

## A Note on the Ampleness of Numerically Positive Log Canonical and Anti-Log Canonical Divisors

Shigetaka FUKUDA

*Gifu Shotoku Gakuen University*

(Communicated by H. Tsuji)

**Abstract.** In this short note, we consider the conjecture that the log canonical divisor (resp. the anti-log canonical divisor)  $K_X + \Delta$  (resp.  $-(K_X + \Delta)$ ) on a pair  $(X, \Delta)$  consisting of a complex projective manifold  $X$  and a reduced simply normal crossing divisor  $\Delta$  on  $X$  is ample if it is numerically positive. More precisely, we prove the conjecture for  $K_X + \Delta$  with  $\Delta \neq 0$  in dimension 4 and for  $-(K_X + \Delta)$  with  $\Delta \neq 0$  in dimension 3 or 4.

Every variety is defined over the field of complex numbers throughout the paper. Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional nonsingular projective algebraic variety and  $\Delta = \sum_{i \in I} \Delta_i$  a reduced simply normal crossing divisor on  $X$  (where  $\Delta_i$  is a prime divisor). We denote the canonical divisor of  $X$  by  $K_X$ . Thus  $K_X + \Delta$  denotes the log canonical divisor on the pair  $(X, \Delta)$ .

By the symbol  $\kappa(X, L)$ , we mean the Iitaka dimension of a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Cartier  $\mathbf{Q}$ -divisor  $L$ .

**DEFINITION 0.1.** A  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Cartier  $\mathbf{Q}$ -divisor  $L$  on  $X$  is *numerically positive* (nup ([5]), for short) if  $(L, C) > 0$  for every curve  $C$  on  $X$ .

**REMARK 0.2.** In the case where  $\dim X = 1$ , the nupness means the ampleness.

In this paper we deal with the following four conjectures, which are well known to the specialists of higher dimensional algebraic varieties.

**CONJECTURE 0.3.** If  $K_X$  is nup, then it is ample.

**CONJECTURE 0.4.** If  $K_X + \Delta$  is nup, then it is ample.

**CONJECTURE 0.5.** If  $-K_X$  is nup, then it is ample.

**CONJECTURE 0.6.** If  $-(K_X + \Delta)$  is nup, then it is ample.

Conjectures 0.3 and 0.4 are theorems in dimension  $n \leq 3$ , by virtue of the abundance and the log abundance theorems (due to Kawamata [2] and [3], Miyaoka [10] and Keel-Matsuki-McKernan [4]). Conjecture 0.5 was proved by Hidetoshi Maeda [6] in dimension  $n = 2$  and by Serrano [12] in dimension  $n = 3$ .

Hironobu Maeda [7] proved Conjecture 0.6 in the case where  $\Delta \neq 0$  and  $n = 2$ , as follows: Assume that  $n = 2$ , the anti-log canonical divisor  $-(K_X + \Delta)$  is nup and  $\Delta \neq 0$ .

First we shall show that  $(-(K_X + \Delta))^2 > 0$ . Let us derive a contradiction, assuming that  $(-(K_X + \Delta))^2 = 0$ . From the nupness of  $-(K_X + \Delta)$ , we have  $-(K_X + \Delta)\Delta > 0$ . Thus  $-(K_X + \Delta)K_X < 0$ . Then  $\kappa(X, -(K_X + \Delta)) = 1$  by virtue of Sakai [11], Theorem 2. Hence the nupness of  $-(K_X + \Delta)$  implies that  $(-(K_X + \Delta))(-K_X + \Delta) > 0$ , because a high multiple of  $-(K_X + \Delta)$  becomes linearly equivalent to some nonzero effective divisor. This is a contradiction! Consequently we have  $(-(K_X + \Delta))^2 > 0$ . Next we apply the Nakai criterion to the divisor  $-(K_X + \Delta)$  and obtain that it is ample.

By using Wilson's technique [13], Hironobu Maeda [7] proved Conjecture 0.6 also in dimension  $n = 3$  under the extra condition  $\kappa(X, -(K_X + \Delta)) \geq 1$ . (This result was reviewed by Matsuki [8].)

Here we remark that Serrano [12] has implicitly proved Conjecture 0.6 in dimension  $n = 3$  under the weaker condition that  $\kappa(X, -(K_X + \Delta)) \geq 0$ , as follows: Assume that  $n = 3$ , that the anti-log canonical divisor  $-(K_X + \Delta)$  is nup and that  $\kappa(X, -(K_X + \Delta)) \geq 0$ . Then  $\kappa(X, (-1)K_X + 1(-(K_X + \Delta))) = \kappa(X, -2(K_X + \Delta) + \Delta) \geq \kappa(X, -2(K_X + \Delta)) = \kappa(X, -(K_X + \Delta)) \geq 0$ . Thus Serrano [12], Proposition 3.1 implies that  $-(K_X + \Delta) + \varepsilon K_X$  is ample for a sufficiently small positive rational number  $\varepsilon$ . Therefore  $-(K_X + \Delta) = (1/(1 - \varepsilon))((-(K_X + \Delta) + \varepsilon K_X) + \varepsilon \Delta)$  is big. This satisfies the extra condition stated in the preceding paragraph.

Now we state our main theorem

- THEOREM 0.7.** (1) *Conjecture 0.4 is true in the case where  $\Delta \neq 0$  and  $n = 4$ .*  
 (2) *Conjecture 0.6 is true in the case where  $\Delta \neq 0$  and  $n = 3, 4$ .*

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT.** I thank the referee for careful reading the manuscript and for valuable advice concerning the presentation.

### 1. Proof of Theorem 0.7

We define  $\mathbf{Strata}(\Delta) := \{\Gamma \mid \Gamma \text{ is an irreducible component of } \bigcap_{j \in J} \Delta_j \neq \emptyset, \text{ for some nonempty subset } J \text{ of } I\}$  and  $\mathbf{MS}(\Delta) := \{\Gamma \in \mathbf{Strata}(\Delta) \mid \text{If } \Gamma' \in \mathbf{Strata}(\Delta) \text{ and } \Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma, \text{ then } \Gamma' = \Gamma\}$ . We remark that  $(K_X + \Delta)|_{\Gamma} = K_{\Gamma}$  for every  $\Gamma \in \mathbf{MS}(\Delta)$ .

Let  $L$  be a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Cartier  $\mathbf{Q}$ -divisor on  $X$ .

$L$  is said to be *nef and log big* on  $(X, \Delta)$ , if  $L$  is nef,  $L^n > 0$  and  $(L|_{\Gamma})^{\dim \Gamma} > 0$  for any  $\Gamma \in \mathbf{Strata}(\Delta)$ .

**REMARK 1.1.** Assume that  $L$  is nef.

If  $bL - (K_X + \Delta)$  is nef for some  $b \geq 0$ , then so is  $aL - (K_X + \Delta)$  for  $a \gg 0$ .

If  $bL - (K_X + \Delta)$  is nup for some  $b \geq 0$ , then so is  $aL - (K_X + \Delta)$  for  $a \gg 0$ .

If  $bL - (K_X + \Delta)$  is nef and big for some  $b \geq 0$ , then so is  $aL - (K_X + \Delta)$  for  $a \gg 0$ .

If  $bL - (K_X + \Delta)$  is nef and log big on  $(X, \Delta)$  for some  $b \geq 0$ , then so is  $aL - (K_X + \Delta)$  for  $a \gg 0$ .

We cite two lemmas:

LEMMA 1.2 (An uniruledness theorem of Miyaoka-Mori type, Matsuki [9]). *Let  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_n$  be a sequence of nef Cartier divisors. Suppose  $D_1 \cdot D_2 \cdots D_n = 0$  and  $-K_X \cdot D_1 \cdot D_2 \cdots D_{n-1} > 0$ . Then  $X$  is covered by a family of rational curves  $C$  such that  $D_n \cdot C = 0$ .*

LEMMA 1.3 (Base point free theorem of Reid type, Fukuda [1]). *If  $L$  is nef and  $bL - (K_X + \Delta)$  is nef and log big on  $(X, \Delta)$  for some  $b \geq 0$ , then  $L$  is semi-ample.*

PROPOSITION 1.4. *Assume that  $L$  is nef and  $bL - (K_X + \Delta)$  is nup for some  $b \geq 0$  and that  $\Delta \neq 0$ . If  $((bL - (K_X + \Delta))|_\Gamma)^{\dim \Gamma} > 0$  for any  $\Gamma \in \mathbf{MS}(\Delta)$ , then  $aL - (K_X + \Delta)$  is nef and log big on  $(X, \Delta)$  for  $a \gg 0$ .*

PROOF. We prove this proposition by induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 1$ , the statement is trivial. Thus we may assume that  $n \geq 2$ .

We note that  $(aL - (K_X + \Delta))|_{\Delta_i} = aL|_{\Delta_i} - (K_{\Delta_i} + (\Delta - \Delta_i)|_{\Delta_i})$ .

First we shall show that  $((aL - (K_X + \Delta))|_\Gamma)^{\dim \Gamma} > 0$  for any  $\Gamma \in \mathbf{Strata}(\Delta)$ . If  $(\Delta - \Delta_i)|_{\Delta_i} \neq 0$ , then the induction hypothesis implies that  $((aL - (K_X + \Delta))|_\Gamma)^{\dim \Gamma} > 0$  for any  $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta_i$ . Thus we may assume that  $(\Delta - \Delta_i)|_{\Delta_i} = 0$ . Then  $\Delta_i \in \mathbf{MS}(\Delta)$ . Therefore  $((aL - (K_X + \Delta))|_{\Delta_i})^{\dim \Delta_i} > 0$ .

Next we shall show that  $(aL - (K_X + \Delta))^n > 0$ . Assuming that  $(aL - (K_X + \Delta))^n = 0$  for any  $a \gg 0$ , we will derive the contradiction. Then we have  $L^i(K_X + \Delta)^{n-i} = 0$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ , by regarding  $(aL - (K_X + \Delta))^n$  as a polynomial in the variable  $a$ . Thus  $-K_X \cdot (aL - (K_X + \Delta))^{n-1} = (-aL + \Delta) \cdot (aL - (K_X + \Delta))^{n-1} = \Delta \cdot (aL - (K_X + \Delta))^{n-1} \geq (aL - (K_X + \Delta))^{n-1} \Delta_i = ((aL - (K_X + \Delta))|_{\Delta_i})^{\dim \Delta_i} > 0$ . Consequently Lemma 1.2 derives the contradiction.  $\square$

THEOREM 1.5. *Assume that  $L$  is nef and  $bL - (K_X + \Delta)$  is nup for some  $b \geq 0$  and that  $\Delta \neq 0$ . If  $((bL - (K_X + \Delta))|_\Gamma)^{\dim \Gamma} > 0$  for any  $\Gamma \in \mathbf{MS}(\Delta)$ , then  $L$  is semi-ample.*

PROOF. The assertion follows from Lemma 1.3, because  $aL - (K_X + \Delta)$  is nef and log big on  $(X, \Delta)$  for  $a \gg 0$  by Proposition 1.4.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 1.6. (1) *Conjecture 0.4 is true in the case  $\Delta \neq 0$ , if Conjecture 0.3 is true in dimension  $\leq n - 1$ .*

(2) *Conjecture 0.6 is true in the case  $\Delta \neq 0$ , if Conjecture 0.5 is true in dimension  $\leq n - 1$ .*

PROOF. (1) Put  $L = K_X + \Delta$  in the statement of Theorem 1.5. (2) Put  $L = -(K_X + \Delta)$  in the statement of Theorem 1.5.  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 0.7. (1) Conjecture 0.3 is true in the case  $n \leq 3$  (Miyaoka [10], Kawamata [3]). Thus Proposition 1.6 implies the assertion.

(2) Conjecture 0.5 is true in the case  $n \leq 3$  (Hidetoshi Maeda [6], Serrano [12]). Thus Proposition 1.6 implies the assertion.  $\square$

**References**

- [ 1 ] S. FUKUDA, On base point free theorem, Kodai Math. J. **19** (1996), 191–199.
- [ 2 ] Y. KAWAMATA, *The Zariski decomposition of log-canonical divisors*, Algebraic Geometry, Bowdoin, 1985, [Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 46, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987], 425–433.
- [ 3 ] Y. KAWAMATA, Abundance theorem for minimal threefolds, Invent. Math. **108** (1992), 229–246.
- [ 4 ] S. KEEL, K. MATSUKI and J. MCKERNAN, Log abundance theorem for threefolds, Duke Math. J. **75** (1994), 99–119.
- [ 5 ] A. LANTERI and B. RONDENA, Numerically positive divisors on algebraic surfaces, Geom. Dedicata **53** (1994), 145–154.
- [ 6 ] Hidetoshi MAEDA, A criterion for a smooth surface to be Del Pezzo, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **113** (1993), 1–3.
- [ 7 ] Hironobu MAEDA, On logarithmic canonical divisors on threefolds, Tokyo J. Math. **8** (1985), 455–461.
- [ 8 ] K. MATSUKI, A criterion for the canonical bundle of a 3-fold to be ample, Math. Ann. **276** (1987), 557–564.
- [ 9 ] K. MATSUKI, A correction to the paper “Log abundance theorem for threefolds”, math. AG/0302360, February 2003.
- [10] Y. MIYAOKA, On the Kodaira dimension of minimal threefolds, Math. Ann. **281** (1988), 325–332.
- [11] F. SAKAI,  $D$ -dimension of algebraic surfaces and numerically effective divisors, Composito Math. **48** (1983), 101–118.
- [12] F. SERRANO, Strictly nef divisors and Fano threefolds, J. Reine Angew. Math. **464** (1995), 187–206.
- [13] P. M. H. WILSON, On complex algebraic varieties of general type, Sympos. INDAM, Rome, 1979, Symposia Mathematica 24, Academic Press, (1981), 65–73.

*Present Address:*

FACULTY OF EDUCATION, GIFU SHOTOKU GAKUEN UNIVERSITY,  
YANAIZU-CHO, GIFU, 501–6194 JAPAN.  
*e-mail:* fukuda@ha.shotoku.ac.jp