

## A Generalization of the Cauchy-Hua Integral Formula on the Lie Ball

Mitsuo MORIMOTO

*International Christian University*

### Introduction.

First we fix notations and review known results following [3].

Put  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{E}} = \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$  ( $n \geq 2$ ). We put  $z \cdot w = z_1 w_1 + z_2 w_2 + \cdots + z_{n+1} w_{n+1}$  for  $z \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}$  and  $w \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}$ ,  $\|z\|^2 = z \cdot \bar{z}$ , and  $z^2 = z \cdot z$ . We denote by

$$L(z) = \sqrt{\|z\|^2 + \sqrt{\|z\|^4 - |z^2|^2}}$$

the Lie norm of  $z$ . We have  $|z^2| \leq \|z\|^2 \leq L(z)^2$  for  $z \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}$ . Let  $r > 0$ . We denote by

$$\tilde{B}(r) = \{z \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}; L(z) < r\}$$

the open Lie ball of radius  $r$ , or the classical domain of type 4 (see [1]).  $\tilde{B}[r] = \{z \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}; L(z) \leq r\}$  is called the closed Lie ball of radius  $r$ .

Let  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ . The complex variety

$$\tilde{S}_\lambda = \{z \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}; z^2 = \lambda^2\}$$

is called the complex sphere of radius  $\lambda$ . We also call it the complex light cone if  $\lambda = 0$ . Suppose  $0 < |\lambda| < r$  and put

$$\tilde{S}_{\lambda,r} = \partial(\tilde{S}_\lambda \cap \tilde{B}[r]) = \{z \in \tilde{S}_\lambda; L(z) = r\}, \quad (1)$$

$$\Sigma_{\lambda,r} = \{e^{i\theta} z; \theta \in \mathbf{R}, z \in \tilde{S}_{\lambda,r}\}. \quad (2)$$

$\tilde{S}_{\lambda,r}$  and  $\Sigma_{\lambda,r}$  are real analytic manifolds,  $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} \tilde{S}_{\lambda,r} = 2n - 1$ , and  $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} \Sigma_{\lambda,r} = 2n$ .

If  $|\lambda|$  tends to  $r$ , then  $\tilde{S}_{\lambda,r}$  reduces to  $\mathbf{S}_\lambda = \{\lambda x; x \in \mathbf{E}, x^2 = 1\}$  the  $n$ -dimensional sphere of radius  $\lambda$  and  $\Sigma_{\lambda,r}$  reduces to  $\Sigma_r = \{e^{i\theta} x; \theta \in \mathbf{R}, x \in \mathbf{S}_r\}$  the Lie sphere of radius  $r$  (see [2]). Note that  $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{S}_\lambda = n$  and  $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} \Sigma_\lambda = n + 1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}(r))$  be the space of holomorphic functions on  $\tilde{B}(r)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}[r])$  the space of germs of holomorphic functions on  $\tilde{B}[r]$ . We endow  $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}(r))$  with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets and  $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}[r])$  with the locally convex inductive limit topology:

$$\mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}[r]) = \text{ind lim} \{ \mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}(r'); r' > r) \} .$$

It is known that  $\Sigma_r$  is the Shilov boundary of  $\tilde{B}[r]$  and we have the Cauchy-Hua integral formula for  $G \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}(r))$ :

$$G(z) = \text{s.} \int_{\Sigma_r} H_r(z, w) G(w) d\dot{w}, \quad z \in \tilde{B}(r), \tag{3}$$

where

$$H_r(z, w) = H_1(z/r, w/r) \quad \text{and} \quad H_1(z, w) = \frac{1}{(1 - 2z \cdot \bar{w} + z^2 \bar{w}^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \tag{4}$$

is the Cauchy-Hua kernel, and  $\text{s.} \int_{\Sigma_r} \cdots d\dot{w}$  is the symbolic integral form (10) on  $\Sigma_r$ . Note that  $H_r(z, w)$  is defined on the domain

$$\Omega_r = \{ (z, w) \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}} \times \tilde{\mathbf{E}}; L(z)L(w) < r^2 \}, \tag{5}$$

and holomorphic in  $z$ , and satisfies  $H_r(z, w) = \overline{H_r(w, z)}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{O}_\Delta(\tilde{B}(r)) = \{ G \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}(r)); \Delta_z G(z) = 0 \}$  be the space of complex harmonic functions on  $\tilde{B}(r)$ , where  $\Delta_z = \partial^2/\partial z_1^2 + \partial^2/\partial z_2^2 + \cdots + \partial^2/\partial z_{n+1}^2$  is the complex Laplacian. If  $G \in \mathcal{O}_\Delta(\tilde{B}(r))$ , then we have the (classical) Poisson integral formula

$$G(z) = \text{s.} \int_{S_r} K_r(z, x) G(x) d\dot{x}, \quad z \in \tilde{B}(r),$$

where

$$K_r(z, w) = K_1(z/r, w/r) \quad \text{and} \quad K_1(z, w) = \frac{1 - z^2 \bar{w}^2}{(1 - 2z \cdot \bar{w} + z^2 \bar{w}^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \tag{6}$$

is the (classical) Poisson kernel, and  $\text{s.} \int_{S_r} \cdots d\dot{x}$  is the symbolic integral form (17) on  $S_r$ . Note that  $K_r(z, w)$  is defined on  $\Omega_r$ , holomorphic and complex harmonic in  $z$ , and satisfies  $K_r(z, w) = \overline{K_r(w, z)}$ .

We define the (generalized) Poisson kernel  $K_{\lambda,r}(z, w)$  in Lemma 2. The kernel  $K_{\lambda,r}(z, w)$  is also defined on  $\Omega_r$ , holomorphic and complex harmonic in  $z$ , and satisfies  $K_{\lambda,r}(z, w) = \overline{K_{\lambda,r}(w, z)}$ . For  $G \in \mathcal{O}_\Delta(\tilde{B}(r))$  we have the (generalized) Poisson integral formula

$$G(z) = \text{s.} \int_{\tilde{S}_{\lambda,r}} K_{\lambda,r}(z, w) G(w) d\dot{w}, \quad z \in \tilde{B}(r),$$

where  $\text{s.} \int_{\tilde{S}_{\lambda,r}} \cdots d\dot{w}$  denotes the symbolic integral form (16) on  $\tilde{S}_{\lambda,r}$  (see Theorem 3 for the precise statement).

Because the degenerated case  $\lambda = 0$  was treated in [4], we suppose, in this paper,  $0 < |\lambda| < r$  and consider the function

$$H_{\lambda,r}(z, w) = \frac{K_{\lambda,r}(z, w)}{1 - (z/\lambda)^2 (\bar{w}/\lambda)^2}. \tag{7}$$

Then the function  $H_{\lambda,r}(z, w)$  is defined on the domain

$$\Omega_{\lambda,r} = \{(z, w) \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}} \times \tilde{\mathbf{E}}; |z^2| |w^2| < |\lambda|^4, L(z)L(w) < r^2\}$$

and holomorphic in  $z$ , and satisfies  $H_{\lambda,r}(z, w) = \overline{H_{\lambda,r}(w, z)}$ . Consider the open subset

$$\tilde{B}(\lambda, r) = \{z \in \tilde{B}(r); |z^2| < |\lambda|^2\}$$

of  $\tilde{B}(r)$ . Note that  $\tilde{B}(\lambda, r)$  tends to  $\tilde{B}(r)$  as  $|\lambda|$  tends to  $r$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}(\lambda, r))$  the space of holomorphic functions on  $\tilde{B}(\lambda, r)$  endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets. For  $G \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}(\lambda, r))$  we shall prove the (generalized) Cauchy-Hua integral formula

$$G(z) = s. \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} H_{\lambda,r}(z, w) G(w) d\dot{w}, \quad z \in \tilde{B}(\lambda, r), \quad (8)$$

where  $s. \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} \cdots d\dot{w}$  is the symbolic integral form (9) on  $\Sigma_{\lambda,r}$  (see Theorem 6).

In the last §5, we shall calculate the Cauchy-Hua kernel  $H_{\lambda,r}(z, w)$  and the Poisson kernel  $K_{\lambda,r}(z, w)$  in one-dimensional case.

### 1. Symbolic integral form on $\Sigma_{\lambda,r}$ .

Let  $0 < |\lambda| < r$ . We put

$$\tilde{B}[\lambda, r] = \{z \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}; |z^2| \leq |\lambda|^2, L(z) \leq r\}$$

and denote by  $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}[\lambda, r])$  the space of germs of holomorphic functions on  $\tilde{B}[\lambda, r]$  equipped with the locally convex inductive limit topology. The set  $\tilde{B}(\lambda, r)$  is balanced; that is, if  $z \in \tilde{B}(\lambda, r)$  and  $t \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $|t| \leq 1$ , then we have  $tz \in \tilde{B}(\lambda, r)$ .

Let  $F \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}[\lambda, r])$  and  $G(z) \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}(\lambda, r))$ . Because  $\tilde{B}(\lambda, r)$  is balanced, we can expand  $F$  and  $G$  in homogeneous polynomials:

$$F(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} F_j(z), \quad G(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} G_j(z),$$

where the convergence is in respective topology. Take  $t > 1$  sufficiently close to 1 such that  $F(tz)$  and  $G(t^{-1}z)$  are defined on  $\Sigma_{\lambda,r}$ . Then by the orthogonality of exponential functions on  $[0, 2\pi]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F(tz)} G(t^{-1}z) dz &= \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \overline{F_j(tz)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} G_k(t^{-1}z) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{\lambda,r}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \overline{F_j(te^{i\theta}z')} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} G_k(t^{-1}e^{i\theta}z') dz' \\ &= \sum_{j,k} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(k-j)\theta} d\theta \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F_j(tz')} G_k(t^{-1}z') dz' \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F_j(z')} G_j(z') dz',$$

where  $dz$  and  $dz'$  denote the normalized invariant measure on  $\Sigma_{\lambda,r}$  and that on  $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\lambda,r}$ .

Therefore, for  $F \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}[\lambda, r])$  and  $G \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(\lambda, r))$  the integral

$$\int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F(tz)} G(t^{-1}z) dz$$

is defined for  $t > 1$  sufficiently close to 1 and independent of  $t$ . Define the symbolic integral form on  $\Sigma_{\lambda,r}$  by

$$\text{s.} \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F(z)} G(z) dz = \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F(tz)} G(t^{-1}z) dz, \quad (9)$$

where  $t > 1$  is sufficiently close to 1. Note that

$$\text{s.} \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F(z)} G(z) dz = \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F(z)} G(z) dz$$

if both  $F$  and  $G$  belong to  $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}[\lambda, r])$ .

The symbolic integral form  $\text{s.} \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F(z)} G(z) dz$  is a separately continuous sesquilinear form on  $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}[\lambda, r]) \times \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(\lambda, r))$ .

Similarly, when  $\lambda = r$ , we can define the symbolic integral formula

$$\text{s.} \int_{\Sigma_r} \overline{F(z)} G(z) dz \quad (10)$$

for  $F \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}[r])$  and  $G \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(r))$ .

REMARK. If we consider

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(0, r) = \{z \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_0; L(z) < r\} = \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_0(r),$$

$$\Sigma_{0,r} = \{z \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_0; L(z) = r\} = \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{0,r},$$

then the results of this section still hold (see [4]).

## 2. Symbolic integral form on $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\lambda,r}$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{P}^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{E}})$  the space of  $k$ -homogeneous polynomials on  $\tilde{\mathfrak{E}}$  and by  $\mathcal{P}_{\Delta}^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{E}})$  the space of  $k$ -homogeneous harmonic polynomials on  $\tilde{\mathfrak{E}}$ :

$$\mathcal{P}_{\Delta}^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{E}}) = \{F \in \mathcal{P}^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{E}}); \Delta_z F = 0\}.$$

Put  $N(k, n) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{P}_{\Delta}^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{E}})$ . It is known that

$$N(k, n) = \frac{(2k+n-1)(k+n-2)!}{k!(n-1)!} = O(k^{n-1}). \quad (11)$$

Let  $P_{k,n}(t)$  be the Legendre polynomial of degree  $k$  and of dimension  $n+1$ , and  $\tilde{P}_{k,n}(z, w)$  the extended Legendre polynomial defined by

$$\tilde{P}_{k,n}(z, w) = (\sqrt{z^2})^k (\sqrt{\bar{w}^2})^k P_{k,n}\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{z^2}} \cdot \frac{\bar{w}}{\sqrt{\bar{w}^2}}\right), \quad z, w \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}.$$

It is known (see [5] or [3]) that

$$|\tilde{P}_{k,n}(z, w)| \leq L(z)^k L(w)^k, \quad z, w \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}. \tag{12}$$

We have the following reproducing formula for  $F_k \in \mathcal{P}_\Delta^k(\tilde{\mathbf{E}})$ :

$$F_k(z) = \frac{N(k, n)}{r^{2k}} \int_{\mathbf{S}_r} \tilde{P}_{k,n}(z, x) F_k(x) dx, \quad z \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}. \tag{13}$$

For  $|\lambda| < r$  we put

$$L_{k,\lambda,r} = |\lambda|^{2k} P_{k,n}\left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{|\lambda|^2}{r^2} + \frac{r^2}{|\lambda|^2}\right)\right), \quad \lambda \neq 0,$$

$$L_{k,0,r} = \frac{r^{2k}}{2^k} \gamma_{k,n},$$

where  $\gamma_{k,n}$  is the principal coefficient of the Legendre polynomial  $P_{k,n}(t)$ :

$$\gamma_{k,n} = \frac{1}{N(k, n)} \frac{\Gamma(k + (n+1)/2)}{\Gamma((n+1)/2)} \frac{2^k}{k!}. \tag{14}$$

Note that  $L_{k,\lambda,r}$  is continuous at  $\lambda=0$  and that

$$\lim_{|\lambda| \rightarrow r} L_{k,\lambda,r} = r^{2k}.$$

It is known (see [5] or [3]) that

$$2^{-k} r^{2k} \gamma_{k,n} \leq L_{k,\lambda,r} \leq r^{2k}. \tag{15}$$

R. Wada [6] generalized (13) as follows:

**THEOREM 1.** (i) *If  $k \neq j$ , then  $F_k \in \mathcal{P}_\Delta^k(\tilde{\mathbf{E}})$  and  $F_j \in \mathcal{P}_\Delta^j(\tilde{\mathbf{E}})$  are orthogonal:*

$$\int_{\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F_k(w)} F_j(w) d\bar{w} = 0.$$

(ii) *Let  $F_k \in \mathcal{P}_\Delta^k(\tilde{\mathbf{E}})$ . Then we have the reproducing formula:*

$$F_k(z) = \frac{N(k, n)}{L_{k,\lambda,r}} \int_{\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\lambda,r}} \tilde{P}_{k,n}(z, w) F_k(w) d\bar{w}, \quad z \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}.$$

For  $F \in \mathcal{O}_\Delta(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}[r])$  and  $G \in \mathcal{O}_\Delta(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}(r))$  the integral

$$\int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F(tz)}G(t^{-1}z)d\dot{z}$$

is defined for  $t > 1$  sufficiently close to 1 and independent of  $t$ . In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F(tz)}G(t^{-1}z)d\dot{z} &= \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\lambda,r}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \overline{F_j(tz)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} G_k(t^{-1}z)d\dot{z} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F_j(z)}G_j(z)d\dot{z} \end{aligned}$$

because of Theorem 1 (i).

Therefore, we can define the symbolic integral form on  $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\lambda,r}$  by

$$s. \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F(z)}G(z)d\dot{z} = \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F(tz)}G(t^{-1}z)d\dot{z} \tag{16}$$

for  $F \in \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(\tilde{B}[r])$  and  $G \in \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(\tilde{B}(r))$ , where  $t > 1$  is sufficiently close to 1. Note that we have

$$s. \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F(z)}G(z)d\dot{z} = s. \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F(z)}G(z)d\dot{z}$$

for  $F \in \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(\tilde{B}[r])$  and  $G \in \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(\tilde{B}(r))$ .

The symbolic integral form  $s. \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F(z)}G(z)d\dot{z}$  is a separately continuous sesqui-linear form on  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(\tilde{B}[r]) \times \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(\tilde{B}(r))$ .

Similarly, when  $\lambda = r$ , we can define the symbolic integral form

$$s. \int_{\mathfrak{S}_r} \overline{F(x)}G(x)d\dot{x} \tag{17}$$

for  $F \in \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(\tilde{B}[r])$  and  $G \in \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(\tilde{B}(r))$ .

### 3. Integral representation of complex harmonic functions on $\tilde{B}(r)$ .

LEMMA 2. Define the (generalized) Poisson kernel  $K_{\lambda,r}(z, w)$  by

$$K_{\lambda,r}(z, w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(k, n)\tilde{P}_{k,n}(z, w)}{L_{k,\lambda,r}}. \tag{18}$$

Then  $K_{\lambda,r}(z, w)$  is defined on  $\Omega_r$ ,  $K_{\lambda,r}(z, w) = \overline{K_{\lambda,r}(w, z)}$ , and holomorphic and complex harmonic in  $z$ :

$$\Delta_z K_{\lambda,r}(z, w) = 0.$$

PROOF. Because of (11), (12), (14) and (15) we have

$$\left| \frac{N(k, n)\tilde{P}_{k,n}(z, w)}{L_{k,\lambda,r}} \right| \leq C \frac{k^{n-1}L(z)^k L(w)^k}{r^{2k}}.$$

Therefore, the convergence being uniform on compact sets in  $\Omega_r$ ,  $K_{\lambda,r}(z, w)$  is holomorphic in  $z$  there. The summands are Hermitian symmetric and complex harmonic in  $z$ , so is  $K_{\lambda,r}(z, w)$ . (q.e.d.)

REMARK. If  $\lambda=0$ , then we have

$$K_{0,1}(z, w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} N(k, n) 2^k (z \cdot \bar{w})^k = \frac{1 + 2(z \cdot \bar{w})}{(1 - 2(z \cdot \bar{w}))^n}$$

if  $L(z)L(w) < 1$  and  $z^2 w^2 = 0$  (see [7] and [4]). Letting  $\lambda \rightarrow r$  we have

$$K_{\lambda,r}(z, w) \rightarrow K_r(z, w),$$

where  $K_r(z, w)$  is the classical Poisson kernel defined by (6).

THEOREM 3. Let  $0 < |\lambda| < r$ . For  $G \in \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(\tilde{B}(r))$  we have the (generalized) Poisson integral formula:

$$G(z) = s. \int_{\tilde{S}_{\lambda,r}} K_{\lambda,r}(z, w) G(w) d\dot{w}, \quad z \in \tilde{B}(r). \tag{19}$$

PROOF. Let  $G \in \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(\tilde{B}(r))$  and  $G(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} G_j(z)$  be the expansion in homogeneous polynomials. Then  $G_j \in \mathcal{P}_{\Delta}^j(\tilde{E})$  and we have, for  $t > 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tilde{S}_{\lambda,r}} \tilde{P}_{k,n}(z, tw) G(t^{-1}w) d\dot{w} &= \int_{\tilde{S}_{\lambda,r}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \tilde{P}_{k,n}(z, tw) G_j(t^{-1}w) d\dot{w} \\ &= \int_{\tilde{S}_{\lambda,r}} \tilde{P}_{k,n}(z, w) G_k(w) d\dot{w} = \frac{L_{k,\lambda,r}}{N(k, n)} G_k(z). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $z \in \tilde{B}(r)$ . Then the function  $w \mapsto \overline{K_{\lambda,r}(z, w)}$  is complex harmonic in a neighborhood of  $\tilde{B}[r]$ . So the symbolic integral form in (19) is well-defined. We have

$$\begin{aligned} G(z) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} G_k(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(k, n)}{L_{k,\lambda,r}} s. \int_{\tilde{S}_{\lambda,r}} \tilde{P}_{k,n}(z, w) G(w) d\dot{w} \\ &= s. \int_{\tilde{S}_{\lambda,r}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(k, n)}{L_{k,\lambda,r}} \tilde{P}_{k,n}(z, w) G(w) d\dot{w} = s. \int_{\tilde{S}_{\lambda,r}} K_{\lambda,r}(z, w) G(w) d\dot{w} \quad (\text{q.e.d.}) \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 4. Let  $F \in \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(\tilde{B}[r])$  be given. Then

$$A_F: G \mapsto s. \int_{\tilde{S}_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F(w)} G(w) d\dot{w}$$

is a continuous linear functional on  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(\tilde{B}(r))$ .

Conversely, let  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{O}'_{\Delta}(\tilde{B}(r))$  be given. We define the Poisson transform  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,r}\Lambda$  of  $\Lambda$  by

$$(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,r}\Lambda)(w) = \overline{\langle \Lambda_z, K_{\lambda,r}(z, w) \rangle}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,r}A \in \mathcal{O}_\Delta(\tilde{B}[r])$  and we have

$$\langle A, G \rangle = s. \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\lambda,r}} \overline{(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,r}A)(w)} G(w) d\dot{w}, \quad G \in \mathcal{O}_\Delta(\tilde{B}(r)).$$

Then Poisson transformation  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,r}: \mathcal{O}'_\Delta(\tilde{B}(r)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Delta(\tilde{B}[r])$  is a topological antilinear isomorphism.

REMARK. The corresponding result with  $\lambda=0$  can be found in [4].

#### 4. Integral representation of holomorphic functions on $\tilde{B}(\lambda, r)$ .

Let  $0 < |\lambda| < r$  and  $G \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}(\lambda, r))$ . Expand  $G$  in homogeneous polynomials:

$$G(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} G_k(z), \quad z \in \tilde{B}(\lambda, r),$$

where  $G_k \in \mathcal{P}^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{E}})$  and the convergence is uniform on compact sets in  $\tilde{B}(\lambda, r)$ . It is well-known that a  $k$ -homogeneous polynomial  $G_k$  can be expanded as follows:

$$G_k(z) = \sum_{l=0}^{[k/2]} (z^2)^l G_{k,k-2l}(z), \quad z \in \tilde{\mathfrak{E}},$$

where  $G_{k,k-2l} \in \mathcal{P}_\Delta^{k-2l}(\tilde{\mathfrak{E}})$ .

Theorem 1 implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\lambda,r}} (\bar{w}^2)^l \tilde{P}_{k-2l,n}(z, w) G_k(w) d\dot{w} &= \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\lambda,r}} (\bar{w}^2)^l \tilde{P}_{k-2l,n}(z, w) \sum_{l'=0}^{[k/2]} (w^2)^{l'} G_{k,k-2l'}(w) d\dot{w} \\ &= |\lambda|^{4l} \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\lambda,r}} \tilde{P}_{k-2l,n}(z, w) G_{k,k-2l}(w) d\dot{w} \\ &= |\lambda|^{4l} \frac{L_{k-2l,\lambda,r}}{N(k-2l, n)} G_{k,k-2l}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_k(z) &= \sum_{l=0}^{[k/2]} (z^2)^l G_{k,k-2l}(z) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{[k/2]} \frac{(z^2)^l N(k-2l, n)}{|\lambda|^{4l} L_{k-2l,\lambda,r}} \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\lambda,r}} (\bar{w}^2)^l \tilde{P}_{k-2l,n}(z, w) G_k(w) d\dot{w} \\ &= \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\lambda,r}} H_{\lambda,r,k}(z, w) G_k(w) d\dot{w}, \end{aligned}$$



where we put

$$H_{\lambda,r,k}(z, w) = \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \frac{1}{|\lambda|^{4l}} \frac{N(k-2l, n)}{L_{k-2l,\lambda,r}} (z^2)^l (\bar{w}^2)^l \tilde{P}_{k-2l,n}(z, w). \tag{20}$$

The polynomial  $H_{\lambda,r,k}(z, w)$  is the reproducing kernel for  $\mathcal{P}^k(\tilde{\mathbf{E}})$  with respect to the bilinear form  $\int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\lambda,r}} \cdots d\dot{w}$ .  $H_{\lambda,r,k}(z, w)$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $k$  with respect to  $z$  and with respect to  $\bar{w}$ . We have

$$H_{\lambda,r,k}(z, w) = \overline{H_{\lambda,r,k}(w, z)}.$$

REMARK (see [1]). Because  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow r} L_{k-2l,\lambda,r} = r^{2k-4l}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow r} H_{\lambda,r,k}(z, w) &= \frac{1}{r^{2k}} \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} N(k-2l, n) (z^2)^l (\bar{w}^2)^l \tilde{P}_{k-2l,n}(z, w) \\ &= \frac{1}{r^{2k}} \frac{n+1}{2k+n+1} N(k, n+2) \tilde{P}_{k,n+2}(z, w) \\ &= \frac{n+1}{2k+n+1} N(k, n+2) \tilde{P}_{k,n+2}(z/r, w/r). \end{aligned}$$

By the orthogonality of exponential functions on  $[0, 2\pi]$ , we have, for  $G_j \in \mathcal{P}^j(\tilde{\mathbf{E}})$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} H_{\lambda,r,k}(z, e^{i\theta}w) G_j(e^{i\theta}w) d\theta = \begin{cases} 0, & j \neq k, \\ H_{\lambda,r,k}(z, w) G_k(w), & j = k. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, for  $G \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\lambda, r))$  we have

$$\text{s.} \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} H_{\lambda,r,k}(z, w) G(w) d\dot{w} = \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\lambda,r}} H_{\lambda,r,k}(z, w) G_k(w) d\dot{w} = G_k(z).$$

This means that the kernel  $H_{\lambda,r,k}(z, w)$  gives the projection of  $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\lambda, r))$  onto  $\mathcal{P}^k(\tilde{\mathbf{E}})$ .

LEMMA 5. Define the (generalized) Cauchy-Hua kernel  $H_{\lambda,r}(z, w)$  by

$$H_{\lambda,r}(z, w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H_{\lambda,r,k}(z, w).$$

Then we have

$$H_{\lambda,r}(z, w) = \frac{K_{\lambda,r}(z, w)}{1 - (z/|\lambda|)^2 (\bar{w}/|\lambda|)^2}.$$

$H_{\lambda,r}(z, w)$  is defined on the domain

$$\Omega_{\lambda,r} = \{(z, w) \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}} \times \tilde{\mathbf{E}}; |z^2| |w^2| < |\lambda|^4, L(z)L(w) < r^2\}$$

and holomorphic in  $z$ , and satisfies  $H_{\lambda,r}(z, w) = \overline{H_{\lambda,r}(w, z)}$ .

PROOF. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{\lambda,r}(z, w) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \frac{1}{|\lambda|^{4l}} \frac{N(k-2l, n)}{L_{k-2l, \lambda, r}} (z^2)^l (\bar{w}^2)^l \tilde{P}_{k-2l, n}(z, w) \\
 &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\lambda|^{4l}} \frac{N(m, n)}{L_{m, \lambda, r}} (z^2)^l (\bar{w}^2)^l \tilde{P}_{m, n}(z, w) \\
 &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z^2)^l (\bar{w}^2)^l}{|\lambda|^{4l}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(m, n)}{L_{m, \lambda, r}} \tilde{P}_{m, n}(z, w) \\
 &= \frac{K_{\lambda, r}(z, w)}{1 - (z/|\lambda|)^2 (\bar{w}/|\lambda|)^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The second statement is clear by Lemma 2. (q.e.d.)

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $0 < |\lambda| < r$ . If  $G \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}(\lambda, r))$ , then we have the following (generalized) Cauchy-Hua integral formula:*

$$G(z) = s. \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda, r}} H_{\lambda, r}(z, w) G(w) d\dot{w}, \quad z \in \tilde{B}(\lambda, r). \quad (21)$$

PROOF. Let  $z \in \tilde{B}(\lambda, r)$ . By Lemma 5, the function  $w \mapsto H_{\lambda, r}(z, w)$  is a holomorphic function in a neighborhood of  $\tilde{B}[\lambda, r]$ . Therefore, the symbolic integral form in (21) is well-defined. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(z) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} G_k(z) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s. \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda, r}} H_{\lambda, r, k}(z, w) G(w) d\dot{w} \\
 &= s. \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda, r}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H_{\lambda, r, k}(z, w) \right) G(w) d\dot{w} \\
 &= s. \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda, r}} H_{\lambda, r}(z, w) G(w) d\dot{w}. \quad (\text{q.e.d.})
 \end{aligned}$$

REMARK. Tending  $\lambda \rightarrow r$ , we have

$$H_{\lambda, r}(z, w) \rightarrow H_r(z, w),$$

where  $H_r(z, w)$  is the Cauchy-Hua kernel given by (4). In fact, we have

$$H_r(z, w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{2k}} \frac{n+1}{2k+n+1} N(k, n+2) \tilde{P}_{k, n+2}(z, w)$$

by the Gegenbauer generating formula (see [3]).

COROLLARY 7. Let  $F \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}[\lambda, r])$  be given. Then

$$T_F: G \mapsto s. \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda, r}} \overline{F(w)} G(w) d\dot{w}$$

is a continuous linear functional on  $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}(\lambda, r))$ .

Conversely, let  $T \in \mathcal{O}'(\tilde{B}(\lambda, r))$  be given. If we define the Cauchy-Hua transform  $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda, r} T$  of  $T$  by

$$(\mathcal{C}_{\lambda, r} T)(w) = \overline{\langle T_z, H_{\lambda, r}(z, w) \rangle}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda, r} T \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}[\lambda, r])$  and we have

$$\langle T, G \rangle = s. \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda, r}} \overline{(\mathcal{C}_{\lambda, r} T)(w)} G(w) d\dot{w}, \quad G \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}(\lambda, r)).$$

The Cauchy-Hua transformation  $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda, r}: \mathcal{O}'(\tilde{B}(\lambda, r)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}[\lambda, r])$  is a topological antilinear isomorphism.

REMARK. The following restriction mapping is a linear topological isomorphism.

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(\tilde{B}(r)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(\tilde{B}(\lambda, r)),$$

where

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(\tilde{B}(\lambda, r)) = \{F \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}(\lambda, r)); \Delta_z F(z) = 0\}.$$

### 5. One dimensional case.

We consider the case of  $n = 1$ . We put

$$\zeta_1 = z_1 + iz_2, \quad \zeta_2 = z_1 - iz_2.$$

It is convenient to use the coordinate system  $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \mathbf{C}^2$  instead of the coordinate system  $z = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbf{C}^2$ , for  $z_1^2 + z_2^2 = \zeta_1 \zeta_2$  and  $L(z) = \max(|\zeta_1|, |\zeta_2|)$ .

Let  $0 < |\lambda| < r$ . The (complex 2-dimensional) Lie ball is given by

$$\tilde{B}(r) = \{(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \mathbf{C}^2; |\zeta_1| < r, |\zeta_2| < r\}.$$

Then the (complex 1-dimensional) complex sphere is given by

$$\tilde{S}_{\lambda} = \{(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \mathbf{C}^2; \zeta_1 \zeta_2 = \lambda^2\}.$$

The boundary of the set

$$\tilde{S}_{\lambda} \cap \tilde{B}(r) = \{(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \mathbf{C}^2; \zeta_1 \zeta_2 = \lambda^2, |\zeta_1| < r, |\zeta_2| < r\}$$

is given by

$$\tilde{S}_{\lambda, r} = \{(\zeta_1, \zeta_2); \zeta_1 \zeta_2 = \lambda^2, \max(|\zeta_1|, |\zeta_2|) = r\}.$$

Consider

$$\Sigma_{\lambda,r} = \{(\zeta_1, \zeta_2); |\zeta_1\zeta_2| = |\lambda|^2, \max(|\zeta_1|, |\zeta_2|) = r\}.$$

$\Sigma_{\lambda,r}$  is the Shilov boundary of the domain

$$\tilde{B}(\lambda, r) = \{(\zeta_1, \zeta_2); |\zeta_1\zeta_2| < |\lambda|^2, |\zeta_1| < r, |\zeta_2| < r\}.$$

REMARK. The real sphere (real dim = 1) is the circle given by

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow r} \tilde{S}_{\lambda,r} = S_r &= \{(\zeta_1, \zeta_2); \zeta_1\zeta_2 = r^2, \max(|\zeta_1|, |\zeta_2|) = r\} \\ &= \{re^{i\theta}, re^{-i\theta}; \theta \in \mathbf{R}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The Lie sphere (real dim = 2)

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow r} \Sigma_{\lambda,r} = \Sigma_r = \{(\zeta_1, \zeta_2); |\zeta_1| = r, |\zeta_2| = r\} = \{re^{i\theta}, re^{i\phi}; \theta, \phi \in \mathbf{R}\}$$

is the Shilov boundary of the Lie ball  $\tilde{B}(r)$ .

If  $F$  and  $G$  belong to  $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}[\lambda, r])$ , the integral on  $\Sigma_{\lambda,r}$  has the following form: (we put  $d\zeta_1 = d\zeta_1/(2\pi i\zeta_1)$ ,  $d\zeta_2 = d\zeta_2/(2\pi i\zeta_2)$ .)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)} G(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) d(\zeta) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \iint_{|\zeta_1|=r, |\zeta_2|=|\lambda|^2/r} \overline{F(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)} G(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) d\zeta_1 d\zeta_2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \iint_{|\zeta_1|=|\lambda|^2/r, |\zeta_2|=r} \overline{F(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)} G(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) d\zeta_1 d\zeta_2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

If  $F_k$  and  $G_k$  are  $k$ -homogeneous polynomials

$$F_k(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \sum_{l=0}^k a_{l,k-l} \zeta_1^l \zeta_2^{k-l}, \quad G_k(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \sum_{l=0}^k b_{l,k-l} \zeta_1^l \zeta_2^{k-l},$$

then we have

$$\int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F_k(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)} G_k(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) d(\zeta) = \sum_{l,l'} \overline{a_{l,k-l}} b_{l',k-l'} \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} \overline{\zeta_1^l \zeta_2^{k-l}} \zeta_1^{l'} \zeta_2^{k-l'} d(\zeta).$$

By the orthogonality of exponential functions, terms vanish unless  $l=l'$ . Therefore, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F_k(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)} G_k(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) d(\zeta) = \sum_{l=0}^k \overline{a_{l,k-l}} b_{l,k-l} \frac{|\lambda|^{2k}}{2} \left( \left( \frac{|\lambda|}{r} \right)^{2k-4l} + \left( \frac{r}{|\lambda|} \right)^{2k-4l} \right).$$

Put

$$H_{\lambda,r,k}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \sum_{l=0}^k \frac{(\overline{\eta_1 \zeta_1})^l (\eta_2 \overline{\zeta_2})^{k-l}}{(|\lambda|^{2k}/2)(|\lambda|/r)^{2k-4l} + (r/|\lambda|)^{2k-4l}}.$$

Then we have the reproducing formula:

$$G_k(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} H_{\lambda,r,k}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) G_k(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) d(\zeta)$$

for a  $k$ -homogeneous polynomial  $G_k$ .

Let  $F \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}[\lambda, r])$  and  $G \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}(\lambda, r))$ . We expand them into the Taylor series at  $(0, 0)$ :

$$F(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} a_{p,q} \zeta_1^p \zeta_2^q, \quad G(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} b_{p,q} \zeta_1^p \zeta_2^q.$$

Then we can find  $t > 1$  sufficiently close to 1 such that the series

$$F(t\zeta_1, t\zeta_2) = \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} a_{p,q} (t\zeta_1)^p (t\zeta_2)^q,$$

$$G(t^{-1}\zeta_1, t^{-1}\zeta_2) = \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} b_{p,q} (t^{-1}\zeta_1)^p (t^{-1}\zeta_2)^q$$

converge uniformly on  $\Sigma_{\lambda,r}$ . With this  $t$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F(t\zeta_1, t\zeta_2)} G(t^{-1}\zeta_1, t^{-1}\zeta_2) d(\zeta) \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} \sum_{p,q} \overline{a_{p,q}} (t\zeta_1)^p (t\zeta_2)^q \sum_{p',q'} b_{p',q'} (t^{-1}\zeta_1)^{p'} (t^{-1}\zeta_2)^{q'} d(\zeta) \\ &= \sum_{p,q,p',q'} \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} \overline{a_{p,q}} b_{p',q'} (t\zeta_1)^p (t\zeta_2)^q (t^{-1}\zeta_1)^{p'} (t^{-1}\zeta_2)^{q'} d(\zeta) \\ &= \sum_{p,q} \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} \overline{a_{p,q}} b_{p,q} |\zeta_1|^{2p} |\zeta_2|^{2q} d(\zeta) \\ &= \sum_{p,q} \frac{\overline{a_{p,q}} b_{p,q}}{2} \left( \left( \frac{|\lambda|^2}{r} \right)^{2p} r^{2q} + r^{2p} \left( \frac{|\lambda|^2}{r} \right)^{2q} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For  $F \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}[\lambda, r])$  and  $G \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}(\lambda, r))$ , the symbolic integral form on  $\Sigma_{\lambda,r}$  is given by

$$s. \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)} G(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) d(\zeta) = \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F(t\zeta_1, t\zeta_2)} G(t^{-1}\zeta_1, t^{-1}\zeta_2) d(\zeta)$$

where  $t > 1$  is sufficiently close to 1.

Let  $F_k(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = a_k \zeta_1^k + b_k \zeta_2^k$  and  $G_j(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = a'_j \zeta_1^j + b'_j \zeta_2^j$  be homogeneous harmonic polynomials. We have the orthogonality relation:

$$\int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F_k(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)} G_j(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) d(\zeta) = 0, \quad j \neq k.$$

If  $j = k \geq 1$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} \overline{F_k(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)} G_k(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) d(\zeta) &= \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} (\overline{a_k \zeta_1^k} + \overline{b_k \zeta_2^k})(a_k' \zeta_1^k + b_k' \zeta_2^k) d(\zeta) \\
&= \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} (\overline{a_k a_k'} |\zeta_1|^{2k} + \overline{a_k b_k'} \zeta_1^k \zeta_2^k + \overline{b_k a_k'} \zeta_1^k \zeta_2^k + \overline{b_k b_k'} |\zeta_2|^{2k}) d(\zeta) \\
&= (\overline{a_k a_k'} + \overline{b_k b_k'}) \frac{|\lambda|^{2k}}{2} \left( \left( \frac{r}{|\lambda|} \right)^{2k} + \left( \frac{|\lambda|}{r} \right)^{2k} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Put

$$K_{\lambda,r,k}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \frac{(\eta_1 \overline{\zeta_1})^k + (\eta_2 \overline{\zeta_2})^k}{(|\lambda|^{2k}/2)((r/|\lambda|)^{2k} + (|\lambda|/r)^{2k})}, \quad k \geq 1$$

and  $K_{\lambda,r,0}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) = 1$ . Then we have a reproducing formula

$$G_k(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} K_{\lambda,r,k}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) G_k(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) d(\zeta)$$

for a  $k$ -homogeneous harmonic polynomial  $G_k$ .

If  $G$  is a complex harmonic function on  $\tilde{B}(r)$ , then we can expand it as follows:

$$G(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} G_k(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = G(0, 0) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k \zeta_1^k + b_k \zeta_2^k).$$

Therefore, we have

$$G_k(\eta_1, \eta_2) = s. \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} K_{\lambda,r,k}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) G(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) d(\zeta).$$

Define the (generalized) Poisson kernel by

$$K_{\lambda,r}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} K_{\lambda,r,k}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2). \quad (22)$$

Because

$$\frac{|\lambda|^{2k}}{2} \left( \left( \frac{r}{|\lambda|} \right)^{2k} + \left( \frac{|\lambda|}{r} \right)^{2k} \right) \geq \frac{r^{2k}}{2},$$

(22) converges uniformly for  $|\eta_1 \zeta_1| < r^2$  and  $|\eta_2 \zeta_2| < r^2$ . Therefore, the function  $K_{\lambda,r}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2)$  is defined on the domain

$$\Omega_r' = \{(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2); |\eta_1 \zeta_1| < r^2, |\eta_2 \zeta_2| < r^2\}$$

and is holomorphic and complex harmonic in  $(\eta)$  there.

We have the reproducing formula:

$$G(\eta_1, \eta_2) = s. \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} K_{\lambda,r}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) G(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) d(\zeta), \quad (\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \tilde{B}(r)$$

for  $G \in \mathcal{O}_\Delta(\tilde{B}(r))$ .

REMARK. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow r} K_{\lambda,r}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) &= K_r(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \eta_1 \overline{\zeta_1}/r^2} + \frac{1}{1 - \eta_2 \overline{\zeta_2}/r^2} - 1 = \frac{1 - \eta_1 \overline{\eta_2 \zeta_1 \zeta_2}/r^4}{(1 - \eta_1 \overline{\zeta_1}/r^2)(1 - \eta_2 \overline{\zeta_2}/r^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$H_{\lambda,r}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \sum_{p,q} \frac{(\eta_1 \overline{\zeta_1})^q (\eta_2 \overline{\zeta_2})^p}{\frac{1}{2} ((|\lambda|^2/r)^{2p} r^{2q} + r^{2p} (|\lambda|^2/r)^{2q})}. \quad (23)$$

Because

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \left( \frac{|\lambda|^2}{r} \right)^{2p} r^{2q} + r^{2p} \left( \frac{|\lambda|^2}{r} \right)^{2q} \right) \geq |\lambda|^{2(p+q)},$$

we have

$$|H_{\lambda,r}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2)| \leq \sum_{p,q} \frac{|\eta_1 \zeta_1|^q |\eta_2 \zeta_2|^p}{|\lambda|^{2p+2q}}.$$

Therefore, the right-hand side of (23) converges uniformly on compact sets of the domain

$$\Omega'_\lambda = \{(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \mathbf{C}^4; |\eta_1 \zeta_1| < |\lambda|^2, |\eta_2 \zeta_2| < |\lambda|^2\}$$

and hence, holomorphic in  $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$  there. We shall see that the function is holomorphic in  $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$  in a larger domain.

REMARK. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow r} H_{\lambda,r}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) &= H_r(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \sum_{p,q} \frac{(\eta_1 \overline{\zeta_1})^q (\eta_2 \overline{\zeta_2})^p}{r^{2p+2q}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - \eta_1 \overline{\zeta_1}/r^2)(1 - \eta_2 \overline{\zeta_2}/r^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

This is the (1-dimensional) Cauchy-Hua kernel of  $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}(r))$  with respect to the Lie sphere  $\Sigma_r$ .

Recall

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\lambda,r,k}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) &= \sum_{l=0}^k \frac{(\eta_1 \overline{\zeta_1})^l (\eta_2 \overline{\zeta_2})^{k-l}}{(|\lambda|^{2k}/2)((|\lambda|/r)^{2k-4l} + (r/|\lambda|)^{2k-4l})} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{[k/2]} \frac{(\eta_1 \eta_2 \overline{\zeta_1 \zeta_2})^l}{|\lambda|^{4l}} K_{\lambda,r,k-2l}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2). \end{aligned}$$

Changing the order of summation we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{\lambda,r}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H_{\lambda,r,k}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \frac{(\eta_1 \eta_2 \overline{\zeta_1 \zeta_2})^l}{|\lambda|^{4l}} K_{\lambda,r,k-2l}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) \\
 &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\eta_1 \eta_2 \overline{\zeta_1 \zeta_2})^l}{|\lambda|^{4l}} K_{\lambda,r,m}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) \\
 &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\eta_1 \eta_2 \overline{\zeta_1 \zeta_2})^l}{|\lambda|^{4l}} K_{\lambda,r}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) \\
 &= \frac{K_{\lambda,r}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2)}{1 - \eta_1 \eta_2 \overline{\zeta_1 \zeta_2} / |\lambda|^4}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The (generalized) Cauchy-Hua kernel  $H_{\lambda,r}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2)$  is holomorphic in  $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$  in the domain

$$\Omega'_{\lambda,r} = \{(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2); |\eta_1 \eta_2 \overline{\zeta_1 \zeta_2}| < |\lambda|^4, |\eta_1 \zeta_1| < r^2, |\eta_2 \zeta_2| < r^2\}.$$

We have the reproducing formula

$$G(\eta_1, \eta_2) = s. \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda,r}} H_{\lambda,r}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) G(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) d(\zeta), \quad (\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \tilde{B}(\lambda, r)$$

for  $G \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}(\lambda, r))$ .

### References

- [ 1 ] L. K. HUA, *Harmonic Analysis of Functions of Several Complex Variables in Classical Domains*, Moscow (1959, in Russian); Transl. Math. Monographs 6 (1963), Amer. Math. Soc.
- [ 2 ] M. MORIMOTO, Analytic functionals on the Lie sphere, Tokyo J. Math. 3 (1980), 1–35.
- [ 3 ] M. MORIMOTO, *Analytic Functionals on the Sphere*, Transl. Math. Monographs 178 (1998), Amer. Math. Soc.
- [ 4 ] M. MORIMOTO and K. FUJITA, Analytic functionals and entire functionals on the complex light cone, Hiroshima Math. J. 25 (1995), 493–512.
- [ 5 ] C. MÜLLER, *Spherical Harmonics*, Lecture Notes in Math. 17 (1966), Springer.
- [ 6 ] R. WADA, Holomorphic functions on the complex sphere, Tokyo J. Math. 11 (1988), 205–218.
- [ 7 ] R. WADA and M. MORIMOTO, A uniqueness set for the differential operator  $\Delta_z + \lambda^2$ , Tokyo J. Math. 10 (1987), 93–105.

*Present Address:*

DIVISION OF NATURAL SCIENCES, INTERNATIONAL CHRISTIAN UNIVERSITY,  
MITAKA, TOKYO, 181–8585 JAPAN.

*e-mail:* mitsuo@icu.ac.jp