

## The Grothendieck Group of a Finite Group Which is a Split Extension by a Nilpotent Group

Tadashi MITSUDA

*Tokyo Metropolitan University*

(Communicated by K. Ogiue)

### Introduction

Let  $R$  be a ring. Then the Grothendieck group  $G_0(R)$  is the abelian group given by generators  $[M]$  where  $M$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module, with relations  $[M] = [M'] + [M'']$  whenever  $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of finitely generated  $R$ -modules. Let  $\pi$  be a finite group, and  $\mathcal{O}$  be a maximal order in  $\mathbb{Q}\pi$  containing  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ . Then Swan [4] showed that there is a natural epimorphism from  $G_0(\mathcal{O})$  onto  $G_0(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$ . He also gave an example of cyclic group such that  $G_0(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \neq G_0(\mathcal{O})$ . In connection with these results of Swan, it is an interesting problem to investigate the relation between  $G_0(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$  and  $G_0(\mathcal{O})$ . For an abelian group  $\pi$ , Lenstra [1] gives the description of  $G_0(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$  which answers the above question. Recently, Miyamoto [2] generalizes Lenstra's result into nilpotent groups.

In this paper, we treat a finite group with a normal nilpotent subgroup which has a complement. For such a group  $\pi$ , we obtain an analogous decomposition of  $G_0(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$ .

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\pi$  be a finite group with a normal nilpotent subgroup  $U$  which has a complement. Then we have*

$$G_0(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \cong \bigoplus_{e \in Y} G_0\left(\mathbb{Z}\pi e^* \left[ \frac{1}{d(e)} \right] \right),$$

where  $Y$  is a set of the representatives of the  $\pi$ -conjugacy classes of centrally primitive idempotents of  $\mathbb{Q}U$ ,  $e^*$  denotes the class sum of the class containing  $e$  and  $d(e) = |U|/|\text{Ker}(U \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}Ue)|$ .

**REMARK 1.** The idempotent  $e$  of the ring  $R$  is called centrally primitive, if  $e$  is a primitive idempotent of the center of the ring  $R$ .

REMARK 2.  $d(e)$  does not depend on the choice of a representative, because  $\text{Ker}(U \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}Ue)$  and  $\text{Ker}(U \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}Uf)$  are conjugate if  $e$  and  $f$  are conjugate.

REMARK 3. If  $U$  is cyclic, each  $e$  is also central in  $\mathbf{Q}\pi$  and  $e^* = e$ , but not centrally primitive in general.

REMARK 4. If  $\pi$  is nilpotent, applying Theorem with  $\pi = U$ , we get the same decomposition as in [2].

Applying the above theorem to dihedral groups, we have

COROLLARY 1. Let  $\pi = \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \tau^2 = \sigma^t = 1, \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1} \rangle$  be the dihedral group of order  $2t$  and  $R_d$  be the integer ring of the maximal real subfield of  $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_d)$ , where  $\zeta_d$  is a primitive  $d$ -th root of unity. Then we have

$$G_0(\mathbf{Z}\pi) \cong \begin{cases} G_0(\mathbf{Z}) \oplus G_0(\mathbf{Z}) \oplus_{1 \neq d \mid t} \oplus G_0\left(R_d\left[\frac{1}{d}\right]\right) & \text{if } t \text{ is odd} \\ G_0(\mathbf{Z}) \oplus G_0(\mathbf{Z}) \oplus G_0(\mathbf{Z}) \oplus G_0(\mathbf{Z}) \oplus_{1, 2 \neq d \mid t} \oplus G_0\left(R_d\left[\frac{1}{d}\right]\right) & \text{if } t \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Another corollary is the following one.

COROLLARY 2. Let  $\pi = C_m \triangleleft C_n$  be a meta-cyclic group such that  $(m, n) = 1$  and  $C_n$  acts faithfully on each Sylow subgroup of  $C_m$ . Then we have

$$G_0(\mathbf{Z}\pi) \cong \bigoplus_{k \mid n} G_0\left(\mathbf{Z}\left[\zeta_k, \frac{1}{k}\right]\right) \bigoplus_{1 \neq d \mid m} \oplus G_0\left(R_d\left[\frac{1}{d}\right]\right),$$

where  $\zeta_l$  is a primitive  $l$ -th root of unity and  $R_d = \mathbf{Z}[\zeta_d]^{C_n}$  is the  $C_n$ -fixed subring of  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_d]$  when we regard  $C_n$  as an automorphism group of  $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_d)$ .

### §1. Proof of Theorem.

In this section, we prove the theorem. Let  $\pi$  be a finite group with a normal nilpotent subgroup  $U$  which has a complement  $H$ . For a  $\mathbf{Z}\pi$ -module  $M$  and a set  $S$  of prime divisors of  $|U|$ , we define  $N_S M$  to be a  $\mathbf{Z}\pi$ -module which is equal to  $M$  as a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module, and the actions of  $U_S H$  on  $N_S M$  and  $M$  coincide, but  $U_{\pi(U)-S}$  acts trivially, where  $U_S$  is the  $S$ -part of  $U$  and  $\pi(U)$  is the set of all prime divisors of  $|U|$ . Since  $U_T$  is normal in  $\pi$  and has a complement for any  $T \subseteq \pi(U)$ , this is well-defined. In other words,  $N_S$  is the exact functor from the category of  $\mathbf{Z}\pi$ -modules to itself induced from composite of the canonical group homomorphisms

$\pi \rightarrow \pi/U_{\pi(U)-S} \xrightarrow{\sim} U_S H \hookrightarrow \pi$ . For a centrally primitive idempotent  $e$  of  $QU$  and  $S \subseteq \pi(U)$ ,  $e_S$  denotes the  $S$ -part of  $e$ , so  $e_S$  is a centrally primitive idempotent of  $QU_S$ . On the other hand,  $e^S$  denotes a centrally primitive idempotent of  $QU$  such that the  $S$ -part of  $e^S$  is  $e_S$  and the  $\pi(U)-S$  part of  $e^S$  corresponds to the trivial representation. Then it is easily seen that  $N_S M$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}\pi(e^S)^*$ -module if  $M$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}\pi e^*$ -module. To prove the theorem, we construct the group homomorphisms which are inverse to each other as given in [1], [2]. So we need some lemmas analogous to those given in [1], [2].

LEMMA 1. *Let  $M$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}\pi e^*$ -module with  $d(e)M=0$ . Then there exists a filtration  $0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_t = M$  such that each  $M_j/M_{j-1}$  is annihilated by a prime number  $q_j$  dividing  $d(e)$  and  $U_{\{q_j\}}$  acts trivially on  $M_j/M_{j-1}$ .*

PROOF. We can assume that  $qM=0$  for some prime number  $q$  dividing  $d(e)$ . Then  $M$  is an  $F_q\pi$ -module annihilated by  $\text{Ker}(\mathbb{Z}\pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\pi e^*)$ . Since  $U_{\{q\}}$  is a  $q$ -group,  $M^{U_{\{q\}}} \neq 0$ . So we define  $M_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq t$ ) inductively by  $M_j/M_{j-1} = (M/M_{j-1})^{U_{\{q\}}}$  where  $M_1 = M^{U_{\{q\}}}$  and  $M_t = M$  if  $(M/M_{t-1})^{U_{\{q\}}} = M/M_{t-1}$ . Since  $U_{\{q\}}$  is normal in  $\pi$ ,  $0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_t = M$  is a filtration of  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -modules. And  $U_{\{q\}}$  acts trivially on each  $M_j/M_{j-1}$ , so this is the desired filtration.

LEMMA 2. *Let  $M$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -module. Suppose that  $M$  is both a  $\mathbb{Z}\pi e^*$ -module and a  $\mathbb{Z}\pi e'^*$ -module with  $e^* \neq e'^*$ . Then there exists a natural number  $t$  such that  $(d(e)d(e'))^t M = 0$ .*

PROOF. Put  $\mathcal{S} = \{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq \pi(U) \mid e_S \not\sim_{\pi} e'_S\}$ , where  $e_1 \sim_{\pi} e_2$  means that  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are  $\pi$ -conjugate. Since  $e \not\sim_{\pi} e'$ ,  $\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $S$  be a minimal element of  $\mathcal{S}$  with respect to the inclusion. Then it is easily seen that any  $p$  in  $S$  divides  $d(e)d(e')$ . On the other hand,  $M$  is both a  $\mathbb{Z}U_S e_S^*$ -module and a  $\mathbb{Z}U_S e'_S{}^*$ -module. Since  $e_S^*$  and  $e'_S{}^*$  are central idempotents of  $QU_S$  such that  $e_S^* e'_S{}^* = 0$ ,  $M[1/p_1 p_2 \dots p_r] = 0$  where  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r\} = S$ . Thus we are done.

For a  $\mathbb{Z}\pi e^*$ -module  $M$ ,  $[M, \langle e^* \rangle]$  means that  $[M]$  is considered as an element in  $G_0(\mathbb{Z}\pi e^*[1/d(e)])$ .

LEMMA 3. *For a  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -module  $M$  which is both a  $\mathbb{Z}\pi e^*$ -module and a  $\mathbb{Z}\pi e'^*$ -module, we have*

$$\sum_{S \subseteq \pi(e)} [N_S M, \langle (e^S)^* \rangle] = \sum_{S' \subseteq \pi(e')} [N_{S'} M, \langle (e'^{S'})^* \rangle]$$

in  $\bigoplus_e G_0(\mathbb{Z}\pi e^*[1/d(e)])$ , where  $\pi(e)$  is the set of all prime divisors of  $d(e)$ .

PROOF. Suppose that  $[N_S M, \langle (e^S)^* \rangle] \neq 0$ . If  $S \not\subseteq \pi(e')$ , we can find a prime number  $p$  in  $S$  which is not contained in  $\pi(e')$ . Then by the definition of  $d(e')$ ,  $e'_{(p)}$  corresponds to the trivial representation of  $\mathbf{Q}U_{(p)}$ . On the other hand,  $e_{(p)}$  does not correspond to the trivial representation since  $p \in S$ . Thus  $M$  is both a  $\mathbf{Z}U_{(p)}e_{(p)}^*$ -module and a  $\mathbf{Z}U_{(p)}e'_{(p)}^*$ -module with  $e_{(p)}^*e'_{(p)}^* = 0$ , and we have  $p^t M = 0$  for some natural number  $t$ . But since  $p$  divides  $d(e^S)$ , this contradicts the hypothesis. Hence  $S \subseteq \pi(e')$ , and  $S$  appears in the right hand side. Assume that  $(e^S)^* \neq (e'^S)^*$ . Then  $N_S M$  is both a  $\mathbf{Z}\pi(e^S)^*$ -module and a  $\mathbf{Z}\pi(e'^S)^*$ -module with  $(e^S)^* \neq (e'^S)^*$ . So by Lemma 2,  $(d(e^S)d(e'^S))^t N_S M = 0$  for some natural number  $t$ . Noting that  $\pi(e^S) = \pi(e'^S) = S$ , this implies that  $(d(e^S))^{t'} N_S M = 0$  with some natural number  $t'$ . But this contradicts the assumption. Hence we have  $(e^S)^* = (e'^S)^*$ . By the symmetric argument, the lemma is proved.

Now, we are ready to prove the theorem.

Define  $\Phi(e): G_0(\mathbf{Z}\pi e^*[1/d(e)]) \rightarrow G_0(\mathbf{Z}\pi)$  by  $\Phi(e)([M]) = \sum_{S \subseteq \pi(e)} (-1)^{*(\pi(e)-S)} [N_S M]$ , where  $M$  is  $\mathbf{Z}\pi e^*$ -module. Applying Lemma 1, in the same way as Lenstra's proof, we find that  $\Phi(e)$  is compatible with the defining relation of  $G_0(\mathbf{Z}\pi e^*[1/d(e)])$  and is a well-defined group homomorphism. Put  $\Phi = \sum_e \Phi(e)$ . Then  $\Phi$  is the desired homomorphism.

Next, we define a map in the other direction. For a  $\mathbf{Z}\pi$ -module  $M$  which is also a  $\mathbf{Z}\pi e^*$ -module, we put  $\Psi([M]) = \sum_{S \subseteq \pi(e)} [N_S M, \langle (e^S)^* \rangle]$ . Then by Lemma 3,  $\Psi$  is a well-defined additive map. Since any  $\mathbf{Z}\pi$ -module has a filtration such that each factor module is a  $\mathbf{Z}\pi e^*$ -module for some  $e^*$ , by the same argument as in [1],  $\Psi$  is extended to a group homomorphism  $\Psi: G_0(\mathbf{Z}\pi) \rightarrow \bigoplus_e G_0(\mathbf{Z}\pi e^*[1/d(e)])$ .

Finally, by the same calculation as in [1], it is checked that  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are inverse to each other. This completes the proof of the theorem.

## §2. Proofs of corollaries.

PROOF OF COROLLARY 1. Let  $\pi = \langle \sigma, \tau | \tau^2 = \sigma^t = 1, \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1} \rangle$  be the dihedral group of order  $2t$  and  $e_d$  be a centrally primitive idempotent of  $\mathbf{Q}\langle \sigma \rangle$  corresponding to the irreducible representation given by  $\sigma \mapsto \zeta_d(d|t)$ . Then  $|\langle \sigma \rangle / |\text{Ker}(\langle \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}\langle \sigma \rangle e_d)| = d$ . Applying Theorem with  $U = \langle \sigma \rangle$ , we get

$$G_0(\mathbf{Z}\pi) \cong \bigoplus_{d|t} G_0\left(\mathbf{Z}\pi e_d \left[ \frac{1}{d} \right] \right) \cong G_0(\mathbf{Z}) \oplus G_0(\mathbf{Z}) \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \neq d|t} G_0\left(\mathbf{Z}\pi e_d \left[ \frac{1}{d} \right] \right).$$

Assume that  $t$  is odd. Then each  $e_d$  ( $d \neq 1$ ) is also a centrally primitive idempotent of  $\mathbf{Q}\pi$  and  $\mathbf{Z}\pi e_d$  is a twisted group ring over  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_d]$

with the center  $R_d$ . Since  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_d, 1/d]$  is unramified over  $R_d[1/d]$ ,  $\mathbf{Z}\pi e_d[1/d]$  is a maximal order (cf. [3], Theorem (40.14)), and  $\mathbf{Z}\pi e_d[1/d] \cong M_2(R_d[1/d])$ .

Next, suppose that  $t$  is even. Then  $e_2 = 1/t(1 - \sigma + \sigma^2 - \dots - \sigma^{t-1})$  and  $e_2 = e_2(1 + \tau)/2 + e_2(1 - \tau)/2$  is a decomposition of  $e_2$  into centrally primitive idempotents of  $\mathbf{Q}\pi$ . But since  $d_2 = 2$ ,  $\mathbf{Z}\pi e_2[1/d_2] = \mathbf{Z}\pi e_2(1 + \tau)/2[1/2] \oplus \mathbf{Z}\pi e_2(1 - \tau)/2[1/2]$  as rings. So, noting that  $\mathbf{Z}\pi e_2(1 + \tau)/2 \cong \mathbf{Z}\pi e_2(1 - \tau)/2 \cong \mathbf{Z}$ , we have

$$G_0\left(\mathbf{Z}\pi e_2\left[\frac{1}{d_2}\right]\right) = G_0\left(\mathbf{Z}\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]\right) \oplus G_0\left(\mathbf{Z}\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]\right) \cong G_0(\mathbf{Z}) \oplus G_0(\mathbf{Z}).$$

Because  $e_d$  ( $d \neq 1, 2$ ) is a centrally primitive idempotent of  $\mathbf{Q}\pi$ , by the same argument as in the odd case, we complete the proof of Corollary 1.

**PROOF OF COROLLARY 2.** For any  $d|m$ , let  $e_d$  be a centrally primitive idempotent of  $\mathbf{Q}C_m$  which corresponds to the irreducible representation given by  $\sigma \mapsto \zeta_d$ , where  $\langle \sigma \rangle = C_m$ . Then we have  $|C_m|/|\text{Ker}(C_m \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}C_m e_d)| = d$ . Applying Theorem with  $U = C_m$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_0(\mathbf{Z}\pi) &\cong G_0(\mathbf{Z}\pi e_1) \oplus_{1 \neq d|m} G_0\left(\mathbf{Z}\pi e_d\left[\frac{1}{d}\right]\right) \\ &\cong G_0(\mathbf{Z}C_n) \oplus_{1 \neq d|m} G_0\left(\mathbf{Z}\pi e_d\left[\frac{1}{d}\right]\right). \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption, each  $e_d$  ( $d \neq 1$ ) is also a centrally primitive idempotent of  $\mathbf{Q}\pi$ , and  $\mathbf{Z}\pi e_d$  is a twisted group ring over  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_d]$  with the center  $R_d$ . Since  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_d, 1/d]$  is unramified over  $R_d[1/d]$ , in the same way as in the proof of Corollary 1, we have  $G_0(\mathbf{Z}\pi e_d[1/d]) \cong G_0(R_d[1/d])$  if  $d \neq 1$ .

On the other hand,  $G_0(\mathbf{Z}C_n)$  is calculated in [1]. This completes the proof of Corollary 2.

### References

- [1] H. LENSTRA, Grothendieck groups of abelian group rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **20** (1981), 173-193.
- [2] M. MIYAMOTO, Grothendieck groups of integral nilpotent group rings, preprint.
- [3] I. REINER, *Maximal Orders*, Academic Press, London, 1975.
- [4] R. SWAN, The Grothendieck ring of a finite group, *Topology*, **2** (1963), 85-110.

*Present Address:*  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 FACULTY OF SCIENCE  
 TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY  
 FUKAZAWA, SETAGAYA-KU, TOKYO 158