

## The Sequence of Luxemburg Norms of Derivatives

Ha Huy BANG and Mitsuo MORIMOTO

*Institute of Mathematics, Hanoi, and Sophia University*

**Abstract.** In this paper we prove that the results obtained in [1] for  $L_p$ -norm are still valid for an arbitrary Luxemburg norm.

### 1. Introduction.

Let  $G \subset \mathbf{R}$  be some domain and let  $\phi(t) : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  be an arbitrary Young function [2-3], i.e.,  $\phi(0) = 0$ ,  $\phi(t) \geq 0$ ,  $\phi(t) \neq 0$  and  $\phi(t)$  is convex. We denote by  $L_\phi(G)$  the set of all measurable functions  $f(x)$  on  $G$  such that

$$\|f\|_\phi = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_G \phi(|f(x)|/\lambda) dx \leq 1 \right\} < \infty.$$

Then  $L_\phi(G)$  with the Luxemburg norm  $\|\cdot\|_\phi$  is a Banach space.  $L_\phi(G)$  is called Orlicz space.

Recall that  $\|\cdot\|_\phi = \|\cdot\|_p$  when  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\phi(t) = t^p$ ; and  $\|\cdot\|_\phi = \|\cdot\|_\infty$  when  $\phi(t) = 0$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  and  $\phi(t) = \infty$  for  $t > 1$ . Orlicz spaces are often arised in the study of nonlinear problems (see, for example, [4-5]).

We obtained the following result in [1]:

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $D^n f(x) \in L_p(\mathbf{R})$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . Then there always exists the limit*

$$d_f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|D^n f\|_p^{1/n},$$

and moreover

$$d_f = \sigma_f = \sup \{ |\xi| : \xi \in \text{supp } \tilde{f}(\xi) \},$$

where the last equality is the definition of  $\sigma_f$  and  $\tilde{f}(\xi)$  is the Fourier transform of the function  $f(x)$ .

In this paper we prove that Theorem A still holds when we replace Lebesgue norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$  by general norm  $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ . In [1] we used the Kolmogorov-Stein inequality [6-8] to prove the existence of the limit  $d_f$ . Unfortunately, we do not know the generalization of the Kolmogorov-Stein inequality in the case of an arbitrary Luxemburg norm. Therefore, here we had to use a new technique for the proof of the corresponding result.

Studying the properties of functions from  $L_\phi(G)$ , without loss of generality we may assume that  $\phi(t)$  is left continuous. Actually, in the contrary case, there exists a point  $t_0 > 0$  such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0^-} \phi(t) < \phi(t_0) \leq \infty, \quad \phi(t) = \infty, \quad t > t_0.$$

We put

$$\psi(t) = \begin{cases} \phi(t), & t \neq t_0 \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0^-} \phi(t), & t = t_0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\psi(t)$  is left continuous, and it is obvious that  $L_\phi(G) = L_\psi(G)$  and  $\|\cdot\|_\phi = \|\cdot\|_\psi$ .

## 2. Results.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$  be some sequence of natural numbers and  $f(x) \in L_\phi(\mathbf{R})$  such that  $D^{n_k} f(x) \in L_\phi(\mathbf{R})$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then there always exists the limit*

$$d_f = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|D^{n_k} f\|_\phi^{1/n_k},$$

and moreover

$$d_f = \sigma_f = \sup \{ |\xi| : \xi \in \text{supp } \tilde{f}(\xi) \}.$$

To prove this theorem we need the following results:

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $g(x) \in L_\phi(\mathbf{R})$ . Then  $g(x) \in L_{1,loc}(\mathbf{R})$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\gamma > 0$  be some number such that

$$\phi(\gamma/(\|g\|_\phi + \varepsilon)) > 0.$$

Then it follows from  $\phi(at) \geq a\phi(t)$ ,  $a \geq 1$ ,  $t \in [0, \infty)$  that

$$\phi(\gamma/(\|g\|_\phi + \varepsilon)) \int_{|g(x)| \geq \gamma} |g(x)|/\gamma dx \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(|g(x)|/(\|g\|_\phi + \varepsilon)) dx \leq 1.$$

Hence  $g(x) \in L_{1,loc}(\mathbf{R})$ .

(q.e.d.)

Let  $\sigma > 0$ . Denote by  $E_\sigma$  the set of all entire functions of exponential type  $\sigma$  and

by  $M_{\sigma, \phi}$  the space of all functions from  $E_\sigma$  which as functions of  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  belong to  $L_\phi(\mathbf{R})$ . We have the following result [9, p. 191]:

LEMMA 2. Let  $f(z) \in E_\sigma$  and

$$\sup_{-\infty < s < \infty} \left\{ \int_s^{s+2\pi} |f(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \leq A < \infty$$

with some  $p \geq 1$ . Then for each  $x \in \mathbf{R}$

$$|f(x)| \leq (2\pi)^{1/q} A (1 + \sigma^{1/p}),$$

where  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ .

LEMMA 3. Let  $f(x) \in M_{\sigma, \phi}$ . Then  $f(x)$  is bounded on  $\mathbf{R}$ .

PROOF. Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$(1) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(|f(x)|) dx \leq 1.$$

Then using Jensen's inequality we get for each  $s \in \mathbf{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_s^{s+2\pi} |f(x)| dx\right) &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_s^{s+2\pi} \phi(|f(x)|) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(|f(x)|) dx \leq \frac{1}{2\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exists a number  $A < \infty$  such that

$$\sup_{-\infty < s < \infty} \int_s^{s+2\pi} |f(x)| dx \leq A$$

because of  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t) = \infty$ . Therefore, it follows from Lemma 2 that  $f(x)$  is bounded. (q.e.d.)

REMARK 1. We can prove that  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0$  if  $f(x) \in M_{\sigma, \phi}$  and  $\phi(t) > 0$ ,  $t > 0$ . Actually, without loss of generality we may suppose that (1) is satisfied. Further, assume the contrary that there exist a number  $c > 0$  and a sequence  $|x_n| \rightarrow \infty$  such that

$$(2) \quad |f(x_n)| \geq 2c, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Taking account of

$$f(x) - f(x_n) = \int_{x_n}^x f'(t) dt, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

and the Bernstein inequality [9, p. 183]

$$\|f'\|_{\infty} \leq \sigma \|f\|_{\infty},$$

we get

$$|f(x) - f(x_n)| \leq \sigma \|f\|_{\infty} |x - x_n|, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Put

$$r = c/\sigma \|f\|_{\infty}.$$

Then

$$(3) \quad |f(x)| \geq c \quad \text{for} \quad |x - x_n| \leq r, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

because of (2) and

$$|f(x) - f(x_n)| \leq \sigma \|f\|_{\infty} |x - x_n| \leq c, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots.$$

On the other hand, without loss of generality we may assume that

$$(4) \quad x_{n+1} - x_n \geq r, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Combining (3) and (4), we get

$$1 \geq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(|f(x)|) dx \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{x_n}^{x_{n+1}} \phi(|f(x)|) dx \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r \phi(c) = \infty.$$

We thus arrive at a contradiction.

**REMARK 2.** In order that  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0$ , the condition  $\phi(t) > 0, t > 0$  is necessary because of  $f(x) \equiv c \in M_{\sigma, \phi}, 0 \leq c < \infty$  in the contrary case.

We have the following Bernstein inequality for Luxemburg norm:

**LEMMA 4.** Let  $f(x) \in M_{\sigma, \phi}$ . Then

$$(5) \quad \|D^n f\|_{\phi} \leq \sigma^n \|f\|_{\phi}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots.$$

**PROOF.** Using Lemma 3, the following interpolation formula [9, p. 188]

$$f'(x) = \frac{\sigma}{\pi^2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(k-1/2)^2} f\left(x + \frac{\pi}{\sigma} (k-1/2)\right)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k-1/2)^2} = \pi^2,$$

we immediately get (5). (q.e.d.)

**REMARK 3.** It is not difficult to show that Lemmas 1-4 and Remarks 1-2 still hold for  $n$ -dimensional case.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. It follows from Lemma 1 and the Sobolev imbedding theorem that  $f(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ .

We shall begin by showing that

$$(6) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|D^{nk}f\|_\phi^{1/nk} \leq \sigma_f.$$

It is enough to prove (6) for  $\sigma_f < \infty$ . Then using  $f \in \mathcal{S}'$  (this follows from the proof of Lemma 1) and the well-known Paley-Wiener-Schwartz theorem, we obtain that  $f$  is an analytic function of exponential type  $\sigma_f$ . Therefore, by virtue of Lemma 4 we get (6).

Now we claim that

$$(7) \quad \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|D^{nk}f\|_\phi^{1/nk} \geq \sigma_f.$$

We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1 ( $\sigma_f < \infty$ ). Assume the contrary that (7) does not hold. Then there exist a number  $0 < \delta < \sigma_f$  and a subsequence  $\{k_m\}$  (for simplicity of notation we assume that  $k_m = m$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that

$$(8) \quad \|D^{nk}f\|_\phi^{1/nk} \leq \sigma_f - \delta, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and

$$(9) \quad f_k(x) = k \int_0^{1/k} f(x+t) dt, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Then by Jensen's inequality and  $f_k(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left(\frac{|D^n f_k(x)|}{\|D^n f\|_\phi + \varepsilon}\right) &\leq k \int_0^{1/k} \phi\left(\frac{|D^n f(x+t)|}{\|D^n f\|_\phi + \varepsilon}\right) dt \\ &\leq k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi\left(\frac{|D^n f(x+t)|}{\|D^n f\|_\phi + \varepsilon}\right) dt = k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi\left(\frac{|D^n f(t)|}{\|D^n f\|_\phi + \varepsilon}\right) dt \leq k \end{aligned}$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . Therefore, it follows from the left continuity of  $\phi(t)$  that

$$\phi\left(\frac{|D^n f_k(x)|}{\|D^n f\|_\phi}\right) \leq k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots; \quad n = 0, 1, \dots.$$

Therefore,

$$(10) \quad \phi\left(\frac{\|D^n f_k\|_\infty}{\|D^n f\|_\phi}\right) \leq k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots; \quad n = 0, 1, \dots.$$

On the other hand, it is easy to check that  $\sigma_f \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{f_k}$ . Therefore, there exists a number  $m$  such that

$$(11) \quad \sigma_{f_m} \geq \sigma_f - \delta/4.$$

Further, it follows from Theorem A that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|D^n f_m\|_{\infty}^{1/n} = \sigma_{f_m}.$$

Therefore, there exists a number  $k_0$  such that

$$(12) \quad \|D^{n_k} f_m\|_{\infty}^{1/n_k} \geq \sigma_{f_m} - \delta/4, \quad k \geq k_0.$$

Combining (8), (10), (11) and (12), we get

$$m \geq \phi \left( \frac{\|D^{n_k} f_m\|_{\infty}}{\|D^{n_k} f\|_{\phi}} \right) \geq \phi \left( \left( \frac{\sigma_f - \delta/2}{\sigma_f - \delta} \right)^{n_k} \right), \quad k \geq k_0.$$

This contradicts  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t) = \infty$ .

Case 2 ( $\sigma_f = \infty$ ). Assume the contrary that (7) does not hold. Then there exist a number  $C < \infty$  and a subsequence  $\{k_m\}$  (for simplicity of notation we assume again that  $k_m = m$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that

$$(13) \quad \|D^{n_k} f\|_{\phi}^{1/n_k} \leq C, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots.$$

On the other hand, it is easy to check that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{f_m} = \infty$ . Therefore, there exist numbers  $m$  and  $k_0$  such that

$$\|D^{n_k} f_m\|_{\infty}^{1/n_k} \geq C + 1, \quad k \geq k_0.$$

Therefore, using (10) and (13) we get

$$m \geq \phi \left( \frac{\|D^{n_k} f_m\|_{\infty}}{\|D^{n_k} f\|_{\phi}} \right) \geq \phi \left( \left( \frac{C+1}{C} \right)^{n_k} \right), \quad k \geq k_0.$$

This contradicts  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t) = \infty$ . The proof is complete.

For the periodic case, we can prove easily the following result:

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that  $f(x) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$  is an arbitrary  $2\pi$ -periodic function and  $\phi(t)$  is an arbitrary Young function. Then there exists the limit*

$$d_f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \| \|D^n f\| \|_{\phi}^{1/n},$$

and moreover

$$d_f = \sigma_f = \sup \{ |k| : k \in \text{supp } \tilde{f}(\xi) \},$$

where  $\| \cdot \|_{\phi}$  is the  $L_{\phi}(0, 2\pi)$ -norm.

**REMARK 4.** Theorem 1 still holds for any  $(L, l^q)$ -amalgam cases.

**REMARK 5.** Theorem 1 gives us certain information about the support of the Fourier transform of a function when we know the behaviour of a subsequence of

$L_\phi$ -norm of its derivatives.

REMARK 6. Let  $f(x) \in L_\phi(\mathbf{R})$  and  $\sigma_f < \infty$ . Then  $D^n f(x) \in L_\phi(\mathbf{R})$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Therefore, using tables of the Fourier transform, in many cases, we can find the limit  $d_f$  without any concrete calculation.

REMARK 7. We have obtained some results in this direction for  $n$ -dimensional case, but the picture is different. It will be published elsewhere.

### References

- [ 1 ] Ha Huy BANG, A property of infinitely differentiable functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1990), 73–76.
- [ 2 ] R. O'NEIL, Fractional integration in Orlicz spaces I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **115** (1965), 300–328.
- [ 3 ] R. T. ROCKAFELLAR, *Convex Analysis*, Princeton Univ. Press (1970).
- [ 4 ] M. A. KRASNOSELSKY and Y. B. RUTISKY, *Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces*, GITTL, Moscow (1958); English transl., Noordhoff (1961).
- [ 5 ] Tran Duc VAN, Nonlinear differential equations and function spaces of infinite order, (in Russian), Izdatelstvo Belorussian State Univ. (1983).
- [ 6 ] A. N. KOLMOGOROV, On inequalities between the upper bounds of the successive derivatives of an arbitrary function on an infinite interval, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. **4** (1949).
- [ 7 ] E. M. STEIN, Functions of exponential type, Ann. of Math. **65** (1957), 582–592.
- [ 8 ] Ha Huy BANG, Some problems of function spaces of infinite order, Doctoral Thesis, Inst. Math., Hanoi (1987).
- [ 9 ] N. I. AKHIEZER, *Lectures on the Approximation Theory*, Nauka (1965).

*Present Addresses:*

HA HUY BANG  
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,  
P.O. BOX 631, BO HO, 10000, HANOI, VIETNAM.

MITSUO MORIMOTO  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOPHIA UNIVERSITY,  
KIOICHO, CHIYODA-KU, TOKYO, 102 JAPAN.