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## Introduction.

Let $M$ be a compact convex set in a real locally convex linear topological space $V$ and denote by $A(M)$ the set of restrictions on $M$ of all real affine and continuous functionals in $V$, i.e. $f \in A(M)$ iff $f(t x+(1-t) y)=$ $t f(x)+(1-t) f(y)$ for any $t \in \boldsymbol{R}$. Remind that a subset $N$ of $M$ is called an end subset of $M$ iff it consists of points $z$ that satisfy the following condition: $z$ can not be represented as $z=\lambda x+\mu y$ with $\lambda>0, \mu>0, \lambda+\mu=1$, unless $x$ and $y$ belong to $N$. Extreme points of $M$ are the points that are end subsets of $M$. Let $E(M)$ stand for the closure of extreme points of $M$. This is the smallest closed subset of $M$ within which any positive element of $A(M)$ attains its minimum. Indeed, let $f \in A(M)$, $f>0$, and let $\min _{x \in M} f(x)=a<b=\min _{x \in E(M)} f(x)$. Since $f$ is affine, the set $M \cap\{f(x) \geqq b\}$ is a compact convex set that contains $E(M)$ and consequently it contains also the closed convex hull of $E(M)$, i.e., it contains the whole set $M$ according to the Krein-Milman's theorem (e.g. [1]). Hence $f(x) \geqq b>a$ on $M$, that is a contradiction. So every positive element of $A(M)$ attains its minimum within $E(M)$. If a closed subset $N$ of $M$ possesses the same property, then its closed convex hull $[\langle N\rangle]$ will coincide with $M$. In fact, if $[\langle N\rangle] \neq M$ we can find a positive continuous affine functional $f \in A(M)$ for which $f(x) \geqq a>0$ on $[\langle N\rangle]$ but $f\left(x_{0}\right)<a$ for some point $x_{0} \in M$ in contradiction with our supposition on $N$. But the equality $[\langle N\rangle]=M$ implies that $N \supset E(M)$ since the latter is the smallest closed subset of $M$ for which $[\langle N\rangle]=M$ (e.g. [2]). Here we introduce $n$-dimensional analogues to the closure $E(M)$ of extreme points of a compact convex set $M$.

## § 1. Affine $\boldsymbol{n}$-boundaries.

Denote by $A^{n}(M)$ the set of all $n$-tuples $\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)$ of elements of

[^0]$A(M)$, by $Z\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)$ the zero set of $\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)$, i.e. $Z\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)=$ $\left\{x \in M: f_{1}(x)=f_{2}(x)=\cdots=f_{n}(x)=0\right\}$ and by $A_{*}^{n}(M)$ the set of all regular $n$ tuples over $A(M)$, i.e. $\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right) \in A_{*}^{n}(M)$ iff $Z\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)=\varnothing$. $A_{*}^{0}(M)$ will stand for all constant elements of $A(M)$. Let $\left\|\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)\right\|$ be the following function on $M$ :
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)(x)\right\|=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j}^{2}(x)\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

DEFINITION 1. A subset $E$ of a compact convex subset $M$ of a real locally convex linear topological space $V$ is called an affine $n$-boundary of $M$ iff for every regular $n$-tuple ( $f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}$ ) of affine continuous functionals on $M$ there exists a point $x_{0}$ belonging to $E$ such that for any $x \in M$ it holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| \leqq\left\|\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)(x)\right\| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. iff the minimum of the function $\left\|\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)\right\|$ is attained within $E$ for every regular $n$-tuple $\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right) \in A_{*}^{n}(M)$.

DEFINITION 2. The intersection $E_{n}(M)$ of all closed affine $n$-boundaries of a compact convex subset $M$ of $V$ is called the minimal affine $n$-boundary of $M$.

It is clear that $E_{1}(M) \subset E_{2}(M) \subset \cdots \subset E_{n}(M) \subset \cdots . \quad$ According to the remark from the Introduction, we have that $E_{1}(M)=E(M) \neq \varnothing$. The next theorem shows that minimal affine $n$-boundaries of $M$ are nonempty subsets of $M$ for every $n>1$ and, moreover, it gives a description of them.

Theorem 1. The sets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\cup\left\{E\left(Z\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n-1}\right)\right):\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n-1}\right) \in A^{n-1}(M)\right\}\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

coincide with the minimal affine $n$-boundaries $E_{n}(M)$ of compact convex subsets $M$ of $V$, where [ $N$ ] denotes the closure of $N$ for a subset $N$ in $V$.

Proof. First we shall prove that the set (3) is an affine $n$-boundary of $M$. Let $\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right) \in A_{*}^{n}(M)$ and $x_{0} \in M$. Without loss of generality (applying, if necessary, certain orthogonal transformation in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ ) we can assume that $f_{j}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ for any $j>1$, so that $\left(f_{1}\left(x_{0}\right), f_{2}\left(x_{0}\right), \cdots, f_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=$ $\left(f_{1}\left(x_{0}\right), 0, \cdots, 0\right)$ and $\left\|\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|^{2}=f_{1}^{2}\left(x_{0}\right)$. The set $Z_{1}=Z\left(f_{2}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)$ is an affine manifold, i.e. a translated linear subspace of $V$. Because $f_{1}$ does not vanish at $Z_{1}$ and $x_{0} \in M \cap Z_{1}, f_{1}^{2}\left(x_{0}\right) \geqq \min _{E\left(Z_{1}\right)} f_{1}^{2}(x)$ according to our remark in the Introduction, applied to $Z_{1}$ and $\left.f_{1}\right|_{z_{1}}$. Consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j}^{2}\left(x_{0}\right)=f_{1}^{2}\left(x_{0}\right) \geqq \min _{E\left(Z_{1}\right)} f_{1}^{2}(x)=\min _{E\left(Z_{1}\right)}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j}^{2}(x)\right) \\
& \quad \geqq \inf \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j}^{2}(x): x \in \cup\left\{E\left(Z\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n-1}\right)\right):\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n-1}\right) \in A^{n-1}(M)\right\}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the continuous function $\left\|\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)(x)\right\|$ attains its minimum within the set $\left[\cup\left\{E\left(Z\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n-1}\right):\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n-1}\right) \in A^{n-1}(M)\right\}\right.\right.$ for any regular $n$ tuple ( $\left.f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right) \in A_{*}^{n}(M)$, i.e. (3) is an affine boundary of $M$. But (3) is the smallest affine $n$-boundary of $M$. Indeed, let $E \subset M$ be a closed affine $n$-boundary of $M$, i.e. let the minimum of the function $\left\|\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)(x)\right\|$ is attained within $E$ for any regular $n$-tuple over $A(M)$. Let $\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n-1}\right)$ be a fixed $(n-1)$-tuple over $A(M)$ and suppose that for some $f \in A(M)$ the restriction $\left.f\right|_{z\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n-1}\right)}$ is positive on the set $Z\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n-1}\right)$ and that $f(x) \geqq r>0$ for some positive $r$ and for every $x \in Z\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n-1}\right) \cap E$. We shall show that then $f(x) \geqq r$ on the whole $Z\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n-1}\right)$. For any $\varepsilon>0$, $\varepsilon<r$ there exists a neighborhood $U_{\varepsilon} \subset M$ of the set $Z\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n-1}\right) \cap E$ on which $f(x) \geqq r-\varepsilon$. Consequently for some positive constant $C_{e}$, big enough, on $E$ we will have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\varepsilon}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} g_{j}^{2}(x)+f^{2}(x) \geqq(r-\varepsilon)^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently (4) will hold on the whole $M$ because the $n$-tuple ( $C_{\varepsilon} g_{1}, \cdots$, $\left.C_{\varepsilon} g_{n-1}, f\right)$ is regular and $E$ is a closed affine $n$-boundary of $M$. In particular on $Z\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n-1}\right)$ we will have that $f^{2}(x) \geqq(r-\varepsilon)^{2}$, from where $f^{2}(x) \geqq r^{2}$ because of the liberty of the choice of $\varepsilon$. We obtain that all affine functionals of $A\left(Z\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n-1}\right)\right)$ that are positive attain their minimums within $Z\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n-1}\right) \cap E$, wherefrom $Z\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n-1}\right) \cap E \supset E\left(Z\left(g_{1}, \cdots\right.\right.$, $\left.g_{n-1}\right)$ ) because the latter set is the smallest closed affine 1-boundary of $Z\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n-1}\right)$. Now $E \supset \cup\left\{Z\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n-1}\right) \cap E:\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n-1}\right) \in A^{n-1}(M)\right\} \supset$ $\cup\left\{E\left(Z\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n-1}\right)\right):\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n-1}\right) \in A^{n-1}(M)\right\}$ and by taking the closures we obtain finally that $E$ contains the set (3).
Q.E.D.

## § 2. Properties of $\boldsymbol{n}$-affine boundaries.

Corollary 1. The range of the minimal affine $n$-boundary of a compact convex subset $M$ of $V$ through any $n$-tuple $\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)$ of affine functionals from $A(M)$ contains the topological boundary of the range of $M$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)\left(E_{n}(M)\right) \supset b\left(\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)(M)\right), \quad \forall\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right) \in A^{n}(M) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Supposing that $b\left(\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)(M)\right) \backslash\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)\left(E_{n}(M)\right) \neq \varnothing$, let
$x_{0}$ be such a point of $M$ that $\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \in b\left(\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)(M)\right) \backslash\left(f_{1}, \cdots\right.$, $\left.f_{n}\right)\left(E_{n}(M)\right)$ and let

$$
\min _{E_{n}(M)}\left\|\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)-\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)(x)\right\|=\delta>0
$$

The continuous function $\left\|\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)(x)-X^{0}\right\|$ on $M$, where $X^{0}=\left(x_{1}^{0}, \cdots, x_{n}^{0}\right)$ is a fixed point from $\boldsymbol{R}^{n} \backslash\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)(M)$ with $\left\|X^{0}-\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|<\delta / 2$, satisfies the following inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(f_{1}-x_{1}^{0}, \cdots, f_{n}-x_{n}^{0}\right)(x)\right\|=\left\|\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)(x)-X^{0}\right\| \\
& \quad \geqq\left|\left\|\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)(x)-\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|-\left\|\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)-X^{0}\right\|\right| \geqq \delta / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $x \in E_{n}(M)$. Hence

$$
\left\|\left(f_{1}-x_{1}^{0}, \cdots, f_{n}-x_{n}^{0}\right)(x)\right\|=\left\|\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)(x)-X^{0}\right\| \geqq \delta / 2
$$

for any $x \in M$ because ( $f_{1}-x_{1}^{0}, \cdots, f_{n}-x_{n}^{0}$ ) is a regular $n$-tuple over $A(M)$ (since $X^{0} \notin\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)(M)$ ) in contradiction with the choice of $X^{0}$. Consequently $b\left(\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)(M)\right) \backslash\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)\left(E_{n}(M)\right)=\varnothing$.
Q.E.D.

Theorem 2. The minimal affine $n$-boundary of a compact convex subset $M$ of $V$ coincides with the intersection of all closed subsets $E$ of $M$, such that $\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)(E) \supset b\left(\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)(M)\right)$ for every $n$-tuple $\left(f_{1}, \cdots\right.$, $\left.f_{n}\right) \in A^{n}(M)$, i.e.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
E_{n}(M)=\cap\left\{E: E=[E] \subset M,\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)(E) \supset b\left(\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)(M)\right)\right.  \tag{6}\\
\left.\quad \text { for each }\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right) \in A^{n}(M)\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. Corollary 1 shows that $E_{n}(M)$ contains the right hand side set of (6). Let $E$ be a closed subset of $M$ such that $\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)(E) \supset$ $b\left(\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)(M)\right)$ for every $n$-tuple $\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right) \in A^{n}(M)$ and let $\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n}\right)$ be a fixed regular $n$-tuple over $A(M)$. Because of $\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n}\right)(M) \nexists$ $(0, \cdots, 0)$, we can find a point $X^{0} \in b\left(\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n}\right)(M)\right)$ such that $\left\|X^{0}\right\|=$ $\left\|\left(x_{1}^{0}, \cdots, x_{n}^{0}\right)\right\|=\min _{x \in M}\left\|\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n}\right)(x)\right\|$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n}\right)(x)\right\| \geqq\left\|X^{0}\right\|=\min _{x \in M}\left\|\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n}\right)(x)\right\|=\min _{X \in\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n}\right)(u)}\|X\| \\
& \quad=\min _{X \in b\left(\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n}\right)(M)\right)}\|X\| \geqq \min _{X \in\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n}\right)(E)}\|X\|=\min _{x \in E}\left\|\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n}\right)(x)\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

because $\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n}\right)(E) \supset b\left(\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n}\right)(M)\right)$ according to our supposition. Consequently the minimum of the function $\left\|\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)(x)\right\|$ is attained within $E$ for every regular $n$-tuple $\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right) \in A_{*}^{n}(M)$, i.e. $E$ is an affine $n$-boundary of $M$. Hence $E \supset E_{n}(M)$ because the latter is the smallest closed affine $n$-boundary of $M$.
Q.E.D.

Corollary 2. Let $\left\|\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)(x)\right\|$ be one of the following convex functions:

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|f_{j}(x)\right| ; \quad \max _{j=1}^{n}\left|f_{j}(x)\right| ; \quad\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|f_{j}(x)\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}, \quad p \geqq 2 .
$$

Then $E_{n}(M)$ is the smallest closed subset $E$ of $M$ that satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:

1) $\min _{x \in E}\|F(x)\| \leqq \min \{\|x\|: X \in b F(M)\} \quad$ for every $\quad F=\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right) \in$ $A^{n}(M)$;
2) $B(\min \{\|X\|: X \in F(E) \cap b F(M)\})$ is contained either entirely in $F(M)$ or entirely outside $F(M)$ for every $F \in A^{n}(M)$, where $B(r)$ is the open ball in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ centered at the origin and with radius $r$;
3) $\min _{x \in E}\|F(x)\|=\min _{x \in M}\|F(x)\|$ for every regular $n$-tuple $F \in A_{*}^{n}(M)$;
4) $F$ vanishes within $E$ for every $F \in A^{n}(M)$ such that $b F(M) \ni$ ( $0, \cdots, 0$ );
5) $B\left(\min _{x_{\in E}}\|F(x)\|\right) \subset B(\min \{\|X\|: X \in F(E) \cap b F(M)\}) \subset F(M)$ for every $F \in A^{n}(M) \backslash A_{*}^{n}(M)$.

Proof. Actually every one of these conditions characterizes affine $n$-boundaries of $M$, as we shall see. 1) If $E$ is an affine $n$-boundary of $M$, then according to Theorem $2 F(E) \supset b F(M)$ for any $F \in A^{n}(M)$ and hence $\min _{x \in E}\|F(x)\|=\min _{X \in F(E)}\|X\| \leqq \min _{X \in b F(M)}\|X\|$. Conversely, if $E$ is not an affine $n$-boundary of $M$, then according to Theorem 2 there will exist an $n$-tuple $F=\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right) \in A^{n}(M)$ so that $F(E) \not \supset b F(M)$. If $X^{0} \in b F(M) \backslash F(E)$ and $x_{0} \in F^{-1}\left(X^{0}\right)$, then for the $n$-tuple $H=\left(f_{1}-x_{1}^{0}, \cdots, f_{n}-x_{n}^{0}\right) \in A^{n}(M)$ we have: $H\left(x_{0}\right)=(0, \cdots, 0) \in b H(M) \backslash H(E)$ since the set $H(M)$ can be obtained from $F(M)$ by a translation with $X^{0}$ and it preserves the topological properties of $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$. Hence $0=\min _{X \in b H(M)}\|X\|<\min _{x \in E}\|H(x)\|$, i.e. condition 1) is not satisfied for the $n$-tuple $H \in A^{n}(M)$. 2) If $F \in A_{*}^{n}(M)$ then $\rho(O, b F(M))=$ $\rho(O, F(M))$ and hence $B\left(\rho(O, b F(M)) \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{n} \backslash F(M)\right.$ where $O=(0, \cdots, 0)$ and $\rho(O, N)=\inf _{X \in N}\|X\|$ is the distance in $R^{n}$ from $O$ to the set $N \subset M$ with respect to the metric $\rho(x, y)=\|x-y\|$. Condition 1) now says that $B(\rho(O, F(E))) \subset B(\rho(O, b F(M))) \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{n} \backslash F(M)$. If $F \in A^{n}(M) \backslash A_{*}^{n}(M)$ then $\rho(O, b F(M))=\rho\left(O, \boldsymbol{R}^{n} \backslash F(M)\right)$ and hence $B(\rho(O, b F(M))) \subset F(M)$. Now 1) says that $B(\rho(O, F(E)) \subset B(\rho(O, b F(M))) \subset F(M)$, which proves the case 2). Because 1) implies 3), 4) and 5) for the corresponding $n$-tuples $F \in A^{n}(M)$, these conditions are fulfilled for every affine $n$-boundary $E$ of $M$. If $E$ is not an affine boundary then, as we saw above, condition 1) does not hold for the $n$-tuple $H$ with $(0, \cdots, 0) \in b H(M)$. This completes the proof of cases 4) and 5). By a suitable translation with some point $Y^{0} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n} \backslash H(M)$ we can obtain also a regular $n$-tuple $H-Y^{0} \in A_{*}^{n}(M)$ such that $\rho(O, b(H-$
$\left.\left.Y^{0}\right)(M)\right)<\rho\left(O,\left(H-Y^{0}\right)(E)\right)$, i.e. $\min _{x \in E}\left\|H(x)-Y^{0}\right\|>\min _{\left(H-Y^{0}\right)(M)}\|X\|$ in contradiction with condition 3).
Q.E.D.

The next corollary gives local characterizations of the points of the minimal affine $n$-boundary $E_{n}(M)$.

COROLLARY 3. A point $x_{0} \in M$ belongs to $E_{n}(M)$ iff for any neighborhood $U$ of $x_{0}$ there exists an $n$-tuple $F \in A^{n}(M)$, such that:

1) $F \in A_{*}^{n}(M)$ and $\min _{U}\|F(x)\|<\min _{\mu \backslash U}\|F(x)\|$;
2) $b F(M) \ni(0, \cdots, 0)$ and $\min _{M \backslash U}\|F(x)\|>0$;
3) $\quad F \in A^{n}(M) \backslash A^{n}(M)$ and $\rho(0, F(U) \cap b F(M))<\rho(0, F(M \backslash U) \cap b F(M))$.

Proof. If some of these properties fails to be true, then according to Theorem 1 or Corollary $2 E_{n}(M) \subset M \backslash U$ in contradiction with $x_{0} \in U$. If some of these properties holds for every $U \ni x_{0}$ this will imply that $U \cap E_{n}(M) \neq \varnothing$ so that every neighborhood of $x_{0}$ will contain points from $E_{n}(M)$, wherefrom $x_{0} \in E_{n}(M)$ since $E_{n}(M)$ is closed.
Q.E.D.

## § 3. Some applications.

The following is an affine version of classical Rouche's theorem for analytic functions and of its generalization for $n$-tuples of uniform algebra elements, due to Corach and Maestripieri, as well [5].

Theorem 3. Let $M$ be a compact convex subset of $V$, and $F$ and $G$ be $n$-tuples from $A^{n}(M)$. If the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|F(x)-G(x)\|<\|F(x)+G(x)\| \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds on $E_{n}(M)$ then $F$ and $G$ are simultaneously regular or irregular $n$-tuples of $A^{n}(M)$.

Proof. Because the minimal affine $n$-boundary $E_{n}(M)$ is a compact subset of $M$, there will exist an integer $m$ such that:

$$
m \min _{E_{n}(M)}(\|F(x)+G(x)\|-\|F(x)-G(x)\|)>\max _{\mu}\|F(x)-G(x)\|
$$

Assume that the theorem is not true. Then the end members of the sequence $2 m F,(2 m-1) F+G,(2 m-2) F+2 G, \cdots, F+(2 m-1) G, 2 m G$ are not simultaneously regular $n$-tuples over $A(M)$. Hence there are two neighboring members of this sequence, one of which is regular and the other is irregular. Suppose that $k$ is an integer such that the $n$-tuple $(m-k) F+(m+k) G$ is regular but $(m-k+1) F+(m+k-1) G$ is an irregular $n$ tuple and let $x_{0}$ be a point of $M$ for which $(m-k+1) F\left(x_{0}\right)+(m+k-1) G\left(x_{0}\right)=0$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max _{x \in M} & \left.\|F(x)-G(x)\|<m \min _{E_{n}(M)}\|F(x)+G(x)\|-\|F(x)-G(x)\|\right) \\
& \leqq \min _{E_{n}(M)}(m\|F(x)+G(x)\|-k\|F(x)-G(x)\|) \leqq \min _{E_{n}(M)}\|(m-k) F(x)+(m+k) G(x)\| \\
& =\min _{M}\|(m-k) F(x)+(m+k) G(x)\| \leqq\left\|(m-k) F\left(x_{0}\right)+(m+k) G\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| \\
& =\left\|(m-k) F\left(x_{0}\right)+(m+k) G\left(x_{0}\right)-\left[(m-k+1) F\left(x_{0}\right)+(m+k-1) G\left(x_{0}\right)\right]\right\| \\
& =\left\|G\left(x_{0}\right)-F\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| \leqq \max _{x \in \mathbb{M}}\|F(x)-G(x)\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

The obtained contradiction proves the theorem.
Q.E.D.

An other application of minimal affine $n$-boundaries is the proving of the following affine version of a theorem of Hartogs for analytic functions in the unit ball in $C^{n}$ and its generalization for pairs of uniform algebra elements, due to Sibony [6], as well.

THEOREM 4. Let $M$ be a compact convex subset of $V$, and $F$ and $G$ be n-tuples of elements of $A(M)$. If the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|F(x)\|=\|G(x)\| \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds on $E_{2 n}(M)$ then it holds everywhere in $M$.
It is interesting to know if the minimal affine $n$-boundary $E_{n}(M)$ coincides with the closure of these end subsets of $M$, that are contained in ( $n-1$ )-dimensional affine subspaces of $V$ as in the case $n=1$.

Note. Recently M. Hayashi has proved positively this problem (private communication).
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