

## A Sharp Existence and Uniqueness Theorem for Linear Fuchsian Partial Differential Equations

Jose Ernie C. LOPE

*Sophia University*

(Communicated by K. Uchiyama)

**Abstract.** In this paper, we will consider the equation  $\mathcal{P}u = f$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the linear Fuchsian partial differential operator

$$\mathcal{P} = (tD_t)^m + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m-j} a_{j,\alpha}(t, z) (\mu(t)D_z)^\alpha (tD_t)^j.$$

We will give a sharp form of unique solvability in the following sense: we can find a domain  $\Omega$  such that if  $f$  is defined on  $\Omega$ , then we can find a unique solution  $u$  also defined on  $\Omega$ .

### 1. Introduction and result.

Denote by  $\mathbf{N}$  the set of nonnegative integers, and let  $(t, z) = (t, z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{C}^n$ . Let  $R > 0$  be sufficiently small, and for  $\rho \in (0, R]$ , let  $B_\rho$  be the polydisk  $\{z \in \mathbf{C}^n; |z_i| < \rho \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

Given any bounded, open subset  $D$  of  $\mathbf{C}^n$ , the space  $\mathcal{A}(D)$  of all functions  $g(z)$  holomorphic in  $D$  and continuous up to  $\bar{D}$  forms a Banach space with norm  $\|g\|_D = \max_{z \in \bar{D}} |g(z)|$ . Let  $T > 0$ . Then we denote by  $C^0([0, T], \mathcal{A}(D))$  the set of functions continuous on the interval  $[0, T]$  and valued in the space  $\mathcal{A}(D)$ .

We say that a continuous, positive-valued function  $\mu(t)$  on the interval  $(0, T)$  is a *weight function* if  $\mu(t)$  is increasing and the function

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi(t) = \int_0^t \frac{\mu(s)}{s} ds$$

is well-defined on  $(0, T)$ , i.e., the integral on the right is finite. (See Tahara [7].)

Consider now the linear partial differential operator

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{P} = (tD_t)^m + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m-j} a_{j,\alpha}(t, z) (\mu(t)D_z)^\alpha (tD_t)^j.$$

Here,  $D_t = \partial/\partial t$  and  $D_z = (\partial/\partial z_1, \dots, \partial/\partial z_n)$ ;  $\mu(t)$  is a weight function; and the coefficients  $a_{j,\alpha}(t, z)$  belong in the space  $C^0([0, T], \mathcal{A}(B_R))$ , i.e., for any  $s \in [0, T]$ , each of the

functions  $a_{j,\alpha}(s, z)$ , when viewed as a function of  $z$ , is holomorphic in  $B_R$  and continuous up to  $\bar{B}_R$ . We associate a polynomial with this operator, called the *characteristic polynomial* of  $\mathcal{P}$ , and we define it by

$$(1.3) \quad C(\lambda, z) = \lambda^m + a_{m-1,0}(0, z)\lambda^{m-1} + \cdots + a_{0,0}(0, z).$$

Its roots  $\lambda_1(z), \dots, \lambda_m(z)$  will be referred to as *characteristic exponents*. In what follows, we will assume that there exists a positive number  $L$  such that

$$(1.4) \quad \Re \lambda_j(z) \leq -L < 0 \quad \text{for all } z \in B_R \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

Baouendi and Goulaouic [1] studied the above operator in the case when  $\mu(t) = t^a$  ( $a > 0$ ). They called such operator a Fuchsian partial differential operator, which for them is the "natural" generalization of a Fuchsian ordinary differential operator. In their paper, they gave some generalizations of the classical Cauchy-Kowalewski and Holmgren theorems for this type of operators. Their method has been applied and extended to various cases as can be seen, for example, in Tahara [6], Mandai [5] and Yamane [8].

In a previous paper [4], the author proved existence and uniqueness theorems similar to those given in [1], but for general  $\mu(t)$ . Essentially, he proved the following unique solvability result.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be as in (1.2). Then given any  $\rho \in (0, R)$ , there exists an  $\varepsilon \in (0, T]$  such that for any  $f(t, z) \in C^0([0, T], \mathcal{A}(B_R))$ , the equation  $\mathcal{P}u = f$  has a unique solution  $u(t, z) \in C^0([0, \varepsilon], \mathcal{A}(B_\rho))$  satisfying for  $1 \leq p \leq m$  the relation  $(tD_t)^p u \in C^0([0, \varepsilon], \mathcal{A}(B_\rho))$ .*

We remark that although  $f(t, z)$ , viewed as a function of  $z$ , is defined on  $B_R$ , the existence of the solution  $u(t, z)$  is only guaranteed up to  $B_\rho$ , with  $\rho < R$ . Moreover, any two solutions of  $\mathcal{P}u = f$  can only be shown to coincide in a neighborhood of the origin which is smaller than the neighborhood on which the two are defined.

In this paper, we shall present a formulation leading to an existence and uniqueness result sharper than the one given above. The result is sharper in the sense that the solution  $u(t, z)$  of the equation  $\mathcal{P}u = f$  will now have the same domain of definition as the inhomogeneous part  $f(t, z)$ .

To proceed, we will need the following definitions.

**DEFINITION 1.** Let  $\tau \in (0, T)$ ,  $\gamma > 0$  and  $\varphi(t)$  be the one in (1.1). We define

- (i)  $\omega_\tau[\gamma] = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^n; |z_i| < R - \gamma\varphi(\tau) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and
- (ii)  $\Omega_T[\gamma] = \{(\tau, z) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{C}^n; 0 \leq \tau \leq T \text{ and } z \in \omega_\tau[\gamma]\}$ .

**DEFINITION 2.** Let  $p \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\gamma > 0$ .

(i) We say that  $f(t, z)$  belongs in  $\mathcal{K}_0(\Omega_T[\gamma])$  if for each  $\tau \in [0, T]$ , we have  $f(t) \in C^0([0, \tau], \mathcal{A}(\omega_\tau[\gamma]))$ .

(ii) We say that  $w(t, z)$  belongs in  $C_p^0([0, \tau], \mathcal{A}(\omega_\tau[\gamma]))$  if for all  $0 \leq j \leq p$ , we have  $(tD_t)^j w(t) \in C^0([0, \tau], \mathcal{A}(\omega_\tau[\gamma]))$ .

(iii) We say that  $u(t, z)$  belongs in  $\mathcal{K}_p(\Omega_T[\gamma])$  if for each  $\tau \in [0, T]$ , we have  $u(t) \in C_p^0([0, \tau], \mathcal{A}(\omega_\tau[\gamma]))$ .

Under the above assumptions, we now state the following main result.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the operator given in (1.2). Then there exist constants  $T_0 > 0$  and  $\gamma_0 > 0$  depending on  $\mathcal{P}$  such that for any  $f(t, z) \in \mathcal{K}_0(\Omega_{T_0}[\gamma_0])$ , the equation*

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{P}u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega_{T_0}[\gamma_0]$$

has a unique solution  $u(t, z)$  in  $\mathcal{K}_m(\Omega_{T_0}[\gamma_0])$ .

Moreover, the solution satisfies the a priori estimate

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{p=0}^m \max_{\Delta} |(tD_t)^p u| \leq C \max_{\Delta} |f|,$$

where  $\Delta$  is the closure of  $\Omega_{T_0}[\gamma_0]$  and  $C > 0$  is some constant dependent on the above equation and on the domain  $\Omega_{T_0}[\gamma_0]$ .

Note that  $f(t, z)$  and  $u(t, z)$  both have  $\Omega_{T_0}[\gamma_0]$  as their domain of definition. This fact allows us to restate our theorem in the following manner: for any  $T, \gamma > 0$ , let  $X_{T,\gamma}$  and  $Y_{T,\gamma}$  be the spaces  $\mathcal{K}_m(\Omega_T[\gamma])$  and  $\mathcal{K}_0(\Omega_T[\gamma])$ , respectively. Let  $W_{T,\gamma}$  be the subspace of  $X_{T,\gamma}$  consisting of functions  $u \in X_{T,\gamma}$  such that  $\mathcal{P}u$  belongs in  $Y_{T,\gamma}$ . Define a linear operator  $\Psi$  from  $X_{T,\gamma}$  to  $Y_{T,\gamma}$  with domain  $W_{T,\gamma}$  by  $\Psi u = \mathcal{P}u$ . Let  $\|\cdot\|_{T,\gamma}$  denote the maximum norm in the closure of  $\Omega_T[\gamma]$ . Then  $X_{T,\gamma}$  and  $Y_{T,\gamma}$  are Banach spaces; given  $u \in X_{T,\gamma}$  and  $f \in Y_{T,\gamma}$ , we define their norms by  $\sum_{p=0}^m \|(tD_t)^p u\|_{T,\gamma}$  and  $\|f\|_{T,\gamma}$ , respectively. Note further that the operator  $\Psi$  is a closed linear operator from  $X_{T,\gamma}$  to  $Y_{T,\gamma}$ . The above theorem can now be stated as

**THEOREM 2'.** *There exist  $T_0, \gamma_0 > 0$  depending on  $\mathcal{P}$  such that the operator  $\Psi$  is a one-one, closed linear operator from  $X_{T_0,\gamma_0}$  onto  $Y_{T_0,\gamma_0}$ .*

Since  $\Psi$  is an injection,  $\Psi^{-1}$  exists and is also closed. The Closed Graph Theorem further implies that  $\Psi^{-1}$  is continuous. The estimate given in (1.6) is just a consequence of the continuity of  $\Psi^{-1}$ .

## 2. Preliminary discussion.

We can rewrite the operator  $\mathcal{P}$  as

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{Q} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m-j} c_{j,\alpha}(t, z) (\mu(t) D_z)^\alpha (tD_t)^j,$$

where the operator  $\mathcal{Q}$  is defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{Q} = (tD_t)^m + a_{m-1,0}(0, z)(tD_t)^{m-1} + \dots + a_{0,0}(0, z)$$

and

$$c_{j,\alpha}(t, z) = \begin{cases} a_{j,\alpha}(t, z) & \text{if } |\alpha| \neq 0, \\ a_{j,\alpha}(t, z) - a_{j,\alpha}(0, z) & \text{if } |\alpha| = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that the coefficients of the ordinary differential operator  $\mathcal{Q}$  are holomorphic functions of  $z$  in  $B_R$ . Note further that the characteristic exponents of  $\mathcal{Q}$  are the same as that of  $\mathcal{P}$ , and hence satisfy (1.4).

LEMMA 1. Fix  $\tau > 0$  and let  $g(t) \in C^0([0, \tau], \mathcal{A}(\omega_\tau[\gamma]))$ . Then the equation  $\mathcal{Q}u = g$  has a unique solution  $u(t) \in C_m^0([0, \tau], \mathcal{A}(\omega_\tau[\gamma]))$ . This unique solution is given by

$$(2.2) \quad u(t) = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \int_0^t \int_0^{s_m} \cdots \int_0^{s_2} \left(\frac{s_m}{t}\right)^{-\lambda_{\sigma(m)}} \left(\frac{s_{m-1}}{s_m}\right)^{-\lambda_{\sigma(m-1)}} \cdots \\ \times \left(\frac{s_1}{s_2}\right)^{-\lambda_{\sigma(1)}} g(s_1) \frac{ds_1}{s_1} \frac{ds_2}{s_2} \cdots \frac{ds_m}{s_m}.$$

Here,  $S_m$  is the group of permutations of  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ .

A result in symmetric entire functions asserts that the solution  $u(t, z)$  is holomorphic with respect to  $z$ . The fact that it belongs in  $C_m^0([0, \gamma], \mathcal{A}(\omega_\tau[\gamma]))$  is seen in the integral expression, but may actually be obtained *a priori*. (See Baouendi-Goulaouic [1].)

To facilitate computation, we define for  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$  the function

$$(2.3) \quad G_\theta^t(\lambda) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \left(\frac{s_m}{t}\right)^{-\lambda_{\sigma(m)}} \left(\frac{s_{m-1}}{s_m}\right)^{-\lambda_{\sigma(m-1)}} \cdots \left(\frac{\theta}{s_2}\right)^{-\lambda_{\sigma(1)}},$$

for some dummy variables  $s_2, \dots, s_m$ . Define, too, the integral operator

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{[t;\theta]}^{(m)} g \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^t \int_0^{s_m} \cdots \int_0^{s_2} g(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \frac{ds_2}{s_2} \cdots \frac{ds_m}{s_m}.$$

Using the above, we can now write the solution  $u(t)$  of the equation  $\mathcal{Q}u = g$  as

$$u(t) = \int_{[t;s]}^{(m)} G_s^t(\lambda) g.$$

In our proof of the main theorem, it will be necessary to consider the action of the differential operator  $(tD_t)^p$  on integral expressions similar to the one in (2.2). One can easily verify the following

LEMMA 2. Let  $u(t)$  be the solution of  $\mathcal{Q}u = g$ . Then for a natural number  $p$  less than  $m$ , we have

$$(2.5) \quad (tD_t)^p u = \sum_{i=m-p}^m \int_{[t;s_1]}^{(i)} g \times \left\{ \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} h_i(\sigma, \lambda) \left(\frac{s_i}{t}\right)^{-\lambda_{\sigma(i)}} \right. \\ \left. \times \left(\frac{s_{i-1}}{s_i}\right)^{-\lambda_{\sigma(i-1)}} \cdots \left(\frac{s_1}{s_2}\right)^{-\lambda_{\sigma(1)}} \right\},$$

where the functions  $h_i(\sigma, \lambda)$  are suitable polynomial functions of the characteristic exponents  $\lambda_1(z), \dots, \lambda_m(z)$ .

For brevity, let us set, for a natural number  $k$ ,

$$(2.6) \quad H_\theta^t(k, \lambda) = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} h_k(\sigma, \lambda) \left(\frac{s_k}{t}\right)^{-\lambda_{\sigma(k)}} \left(\frac{s_{k-1}}{s_k}\right)^{-\lambda_{\sigma(k-1)}} \dots \left(\frac{\theta}{s_2}\right)^{-\lambda_{\sigma(1)}}.$$

By symmetry, the functions  $H_s^t(k, \lambda)$  are holomorphic with respect to  $z$  and thus belong in  $\mathcal{A}(B_R)$ .

The following lemma is useful in evaluating some integral expressions in the proof.

LEMMA 3. *Let  $k$  be natural number. Then the following equalities hold:*

$$(a) \quad \int_0^{s_k} \int_0^{s_{k-1}} \dots \int_0^{s_1} \left(\frac{s_0}{s_k}\right)^L \frac{ds_0}{s_0} \dots \frac{ds_{k-1}}{s_{k-1}} = \frac{1}{L^k}.$$

$$(b) \quad \int_0^t \int_0^{s_k} \dots \int_0^{s_1} \frac{\mu(s_k)}{s_k} \frac{\mu(s_{k-1})}{s_{k-1}} \dots \frac{\mu(s_1)}{s_1} \times \left(\frac{s_0}{t}\right)^L \frac{s_0^{-1}}{[\varphi(t) - \varphi(s_0)]^k} ds_0 \dots ds_k = \frac{1}{L^k k!}.$$

The first equality is obvious. The second can be proved by reversing the order of integration and recalling that  $t\varphi'(t) = \mu(t)$ .

To estimate the derivatives with respect to  $z$ , we have the following lemma. (For a proof, see Hörmander [3, Lemma 5.1.3].)

LEMMA 4. *Let the function  $v(z)$  be holomorphic in  $B_R$ , and suppose there are positive constants  $K$  and  $c$  such that*

$$(2.7) \quad \|v\|_\rho \leq \frac{K}{(R - \rho)^c} \quad \text{for every } \rho \in (0, R).$$

Then we have

$$(2.8) \quad \|D_z^\alpha v\|_\rho \leq \frac{K e^{|\alpha|} (c + 1)^{|\alpha|}}{(R - \rho)^{c + |\alpha|}} \quad \text{for every } \rho \in (0, R).$$

In the above, we define  $(c)_p = (c)(c + 1) \dots (c + p - 1)$ .

### 3. Proof of Main Theorem.

Let  $f$  be any element of  $\mathcal{K}_0(\Omega_{T_0}[\gamma_0])$ . Here, the constants  $T_0 > 0$  and  $\gamma_0 > 0$  satisfy some conditions which will later be specified. For convenience, we will drop the subscript in both and instead use  $T$  and  $\gamma$ ; we will again use the subscript upon stating the conditions that these constants need to satisfy.

We will use the method of successive approximations to solve the equation  $\mathcal{P}u = f$ . Define the approximate solutions as follows:

$$(3.1) \quad u_0(t) = \int_{[t;s]}^{(m)} G_s^t(\lambda) f$$

and for  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$(3.2) \quad u_k(t) = \int_{[t;s]}^{(m)} G_s^t(\lambda) [f - \mathcal{S}(s)u_{k-1}].$$

Here,  $t \in [0, T]$ , and for brevity, we have set  $\mathcal{S}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m-j} c_{j,\alpha}(t, z) \cdot (\mu(t)D_z)^\alpha (tD_t)^j$ . Note that for all  $k$ , the approximate solutions  $u_k(t, z)$  are defined on  $\Omega_{T_0}[\gamma_0]$ . Furthermore, they are continuous with respect to  $t$  and holomorphic with respect to  $z$  on this region.

For each natural number  $k$ , we also define the sequence of functions  $v_k(t) = u_k(t) - u_{k-1}(t)$ , where we have set  $u_{-1} \equiv 0$ . Then the functions  $v_k(t, z)$  are also defined on the same region as  $u_k(t, z)$ , and are also continuous with respect to  $t$  and holomorphic with respect to  $z$ . Using the expression for  $u_k(t)$ , we have

$$(3.3) \quad v_0(t) = \int_{[t;s]}^{(m)} G_s^t(\lambda) f$$

and for  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$(3.4) \quad v_k(t) = - \int_{[t;s]}^{(m)} G_s^t(\lambda) \mathcal{S}(s)v_{k-1}.$$

To prove that the approximate solutions converge to the real solution, we will henceforth fix one  $t \in [0, T]$ , and estimate the functions  $v_k(t)$ .

Let  $C$  be the bound on  $[0, T] \times \overline{B_R}$  of all  $c_{j,\alpha}(t, z)$ , and  $K$  be the bound in  $\overline{\Omega_T[\gamma]}$  of  $f(t, z)$ . As for the functions  $G_s^t(\lambda)$  and  $H_s^t(k, \lambda)$ , we have the following estimates:

$$(3.5) \quad \sup_{z \in \overline{B_R}} |G_s^t(\lambda)| \leq \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^L$$

and there exists a constant  $D$  such that for  $1 \leq k \leq m$ ,

$$(3.6) \quad \sup_{z \in \overline{B_R}} |H_s^t(k, \lambda)| \leq D \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^L.$$

We can easily see that  $\|v_0(t)\|_{\omega_t}$  is bounded by  $KL^{-m}$  for any  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . Here, we have written for convenience  $\|\cdot\|_{\omega_t}$  in place of  $\|\cdot\|_{\omega_t[\gamma]}$ . For general  $k$ , we note that  $v_k(t)$  is

given by the following iterated integral:

$$(3.7) \quad v_k(t) = (-1)^k \int_{[t; s_k]}^{(m)} G_{s_k}^t(\lambda) \mathcal{S}(s_k) \int_{[s_k; s_{k-1}]}^{(m)} G_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k}(\lambda) \mathcal{S}(s_{k-1}) \cdots \int_{[s_2; s_1]}^{(m)} G_{s_1}^{s_2}(\lambda) \mathcal{S}(s_1) \int_{[s_1; s_0]}^{(m)} G_{s_0}^{s_1}(\lambda) f(s_0).$$

The expression above can be expanded using Lemma 2, and thus obtain a finite sum whose number of terms is less than  $(mJ)^k$ , where  $J$  is the cardinality of the set  $\{(j, \alpha); 0 \leq j \leq m - 1 \text{ and } |\alpha| \leq m - j\}$ . Each term of the finite sum has the form

$$(3.8) \quad I = (-1)^k \int_{[t; s_k]}^{(m)} G_{s_k}^t(\lambda) c_{j_k, \alpha_k}(\mu D_z)^{\alpha_k} \int_{[s_k; s_{k-1}]}^{(i_k)} H_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k}(i_k, \lambda) c_{j_{k-1}, \alpha_{k-1}}(\mu D_z)^{\alpha_{k-1}} \cdots \int_{[s_2; s_1]}^{(i_2)} H_{s_1}^{s_2}(i_2, \lambda) c_{j_1, \alpha_1}(\mu D_z)^{\alpha_1} \int_{[s_1; s_0]}^{(i_1)} H_{s_0}^{s_1}(i_1, \lambda) f(s_0),$$

where for each  $p$ , the relations  $m - j_p \leq i_p \leq m$  and  $|\alpha_p| \leq m - j_p$  hold. (Here,  $\alpha_p$  is a multi-index and should not be confused with the  $p$ th component of  $\alpha$ .) The above is further equal to

$$(3.9) \quad I = (-1)^k \int_{[t; s_k]}^{(m)} \int_{[s_k; s_{k-1}]}^{(i_k)} \cdots \int_{[s_1; s_0]}^{(i_1)} G_{s_k}^t c_{j_k, \alpha_k}(s_k) (\mu(s_k) D_z)^{\alpha_k} \times H_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k} c_{j_{k-1}, \alpha_{k-1}}(s_{k-1}) (\mu(s_{k-1}) D_z)^{\alpha_{k-1}} \cdots \times H_{s_1}^{s_2} c_{j_1, \alpha_1}(s_1) (\mu(s_1) D_z)^{\alpha_1} H_{s_0}^{s_1} f(s_0).$$

Let  $F_k(s)$  denote the integrand of the above integral. Let  $R_{s_0} = R - \gamma\varphi(s_0)$ . Then all the functions above, when viewed as a function of  $z$ , belong in  $\mathcal{A}(\omega_{s_0}[\gamma])$ . (This explains the necessity of the assumption that the coefficients be defined up to  $B_R$ , for all  $t$  in the interval  $[0, T]$ .)

We can therefore apply Lemma 4 repeatedly, starting from the rightmost expression, to obtain the following estimate for the integrand: for any  $\rho \in (0, R_{s_0})$ ,

$$(3.10) \quad \|F_k(s)\|_{B_\rho} \leq K(CD)^k \mu(s_1)^{|\alpha_1|} \cdots \mu(s_k)^{|\alpha_k|} \left(\frac{s_0}{t}\right)^L \times \left(\frac{e}{R_{s_0} - \rho}\right)^{|\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k|} |\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k|!.$$

If  $|\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k| = 0$ , then for sufficiently small  $T = T_0$ , the bound for any  $c_{j,0}(t, z) = a_{j,0}(t, z) - a_{j,0}(0, z)$  is actually small, since  $a_{j,0}(t, z)$  is continuous with respect to  $t$ . In other words, by choosing a small  $T = T_0$ , we could find a small constant  $\delta$  such that for any  $t \in [0, T_0]$  and  $0 \leq s \leq t$ , the following holds:

$$(3.11) \quad \|F_k(s)\|_{\omega_t} \leq K \delta^k \left(\frac{s_0}{t}\right)^L.$$

Going back to the integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.12) \quad \|I\|_{\omega_t} &\leq \int_{[t;s_k]}^{(m)} \int_{[s_k;s_{k-1}]}^{(i_k)} \cdots \int_{[s_1;s_0]}^{(i_1)} K \delta^k \left(\frac{s_0}{t}\right)^L \\
 &= K \frac{\delta^k}{L^{m+i_1+\cdots+i_k}} \quad (\text{by (a) of Lemma 3}) \\
 &\leq K \left(\frac{\delta}{L_0}\right)^k,
 \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $L_0$  dependent on  $L$ . This is possible since  $i_p \leq m$  for all  $p$ .

If  $|\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k| \neq 0$ , then set the  $\rho$  in (3.10) to be equal to  $R - \gamma\varphi(t)$ . This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.13) \quad \|F_k(s)\|_{\omega_t} &\leq K(CD)^k \mu(s_1)^{|\alpha_1|} \cdots \mu(s_k)^{|\alpha_k|} \left(\frac{s_0}{t}\right)^L \\
 &\quad \times |\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k|! \left(\frac{e}{\gamma[\varphi(t) - \varphi(s_0)]}\right)^{|\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k|}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By renaming if necessary, assume that for  $p = 1, \dots, q$ ,  $|\alpha_p| \neq 0$ . Note that  $q \geq 1$ . We will again use the continuity of  $a_{j,0}(t, z)$  to estimate those expressions which are not acted upon by  $D_z$ , i.e., the  $k - q$  cases when  $|\alpha_p| = 0$ . Just like before, we can show that for small  $\delta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.14) \quad \|F_k(s)\|_{\omega_t} &\leq K(CD)^q \delta^{k-q} \mu(s_1)^{|\alpha_1|} \cdots \mu(s_q)^{|\alpha_q|} \left(\frac{s_0}{t}\right)^L \\
 &\quad \times |\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_q|! \left(\frac{e}{\gamma[\varphi(t) - \varphi(s_0)]}\right)^{|\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_q|}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the integral  $I$  can now be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.15) \quad \|I\|_{\omega_t} &\leq K(CD)^q \delta^{k-q} \left(\frac{e}{\gamma}\right)^{|\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_q|} |\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_q|! \\
 &\quad \times \int_{[t;s_k]}^{(m)} \int_{[s_k;s_{k-1}]}^{(i_k)} \cdots \int_{[s_1;s_0]}^{(i_1)} \left(\frac{s_0}{t}\right)^L \frac{\mu(s_1)^{|\alpha_1|} \cdots \mu(s_q)^{|\alpha_q|}}{[\varphi(t) - \varphi(s_0)]^{|\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_q|}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $d = m + i_1 + \cdots + i_k$  and  $b = |\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_q|$ . Note that  $b \geq q$ . Since for each  $p$ , we have  $|\alpha_p| \leq m - j_p \leq i_p$ , and using the fact that both  $\varphi(t)$  and  $\mu(t)$  are increasing on  $(0, T_0)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.16) \quad \|I\|_{\omega_t} &\leq K(CD)^q \delta^{k-q} \left(\frac{e}{\gamma}\right)^b b! \\
 &\quad \times \int_0^t \int_0^{\xi_b} \cdots \int_0^{\xi_1} \frac{\mu(\xi_b)}{\xi_b} \cdots \frac{\mu(\xi_1)}{\xi_1} \left(\frac{\xi_0}{t}\right)^L \frac{1}{[\varphi(t) - \varphi(\xi_0)]^b} \frac{d\xi_0}{\xi_0} d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_b \\
 &\quad \times \int_0^{\xi_0} \int_0^{\eta_1} \cdots \int_0^{\eta_{d-b-2}} \left(\frac{s_0}{\xi_0}\right)^L \frac{ds_0}{s_0} \cdots \frac{d\eta_1}{\eta_1}
 \end{aligned}$$

By (a) of Lemma 3, the second integral is equal to  $L^{-d+b+1}$ . Thus, the above simplifies into

$$(3.17) \quad \|I\|_{\omega_t} \leq K(CD)^q \delta^{k-q} \left(\frac{e}{\gamma}\right)^b L^{-d+b+1} b! \\ \times \int_0^t \int_0^{\xi_b} \dots \int_0^{\xi_1} \frac{\mu(\xi_b)}{\xi_b} \dots \frac{\mu(\xi_1)}{\xi_1} \left(\frac{\xi_0}{t}\right)^L \frac{\xi_0^{-1}}{[\varphi(t) - \varphi(\xi_0)]^b} d\xi_0 \dots d\xi_b.$$

The last integral is equal to  $(Lb!)^{-1}$ , by (b) of Lemma 3. Meanwhile, since  $d \leq m(k + 1)$ , we can find a constant  $L_1$ , depending on  $L$ , such that  $L^{-d} \leq L_1^k$ . Substituting these results into the above equation, we get

$$(3.18) \quad \|I\|_{\omega_t} \leq K(CD)^q \delta^{k-q} \left(\frac{eL}{\gamma}\right)^b L_1^k \\ = K\left(\frac{CD}{\delta}\right)^q (\delta L_1)^k \left(\frac{eL}{\gamma}\right)^b$$

By taking a sufficiently small  $T_0$ , we can find a constant  $\delta$  small enough such that  $\delta L_1$  above and  $\delta L_0^{-1}$  in (3.12) are both less than  $(mJ)^{-1}$ . Now, since  $q \leq b$ , we can choose and fix a sufficiently large  $\gamma = \gamma_0$  to make the remaining expression less than 1.

To summarize, we have shown that if  $T_0$  is sufficiently small and  $\gamma_0$  is sufficiently large, some constants  $K > 0$  and  $\delta_0 < 1$  exist such that for all  $k$ , we have

$$(3.19) \quad \|v_k(t)\|_{\omega_t[\gamma_0]} \leq K \delta_0^k \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, T_0].$$

It follows that the series  $\sum_{k=0}^\infty v_k(t, z)$  is majorized by a convergent geometric series, and hence is itself convergent in  $C^0([0, \tau], \mathcal{A}(\omega_\tau[\gamma_0]))$  for all  $\tau \in [0, T_0]$ . This means that  $u_k(t)$  converges uniformly to  $u(t)$  on  $\Omega_{T_0}[\gamma_0]$ .

By following the steps above, we can also show that for  $1 \leq p \leq m - 1$ , the sequence  $(tD_t)^p u_k(t)$  converges uniformly to  $(tD_t)^p u(t)$  on  $\Omega_{T_0}[\gamma_0]$ . Thus, it follows that on a compact subset of  $\Omega_{T_0}[\gamma_0]$ , the sequence  $D_z^\alpha (tD_t)^p u_k(t)$  converges to  $D_z^\alpha (tD_t)^p u(t)$ . This implies the convergence of the approximate solutions to the true solution  $u(t)$ .

Uniqueness may be proved in a similar manner.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author would like to thank Prof. Hidetoshi Tahara for his thorough guidance and the anonymous referee for his helpful comments.

### References

- [ 1 ] M. S. BAOUENDI and C. GOULAOUIC, Cauchy Problems with characteristic initial hypersurface, *Comm. Pure. Appl. Math.* **26** (1973), 455–475.
- [ 2 ] E. FISCHER, *Intermediate Real Analysis*, Springer (1983).
- [ 3 ] L. HÖRMANDER, *Linear Partial Differential Operators*, Springer (1969).
- [ 4 ] J. E. C. LOPE, Existence and Uniqueness Theorems for a Class of Linear Fuchsian Partial Differential Equations, *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **6** (1999), 527–538.
- [ 5 ] T. MANDAI, Characteristic Cauchy problems for some non-Fuchsian partial differential operators, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **45** (1993), 511–545.

- [ 6 ] H. TAHARA, On a Volevič system of singular partial differential equations, J. Math. Soc. Japan **34** (1982), 279–288.
- [ 7 ] H. TAHARA, On the uniqueness theorem for nonlinear singular partial differential equations, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **5** (1998), 477–506.
- [ 8 ] H. YAMANE, Singularities in Fuchsian Cauchy Problems with Holomorphic Data, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **34** (1998), 179–190.

*Present Address:*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOPHIA UNIVERSITY,  
KIOICHO, CHIYODA-KU, TOKYO, 102-8554 JAPAN.

*Permanent Address:*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES,  
DILIMAN, 1101 QUEZON CITY, PHILIPPINES.  
*e-mail:* ernie@math.upd.edu.ph