

## The Characters of a Maximal Parabolic Subgroup of $GL_n(F_q)$

Yasumasa NAKADA and Ken-ichi SHINODA

*Sophia University*

### §0. Introduction.

Let  $G_n$  be the general linear group  $GL_n(F_q)$ , that is, the group of nonsingular matrices of degree  $n$  with coefficients in the finite field  $F_q$  and  $P_n$  be the maximal parabolic subgroup of  $G_n$  consisting of matrices  $(g_{ij}) \in G_n$  such that  $g_{21} = g_{31} = \cdots = g_{n1} = 0$ .

In this paper we show an inductive method to calculate the irreducible characters of  $P_n$  and also determine the branching rules of irreducible characters for  $G_n \rightarrow P_n$  and  $P_n \rightarrow L_n$ , where  $L_n$  is a Levi subgroup of  $P_n$  and hence  $L_n$  is isomorphic to  $G_1 \times G_{n-1}$ . J. A. Green [2] showed how to calculate the irreducible characters of  $G_n$  and A. V. Zelevinsky [5] determined the branching rules for  $G_n \rightarrow H_n$  and  $H_n \rightarrow G_{n-1}$ , where  $H_n = \{(g_{ij}) \in P_n \mid g_{11} = 1\}$  is the group of affine transformations. Thus this paper can be viewed as an application of these two papers.

We use the following notation. We deal here only with complex characters and so for a finite group  $G$ ,  $\text{Irr } G$  stands for the set of all irreducible complex characters of  $G$  and  $\text{ch } G$  is the ring of virtual complex characters of  $G$ . For a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  and  $\varphi \in \text{ch } H$ ,  $\text{Ind}_H^G \varphi$  is the induced character of  $\varphi$  from  $H$  to  $G$  and for  $\chi \in \text{ch } G$ ,  $\text{Res}_H^G \chi$  is the restriction of  $\chi$  to  $H$ . For  $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \text{ch } G$ ,

$$(\chi_1, \chi_2)_G = |G|^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_1(g) \chi_2(g^{-1}).$$

For  $g \in G$ ,  $Z_G(g)$  is the centralizer of  $g$  in  $G$  and for a finite set  $X$ ,  $|X|$  denotes its cardinality. Moreover we denote by  $N$  the set of natural numbers, so that  $N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Now let  $V_n = F_q^n$  be the  $n$ -dimensional vector space of column  $n$ -vectors with coefficients in  $F_q$  and  $(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n)$  be the canonical basis of  $V_n$ . Then  $V_n$  is naturally a left  $G_n$ -module. For  $f \in \text{End } V_n$ ,  $\text{Im } f$  is the image of  $f$  and  $\text{Ker } f$  is the kernel of  $f$ . We also regard  $F_q$  to be the subset of  $\text{End } V_n$  identifying  $a \in F_q$  with  $a \cdot 1_{V_n}$ .

This paper is organized as follows. In §1 we give a parametrization for the conjugacy classes of  $P_n$  and  $H_n$ , and in §2 after giving a parametrization of  $\text{Irr } P_n$ , we show an inductive formula for the value of irreducible characters of  $P_n$  and  $H_n$ . Finally in §3, applying a theorem in [5], we give branching rules for  $G_n \rightarrow P_n$  and  $P_n \rightarrow L_n$ .

The second named author would like to express his gratitude to Arunas Rudvalis for showing him the character table of  $P_6$  over  $F_2$  and sharing with him some interesting observations.

§1. Conjugacy classes.

For  $m \in N$ , a partition  $\lambda$  of  $m$  is a finite sequence of integers  $\lambda = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_r)$  such that  $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \dots \geq l_r \geq 0$  and  $m = l_1 + l_2 + \dots + l_r$ . We identify  $(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_r)$  with  $(l_1, \dots, l_r, 0, \dots, 0)$ . The integers  $l_i$  are called the parts of  $\lambda$  and  $r_j(\lambda)$  is the number of parts of  $\lambda$  which are equal to  $j$ . If  $l_r > 0$  and  $l_{r+1} = 0$  then  $r$  is called the rank of  $\lambda$  denoted by  $r = r(\lambda)$ . Also  $m$  is the degree of  $\lambda$  denoted by  $m = |\lambda|$ . Let  $P_m$  be the set of all partitions of degree  $m$  and put  $P = \cup_{m \in N} P_m$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all irreducible monic polynomials over  $F_q$  with nonzero constant term in the indeterminant  $t$ . For  $n \in N$ ,  $S_n(\mathcal{F}, P)$  denotes the set of mappings  $\nu$  from  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $P$  such that

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |\nu(f)| \cdot \deg f = n .$$

We write  $\text{supp}(\nu) = \{f \in \mathcal{F} \mid |\nu(f)| > 0\}$ .

It is well known that the conjugacy classes of  $G_n$  are parametrized by  $S_n(\mathcal{F}, P)$ . In fact, a representative  $c_\nu$  of the conjugacy class corresponding to  $\nu \in S_n(\mathcal{F}, P)$  is given as follows. For  $f(t) = t^d + a_{d-1}t^{d-1} + \dots + a_1t + a_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ , put

$$J(f) = J_1(f) = \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & 1 & & & & & \\ \cdot & \cdot & 1 & & & & \\ & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \\ -a_0 & -a_1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & -a_{d-1} & \end{pmatrix} .$$

And for a positive integer  $m$ , put

$$J_m(f) = \begin{pmatrix} J(f) & 1_d & & & \\ & J(f) & 1_d & & \\ & \dots & \dots & \dots & \\ \cdot & \dots & & & J(f) \end{pmatrix} \in G_{md} ,$$

and moreover for  $\lambda=(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_r) \in P$  with  $r=r(\lambda)$ , put

$$J_\lambda(f) = \text{diag}(J_{l_1}(f), \dots, J_{l_r}(f)) .$$

Then, if  $\text{supp}(\nu) = \{f_1, \dots, f_N\}$ , a representative  $c_\nu$  of conjugacy class corresponding to  $\nu$  is given by

$$c_\nu = \text{diag}(J_{\nu(f_1)}(f_1), \dots, J_{\nu(f_N)}(f_N)) .$$

If  $f(t) = t - a$  for  $a \in F_q^\times = F_q \setminus \{0\}$ , we write  $J_m(a)$ ,  $J_\lambda(a)$  instead of  $J_m(t-a)$ ,  $J_\lambda(t-a)$ . Now for a positive integer  $k$ ,  $0 < k \leq n$ ,  $a \in F_q^\times$  and  $\mu \in S_{n-k}(\mathcal{F}, P)$ , we define an element  $c_n(a^{(k)}, \mu)$  of  $P_n$  by

$$c_n(a^{(k)}, \mu) = \text{diag}(J_k(a), c_\mu) .$$

**PROPOSITION 1.1.** (i) *The set  $\{c_n(a^{(k)}, \mu) \mid 0 < k \leq n, a \in F_q^\times, \mu \in S_{n-k}(\mathcal{F}, P)\}$  is a complete system of representatives of conjugacy classes in  $P_n$ . In particular the conjugacy classes in  $P_n$  are parametrized by the set*

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} (S_i(\mathcal{F}, P) \times S_i(\mathcal{F}, P)) .$$

(ii) *For  $\mu \in S_{n-k}(\mathcal{F}, P)$ , put  $r_i = r_i(\mu(t-a)) =$  the number of parts of  $\mu(t-a) \in P$  which are equal to  $i$ , and put*

$$e = e(c_n(a^{(k)}, \mu)) = k - 1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i r_i + (2k - 1) \sum_{i=k}^{n-k} r_i .$$

Then we have

$$|Z_{P_n}(c_n(a^{(k)}, \mu))| = |Z_{G_{n-k}}(c_\mu)|(q-1)q^e .$$

**PROOF.** Let  $p$  be an element of  $P_n$  so that  $pe_1 = ae_1$  for some  $a \in F_q^\times$ . Let  $k$  be the positive integer determined by  $e_1 \notin \text{Im}(p-a)^k$  and  $e_1 \in \text{Im}(p-a)^{k-1}$  and choose  $v_i \in V_n$  ( $i=1, \dots, k$ ) such that  $v_1 = e_1$ ,  $(p-a)v_2 = v_1, \dots, (p-a)v_k = v_{k-1}$ . Then if  $V'$  is the subspace spanned by  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ , then  $pV' = V'$  and so  $p$  induces an element  $\bar{p} \in GL(V_n/V')$ , which determines  $\mu \in S_{n-k}(\mathcal{F}, P)$  such that  $\bar{p}$  is conjugate to  $c_\mu$  in  $GL(V_n/V')$ . Then it is easy to see that  $p$  is conjugate to  $c_n(a^{(k)}, \mu)$  in  $P_n$ . The other assertion can be proved easily and so we omit the proof.

Next we consider the conjugacy classes of  $H_n$ . We start with the following lemma.

**LEMMA 1.2.** *Let  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  be elements of  $H_n$ , which are conjugate in  $P_n$ . Then they are also conjugate in  $H_n$ .*

PROOF. Let  $h_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \in H_n$  ( $x \in G_{n-1}$ ,  $b \in F_q^{n-1}$ ), and  $h_2 = ph_1p^{-1}$  for  $p \in P_n$ . Since  $P_n$  is a semidirect product of the normal subgroup  $H_n$  and  $M = \{(g_{ij}) \in P_n \mid g_{ii} = 1 \text{ for } 2 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } g_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } i \neq j\} \simeq F_q^\times$ ,  $P_n = M \ltimes H_n$ , it is sufficient to show that for  $p = \begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & 1_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$  with  $a \in F_q^\times$ , we can find  $h \in H_n$  such that  $ph_1p^{-1} = hh_1h^{-1}$ , which is satisfied by  $h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & a^{-1}x \end{pmatrix}$ .

Combining (1.1) and (1.2), we have

PROPOSITION 1.3. (i) *The set  $\{c_n(1^{(k)}, \mu) \mid 0 < k \leq n, a \in F_q^\times, \mu \in S_{n-k}(\mathcal{S}, P)\}$  is a complete system of representatives of conjugacy classes in  $H_n$ . In particular, the conjugacy classes in  $H_n$  are parametrized by the set  $\cup_{i=0}^{n-1} S_i(\mathcal{S}, P)$ .*

(ii)  $|Z_{H_n}(c_n(1^{(k)}, \mu))| = (q-1)^{-1} |Z_{P_n}(c_n(1^{(k)}, \mu))|$ .

## §2. Characters of $P_n$ .

Firstly we recall the definition of generalized inductions and restrictions (cf. [5]). Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $A, S$  be subgroups of  $G$  such that  $A$  is normalized by  $S$  and  $A \cap S = \{1\}$ . Therefore  $S$  is a subgroup of  $N = N_G(A)$  = the normalizer of  $A$  in  $G$  and in general  $N$  acts on  $\text{Irr } A$  by  ${}^n\chi(a) = \chi(n^{-1}an)$  for  $\chi \in \text{Irr } A$ ,  $a \in A$  and  $n \in N$ . Now let  $\psi$  be a linear character of  $A$  such that  $S \subset \text{Stab}_N(\psi) = \{n \in N \mid {}^n\psi = \psi\}$ . Let  $(\rho, E)$  be a representation of  $S$  so that  $E$  is a finite dimensional vector space over  $C$  and  $\rho$  is a homomorphism from  $S$  to  $GL(E)$ . Then  $\rho$  can be extended to a representation  $\rho'$  of  $AS$  by  $\rho'(as) = \psi(a)\rho(s)$  for  $a \in A$  and  $s \in S$ . Then the generalized induction of  $(\rho, E)$  to  $G$  is defined by  $\text{Ind}_{AS}^G \rho'$  which will be denoted by  $(i_{A,\psi}_S)^G(\rho)$ . Now let  $(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{E})$  be a representation of  $G$ . Then  $\tilde{E}_\psi = \{v \in \tilde{E} \mid \tilde{\rho}(a)v = \psi(a)v \text{ for all } a \in A\}$  is an  $S$ -stable subspace of  $\tilde{E}$  and the representation  $(\text{Res}_S^G \tilde{\rho}, \tilde{E}_\psi)$  of  $S$  is called the generalized restriction of  $(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{E})$  to  $S$  and is denoted by  $(r_{A,\psi}_S)^G(\tilde{\rho})$ . We also regard  $(i_{A,\psi}_S)^G$  (resp.  $(r_{A,\psi}_S)^G$ ) to be an additive homomorphism from  $\text{ch } S$  (resp.  $\text{ch } G$ ) to  $\text{ch } G$  (resp.  $\text{ch } S$ ). In particular, if  $A$  is trivial then  $(i_{A,1}_S)^G = \text{Ind}_S^G$  and  $(r_{A,1}_S)^G = \text{Res}_S^G$ . Moreover we can prove the following property of the generalized inductions and restrictions without difficulty (cf. [5], 8.1 and 8.2).

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let  $G, A, S, \psi$  be as above.*

(i) *For  $\chi \in \text{ch } S$  and  $\varphi \in \text{ch } G$ , we have*

$$((i_{A,\psi}_S)^G(\chi), \varphi)_G = (\chi, (r_{A,\psi}_S)^G(\varphi))_S.$$

(ii) Assume moreover that  $A$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $\text{Stab}_G(\psi) = AS$ . Then  $(r_{A,\psi})_S^G \circ (i_{A,\psi})_S^G = \text{id}_{\text{ch } S}$ .

(iii) Let  $G_1$  be a subgroup of  $G$  which contains  $A, S$  as its subgroups and  $S_1 \subset S$ . Then  $(r_{A,\psi})_{S_1}^{G_1} \circ \text{Res}_{G_1}^G = \text{Res}_{S_1}^S \circ (r_{A,\psi})_S^G$ .

Furthermore, if  $G = A \rtimes B$  is a semidirect product of an abelian normal subgroup  $A$  and a subgroup  $B$ , then we can apply the method of little groups to determine  $\text{Irr } G$  as follows.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $G = A \rtimes B$  with  $A$  abelian.*

(i) Let  $\psi \in \text{Irr } A$  and  $B_\psi = \text{Stab}_B \psi$ . Then for all  $\sigma \in \text{Irr } B_\psi$ ,  $(i_{A,\psi})_{B_\psi}^G(\sigma) \in \text{Irr } G$ .

(ii) For every  $\varphi \in \text{Irr } G$ , there exist  $\psi \in \text{Irr } A$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Irr } B_\psi$  such that  $\varphi = (i_{A,\psi})_{B_\psi}^G(\sigma)$ .

(iii) For  $\varphi_j = (i_{A,\psi_j})_{B_{\psi_j}}^G(\sigma_j) \in \text{Irr } G$  where  $\psi_j \in \text{Irr } A$  and  $\sigma_j \in \text{Irr } B_{\psi_j}$ ; ( $j=1, 2$ ),  $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$  if and only if  $\psi_1 = {}^g \psi_2$  and  $\sigma_1 = {}^g \sigma_2$  for some  $g \in G$ .

For a proof we refer the reader to [1, §11B].

Now we return to our original situation. Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $L_n$  and  $U_n$  be the subgroups of  $P_n$  defined by

$$L_n = \{(g_{ij}) \in P_n \mid g_{12} = g_{13} = \dots = g_{1n} = 0\},$$

$$U_n = \{(g_{ij}) \in P_n \mid g_{ii} = 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } g_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } i \neq 1 \text{ and } i \neq j\}.$$

Then  $L_n \simeq G_1 \times G_{n-1}$ ,  $U_n \simeq F_q^{n-1}$  and  $P_n = L_n \rtimes U_n$ . Therefore we can apply (2.2) to determine  $\text{Irr } P_n$ .

Let  $\psi$  be a nontrivial character of the additive group  $F_q$ , and define  $\psi_n \in \text{Irr } U_n$  by  $\psi_n((u_{ij})) = \psi(u_{12})$  for  $(u_{ij}) \in U_n$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\text{Irr } U_n = \{1\} \cup \{\psi_n \mid p \in P_n\}$ , where 1 is the trivial character of  $U_n$ . Put  $S_n = \text{Stab}_{L_n} \psi_n$ . Then

$$S_n = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cccc} a_{11} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} & \dots & a_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & a_{n3} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{array} \right) \in L_n \mid a_{11} = a_{22} \right\} \simeq P_{n-1}.$$

Hereafter we identify  $P_{n-1}$  with  $S_n$  and we consider that  $P_{n-1}$  is a subgroup of  $P_n$  with this identification and so are  $P_k, H_k$  and  $G_k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ). By (2.2) there is a bijection from  $\text{Irr } L_n \cup \text{Irr } P_{n-1}$  to  $\text{Irr } P_n$  and so by iteration from  $\cup_{i=1}^n \text{Irr } L_i$  to  $\text{Irr } P_n$ . This bijection is described as follows. Firstly since  $L_n \simeq P_n/U_n$  we can regard  $\text{Irr } L_n$  as a subset of  $\text{Irr } P_n$  and

this inclusion is obtained by virtue of  $(i_{U_n,1})_{L_n^n}^P$ . Next if  $\zeta \in \text{Irr } P_{n-1}$  then  $(i_{U_n,\psi_n})_{P_{n-1}^n}^P(\zeta) \in \text{Irr } P_n$ . Similar statement also holds for  $H_n$  and so we have the following theorem (cf. [5, 13.2]).

**THEOREM 2.3.** (i) For  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , let

$$I_{L_k^n}^P = (i_{U_n,\psi_n})_{P_{n-1}^n}^P \circ \cdots \circ (i_{U_{k+1},\psi_{k+1}})_{P_k^{k+1}}^P \circ (i_{U_k,1})_{L_k^k}^P.$$

Then the mapping  $I^n: \cup_{k=1}^n \text{Irr } L_k \rightarrow \text{Irr } P_n$  defined by

$$I^n(\zeta) = I_{L_k^n}^P(\zeta), \quad \text{for } \zeta \in \text{Irr } L_k,$$

is a bijection.

(ii) For  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , let

$$I_{G_{k-1}^n}^H = (i_{U_n,\psi_n})_{H_{n-1}^n}^H \circ \cdots \circ (i_{U_{k+1},\psi_{k+1}})_{H_k^{k+1}}^H \circ (i_{U_k,1})_{G_{k-1}^{k-1}}^H.$$

Then the mapping  $I'^n: \cup_{k=1}^n \text{Irr } G_{k-1} \rightarrow \text{Irr } H_n$  defined by

$$I'^n(\chi) = I_{G_{k-1}^n}^H(\chi), \quad \text{for } \chi \in \text{Irr } G_{k-1},$$

is a bijection.

For brevity, we will also write  $I_k^n(\zeta) = I_{L_k^n}^P(\zeta)$  if  $\zeta \in \text{Irr } L_k$ . The character values of  $I_k^n(\zeta)$  on  $P_n$  can be derived from the results of [2], while for the values of  $I_k^n(\zeta)$  ( $1 \leq k < n$ ), we have the following inductive formula.

**THEOREM 2.4.** Let  $1 \leq k, l < n$ ,  $\zeta \in \text{Irr } L_k$  and  $\nu \in S_{n-l}(\mathcal{F}, P)$ . Put  $r_i = r_i(\nu(t-a))$  for  $i=1, 2, \dots, n-l$ . Also for  $i=1, \dots, n-l$  define  $\nu_i \in S_{n-1-i}(\mathcal{F}, P)$  as follows: firstly  $\nu_{l-1} = \nu$ , and if  $i \neq l-1$ , then for  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $f \neq t-a$ ,  $\nu_i(f) = \nu(f)$  and

$$r_j(\nu_i(t-a)) = \begin{cases} r_j, & \text{if } j \neq i, l-1, \\ r_j - 1, & \text{if } j = i, \\ r_j + 1, & \text{if } j = l-1. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & I_k^n(\zeta)(c_n(a^{(l)}, \nu)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-l} (q^{r_i} - 1) q^{r_{i+1} + \cdots + r_{n-l}} I_k^{n-1}(\zeta)(c_{n-1}(a^{(i)}, \nu_i)) \\ & \quad - q^{r_1 + \cdots + r_{n-l}} I_k^{n-1}(\zeta)(c_{n-1}(a^{(l-1)}, \nu)), \end{aligned}$$

where if  $l=1$ , we regard the last term on the right hand side to be equal to zero.

PROOF. Let  $x=c_n(a^{(l)}, \nu)$  and  $\chi=I_k^{n-1}(\zeta)$ . Then by the definition of  $I_k^n$ , we have

$$I_k^n(\zeta)(x) = |U_n S_n|^{-1} \sum_{g \in P_n} (\psi \cdot \chi)(g^{-1} x g),$$

where, as usual,  $\psi \cdot \chi \in \text{Irr}(U_n S_n)$  is extended to a complex valued function on  $P_n$  by  $(\psi \cdot \chi)(y) = 0$  for  $y \in P_n \setminus U_n S_n$ .

Since we have  $(g^{-1} x g)e_1 = a e_1$  for  $g \in P_n$ ,  $g^{-1} x g \in U_n S_n$  if and only if  $(x-a)g e_2 = b e_1$  for some  $b \in F_q$ . Let  $v_0 = e_1, v_1, \dots, v_s$  be a basis of  $\text{Ker}(x-a)$ , and put  $l_0 = l$  and  $\nu(t-a) = (l_1, \dots, l_s) = ((n-l)^{r_{n-l}}, \dots, 1^{r_1})$ . Then we may assume that  $v_i \in \text{Im}(x-a)^{l_i-1}$  and  $v_i \notin \text{Im}(x-a)^{l_i}$  for  $i=0, 1, \dots, s$ .

Case 1.  $(x-a)g e_2 = 0$ . Then  $g e_2 \in \text{Ker}(x-a)$  and so  $g e_2 = \sum_{i=0}^s a_i v_i$  for some  $a_i \in F_q$  ( $i=0, 1, \dots, s$ ), which implies  $g^{-1} x g e_2 = a e_2$  or equivalently  $x g e_2 = a g e_2$ . Let  $p_n$  be the natural homomorphism of vector spaces,  $p_n: V_n \rightarrow V_n/F_q e_1 \simeq V_{n-1}$  and  $\pi_n$  be the canonical homomorphism of groups,  $\pi_n: U_n S_n \rightarrow U_n S_n/U_n \simeq S_n \simeq P_{n-1}$ . Then it can be shown without difficulty that the number of elements  $g \in P_n$  such that  $p_n(g e_2) \in \text{Im}(\pi_n(x) - a)^{i-1} \setminus \text{Im}(\pi_n(x) - a)^i$  is given by  $(q^{r_i} - 1)q^{r_{i+1} + \dots + r_{n-l}} |S_n U_n|$ , for  $i=1, 2, \dots, n-l$ . Moreover in this case  $\pi_n(g^{-1} x g)$  is conjugate to  $c_{n-1}(a^{(i)}, \nu_i)$  in  $P_{n-1}$  and  $(\psi \cdot \chi)(g^{-1} x g) = \chi(\pi_n(g^{-1} x g)) = \chi(c_{n-1}(a^{(i)}, \nu_i))$ . Therefore we have

$$|S_n U_n|^{-1} \sum (\psi \cdot \chi)(g^{-1} x g) = (q^{r_i} - 1)q^{r_{i+1} + \dots + r_{n-l}} \chi(c_{n-1}(a^{(i)}, \nu_i)),$$

for  $i=1, 2, \dots, n-l$ , where the summation is all over  $g \in P_n$  such that  $g^{-1} x g \in S_n U_n$  and that  $\pi_n(g^{-1} x g)$  is conjugate to  $c_{n-1}(a^{(i)}, \nu_i)$ .

Case 2.  $(x-a)g e_2 = b e_1$  for some  $b \in F_q^\times$ . Thus we have  $e_1 \in \text{Im}(x-a)$  and hence  $l > 1$ . Therefore  $(x-a)e_2 = e_1$  and so  $g e_2 - b e_1 \in \text{Ker}(x-a)$ , which implies that  $g e_2 - b e_1 = \sum_{i=0}^s a_i v_i$  for some  $a_i \in F_q$ ,  $i=0, 1, \dots, s$ . Putting  $g^{-1} e_1 = c e_1$  for  $c \in F_q^\times$ , we have

$$(g^{-1} x g)e_2 = b c e_1 + a e_2$$

and so  $(\psi \cdot \chi)(g^{-1} x g) = \psi(bc) \chi(\pi_n(g^{-1} x g))$ , where  $\pi_n(g^{-1} x g)$  is conjugate to some  $c_{n-1}(a^{(i)}, \nu_i)$  for some  $i=1, \dots, l-1$ . Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |S_n U_n|^{-1} \sum (\psi \cdot \chi)(g^{-1} x g) \\ &= \begin{cases} -(q^{r_i} - 1)q^{r_{i+1} + \dots + r_{n-l}} \chi(c_{n-1}(a^{(i)}, \nu_i)), & \text{for } i=1, \dots, l-2, \\ -q^{r_{l-1} + r_l + \dots + r_{n-l}} \chi(c_{n-1}(a^{(l-1)}, \nu)), & \text{for } i=l-1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where the summation is all over  $g \in P_n$  such that  $g^{-1} x g \in S_n U_n$  and that  $\pi_n(g^{-1} x g)$  is conjugate to  $c_{n-1}(a^{(i)}, \nu_i)$ . Thus adding these values in cases 1 and 2, we obtain the required results.

Let  $m$  be the multiplicity of the eigenvalue  $a$  of  $x=c_n(a^{(l)}, \nu)$ . Using the same notation as in the proof of (2.4), we have

$$m = \sum_{i=1}^{n-l} i r_i + l = \sum_{i=0}^s l_i.$$

The following corollaries are easy consequences of (2.4).

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *If  $I_k^n(\chi)(c_n(a^{(l)}, \nu)) \neq 0$ , then  $m > n - k$ .*

**COROLLARY 2.6.** *Assume that  $m = \sum_{i=0}^s l_i > n - k$ .*

(i) *If  $l_0 = l_1 = \dots = l_s = 1$  and so  $m = s + 1$  and  $\nu(t - a) = (1^s)$ , then*

$$(2.6.1) \quad I_k^n(\chi)(c_n(a^{(l)}, \nu)) = (q^s - 1) \cdots (q^{s-n+k+1} - 1) I_k^k(\chi)(c_k(a^{(l)}, \nu_k)),$$

where

$$\nu_k(f) = \begin{cases} \nu(f), & \text{if } f \neq t - a, \\ (1^{s-n+k}), & \text{if } f = t - a. \end{cases}$$

In particular, we have

$$(2.6.2) \quad \deg I_k^n(\chi) = (q^{n-1} - 1) \cdots (q^k - 1) \deg \chi.$$

(ii) *If  $s = 0$  and hence  $m = l > n - k$ , then*

$$(2.6.3) \quad I_k^n(\chi)(c_n(a^{(l)}, \nu)) = (-1)^{n-k} I_k^k(\chi)(c_k(a^{(l-n+k)}, \nu)).$$

In particular if  $\chi = \chi_1 \times \chi_{k-1} \in \text{Irr } G_1 \times \text{Irr } G_{k-1}$  and  $l - n + k = 1$ , then

$$(2.6.4) \quad I_k^n(\chi)(c_n(a^{(l)}, \nu)) = (-1)^{n-k} \chi_1(a) \chi_{k-1}(c_{k-1}(\nu)).$$

**REMARK 2.7.** The formula (2.6.4) means that certain part of the character table of  $G_k$  multiplied by  $(q-1)$ th roots of unity appears as a part of the character table of  $P_n$ . In particular if  $q=2$ , then the matrix  $(\chi_{k-1}(c_{k-1}(\nu)))$ , where  $\chi_{k-1} \in \text{Irr } G_{k-1}$  and  $\nu \in S_{k-1}(\mathcal{S}, P)$  and  $\nu(t-1) = (0)$ , appears as a submatrix of the character table of  $P_n$ .

Now we consider a relation between  $\text{Irr } P_n$  and  $\text{Irr } H_n$ . By (2.3), every irreducible character of  $P_n$  (resp.  $H_n$ ) can be expressed as  $I_{L_{k+1}}^{P_n}(\zeta)$  (resp.  $I_{G_k}^{H_n}(\chi)$ ) for some  $\zeta \in \text{Irr } L_{k+1}$  (resp.  $\chi \in \text{Irr } G_k$ ),  $0 \leq k < n$ . Since  $L_{k+1} \simeq G_1 \times G_k$ , we have  $\zeta = \alpha \cdot \chi$  for some  $\alpha \in \text{Irr } G_1$  and  $\chi \in \text{Irr } G_k$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.8.** *With the notation as above, we have the following branching rule for  $P_n \rightarrow H_n$ .*

- (i)  $\text{Res}_{H_n}^{P_n} \circ I_{L_{k+1}}^{P_n}(\alpha \cdot \chi) = I_{G_k}^{H_n}(\chi)$ .
- (ii)  $\text{Ind}_{H_n}^{P_n} \circ I_{G_k}^{H_n}(\chi) = \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Irr } G_1} I_{L_{k+1}}^{P_n}(\alpha \cdot \chi)$ .



PROOF. (i) Let  $\varphi \in \text{Irr } H_n$  be a component of  $\text{Res}_{H_n}^{P_n} \circ I_{L_{k+1}}^{P_n}(\alpha \cdot \chi)$ . Then by a theorem of Clifford (cf. [1; 11.1]) and by (1.2), we have  $\text{Res}_{L_{k+1}}^{P_n} \circ I_{L_{k+1}}^{P_n}(\alpha \cdot \chi) = a\varphi$ , for some positive integer  $a$ . On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{Res}_{H_n}^{P_n} \circ I_{L_{k+1}}^{P_n}(\alpha \cdot \chi), I_{G_k}^{H_n}(\chi))_{H_n} \\ &= (\text{Res}_{H_n}^{P_n} \circ I_{L_{k+1}}^{P_n}(\alpha \cdot \chi), (i_{U_n, \psi_n})_{H_{n-1}}^{H_n} \circ I_{G_k}^{H_{n-1}}(\chi))_{H_n} \\ &= ((r_{U_n, \psi_n})_{H_{n-1}}^{H_n} \circ \text{Res}_{H_n}^{P_n} \circ I_{L_{k+1}}^{P_n}(\alpha \cdot \chi), I_{G_k}^{H_{n-1}}(\chi))_{H_{n-1}} \\ &= (\text{Res}_{H_{n-1}}^{P_{n-1}} \circ (r_{U_n, \psi_n})_{P_{n-1}}^{P_n} \circ I_{L_{k+1}}^{P_n}(\alpha \cdot \chi), I_{G_k}^{H_{n-1}}(\chi))_{H_{n-1}} \\ &= (\text{Res}_{H_{n-1}}^{P_{n-1}} \circ I_{L_{k+1}}^{P_{n-1}}(\alpha \cdot \chi), I_{G_k}^{H_{n-1}}(\chi))_{H_{n-1}} \\ &= \dots \\ &= (\text{Res}_{H_{k+1}}^{P_{k+1}} \circ I_{L_{k+1}}^{P_{k+1}}(\alpha \cdot \chi), I_{G_k}^{H_{k+1}}(\chi))_{H_{k+1}} \\ &= (\text{Res}_{H_{k+1}}^{P_{k+1}} \circ I_{L_{k+1}}^{P_{k+1}}(\alpha \cdot \chi), (i_{U_{k+1}, 1})_{G_k}^{H_{k+1}}(\chi))_{H_{k+1}} \\ &= ((r_{U_{k+1}, 1})_{G_k}^{H_{k+1}} \circ \text{Res}_{H_{k+1}}^{P_{k+1}} \circ I_{L_{k+1}}^{P_{k+1}}(\alpha \cdot \chi), \chi)_{G_k} \\ &= (\text{Res}_{G_k}^{L_{k+1}} \circ (r_{U_{k+1}, 1})_{L_{k+1}}^{P_{k+1}} \circ (i_{U_{k+1}, 1})_{L_{k+1}}^{P_{k+1}}(\alpha \cdot \chi), \chi)_{G_k} \\ &= (\text{Res}_{G_k}^{L_{k+1}}(\alpha \cdot \chi), \chi)_{G_k} = (\chi, \chi)_{G_k} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $a=1$  and also the assertion follows.

(ii) Since  $P_n/H_n \simeq G_1$ , the second assertion follows from (i) and the Frobenius reciprocity.

§ 3. Branching rules.

In this section we consider the decomposition of  $\text{Res}_{P_n}^{G_n}(\zeta)$  for every  $\zeta \in \text{Irr } G_n$  and  $\text{Res}_{L_n}^{P_n}(\chi)$  for every  $\chi \in \text{Irr } P_n$ . By the Frobenius reciprocity, this is equivalent to consider the decomposition of  $\text{Ind}_{P_n}^{G_n}(\chi)$  for every  $\chi \in \text{Irr } P_n$  and the decomposition of  $\text{Ind}_{L_n}^{P_n}(\rho)$  for every  $\rho \in \text{Irr } L_n$ . For this purpose, we firstly recall a parametrization of  $\text{Irr } G_n$  due to J. A. Green [2]. Let  $\bar{F}_q$  be an algebraic closure of  $F_q$  and  $\sigma$  be the automorphism of  $\bar{F}_q$  defined by  $\sigma(a) = a^q$  for all  $a \in \bar{F}_q$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the set of orbits of  $\bar{F}_q^\times$  under  $\sigma$  and so  $\mathcal{K}$  naturally corresponds with  $\mathcal{F}$  bijectively. Then  $\text{Irr } G_n$  can be parametrized by  $S_n(\mathcal{K}, \mathbf{P}) = \{\lambda: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{P} \mid \sum_{O \in \mathcal{K}} |\lambda(O)| |O| = n\}$ . For the details we refer the reader to [2]. For example,  $\lambda \in S_n(\mathcal{K}, \mathbf{P})$  corresponds to a cuspidal representation of  $G_n$  if and only if  $\lambda(O) = (1)$  for an orbit  $O \in \mathcal{K}$  such that  $|O| = n$  and  $\lambda(O') = (0)$  for  $O' \neq O$ . We denote the irreducible character corresponding to  $\lambda$  by  $[\lambda]$  and fix an injective homomorphism  $\iota: \bar{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$ , keeping it fixed throughout this paper. For  $O \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $\lambda \in S_n(\mathcal{K}, \mathbf{P})$ , let  $k(O)$  and  $e(\lambda)$  be integers determined by

$$\iota\left(\prod_{\alpha \in O} \alpha\right) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}k(O)/(q-1)), \quad \text{and}$$

$$e(\lambda) = \sum_{O \in \mathcal{X}} |\lambda(O)| \cdot k(O).$$

Notice that the integers  $k(O)$  and  $e(\lambda)$  are determined only by  $\text{mod } q-1$ . Then  $[\lambda]$  takes the following value on the central element  $\tilde{\varepsilon} \cdot 1_n$  of  $G_n$ , where  $\iota(\tilde{\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/(q-1))$ :

$$(3.1) \quad [\lambda](\tilde{\varepsilon} \cdot 1_n) = \varepsilon^{e(\lambda)} \deg[\lambda].$$

Next we recall a result of A. V. Zelevinsky [5], which plays a crucial role.

**DEFINITION 3.2.** (i) For  $\lambda = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_r) \in P$  with  $r = r(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda^-$  is the partition  $(l_1-1, l_2-1, \dots, l_r-1)$ .

(ii) For  $\lambda = (l_1, \dots)$ ,  $\mu = (m_1, \dots) \in P$ ,  $\mu \subset \lambda$  if and only if  $m_i \leq l_i$  for all  $i=1, 2, 3, \dots$ .

(iii) For  $\lambda, \mu \in S(\mathcal{X}, P) = \bigcup_{n \in N} S_n(\mathcal{X}, P)$ , the relation  $\mu \dashv \lambda$  holds if and only if  $\lambda(O)^- \subset \mu(O) \subset \lambda(O)$  for every  $O \in \mathcal{X}$ .

**THEOREM 3.3** [5; 13.5]. (i) Let  $\lambda \in S_n(\mathcal{X}, P)$ . Then

$$\text{Res}_{H_n^*}^{G_n^*}[\lambda] = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\mu} I_{G_k^*}^{H_n^*}([\mu]),$$

where  $\mu$  runs over all  $S_k(\mathcal{X}, P)$  such that  $\mu \dashv \lambda$ .

(ii) If  $\mu \in S_k(\mathcal{X}, P)$ ,  $0 \leq k < n$ , then

$$\text{Res}_{G_{n-1}^*}^{H_n^*} I_{G_k^*}^{H_n^*}[\mu] = \sum_{\lambda} [\lambda],$$

where  $\lambda$  runs over all  $S_n(\mathcal{X}, P)$  such that  $\mu \dashv \lambda$ .

In particular, the restriction of any irreducible characters of  $G_n$  (resp.  $H_n$ ) to  $H_n$  (resp.  $G_{n-1}$ ) is multiplicity free.

Using (3.3) we can prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.4.** (i) Let  $\lambda \in S_n(\mathcal{X}, P)$ . Then

$$\text{Res}_{P_n^*}^{G_n^*}[\lambda] = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\theta, \mu} I_{L_{k+1}^*}^{P_n^*}([\theta] \cdot [\mu]),$$

where the summation is over all  $\theta \in S_1(\mathcal{X}, P)$  and  $\mu \in S_k(\mathcal{X}, P)$ ,  $0 \leq k < n$ , such that  $\mu \dashv \lambda$  and  $e(\lambda) \equiv e(\theta) + e(\mu) \pmod{q-1}$ .

(ii) Let  $\theta \in S_1(\mathcal{X}, P)$  and  $\mu \in S_k(\mathcal{X}, P)$ ,  $0 \leq k < n$ . Then

$$\text{Res}_{L_n^P} I_{L_{k+1}^P}^P([\theta] \cdot [\mu]) = \sum_{\eta, \rho} [\eta] \cdot [\rho],$$

where the summation is over all  $\eta \in S_1(\mathcal{X}, P)$  and  $\rho \in S_{n-1}(\mathcal{X}, P)$  such that  $\mu \dashv \rho$  and  $e(\theta) + e(\mu) \equiv e(\eta) + e(\rho) \pmod{q-1}$ .

PROOF. (i) Let  $\lambda \in S_n(\mathcal{X}, P)$  and  $\mu \in S_k(\mathcal{X}, P)$  for certain  $k$  such that  $0 \leq k < n$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{Res}_{P_n^G}^G[\lambda], \sum_{\theta \in S_1(\mathcal{X}, P)} I_{L_{k+1}^P}^P([\theta] \cdot [\mu]))_{P_n} \\ &= (\text{Res}_{P_n^G}^G[\lambda], \text{Ind}_{H_n^P}^P I_{G_k^H}^H[\mu])_{P_n} && \text{(by (2.8.ii))} \\ &= (\text{Res}_{H_n^G}^G[\lambda], I_{G_k^H}^H[\mu])_{H_n} && \text{(by Frobenius reciprocity)} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mu \dashv \lambda, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} && \text{(by (3.3.i))} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore if  $\mu \dashv \lambda$ , then there exists one and only one  $\theta \in S_1(\mathcal{X}, P)$  such that

$$(3.3.1) \quad (\text{Res}_{P_n^G}^G[\lambda], I_{L_{k+1}^P}^P([\theta] \cdot [\mu]))_{P_n} = 1.$$

Now by (3.1), we have

$$\text{Res}_{Z_n^P}^P \text{Res}_{P_n^G}^G[\lambda] = \text{Res}_{Z_n^G}^G[\lambda] = \text{deg}[\lambda] \cdot \alpha,$$

where  $\alpha \in \text{Irr } Z_n$  is defined by  $\alpha(\tilde{\varepsilon} \cdot 1_n) = \varepsilon^{e(\lambda)}$ . Similarly by (2.6.1), we have

$$\text{Res}_{Z_n^P}^P I_{L_{k+1}^P}^P([\theta] \cdot [\mu]) = \text{deg}(I_{L_{k+1}^P}^P([\theta] \cdot [\mu])) \cdot \beta,$$

where  $\beta \in \text{Irr } Z_n$  is defined by  $\beta(\tilde{\varepsilon} \cdot 1_n) = \varepsilon^{e(\theta) + e(\mu)}$ . Thus the condition of  $\theta$  for (3.3.1) to hold is given by

$$e(\lambda) \equiv e(\theta) + e(\mu) \pmod{q-1}.$$

Hence we have proved (i).

The assertion (ii) can be proved similarly using (2.8.i) and

$$\text{Ind}_{G_{n-1}^L}^L[\rho] = \sum_{\eta \in S_1(\mathcal{X}, P)} [\eta] \cdot [\rho],$$

for  $\rho \in S_{n-1}(\mathcal{X}, P)$ . We omit the details.

Combining (3.3.i) and (3.3.ii), we have

COROLLARY 3.5. Let  $\lambda \in S_n(\mathcal{X}, P)$ . Then

$$\text{Res}_{L_n^G}^G[\lambda] = \sum_{\eta, \rho} f(\lambda, \rho)[\eta] \cdot [\rho],$$

where  $\eta$  (resp.  $\rho$ ) runs over all  $S_1(\mathcal{X}, P)$  (resp.  $S_{n-1}(\mathcal{X}, P)$ ) such that  $e(\lambda) \equiv e(\eta) + e(\rho) \pmod{q-1}$  and  $f(\lambda, \rho)$  is the number of  $\mu \in S_k(\mathcal{X}, P)$ ,  $0 \leq k < n$ , such that  $\mu \rightarrow \lambda$  and  $\mu \rightarrow \rho$ .

REMARK 3.6. The result (3.5) in different form was obtained by S. Nozawa [3], using fully the result of [2]. But one notices that by virtue of a result of E. Thoma [4], one can prove directly (3.5) by an argument used in the proof of (3.4) without going back to [2].

### References

- [1] C. W. CURTIS and I. REINER, *Methods of Representation Theory, vol. I*, John Wiley, 1981.
- [2] J. A. GREEN, The characters of the finite general linear groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **80** (1955), 402-447.
- [3] S. NOZAWA, On the restriction of the irreducible characters of  $GL(n, q) \times GL(1, q)$ , *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, **24** (1977), 515-524.
- [4] E. THOMA, Die Einschränkung der Charaktere von  $GL(n, q)$  auf  $GL(n-1, q)$ , *Math. Z.*, **112** (1971), 321-338.
- [5] A. V. ZELEVINSKY, *Representations of Finite Classical Groups*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **869** (1981), Springer.

*Present Address:*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOPHIA UNIVERSITY  
KIOICHO, CHIYODA-KU, TOKYO 102, JAPAN