_{(-2)}, g_{(-2)}a_{(-2)})\beta(g_{(-1)}a_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})[h_{(0)}, g_{(0)} \triangleleft a_{(0)}] \\
&= -\beta(h_{(-4)}, g_{(-2)})\beta(h_{(-3)}, a_{(-2)})\beta(g_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)}) \\
&\quad \times \beta(a_{(-1)}, h_{(-2)})[h_{(0)}, g_{(0)} \triangleleft a_{(0)}] \\
&= -\beta(h_{(-2)}, g_{(-2)})\beta(h_{(-3)}, a_{(-2)})\beta(g_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)}) \\
&\quad \times \beta(a_{(-1)}, h_{(-4)})[h_{(0)}, g_{(0)} \triangleleft a_{(0)}] \\
&= -\beta(h_{(-2)}, a_{(-2)})\beta(a_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})[h_{(0)}, g_{(0)} \triangleleft a_{(0)}] \\
&= -[h, g \triangleleft a]
\end{aligned}$$

Now by (BB2) and the fact that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a left  $\mathcal{H}$ -module, we have that the sum of three item equals zero. The other cases can be checked similarly.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.1.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  are  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie bialgebras and  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H})$  is a matched pair of  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie algebras, i.e.,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a left  $\mathcal{H}$ -module Lie coalgebra and  $\mathcal{H}$  is a right  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie coalgebra. If*

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\text{id}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \triangleleft)(\delta_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}) + (\triangleright \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}})(\text{id}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \delta_{\mathcal{A}}) = 0 \\
&\sum h_{[1]} \otimes h_{[2]} \triangleright a + \sum h \triangleleft a_{[1]} \otimes a_{[2]} = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{BB3}$$

then  $\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathcal{H}$  becomes an  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie bialgebra.

**Proof.** The Lie algebra structure is as in Theorem 2.1. The Lie cobracket is the one inherited from  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  are also  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie sub-bialgebras of  $\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathcal{H}$ . So we only check equation (LB) on  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ . For  $h \in \mathcal{H}, a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\delta[h, a] = \delta(h \triangleright a) + \delta(h \triangleleft a)$ , and by the ad-action on tenor product,

$$\begin{aligned}
h \triangleright \delta(a) + \delta(h) \triangleleft a &= h \triangleright a_{[1]} \otimes a_{[2]}(1) + h \triangleleft a_{[1]} \otimes a_{[2]}(2) + \beta(h_{(-1)}, a_{[1](-1)}) \\
&\quad \times a_{[1](0)} \otimes h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{[2]}(3) + \beta(h_{(-1)}, a_{[1](-1)})a_{[1](0)} \otimes h_{(0)} \triangleleft a_{[2]}(4) \\
&\quad + h_{[1]} \otimes h_{[2]} \triangleleft a(5) + h_{[1]} \otimes h_{[2]} \triangleright a(6) + \beta(h_{[2](-1)}, a_{(-1)}) \\
&\quad \times h_{[1]} \triangleleft a_{(0)} \otimes h_{[2](0)}(7) + \beta(h_{[2](-1)}, a_{(-1)})h_{[1]} \triangleright a_{(0)} \otimes h_{[2](0)}(8)
\end{aligned}$$

By (BB3), (2) + (6) = 0, (4) + (8) = 0. For the remaining four terms,  $\delta(h \triangleright a) = (1) + (3)$  and  $\delta(h \triangleleft a) = (5) + (7)$ .  $\square$

### 3 Cocycle twists of matched pairs of $(H, \beta)$ -Lie algebras

The cocycle twist of an  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie algebra was introduced in [1]. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a left  $\mathcal{H}$ -module  $\triangleright : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ , then we also have that  $\mathcal{A}^\sigma$  is a left  $\mathcal{H}^\sigma$ -module by  $\triangleright^\sigma : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$h \triangleright^\sigma a = \sigma(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)}$$

(see [1, Proposition 4.7]). Similarly, we get a right  $\mathcal{A}^\sigma$ -module  $\triangleleft^\sigma : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  by

$$h \triangleleft^\sigma a = \sigma(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})h_{(0)} \triangleleft a_{(0)}$$

We now prove that the cocycle twist of a matched pair of  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie algebras can also be matched.

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H})$  is a matched pair of  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie algebras, then  $(\mathcal{A}^\sigma, \mathcal{H}^\sigma)$  is a matched pair of  $(H, \beta_\sigma)$ -Lie algebras, so their double cross sum  $\mathcal{A}^\sigma \bowtie \mathcal{H}^\sigma$  forms an  $(H, \beta_\sigma)$ -Lie algebra.*

**Proof.** Note that the brackets in  $\mathcal{A}^\sigma \bowtie \mathcal{H}^\sigma$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} [a, b]^\sigma &= \sigma(a_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)})[a_{(0)}, b_{(0)}] \\ [h, a] &= \sigma(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)} + \sigma(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})h_{(0)} \triangleleft a_{(0)} \\ [a, h] &= -\sigma(h_{(-2)}, a_{(-2)})\beta(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)} - \sigma(h_{(-2)}, a_{(-2)})\beta(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})h_{(0)} \triangleleft a_{(0)} \end{aligned}$$

We check that the matched pair conditions (BB1) and (BB2) are valid on  $(\mathcal{A}^\sigma, \mathcal{H}^\sigma)$ . We want to see that

$$\begin{aligned} h \triangleright^\sigma [a, b]^\sigma &= [h \triangleright^\sigma a, b]^\sigma + \beta_\sigma(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})[a_{(0)}, h_{(0)} \triangleright^\sigma b]^\sigma + (h \triangleleft^\sigma a) \triangleright^\sigma b \\ &\quad - \beta_\sigma(a_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)})(h \triangleleft^\sigma b_{(0)}) \triangleright^\sigma a_{(0)} \end{aligned}$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} h \triangleright^\sigma [a, b]^\sigma &= \sigma(h_{(-1)}, [a_{(0)}, b_{(0)}]_{(-1)})\sigma(a_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)})h_{(0)} \triangleright [a_{(0)}, b_{(0)}]_{(0)} \\ &= \sigma(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)}b_{(-1)})\sigma(a_{(-2)}, b_{(-2)})h_{(0)} \triangleright [a_{(0)}, b_{(0)}] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [h \triangleright^\sigma a, b]^\sigma &= \sigma(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})\sigma((h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)})_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)})[(h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)})_{(0)}, b_{(0)}] \\ &= \sigma(h_{(-2)}, a_{(-2)})\sigma(h_{(-1)}a_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)})[h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)}, b_{(0)}] \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$(h \triangleleft^\sigma a) \triangleright^\sigma b = \sigma(h_{(-2)}, a_{(-2)})\sigma(h_{(-1)}a_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)})(h_{(0)} \triangleleft a_{(0)}) \triangleright b_{(0)}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta_\sigma(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})[a_{(0)}, h_{(0)} \triangleright^\sigma b]^\sigma \\ &= \sigma(h_{(-3)}, a_{(-3)})\beta(h_{(-2)}, a_{(-2)})\sigma(a_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})[a_{(0)}, h_{(0)} \triangleright^\sigma b]^\sigma \\ &= \sigma(h_{(-5)}, a_{(-4)})\beta(h_{(-4)}, a_{(-3)})\sigma(a_{(-2)}, h_{(-3)}) \\ &\quad \times \sigma(h_{(-2)}, b_{(-2)})\sigma(a_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)}b_{(-1)})[a_{(0)}, h_{(0)} \triangleright b_{(0)}] \\ &= \sigma(h_{(-5)}, a_{(-5)})\beta(h_{(-4)}, a_{(-4)})\sigma^{-1}(a_{(-3)}, h_{(-3)}) \\ &\quad \times \sigma(a_{(-2)}, h_{(-2)})\sigma(a_{(-1)}h_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)})[a_{(0)}, h_{(0)} \triangleright b_{(0)}] \\ &= \sigma(h_{(-3)}, a_{(-3)})\beta(h_{(-2)}, a_{(-2)})\sigma(h_{(-1)}a_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)})[a_{(0)}, h_{(0)} \triangleright b_{(0)}] \\ &= \sigma(h_{(-3)}, a_{(-3)})\sigma(h_{(-2)}a_{(-2)}, b_{(-2)})\beta(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})[a_{(0)}, h_{(0)} \triangleright b_{(0)}] \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta_\sigma(a_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)})(h \triangleleft^\sigma b_{(0)}) \triangleright^\sigma a_{(0)} \\ &= \sigma(h_{(-3)}, a_{(-3)})\sigma(h_{(-2)}a_{(-2)}b_{(-2)})\beta(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})(h_{(0)} \triangleleft b_{(0)}) \triangleright a_{(0)} \end{aligned}$$

Now, by the cocycle condition of  $\sigma$  and (BB1) on  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H})$ , we get the result. Similar argument can be performed for (BB2) on  $(\mathcal{A}^\sigma, \mathcal{H}^\sigma)$ .  $\square$

We now give the relationship between the  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie algebras  $\mathcal{A}^\sigma \bowtie \mathcal{H}^\sigma$  and  $(\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathcal{H})^\sigma$  by the following theorem; the proof can easily be seen from their construction, so we omit it.

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H})$  is a matched pair of  $(H, \beta)$ -Lie algebras, then  $\mathcal{A}^\sigma \bowtie \mathcal{H}^\sigma \cong (\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathcal{H})^\sigma$ .*

## Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the referee for helpful comments and suggestions.

## References

- [1] Y. Bahturin, D. Fischman, and S. Montgomery. Bicharacters, twistings, and Scheuert's theorem of Hopf algebras. *J. Algebra*, **236** (2001), 246–276.
- [2] D. Fischman and S. Montgomery. A Schur double centralizer theorem of cotriangular Hopf algebras and generalized Lie algebras. *J. Algebra*, **168** (1994), 594–614.
- [3] X. W. Chen, S. D. Silvestrov, and F. van Oystaeyen. Representations and cocycle twists of color Lie algebras. *Algebras Rep. Theory*, **9** (2006), 633–650.
- [4] S. Majid. Matched pairs of Lie groups associated to solutions of the Yang-Baxter equations. *Pacific J. Math.*, **141** (1990), 311–332.
- [5] S. Majid. *Foundations of Quantum Groups*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [6] M. Scheunert. Generalized Lie algebras. *J. Math. Phys.*, **20** (1979), 712–720.

*Received June 02, 2008*

*Revised November 28, 2008*