

The Factorization of H^p and the Commutators

Yasuo KOMORI

(Communicated by M. Iwano)
Tokyo Metropolitan University

Introduction

In [2] and [8], R. Coifman, R. Rochberg, G. Weiss and A. Uchiyama obtained the factorization theorems for $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by the singular integral operators. Recently S. Chanillo [1] obtained the factorization theorems for $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by the fractional integral operators. In this paper we think about the factorization theorems for $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $p < 1$, by the fractional integral operators and we apply the results to the boundedness of certain commutator operators.

I would like to express my gratitude to the referee for his most helpful suggestions and finding some errors.

§1. The definition and the results.

DEFINITION. We say that K is a Calderón-Zygmund kernel if

$$K \not\equiv 0, \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} K(x') dx' = 0,$$

where dx' is the element of "area" of the sphere $|x|=1$,

$$K(rx) = r^{-n} K(x) \quad \text{when } r > 0 \text{ and } x \neq 0, \\ |K(x) - K(y)| \leq |x - y| \quad \text{when } |x| = |y| = 1,$$

and define

$$Kf(x) = P. V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x-y) f(y) dy, \\ K'f(x) = P. V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(y-x) f(y) dy.$$

DEFINITION. The fractional integral operator I_α is defined as

$$I_\alpha f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy, \quad 0 < \alpha < n.$$

DEFINITION. $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space defined by Fefferman and Stein [3].

The factorization theorem by singular integral operators is as follows.

THEOREM A. (Coifman, Rochberg and Weiss [2] and Uchiyama [8]).
If $(n+1)/n > 1/p = 1/q + 1/r \geq 1$, $q > n/(n+1)$, $r > n/(n+1)$ and $f \in H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} C_{q,r} \|f\|_{H^p} &\leq \inf \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\|g_j\|_{H^q} \|h_j\|_{H^r})^p \right)^{1/p}, f = \sum_j (h_j K g_j - g_j K' h_j) \right\} \\ &\leq C'_{q,r} \|f\|_{H^p}. \end{aligned}$$

This theorem is proved in [2] for $p=1$ and proved in [8] for $p < 1$. Our results are following.

THEOREM 1. If $(n+1-\alpha)/n > 1/p = 1/q + 1/r - \alpha/n$, $n/\alpha > q > n/(n+1-\alpha)$, $n/\alpha > r > 1$, $1 > \alpha > 0$, $g \in H^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $h \in H^r(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\|h I_\alpha g - g I_\alpha h\|_{H^p} \leq C_{q,r,\alpha} \|g\|_{H^q} \|h\|_{H^r}$$

where $C_{q,r,\alpha}$ is a positive constant depending only on q , r , α and n .

This theorem is proved in [1] for $p=1$, but we give another proof for $p \leq 1$.

THEOREM 2. If $(n+1)/n > 1/p = 1/q + 1/r - \alpha/n \geq 1$, $q > n/(n+1)$, $r > 1$, $n > \alpha > 0$ and $f \in H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then there exist $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset H^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\{h_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset H^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (h_j I_\alpha g_j - g_j I_\alpha h_j), \\ (\sum (\|g_j\|_{H^q} \|h_j\|_{H^r})^p)^{1/p} &\leq C_{q,r,\alpha} \|f\|_{H^p}. \end{aligned}$$

As a result of these theorems, we get

COROLLARY 1. If $(n+1-\alpha)/n > 1/p = 1/q + 1/r - \alpha/n \geq 1$, $n/\alpha > q > n/(n+1-\alpha)$, $n/\alpha > r > 1$, $1 > \alpha > 0$ and $f \in H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} C_{q,r,\alpha} \|f\|_{H^p} &\leq \inf \left\{ \left(\sum_j (\|g_j\|_{H^q} \|h_j\|_{H^r})^p \right)^{1/p}; f = \sum_j (h_j I_\alpha g_j - g_j I_\alpha h_j) \right\} \\ &\leq C'_{q,r,\alpha} \|f\|_{H^p}. \end{aligned}$$

§2. The basic lemmas.

DEFINITION. We define some maximal functions. Let

$$A_{\varepsilon, \delta}^*(x_0) = \left\{ \Phi; |\Phi(x)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon^\delta}{(\varepsilon + |x - x_0|)^{n+\delta}}, \right. \\ \left. |\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)| \leq \frac{|x - y| \cdot \varepsilon^{\delta-1}}{(\varepsilon + |x - x_0|)^{n+\delta}} \text{ if } 2|x - y| \leq |x - x_0| \right\}, \\ A_\varepsilon^+(x_0) = \{ \Phi; \text{supp } \Phi \subset \{x; |x - x_0| < \varepsilon\}, |\Phi(x)| \leq \varepsilon^{-n}, \\ |\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)| \leq \varepsilon^{-n-1}|x - y| \},$$

and we define

$$f^{*(\delta)}(x_0) = \sup_{\substack{\varepsilon > 0 \\ \Phi \in A_{\varepsilon, \delta}^*(x_0)}} \left| \int f(x)\Phi(x)dx \right|, \\ f^+(x_0) = \sup_{\substack{\varepsilon > 0 \\ \Phi \in A_\varepsilon^+(x_0)}} \left| \int f(x)\Phi(x)dx \right|.$$

Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a fixed function such that

$$\text{supp } \varphi \subset \{x; |x| < 1\}, \int \varphi(x)dx \neq 0, |\varphi(x)| \leq 1$$

and $|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq |x - y|$, then we define

$$f^{+(\varphi)}(x_0) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \left| \int f(x)\varphi_\varepsilon(x_0 - x)dx \right|,$$

where $\varphi_\varepsilon(x) = (1/\varepsilon^n)\varphi(x/\varepsilon)$.

LEMMA 1 (Fefferman and Stein [3]). *If $1 \geq p > n/(n + \delta)$ and $1 \geq \delta > 0$, then*

$$\|f\|_{H^p} \approx \|f^{+(\varphi)}\|_{L^p} \approx \|f^+\|_{L^p} \approx \|f^{*(\delta)}\|_{L^p}.$$

If $p > 1$, then $\|f\|_{H^p} \approx \|f\|_{L^p}$.

This is essentially proved in [3], §10; a precise proof can be obtained by using the "atomic" theory (see Latter [4]).

LEMMA 2. *Let*

$$I_\alpha^* f(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \left| \int \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha}} \psi\left(\frac{|x - y|}{\varepsilon}\right) f(y) dy \right|$$

where $\psi \in C^\infty(0, \infty)$ is a fixed nonnegative function such that $\psi(t) = 0$ on $(0, 1)$ and $\psi(t) = 1$ on $(2, \infty)$, then

$$\|I_\alpha^* f\|_{L^q} \leq C_{p,\alpha} \|f\|_{H^p}$$

where $1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$, $n/\alpha > p > n/(n+1)$ and $n > \alpha > 0$.

This is essentially proved in Taibleson and Weiss [6], p. 102.

LEMMA 3. Let

$$M_{\alpha,p} f(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|^{1-\alpha p/n}} \int_Q |f(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p}$$

where Q is a cube centered at x , then

$$\|M_{\alpha,p} f\|_{L^s} \leq C_{r,p,\alpha} \|f\|_{L^r}$$

where $1/s = 1/r - \alpha/n$ and $n/\alpha > r > p > 0$.

This is an analogy of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal theorem and proved in [1], Lemma 2 and [5].

LEMMA 4. Let $n > \alpha > 0$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\varepsilon \cdot \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha+1}} dy \leq C_\alpha \cdot M_{\alpha,1} f(x).$$

LEMMA 5. If $1 \geq p > n/(n+1)$, $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\int u(x) dx = 0$ and $\text{supp } u \subset \{x; |x-x_0| < t\}$, then $\|u\|_{H^p}^2 \leq C_p \int_{|x-x_0| < 2t} u^+(x)^p dx$.

This is proved in [8], Lemma 6.

LEMMA 6. Let $\zeta(x, y)$ be a function defined on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\zeta(x, y)| &\leq |x-y|^{-n+\alpha+\delta}, \\ |\zeta(x, y) - \zeta(x, z)| &\leq |y-z|^\delta \cdot |x-y|^{-n+\alpha} \quad \text{if } 2|y-z| < |x-y|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\text{supp } u \subset \{x; |x-x_0| < t\}$,

$$v(x) = \int \zeta(x, y) u(y) dy.$$

Then

$$\left(\int_{|x-x_0| < t} |v|^{s_2} dx \right)^{1/s_2} \leq C_{s_1, \alpha, \delta} \left(\int_{|x-x_0| < 2t} u^{s_1} dx \right)^{1/s_1}$$

where $1/s_2 = 1/s_1 - (\alpha + \delta)/n$, $n/(\alpha + \delta) > s_1 > n/(n + \delta)$, $n > \alpha + \delta > 0$, $\alpha \geq 0$ and $1 \geq \delta > 0$.

This lemma is proved by the same argument in [8], Lemma 9. In [8], this is proved where $\alpha=0, \delta=1$ and $n \geq 2$.

§ 3. Proof of Theorem 1.

We estimate $(hI_\alpha g - gI_\alpha h)^{+(\varphi)}$ where φ is the function defined in §2. Let $x \in R^n$ be fixed and let

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \varphi_\varepsilon(x-y)(h(y) \cdot I_\alpha g(y) - g(y) \cdot I_\alpha h(y)) dy \\ &= \int h(y) \left(\int \frac{1}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} (\varphi_\varepsilon(x-y) - \varphi_\varepsilon(x-z)) g(z) dz \right) dy \\ &= \int h(y) T(y) dy . \end{aligned}$$

First we shall estimate $T(y)$ for $|x-y| \geq 10\varepsilon$. Then write

$$\begin{aligned} T(y) &= - \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} \int \varphi_\varepsilon(x-z) g(z) dz \\ &+ \int \left(\frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} - \frac{1}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} \right) \varphi_\varepsilon(x-z) g(z) dz \\ &= \eta_1(y) + \int \zeta_2(y, z) g(z) dz = \eta_1(y) + \eta_2(y) , \quad |x-y| \geq 10\varepsilon . \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

- (i) $|\eta_1(y)| \leq \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} g^{+(\varphi)}(x), \quad |x-y| \geq 10\varepsilon .$
- (ii) $|\eta_2(y)| \leq C \frac{\varepsilon}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha+1}} g^+(x), \quad |x-y| \geq 10\varepsilon .$

We shall prove (ii).

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad |\zeta_2(y, z)| &\leq C \frac{|x-z|}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha+1}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \chi_{\{|x-z| < \varepsilon\}}(z) \\ &\leq C \frac{\varepsilon}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha+1}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \chi_{\{|x-z| < \varepsilon\}}(z) , \end{aligned}$$

where χ_E is the characteristic function of a measurable set E . To estimate $|\zeta_2(y, z_1) - \zeta_2(y, z_2)|$ we may assume that $|x-z_1| < \varepsilon$ or $|x-z_2| < \varepsilon$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad & |\zeta_2(y, z_1) - \zeta_2(y, z_2)| \\ & \leq \left| \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} - \frac{1}{|y-z_1|^{n-\alpha}} \right| |\varphi_\varepsilon(x-z_1) - \varphi_\varepsilon(x-z_2)| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left| \frac{1}{|y-z_1|^{n-\alpha}} - \frac{1}{|y-z_2|^{n-\alpha}} \right| |\varphi_\varepsilon(x-z_2)| \\
& \leq C \frac{\varepsilon}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha+1}} \frac{|z_1-z_2|}{\varepsilon^{n+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (1) and (2), $C\zeta_2(y, z)|x-y|^{n-\alpha+1}/\varepsilon \in A_\varepsilon^+(x)$. So we have (ii).

Next we shall estimate $T(y)$ for $|x-y| < 10\varepsilon$. Then set

$$\begin{aligned}
T(y) &= \varphi_\varepsilon(x-y) \int \frac{1}{|x-z|^{n-\alpha}} \psi\left(\frac{|x-z|}{200\varepsilon}\right) g(z) dz \\
&+ \varphi_\varepsilon(x-y) \int \left(\frac{1}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} - \frac{1}{|x-z|^{n-\alpha}} \right) \psi\left(\frac{|x-z|}{200\varepsilon}\right) g(z) dz \\
&+ \int \frac{1}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} (\varphi_\varepsilon(x-y) - \varphi_\varepsilon(x-z)) \left(1 - \psi\left(\frac{|x-z|}{200\varepsilon}\right)\right) g(z) dz \\
&= \eta_3(y) + \varphi_\varepsilon(x-y) \int \zeta_4(y, z) g(z) dz \\
&+ \int \zeta_5(y, z) g(z) \left(1 - \psi\left(\frac{|x-z|}{400\varepsilon}\right)\right) dz \cdot \chi_{\{|x-y| < 10\varepsilon\}}(y) \\
&= \eta_3(y) + \eta_4(y) + \eta_5(y), \quad |x-y| < 10\varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\zeta_5(y, z) = \frac{1}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} (\varphi_\varepsilon(x-y) - \varphi_\varepsilon(x-z)) \left(1 - \psi\left(\frac{|x-z|}{200\varepsilon}\right)\right)$$

and ψ is the function defined in Lemma 2. Then we have

$$(iii) \quad |\eta_3(y)| \leq |\varphi_\varepsilon(x-y)| I_\alpha^* g(x),$$

$$(iv) \quad |\eta_4(y)| \leq C |\varphi_\varepsilon(x-y)| \varepsilon^\alpha g^{*(1-\alpha)}(x),$$

$$(v) \quad \left(\int_{|x-y| < 10\varepsilon} |\eta_5(y)|^{s_2} dy \right)^{1/s_2} \leq C \varepsilon^{-n-\delta} \left(\int_{|x-y| < 800\varepsilon} g^+(y)^{s_1} dy \right)^{1/s_1},$$

where $1/s_2 = 1/s_1 - (\alpha + \delta)/n$, $n/(\alpha + \delta) > s_1 > n/(n + \delta)$, $n > \alpha + \delta$ and $1 \geq \delta > 0$.

We shall prove (iv).

$$\begin{aligned}
|\zeta_4(y, z)| &\leq C \frac{|x-y|}{|x-z|^{n-\alpha+1}} \chi_{\{|x-z| \geq 200\varepsilon\}}(z) \\
&\leq C \cdot \varepsilon^\alpha \frac{\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}}{(\varepsilon + |x-z|)^{n-\alpha+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $2|z_1 - z_2| < |x - z_1|$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\zeta_4(y, z_1) - \zeta_4(y, z_2)| &\leq \left| \frac{1}{|x-z_1|^{n-\alpha}} - \frac{1}{|x-z_2|^{n-\alpha}} \right| \psi\left(\frac{|x-z_1|}{200\varepsilon}\right) \\
 &+ \left| \frac{1}{|y-z_1|^{n-\alpha}} - \frac{1}{|y-z_2|^{n-\alpha}} \right| \psi\left(\frac{|x-z_1|}{200\varepsilon}\right) \\
 &+ \left| \frac{1}{|x-z_2|^{n-\alpha}} - \frac{1}{|x-z_2|^{n-\alpha}} \right| \left| \psi\left(\frac{|x-z_1|}{200\varepsilon}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{|x-z_2|}{200\varepsilon}\right) \right| \\
 &\leq C \left(\frac{|z_1-z_2|}{|x-z_1|^{n-\alpha+1}} \chi_{\{|x-z_1| \geq 200\varepsilon\}}(z_1) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{|z_1-z_2|}{|y-z_1|^{n-\alpha+1}} \chi_{\{|x-z_1| \geq 200\varepsilon\}}(z_1) + \frac{|x-y|}{|x-z_2|^{n-\alpha+1}} \frac{|z_1-z_2|}{\varepsilon} \right) \\
 &\leq C \cdot \varepsilon^\alpha \frac{\varepsilon^{-\alpha} |z_1-z_2|}{(\varepsilon + |x-z_1|)^{n-\alpha+1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

So we have $C \cdot \varepsilon^{-\alpha} \cdot \zeta_4 \in A_{\varepsilon, 1-\alpha}^*(x)$ and we obtain (iv).

We shall prove (v).

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3) \quad |\zeta_5(y, z)| &\leq C \frac{1}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} \frac{|y-z|^\delta}{\varepsilon^{n+\delta}} \\
 &\leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{n+\delta}} \frac{1}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha-\delta}}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $n > \alpha + \delta$ and $1 \geq \delta > 0$. If $2|z_1-z_2| < |y-z_1|$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4) \quad |\zeta_5(y, z_1) - \zeta_5(y, z_2)| &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{|y-z_1|^{n-\alpha}} |\varphi_\varepsilon(x-z_1) - \varphi_\varepsilon(x-z_2)| \left| 1 - \psi\left(\frac{|x-z_1|}{200\varepsilon}\right) \right| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left| \frac{1}{|y-z_1|^{n-\alpha}} - \frac{1}{|y-z_2|^{n-\alpha}} \right| |\varphi_\varepsilon(x-y) - \varphi_\varepsilon(x-z_2)| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{|y-z_2|^{n-\alpha}} |\varphi_\varepsilon(x-y) - \varphi_\varepsilon(x-z_2)| \left| \psi\left(\frac{|x-z_1|}{200\varepsilon}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{|x-z_2|}{200\varepsilon}\right) \right| \right) \\
 &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{|y-z_1|^{n-\alpha}} \frac{|z_1-z_2|^\delta}{\varepsilon^{n+\delta}} + \frac{|z_1-z_2|}{|y-z_1|^{n-\alpha+1}} \frac{|y-z_2|^\delta}{\varepsilon^{n+\delta}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{|y-z_2|^{n-\alpha}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \frac{|z_1-z_2|^\delta}{\varepsilon^\delta} \right) \\
 &\leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{n+\delta}} \frac{|z_1-z_2|^\delta}{|y-z_1|^{n-\alpha}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (3), (4) and Lemma 6, we have

$$\left(\int_{|x-y| < 10\epsilon} |\eta_\delta(y)|^{s_2} dy \right)^{1/s_2} \leq C_{s_1, \alpha} \epsilon^{-n-\delta} \left(\int_{|x-y| < 800\epsilon} \left(g(\cdot) \left(1 - \psi_r \left(\frac{|x-\cdot|}{400\epsilon} \right) \right) \right)^+ (y)^{s_1} dy \right)^{1/s_1},$$

where $1/s_2 = 1/s_1 - (\alpha + \delta)/n$, $n/(\alpha + \delta) > s_1 > n/(n + \delta)$, $n > \alpha + \delta$ and $1 \geq \delta > 0$.
Since

$$\left(g(\cdot) \left(1 - \psi_r \left(\frac{|x-\cdot|}{400\epsilon} \right) \right) \right)^+ (y) \leq C g^+(y)$$

for $|x-y| < 800\epsilon$, we have (v).

By (i) ~ (v), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int h(y) T(y) dy \right| &\leq \int |h\eta_1| + \int |h\eta_2| + \int |h\eta_3| + \int |h\eta_4| + \int |h\eta_5| \\ &= J_1(x) + J_2(x) + J_3(x) + J_4(x) + J_5(x), \\ J_1(x) &\leq I_\alpha(|h|)(x) \cdot g^{+(\varphi)}(x), \\ J_2(x) &\leq CM_{\alpha,1}(h)(x) \cdot g^+(x), \\ J_3(x) &\leq CM_{0,1}(h)(x) \cdot I_\alpha^* g(x), \\ J_4(x) &\leq CM_{\alpha,1}(h)(x) \cdot g^{*(1-\alpha)}(x), \\ J_5(x) &\leq CM_{b,s_2}(h)(x) \cdot M_{\alpha,s_1}(g^+)(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $1/s_2 = 1/s_1 - (\alpha + \delta)/n$, $s_2 > 1$, $1/s_2 + 1/s_2' = 1$ and $\alpha + b = \alpha$.

So,

$$\begin{aligned} (h \cdot I_\alpha g - g \cdot I_\alpha h)^{+(\varphi)}(x) &\leq C(I_\alpha(|h|)(x) \cdot g^{+(\varphi)}(x) + M_{\alpha,1}(h)(x) \cdot g^+(x) \\ &\quad + M_{0,1}(h)(x) \cdot I_\alpha^* g(x) + M_{\alpha,1}(h)(x) \cdot g^{*(1-\alpha)}(x) \\ &\quad + M_{b,s_2}(h)(x) \cdot M_{\alpha,s_1}(g^+)(x)). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1 and Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_\alpha(|h|) \cdot g^{+(\varphi)}\|_{L^p} &\leq \|I_\alpha(|h|)\|_{L^{\tilde{r}}} \|g^{+(\varphi)}\|_{L^q} \\ &\leq C \|h\|_{L^r} \|g\|_{H^q} \end{aligned}$$

where $1/\tilde{r} = 1/r - \alpha/n$.

By Lemma 1 and Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_{\alpha,1} h \cdot g^+\|_{L^p} &\leq \|M_{\alpha,1} h\|_{L^{\tilde{r}}} \|g^+\|_{L^q} \\ &\leq C \|h\|_{L^r} \|g\|_{H^q}, \\ \|M_{\alpha,1} h \cdot g^{*(1-\alpha)}\|_{L^p} &\leq \|M_{\alpha,1} h\|_{L^{\tilde{r}}} \|g^{*(1-\alpha)}\|_{L^q} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \|h\|_{L^r} \|g\|_{H^q}, \\ \|M_{b, s_2} h \cdot M_{a, s_1} g^+\|_{L^p} &\leq \|M_{b, s_2} h\|_{L^{\tilde{r}}} \|M_{a, s_1} g^+\|_{L^{\tilde{q}}} \\ &\leq C \|h\|_{L^r} \|g^+\|_{L^q} \\ &\leq C \|h\|_{L^r} \|g\|_{H^q} \end{aligned}$$

where $1/\tilde{q} = 1/q - a/n$ and $1/\tilde{r} = 1/r - b/n$.

By Lemma 2 and Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_{0,1} h \cdot I_\alpha^* g\|_{L^p} &\leq \|M_{0,1} h\|_{L^r} \|I_\alpha^* g\|_{L^{\tilde{q}}} \\ &\leq C \|h\|_{L^r} \|g\|_{H^q} \end{aligned}$$

where $1/\tilde{q} = 1/q - \alpha/n$.

So we have $\|h \cdot I_\alpha g - g \cdot I_\alpha h\|_{H^p} \leq C \|h\|_{L^r} \|g\|_{H^q}$.

§ 4. Proof of Theorem 2.

We may assume that f is a p -atom such that

$$\text{supp } f \subset \{x; |x - x_0| < t\}, \|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq t^{-n/p} \quad \text{and} \quad \int f dx = 0$$

(see Latter [4]). As is the proof of Uchiyama [8], p. 465, we take $y_0 \in R^n$ such that $|x_0 - y_0| = Nt$ and set

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &= N^{n-\alpha} \chi_{\{|x-y_0|<t\}}(x), \\ g(x) &= -f(x)/I_\alpha h(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_{H^q} &\leq Ct^{-\alpha-n/p+n/q}, \\ \|h\|_{H^r} &\leq CN^{n-\alpha} t^{n/r} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|g\|_{H^q} \|h\|_{H^r} \leq CN^{n-\alpha}.$$

By Lemma 5,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f - (h \cdot I_\alpha g - g \cdot I_\alpha h)\|_{H^p} \\ &\leq C(\|f \cdot (I_\alpha h(x_0) - I_\alpha h)/I_\alpha h(x_0)\|_{H^p} + \|h \cdot I_\alpha g\|_{H^p}) \\ &\leq C \begin{cases} N^{-1-n+n/p} & (1 > p > n/(n+1)) \\ N^{-1} \log N & (p=1). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

So we have $\|f - (h \cdot I_\alpha g - g \cdot I_\alpha h)\|_{H^p} < 1/2$ if N is sufficiently large. Repeating this process, we get the desired result.

§ 5. Applications.

DEFINITION. We define the commutator operators:

$$C^K(b, f)(x) = \int_{R^n} (b(x) - b(y))K(x-y)f(y)dy,$$

where K is a Calderón-Zygmund kernel (see §1);

$$C^\alpha(b, f)(x) = \int_{R^n} (b(x) - b(y)) \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy,$$

where $0 < \alpha < n$.

COROLLARY 2 (The refinement of the theorem in [1]). 1) C^K is a bounded map of $L^p(R^n)$ to itself for $1 < p < \infty$, if and only if b is in $BMO(R^n)$ (for the definition of BMO , see [3]) and operator norm $\|C^K\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} \approx \|b\|_{BMO}$.

2) C^α is a bounded map of $L^p(R^n)$ to $L^q(R^n)$ for $1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$, $1 < p < n/\alpha$ and $0 < \alpha < n$, if and only if b is in $BMO(R^n)$ and operator norm $\|C^\alpha\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^q} \approx \|b\|_{BMO}$.

In [2] and [7], 1) is proved, and in [1], 2) is proved for some α .

PROOF. We shall prove 2). If C^α is a bounded map of L^p to L^q , then for any $h \in H^1$, we apply Theorem 2 and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int b(x)h(x)dx \right| &\leq \sum \left| \int b(x)(f_j(x)I_\alpha g_j(x) - g_j(x)I_\alpha f_j(x))dx \right| \\ &\leq \sum \left| \int g_j(x)(b(x)I_\alpha f_j(x) - I_\alpha(bf_j)(x))dx \right| \\ &\leq \sum \|g_j\|_{L^{q'}} \|C^\alpha(b, f_j)\|_{L^q} \\ &\leq C \sum \|g_j\|_{L^{q'}} \|f_j\|_{L^p} \|C^\alpha\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^q} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{H^1} \|C^\alpha\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^q} \end{aligned}$$

where $1/q + 1/q' = 1$. By the duality between H^1 and BMO (see [3]), we have $b \in BMO$. To prove the converse we use Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 3. C^K is a bounded map of $H^p(R^n)$ to $L^q(R^n)$ for $1/q = 1/p - \delta/n$, $n/(n+\delta) < p < n/\delta$ and $0 < \delta < 1$, if and only if b is in $Lip_\delta(R^n)$ (we define $\|b\|_{Lip_\delta} = \sup_{x \neq y} |b(x) - b(y)|/|x - y|^\delta$) and operator norm $\|C^K\|_{H^p \rightarrow L^q} \approx \|b\|_{Lip_\delta}$.

PROOF. Note that $q > 1$, thus for any $g \in L^{q'}$ ($1/q + 1/q' = 1$),

$$\int C^K(b, f)(x)g(x)dx = \int b(x)(f(x)Kg(x) - g(x)K'f(x))dx.$$

By the former half of the inequalities in Theorem A,

$$\|fKg - gK'f\|_{H^{n/(n+\delta)}} \leq C\|f\|_{H^p}\|g\|_{L^q},$$

and using the duality between H^p and $\text{Lip}_{n(1/p-1)}$ (see [3]), we have

$$\|C^K(b, f)\|_{L^q} \leq C\|b\|_{\text{Lip}_\delta}\|f\|_{H^p}.$$

The converse is proved by using the latter half of the inequalities in Theorem A.

REMARK. Corollary 3 does not hold for $p \leq n/(n+\delta)$. And a simple example shows that C^K is not bounded map of H^p to itself for $p \leq 1$ even if b is in BMO .

We give an example for $n=1$. Let $b(x) = \chi_{(0,1)}$ and $f(x) = \chi_{(0,1)} - \chi_{(-1,0)}$, then $b \in BMO$ and $f \in H^p$ for $n/(n+1) < p \leq 1$, but for $x > 2$,

$$C^K(b, f)(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{b(y)f(y)}{x-y} dy = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{x-y} dy \geq \frac{1}{x},$$

so, $C^K(b, f) \notin L^p$ for $p \leq 1$.

References

- [1] S. CHANILLO, A note on commutators, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **31** (1982), 7-16.
- [2] R. R. COIFMAN, R. ROCHBERG and G. WEISS, Factorization theorem for Hardy spaces in several variables, *Ann. of Math.*, **103** (1976), 611-635.
- [3] C. FEFFERMAN and E. M. STEIN, H^p spaces of several variables, *Acta Math.*, **129** (1972), 137-193.
- [4] R. H. LATTER, A characterization of $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in terms of atoms, *Studia Math.*, **62** (1978), 93-101.
- [5] B. MUCKENHOUT and R. WHEEDEN, Weighted norm inequalities for fractional integrals, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **192** (1974), 261-274.
- [6] M. H. TAIBLESON and G. WEISS, The molecular characterization of certain Hardy spaces, *Astérisque*, **77** (1980), 67-149.
- [7] A. UCHIYAMA, On the compactness of operators of Hankel type, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, **30** (1978), 163-171.
- [8] A. UCHIYAMA, The factorization of H^p on the space of homogeneous type, *Pacific J. Math.*, **92** (1981), 453-468.

Present Address:

Department of MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF SCIENCES
TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY
FUKAZAWA, SETAGAYA-KU, TOKYO 158