

## Correction to : A Characterization of the Poisson Kernel Associated with $SU(1, n)$

(Tokyo Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 11, No. 1, 1988, pp. 37–55)

Takeshi KAWAZOE and Taoufiq TAHANI

*Keio University and Université de Lille I*

In Corollary 6 (iii), which appears in page 45 of the paper above, the numerator in the right hand side of the second equation should be equal to 4 instead of 2. As a consequence the numerators  $2(2n^2 - 9n + 1)$  and  $4n(6n^2 + 5n - 5)$  in (21) must be changed into  $-2(n^2 + 3n + 2)$  and  $8n(3n^2 + n - 1)$  respectively, and then the equation below (24) into  $A = \bar{A}$ . Therefore, the argument in p. 51 that deduces (8d) collapses. We replace it as follows.

Let  $F$  be a real valued,  $C^2$  function on  $G/K$  satisfying  $F(0) = 1$  and (2a), (2b), (2c) in Lemma 1. We here put  $[F](g) = \int_M f(mg) dm$  ( $g \in G$ ) and  $R = F - [F]$ . Then  $[F]$  satisfies  $[F](0) = 1$ , (2a) and (2c), and  $R$  satisfies  $R(0) = 0$ , (2a) and  $(\partial R / \partial \zeta_i)(0) = 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Especially, if we denote by  $[F] = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} [F]_N$  (resp.  $R = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} R_N$ ) a homogeneous expansion of  $[F]$  (resp.  $R$ ) with respect to  $\zeta_1, \bar{\zeta}_1, \zeta_2, \bar{\zeta}_2, \dots, \zeta_n, \bar{\zeta}_n$ , we see that

$$(1a) \quad [F]_0 = 1, \quad [F]_1 = n(\zeta_1 + \bar{\zeta}_1),$$

$$(1b) \quad R_0 = R_1 = 0.$$

Since  $P(\zeta) = P(\zeta, e_1)$  and  $[F]$  are  $M$ -invariant eigenfunctions of  $D$ , it follows from Proposition 7 that they have expansions of the forms:

$$(2a) \quad P(\zeta) = \sum_{p, q \geq 0} P_{pq}(r) \phi_{pq}(\zeta) = \sum_{p, q \geq 0} Q_{pq}^0(r) \zeta_1^p \bar{\zeta}_1^q,$$

$$(2b) \quad [F](\zeta) = \sum_{p, q \geq 0} C_{pq} P_{pq}(r) \phi_{pq}(\zeta) = \sum_{p, q \geq 0} Q_{pq}(r) \zeta_1^p \bar{\zeta}_1^q,$$

where  $r^2 = |\zeta|^2$ ,  $\zeta = \zeta/r$ ,  $C_{pq} \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\phi_{pq}$  is a spherical harmonic on  $K/M$  (see [1], p. 144). Since  $\phi_{00}(\zeta) = 1$ ,  $\phi_{10}(\zeta) = \zeta_1$  and  $\phi_{01}(\zeta) = \bar{\zeta}_1$ , it follows from (1a) that

$$(3a) \quad Q_{00} = Q_{00}^0 = (1 - r^2)^n,$$

$$(3b) \quad Q_{10} = Q_{01} = Q_{10}^0 = Q_{01}^0 = (1 - r^2)^n n.$$

Moreover, comparing with the coefficient of  $1 = \zeta_1^0 \bar{\zeta}_1^0$  in  $D[F] = 0$ , we see from (3a) that

$$(4) \quad Q_{11} = Q_{11}^0 = (1 - r^2)^n n^2.$$

Therefore, noting the relations among coordinates in p. 41, we can deduce that  $[F]$  is of the form:

$$(5) \quad [F] = 1 + n(\xi + \bar{\xi}) + \alpha \xi^2 + \bar{\alpha} \bar{\xi}^2 + n^2 |\xi|^2 - nr^2 + \dots$$

We next substitute  $F = [F] + R$  for (2b) in Lemma 1:

$$(6) \quad 8n^2([F]^2 + 2[F]R + R^2) = |\nabla|^2([F]) + 2\nabla([F], R) + |\nabla|^2(R),$$

where  $\nabla(f, g) = \Delta(fg) - \Delta(f)g - f(\Delta g)$  and  $|\nabla|^2(f) = \nabla(f^2)$ . Since  $[\nabla([F], R)] = [\Delta([F]R)] = \Delta([F][R]) = 0$ , the average of (6) over  $M$  is given by

$$(7) \quad 8n^2([F]^2 + [R^2]) = |\nabla|^2([F]) + [|\nabla|^2(R)].$$

Then, comparing with the homogeneous polynomials of degree 2 in (7), we see from (1b) that

$$(8) \quad 8 \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial R_2}{\partial \zeta_i} \right|^2 \right] = \text{the homogeneous polynomial of degree 2} \\ \text{in } 8n^2[F]^2 - |\nabla|^2([F]).$$

We here let  $\zeta = \zeta_0 = (0, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n)$ . Then (3) implies that

$$(9) \quad \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial R_2}{\partial \zeta_i} \right|^2 (\zeta_0) \right] = 0.$$

This means that  $\partial R_2 / \partial \zeta_i = \partial R_2 / \partial \bar{\zeta}_i = 0$  ( $2 \leq i \leq n$ ), so  $R_2$  is a function of  $\zeta_1$  and  $\bar{\zeta}_1$ . Since  $[R] = 0$ , we can deduce that

$$(10) \quad R_2 = 0.$$

Then, it follows from (1b) and (10) that  $F_i = [F]_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ) and thus,  $F$  is of the same form as (5). Therefore, noting the relations among coordinates in p. 41, we see that  $G = e^{-2n\tau} F$  is of the form:

$$(11) \quad G = 1 + a\xi^2 + \bar{a}\bar{\xi}^2 + \dots$$

Therefore, in  $H_2(\xi, z)$  (see p. 49)  $B = D_i = 0$  ( $2 \leq i \leq n$ ). Then it follows from (15) and (16) that  $B = \text{Re}(A) = 0$ , so we recover (8d).

The idea used in this correction can be generalized to the case of  $Sp(n, 1)$  (see [2]).

### References

- [1] K. D. JOHNSON and N. R. WALLACH, Composition series and intertwining operators for the spherical principal series. I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **229** (1977), 137-173.

- [2] T. KAWAZOE, A characterization of the Poisson kernel on the classical rank one symmetric spaces, Tokyo J. Math. **15** (1992), 365–379.

*Present Addresses:*

TAKESHI KAWAZOE  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KEIO UNIVERSITY,  
HIYOSHI, KOHOKU-KU, YOKOHAMA, 223 JAPAN.

TAOUFIQ TAHANI  
U.F.R. DE MATHÉMATIQUES,  
F-59655 VILLENEUVE d'ASCQ CEDEX, FRANCE.