

\mathcal{D} -Modules and Arrangements of Hyperplanes

Francisco James LEÓN TRUJILLO

University of Rome "La Sapienza"

(Communicated by M. Guest)

Abstract. Let \mathcal{A} be a central arrangement of hyperplanes in \mathbb{C}^n defined by the homogeneous polynomial $d_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let D_n be the Weyl algebra of rank n over \mathbb{C} and let $P = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, d_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}]$ be the algebra of rational functions on the variety $Y_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$. Studying the structure of P as a D_n -module we obtain a sequence of new D_n -modules. These modules allow us to define useful complexes that determine the De Rham cohomology of $Y_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$. Finally we compute the Poincaré series of P .

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{H_1, \dots, H_k\}$ be a finite central arrangement of hyperplanes in \mathbb{C}^n , i.e., every hyperplane contains the origin. For each $H \in \mathcal{A}$, fix a linear form α_H whose kernel is H . The arrangement \mathcal{A} is also defined by the homogeneous polynomial $d_{\mathcal{A}} = \prod_{H \in \mathcal{A}} \alpha_H$.

Let $D_n = \mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \dots, x_n, \partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n \rangle$ be the Weyl algebra of rank n over \mathbb{C} and let $P = P(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, d_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}]$ be the algebra of rational functions on $Y_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$. In the present work we construct a sequence of D_n -submodules of P and direct sum decompositions of the associated quotient modules. Furthermore, using this decomposition, we compute the cohomology ring $H^*(Y_{\mathcal{A}})$ (Section 3), and the Poincaré series of P (Section 4). All D_n -modules mentioned here are left D_n -modules. Denote the poset of intersections of elements of \mathcal{A} by $L = L(\mathcal{A})$ ordered by reversed inclusion, and with a rank function defined by $r(X) = \text{codim} X$, $X \in L$. Let $r = r(\mathcal{A}) = r(\bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H)$ be the rank of the maximal element of $L(\mathcal{A})$, namely, the cardinality of a maximal linearly independent subset of $\mathcal{A}^* = \{\alpha_H \mid H \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Then each element of P can be written as a finite sum of quotients of the form $f / \prod_{j=1}^h \alpha_{i_j}^{m_j}$, where $0 \leq h \leq r$, $\{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_h}\}$ is a linearly independent subset of \mathcal{A}^* , $m_j \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}] = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $\prod_{j=1}^0 \alpha_{i_j}^{m_j} := 1$. This allows us to obtain the following sequence of holonomic D_n -submodules of P : $0 = P_{-1} \subset \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}] = P_0 \subset P_1 \subset$

Received March 24, 2003; revised September 30, 2004

Mathematics Subject Classification: 32S22, 52C35, 13N10, 13D40.

This research, supported by the ICTP, Trieste, represents part of the author's doctoral dissertation.

$\dots \subset P_r = P$, where

$$P_h = \left\{ \sum \frac{f_{i_1 \dots i_t}^{m_1 \dots m_t}}{\alpha_{i_1}^{m_1} \dots \alpha_{i_t}^{m_t}} \mid 0 \leq t \leq h, f_{i_1 \dots i_t}^{m_1 \dots m_t} \in \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{x}], m_1, \dots, m_t \in \mathbf{N} \right\}.$$

For each $X \in L_h = L_h(\mathcal{A}) = \{X \in L(\mathcal{A}) \mid r(X) = h\}$ consider its dual subspace X^* of $(\mathbf{C}^n)^*$ of dimension h . Let \mathcal{B}_{X^*} be the set of all possible bases of X^* constituted with elements of \mathcal{A}^* . For each X^* and basis $B = \{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_h}\} \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}$, we define the following holonomic D_n -submodule of P_h/P_{h-1}

$$V_{X^*}^B = \left\{ \sum \left(\frac{f_{i_1 \dots i_h}^{m_1 \dots m_h}}{\alpha_{i_1}^{m_1} \dots \alpha_{i_h}^{m_h}} \bmod P_{h-1} \right) \mid f_{i_1 \dots i_h}^{m_1 \dots m_h} \in \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{x}], m_1, \dots, m_h \in \mathbf{N} \right\}.$$

We show in Proposition 2.9 that for each basis $B \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}$ the D_n -modules $V_{X^*}^B$ are isomorphic, and after a linear change of coordinates in $(\mathbf{C}^n)^*$ such that $X^* = \langle y_1, \dots, y_h \rangle$, $V_{X^*}^B$ is isomorphic, as a D_n -module, to $M_{X^*} = \mathbf{C}[y_{h+1}, \dots, y_n, \partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_h}]$ where $\partial_{y_j} = \partial/\partial y_j$. Now let $V_{X^*}^{\text{mod}}$ be the \mathbf{C} -subspace of P_h/P_{h-1} generated by all $[1/\prod_{\alpha \in B} \alpha]$, $B \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}$, then the holonomic D_n -module P_h/P_{h-1} has the following decomposition:

$$P_h/P_{h-1} = \bigoplus_{X \in L_h} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}} V_{X^*}^B = \bigoplus_{X \in L_h} M_{X^*} \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} V_{X^*}^{\text{mod}}.$$

It is possible to determine a basis of $V_{X^*}^{\text{mod}}$ applying the notion of “no broken circuit” nbc (cf. [7]) to \mathcal{B}_{X^*} . Let V_{X^*} be the \mathbf{C} -vector space generated by the set of rational forms $\{1/\prod_{\alpha \in B} \alpha \mid B \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}\}$, then the set $\{1/\prod_{\alpha \in B} \alpha \mid B \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*} \text{ and } B \text{ is a nbc}\}$ is a basis of V_{X^*} , cf. Lemma 2.14, and we have:

THEOREM 2.19. *For $1 \leq h \leq r$, we have $P_h = \bigoplus_{j=0}^h \bigoplus_{X \in L_j(\mathcal{A})} M_{X^*} \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} V_{X^*}$. In particular, since $P = P_r$, we have $P = \bigoplus_{X \in L(\mathcal{A})} M_{X^*} \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} V_{X^*}$.*

THEOREM 2.20. *For $0 \leq h \leq r$, the natural map $\psi : \bigoplus_{X \in L_h} M_{X^*} \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} V_{X^*} \rightarrow P_h/P_{h-1}$ is an isomorphism of D_n -modules.*

This allows us to decompose the De Rham complex for $Y_{\mathcal{A}}$ as a direct sum of complexes with cohomology just in one degree and 1-dimensional. Define the following cochain complex $(\mathcal{L}_h^*, \delta_{\mathcal{L}_h^*})$:

$$\mathcal{L}_h^s = \mathcal{L}_h^s(\{y_1, \dots, y_h\}) = \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq n} f_{i_1 \dots i_s} \bullet \frac{1}{y_1 \dots y_h} dy_{i_1} \dots dy_{i_s} \right\}$$

with $\delta_{\mathcal{L}_h^*} : \mathcal{L}_h^* \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_h^*$ the usual differential, and $f_{i_1 \dots i_s} \in \mathbf{C}[y_{h+1}, \dots, y_n, \partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_h}]$. Thus, cf. Corollary 3.4, the cohomology groups $H^*(\mathcal{L}_h^*)$ are $\mathbf{C} \cdot \frac{1}{y_1 \dots y_h} dy_1 \dots dy_h$ in dimension

h and 0 elsewhere. Now for each $X \in L_h(\mathcal{A})$ we associate the following complex

$$\mathcal{L}_h(X) = \bigoplus_{\substack{(\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_h})=X^* \\ (j_1, \dots, j_h) \text{ nbc}}} \mathcal{L}_h(\{\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_h}\})$$

where $\mathcal{L}_h(\{\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_h}\})$ is the same complex \mathcal{L}_h^* but it is just defined for $\{\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_h}\}$. Finally, associated to the D_n -module $\mathcal{P}_h = P_h/P_{h-1}$, the complex $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_h) = \bigoplus_{X \in L_h} \mathcal{L}_h(X)$ allows us to calculate the h -th cohomology of $Y_{\mathcal{A}}$.

THEOREM 3.6. *For $1 \leq h \leq r$, there exists an isomorphism between $H_{DR}^h(Y_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $H^h(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_h))$:*

$$H_{DR}^h(Y_{\mathcal{A}}) \cong H^h(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_h)) = \bigoplus_{X \in L_h} \bigoplus_{\substack{(\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_h})=X^* \\ (j_1, \dots, j_h) \text{ nbc}}} \mathbf{C} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_{j_1} \cdots \alpha_{j_h}} d\alpha_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\alpha_{j_h}.$$

Finally, we compute the Poincaré series of $P(\mathcal{A})$ as a function of the Poincaré polynomial of \mathcal{A} :

THEOREM 4.4. *The Poincaré series $\text{Poin}(P(\mathcal{A}), t)$ of the graded D_n -module $P(\mathcal{A})$ is equal to $(1 - t)^{-n} \text{Poin}(\mathcal{A}, t)$.*

Throughout the paper we follow notation, definitions and results of [8], [9] on arrangements, and of [1], [3] for the Weyl algebra and its left modules.

2. The D_n -module $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{x}, d_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}]$

This section is dedicated to the algebraic properties of the left D_n -module $P = P(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{x}, d_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}]$. The main results are Theorem 2.19 and Theorem 2.20.

Due to [3, 3.2 Theorem (p. 92)], the D_n -module $P(\mathcal{A})$ is holonomic.

Recall that the rank $r = r(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} is the cardinality of a maximal linearly independent subset of \mathcal{A}^* . The following Lemma is straightforward and allows us to write in a very convenient way every element of P .

LEMMA 2.1. *It is possible to write every element in P as a finite sum of quotients of the form $f / \prod_{j=1}^h \alpha_{i_j}^{m_j}$, where $0 \leq h \leq r$, $\{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_h}\}$ is a linearly independent subset of \mathcal{A}^* , $m_1, \dots, m_h \in \mathbf{N}$, $f \in \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{x}]$ and $\prod_{j=1}^0 \alpha_{i_j}^{m_j} := 1$.*

This Lemma inspires the following definition.

DEFINITION 2.2. For $h = 0, 1, \dots, r$, define the D_n -submodule of P by

$$P_h = \left\{ \sum \frac{f_{i_1 \dots i_t}^{m_1 \dots m_t}}{\prod_{j=1}^t \alpha_{i_j}^{m_j}} \mid 0 \leq t \leq h, f_{i_1 \dots i_t}^{m_1 \dots m_t} \in \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{x}], m_1, \dots, m_t \in \mathbf{N} \right\},$$

where $\{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_t}\}$ varies over all the linearly independent subsets of \mathcal{A}^* of cardinality t .

Hence, by Lemma 2.1, we have the following finite ascending chain of D_n -submodules of P :

$$0 =: P_{-1} \subseteq \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{x}] = P_0 \subseteq P_1 \subseteq P_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq P_r = P. \tag{2.1}$$

Note that every module P_h and every quotient P_h/P_{h-1} is holonomic since P is, cf. [3, p. 86].

Our next aim is to get a decomposition of P_h/P_{h-1} as a direct sum of isotropy component D_n -modules associated to each $X \in L_h(\mathcal{A})$, cf. Proposition 2.10.

For each $X \in L(\mathcal{A})$, consider the dual subspace X^* of $(\mathbf{C}^n)^*$ of dimension $r(X)$.

DEFINITION 2.3. For each X in $L_h(\mathcal{A})$, $1 \leq h \leq r$, let \mathcal{B}_{X^*} be the set of all possible bases of X^* constituted with elements of \mathcal{A}^* , and for each basis $B = \{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_h}\}$ in \mathcal{B}_{X^*} define the holonomic D_n -submodule of P_h/P_{h-1}

$$V_{X^*}^B = \left\{ \sum \left(\frac{f_{i_1 \dots i_h}^{m_1 \dots m_h}}{\alpha_{i_1}^{m_1} \dots \alpha_{i_h}^{m_h}} \text{ mod } P_{h-1} \right) \mid f_{i_1 \dots i_h}^{m_1 \dots m_h} \in \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{x}], m_1, \dots, m_h \in \mathbf{N} \right\}.$$

From the definition it follows that $V_{X^*}^B$ is an irreducible D_n -module. Then it is cyclic (this is also a consequence of its holonomicity). A generator for $V_{X^*}^B$, as a D_n -module, is the class of $1/\prod_{\alpha \in B} \alpha$, cf. Proposition 2.5.

Let $X \in L_h$ and let $B = \{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_h}\}$ be a basis for X^* . Then there exists a basis $\{y_1 := \alpha_{i_1}, \dots, y_h := \alpha_{i_h}, \dots, y_r := \alpha_{i_r}, y_{r+1}, \dots, y_n\}$ of $(\mathbf{C}^n)^*$, where $\{y_1, \dots, y_r\}$ is a maximal linearly independent subset of \mathcal{A}^* . The element $[1/y_1 \dots y_h]$ in $V_{X^*}^{\{y_1, \dots, y_h\}}$ is annihilated by the linear operators $y_1, \dots, y_h, \partial_{y_{h+1}}, \dots, \partial_{y_n}$, i.e. by the left D_n -ideal $I_B = D_n(y_1, \dots, y_h, \partial_{y_{h+1}}, \dots, \partial_{y_n})$. Actually it is very easy to see that:

LEMMA 2.4. *With the previous definitions we have*

$$\text{Ann}_{D_n}([1/y_1 \dots y_h]) = I_B.$$

The ideal I_B plays an important role in what follows.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let M_B be the D_n -module D_n/I_B . Then we have the isomorphism of D_n -modules*

$$V_{X^*}^{\{y_1, \dots, y_h\}} \cong M_B \cong D_n \bullet [1/y_1 \dots y_h]. \tag{2.2}$$

PROOF. The first isomorphism follows from Lemma 2.4 and [3, p. 36]. The second isomorphism follows from the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I_B \rightarrow D_n \rightarrow D_n \bullet [1/y_1 \dots y_h] \rightarrow 0$. \square

COROLLARY 2.6. *Consider two different elements X_1, X_2 in L_h , $1 \leq h \leq r$. Then $V_{X_1}^{B_1} \cap V_{X_2}^{B_2} = \{[0]\}$ for each B_1 in $\mathcal{B}_{X_1^*}$ and for each B_2 in $\mathcal{B}_{X_2^*}$.*

PROPOSITION 2.7. *There exists an isomorphism of D_n -modules between M_B and the ring of polynomials $\mathbf{C}[y_{h+1}, \dots, y_n, \partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_n}]$. This last one is an irreducible, holonomic D_n -module, and its characteristic variety is the conormal space defined by the system of*

equations $\xi_1 = \dots = \xi_h = \xi_{n+h+1} = \dots = \xi_{2n} = 0$, where for $i = 1, \dots, h$ $\xi_i = \sigma_1(y_i)$, and for $i = 1, \dots, n - h$, $\xi_{n+h+i} = \sigma_1(\partial_{y_{h+i}})$ (σ_1 is the symbol map of order 1, cf. [3, p. 57]).

PROOF. Let \mathcal{T} be the automorphism of D_n defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(y_i) &= \partial_{y_i}, & \mathcal{T}(\partial_{y_i}) &= -y_i & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq h \\ \mathcal{T}(y_i) &= y_i, & \mathcal{T}(\partial_{y_i}) &= \partial_{y_i} & \text{for } h + 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\mathcal{C}[y_1, \dots, y_n] \cong D_n/J$, where $J = \sum_1^n D_n \cdot \partial_{y_i}$, then it is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{T}^{-1}(J) = \sum_1^h D_n \cdot y_i + \sum_{h+1}^n D_n \cdot \partial_{y_i} = I_B,$$

and, by [3, p. 38], we get

$$M_B = D_n/\mathcal{T}^{-1}(J) \cong \mathcal{C}[y_1, \dots, y_n]_{\mathcal{T}} \cong \mathcal{C}[y_{h+1}, \dots, y_n, \partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_h}].$$

Thus, by [3, p. 38, p. 86], $\mathcal{C}[y_{h+1}, \dots, y_n, \partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_h}]$ is an irreducible, holonomic D_n -module isomorphic to M_B .

Let \mathcal{B} be the Bernstein filtration of D_n , cf. [1], [3]. Recall that the graded algebra $\text{gr}^{\mathcal{B}}D_n$ is isomorphic to the polynomial ring in $2n$ variables $\mathcal{C}[\xi] = \mathcal{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{2n}]$, cf. [3, p. 58]. Let Γ be a good filtration of $\mathcal{C}[y_{h+1}, \dots, y_n, \partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_h}]$ with respect to \mathcal{B} , for example, the induced one by \mathcal{B} . The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I_B \rightarrow D_n \rightarrow M_B \rightarrow 0$ in turns implies the following exact sequence of $\mathcal{C}[\xi]$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \text{gr}^{\Gamma'} I_B \rightarrow \text{gr}^{\mathcal{B}} D_n \rightarrow \text{gr}^{\Gamma} M_B \rightarrow 0,$$

where Γ' is the filtration induced by \mathcal{B} on I_B . Then $\text{gr}^{\Gamma} M_B \cong \frac{\mathcal{C}[\xi]}{\text{gr}^{\Gamma'} I_B}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ann}(\mathcal{C}[y_{h+1}, \dots, y_n, \partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_h}], \Gamma) &= \text{Ann}_{\mathcal{C}[\xi]}(\text{gr}^{\Gamma} \mathcal{C}[y_{h+1}, \dots, y_n, \partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_h}]) \\ &= \text{Ann}_{\mathcal{C}[\xi]}(\text{gr}^{\Gamma} M_B) = \text{gr}^{\Gamma'} I_B \\ &= \mathcal{C}[\xi](\xi_1, \dots, \xi_h, \xi_{n+h+1}, \dots, \xi_{2n}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by definition (cf. [1], [3]), the characteristic variety of $\mathcal{C}[y_{h+1}, \dots, y_n, \partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_h}]$ is the zero set of the ideal $\mathcal{C}[\xi](\xi_1, \dots, \xi_h, \xi_{n+h+1}, \dots, \xi_{2n})$. □

By the isomorphism (2.2) and Proposition 2.7, we have the following Corollary.

COROLLARY 2.8. *There exists an isomorphism of irreducible D_n -modules*

$$V_{X^*}^{\{y_1, \dots, y_h\}} \cong \mathcal{C}[y_{h+1}, \dots, y_n, \partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_h}]. \tag{2.3}$$

PROPOSITION 2.9. *For each X in L_h , $1 \leq h \leq r$, and each basis B in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}*

- (1) *The vector spaces $V_{X^*}^B$ are isomorphic to each other as D_n -modules.*
- (2) *The ideal $I_{X^*} := I_B$ is independent of B .*
- (3) *The canonical holonomic D_n -module $M_{X^*} := D_n/I_{X^*}$ is isomorphic to $V_{X^*}^B$.*

PROOF. Fix a basis $B = \{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_h}\}$ of X^* . There exists a basis $\{y_1 := \alpha_{i_1}, \dots, y_h := \alpha_{i_h}, \dots, y_r := \alpha_{i_r}, y_{r+1}, \dots, y_n\}$ of $(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$, where $\{y_1, \dots, y_r\}$ is a maximal linearly independent subset of \mathcal{A}^* . Every other basis $B' = \{\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_h}\}$ of X^* satisfies $B' \subset \text{Span}\{y_1, \dots, y_h\}$ and $\{y'_1 := \alpha_{j_1}, \dots, y'_h := \alpha_{j_h}, y'_{h+1} := y_{h+1}, \dots, y'_n := y_n\}$ is a basis of $(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$. Associated to the bases B and B' we have the change of bases matrix

$$C_{B'}^B = \begin{pmatrix} D & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_{n-h} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $D \in GL_h(\mathbb{C})$ and \mathbf{I}_s is the unit matrix of rank s , such that the corresponding bases of $(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$ change linearly by means of

$${}^t(y'_1, \dots, y'_h, y'_{h+1}, \dots, y'_n) = C_{B'}^B {}^t(y_1, \dots, y_h, y_{h+1}, \dots, y_n), \tag{2.4}$$

and the partial derivatives by

$${}^t(\partial_{y'_1}, \dots, \partial_{y'_h}, \partial_{y'_{h+1}}, \dots, \partial_{y'_n}) = ({}^t C_{B'}^B)^{-1} {}^t(\partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_h}, \partial_{y_{h+1}}, \dots, \partial_{y_n}). \tag{2.5}$$

Then we obtain $C[\partial_{y'_1}, \dots, \partial_{y'_h}, y'_{h+1}, \dots, y'_n] = C[\partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_h}, y_{h+1}, \dots, y_n]$ and (1) follows by Corollary 2.8. Moreover, (2) follows from (2.4) and (2.5); and (3) follows from (2) and Proposition 2.5. \square

PROPOSITION 2.10. *For $1 \leq h \leq r$, the quotient of two consecutive D_n -modules of sequence (2.1) has the following decomposition*

$$P_h/P_{h-1} = \bigoplus_{X \in L_h} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}} V_{X^*}^B = \bigoplus_{X \in L_h} \left(\bigoplus_{B \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{X^*}} V_{X^*}^B \right) \tag{2.6}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{X^*}$ is a convenient subset of \mathcal{B}_{X^*} , that is, a subset of \mathcal{B}_{X^*} such that $\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}} V_{X^*}^B = \bigoplus_{B \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{X^*}} V_{X^*}^B$.

PROOF. By Propositions 2.5 and 2.9(1), there exists a subset $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{X^*}$ of \mathcal{B}_{X^*} such that the vector space $\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}} V_{X^*}^B$ associated to X is equal to $\bigoplus_{B \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{X^*}} V_{X^*}^B$. Thus the last equality in (2.6) holds. For two different elements X_1, X_2 in L_h , it follows by Corollary 2.6 that $\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{X_1^*}} V_{X_1^*}^B \cap \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{X_2^*}} V_{X_2^*}^B = \{[0]\}$. Then $\bigoplus_{X \in L_h} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}} V_{X^*}^B \subset P_h/P_{h-1}$. Actually, by Lemma 2.1, $P_h/P_{h-1} = \bigoplus_{X \in L_h} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}} V_{X^*}^B$. \square

PROPOSITION 2.11. *Let X in L_h , $1 \leq h \leq r$, and let $V_{X^*}^{\text{mod}}$ be the \mathbb{C} -subspace of P_h/P_{h-1} annihilated by I_{X^*} . Then $V_{X^*}^{\text{mod}}$ is generated by*

$$\mathcal{U}_{X^*}^{\text{mod}} = \left\{ \frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha \in B} \alpha} \text{ mod } P_{h-1} \mid B \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*} \right\}.$$

PROOF. By Proposition 2.9(2), $\text{Ann}_{D_n}([1/\prod_{\alpha \in B} \alpha]) = I_{X^*}$ if and only if B is a basis of X^* . Then the space $V_{X^*}^{\text{mod}}$ maps into a unique component in the decomposition of P_h/P_{h-1} as in (2.6) and is generated by $\mathcal{U}_{X^*}^{\text{mod}}$. \square

PROPOSITION 2.12. *With the above notation we have*

$$P_h/P_{h-1} \cong \bigoplus_{X \in L_h} M_{X^*} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V_{X^*}^{\text{mod}}. \tag{2.7}$$

PROOF. This follows from Propositions 2.10, 2.9(3) and 2.11. □

Our next aim is to choose a basis for $V_{X^*}^{\text{mod}}$. This is possible using the notion of “no broken circuit” (nbc) for the set \mathcal{B}_{X^*} , consequently for $\mathcal{U}_{X^*}^{\text{mod}}$.

Fix a total order on \mathcal{A}^* by $\mathcal{A}^* = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k\}$. A subset of \mathcal{A}^* is a circuit if it is a minimally dependent set. A *no broken circuit (nbc) subset* is a subset of elements containing no circuit with its smallest element deleted.

Throughout the rest of the paper we identify each ordered set $\{\alpha_{l_1}, \dots, \alpha_{l_s}\}$ with its s -tuple (l_1, \dots, l_s) .

DEFINITION 2.13. For every X in $L_h(\mathcal{A})$, $1 \leq h \leq r$, define the D_n -module

$$R_{X^*} = M_{X^*} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V_{X^*}$$

where V_{X^*} is the \mathbb{C} -vector space generated by $\mathcal{U}_{X^*} = \{1/\prod_{\alpha \in B} \alpha \mid B \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}\}$.

For \mathbb{C}^n in $L_0(\mathcal{A})$, define $V_{(\mathbb{C}^n)^*} = \mathbb{C}$ and $R_{(\mathbb{C}^n)^*} = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

LEMMA 2.14. For $X \in L_h(\mathcal{A})$, define

$$\mathcal{B}_{X^*}^{\text{nbc}} = \{\{\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_h}\} \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*} \mid (j_1, \dots, j_h) \text{ is a nbc}\}.$$

The corresponding set $\mathcal{U}_{X^*}^{\text{nbc}} = \{1/\prod_{\alpha \in B} \alpha \mid B \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}^{\text{nbc}}\}$ is a basis of V_{X^*} .

PROOF. The set $\mathcal{U}_{X^*}^{\text{nbc}}$ generates V_{X^*} : For each basis $\{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_h}\}$ of X^* , there exist two possibilities: If (i_1, \dots, i_h) is a nbc, then $\frac{1}{\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_h}} \in \mathcal{U}_{X^*}^{\text{nbc}}$. Otherwise, there exists an m -subtuple (j_1, \dots, j_m) of (i_1, \dots, i_h) , $1 < m < h$, such that (j_1, \dots, j_m) is a broken circuit. Thus there exists $1 \leq l < i_1$, such that (l, j_1, \dots, j_m) is a circuit. Equivalently we have the following relation $a_1\alpha_{j_1} + \dots + a_m\alpha_{j_m} = \alpha_l$, for some $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{C}$. This implies that

$$\sum_{u=1}^m \frac{a_u}{\alpha_l \alpha_{j_1} \cdots \widehat{\alpha_{j_u}} \cdots \alpha_{j_m}} = \frac{1}{\alpha_{j_1} \cdots \alpha_{j_m}}. \tag{2.8}$$

Note that, for each $1 \leq u \leq m$, the set $\{\alpha_l, \alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \widehat{\alpha_{j_u}}, \dots, \alpha_{j_m}\}$ is linearly independent and $B_u = (\{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_h}\} \setminus \{\alpha_{j_u}\}) \cup \{\alpha_l\}$ is another basis of X^* . From (2.8) we get

$$\frac{a_1}{\alpha_l \alpha_{i_1} \cdots \widehat{\alpha_{j_1}} \cdots \alpha_{i_h}} + \dots + \frac{a_m}{\alpha_l \alpha_{i_1} \cdots \widehat{\alpha_{j_m}} \cdots \alpha_{i_h}} = \frac{1}{\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_h}}. \tag{2.9}$$

If each basis B_u is a nbc, then $\frac{1}{\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_h}}$ is in $\langle \mathcal{U}_{X^*}^{\text{nbc}} \rangle$. Otherwise, there exists at least one h -tuple (l_1, \dots, l_h) that is not a nbc. Then for each such (l_1, \dots, l_h) we can repeat the initial

process, as with (i_1, \dots, i_h) . This procedure ends after a finite number of steps because the cardinality of \mathcal{U}_{X^*} is finite. Finally we obtain $\frac{1}{\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_h}} \in \langle \mathcal{U}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}} \rangle$.

The set $\mathcal{U}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}$ is \mathbb{C} -linearly independent: Suppose that

$$\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_h) \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}} \frac{c_{i_1 \cdots i_h}}{\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_h}} = 0$$

with $c_{i_1 \cdots i_h} \in \mathbb{C}$. Let l_X be the smallest among all the first entries of the h -tuples in $\mathcal{B}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}$. Thus we can divide the last sum as

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_{l_X}} \cdot \sum_{(l_X, i_2, \dots, i_h) \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}} \frac{c_{l_X i_2 \cdots i_h}}{\alpha_{i_2} \cdots \alpha_{i_h}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{(i_1, \dots, i_h) \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}} \\ i_1 \neq l_X}} \frac{c_{i_1 \cdots i_h}}{\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_h}}}_{T_X} = 0$$

or $\sum_{(l_X, i_2, \dots, i_h) \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}} \frac{c_{l_X i_2 \cdots i_h}}{\alpha_{i_2} \cdots \alpha_{i_h}} + \alpha_{l_X} \cdot T_X = 0$. So $\sum_{(l_X, i_2, \dots, i_h) \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}} \frac{c_{l_X i_2 \cdots i_h}}{\alpha_{i_2} \cdots \alpha_{i_h}} = 0$ within $\ker(\alpha_{l_X})$. Note that $\{\alpha_{i_2}, \dots, \alpha_{i_h}\}$ is linearly independent modulo α_{l_X} , and $(i_2, \dots, i_h) \in \mathcal{B}_{Y^*}^{\text{NBC}}$ for some subspace $Y^* = \langle \alpha_{i_2}, \dots, \alpha_{i_h} \rangle$ of X^* obtained after removing α_{l_X} from every basis $\{\alpha_{l_X}, \alpha_{i_2}, \dots, \alpha_{i_h}\}$ in $\mathcal{B}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}$. Thus we have

$$\sum_{(l_X, i_2, \dots, i_h) \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}} \frac{c_{l_X i_2 \cdots i_h}}{\alpha_{i_2} \cdots \alpha_{i_h}} = 0.$$

By induction on $\dim X^*$, we shall prove $c_{l_X i_2 \cdots i_h} = 0$ for all (l_X, i_2, \dots, i_h) in $\mathcal{B}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}$ and $T_X = 0$. In fact, let $\mathcal{Z}_{X^*} = \{Y^* \subset X^* \mid Y^* = \langle \alpha_{i_2}, \dots, \alpha_{i_h} \rangle \text{ if } (l_X, i_2, \dots, i_h) \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}\}$ and fix one Y^* in \mathcal{Z}_{X^*} . Then we may divide the last sum to get

$$\sum_{(l_Y, i_3, \dots, i_h) \in \mathcal{B}_{Y^*}^{\text{NBC}}} \frac{c_{l_Y l_Y i_3 \cdots i_h}}{\alpha_{i_3} \cdots \alpha_{i_h}} + \alpha_{l_Y} \left(\underbrace{\sum_{\substack{(i_2, \dots, i_h) \in \mathcal{B}_{Y^*}^{\text{NBC}} \\ i_2 \neq l_Y}} \frac{c_{l_X i_2 \cdots i_h}}{\alpha_{i_2} \cdots \alpha_{i_h}}}_{T_Y} + \sum_{\substack{(j_2, \dots, j_h) = Z^* \\ Z^* \in \mathcal{Z}_{X^*} \setminus \{Y^*\}}} \frac{c_{l_X j_2 \cdots j_h}}{\alpha_{j_2} \cdots \alpha_{j_h}} \right) = 0.$$

Then $\sum_{(l_Y, i_3, \dots, i_h) \in \mathcal{B}_{Y^*}^{\text{NBC}}} \frac{c_{l_Y l_Y i_3 \cdots i_h}}{\alpha_{i_3} \cdots \alpha_{i_h}} = 0$ within $\ker(\alpha_{l_Y})$. By induction on $\dim X^*$, since $\dim Y^* < \dim X^*$, $c_{l_Y l_Y i_3 \cdots i_h} = 0$ for all (l_Y, i_3, \dots, i_h) in $\mathcal{B}_{Y^*}^{\text{NBC}}$, and $T_Y = 0$. But this is true for every Y^* in \mathcal{Z}_{X^*} . Thus, $c_{l_X i_2 \cdots i_h} = 0$ for all (l_X, i_2, \dots, i_h) in $\mathcal{B}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}$. This implies that $T_X = 0$. Thus α_{l_X} appears in every basis in $\mathcal{B}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}$ is linearly independent. \square

COROLLARY 2.15. *Let $X \in L_h$, $1 \leq h \leq r$, and let l_X be the smallest among all the first entries of h -tuples (i_1, \dots, i_h) such that $\{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_h}\} \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}$. Then $B \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}$ if and only if $\alpha_{l_X} \in B$.*

LEMMA 2.16. *Let X, Y be two elements in $L_h, 1 \leq h \leq r$. Then $X \neq Y$ if and only if $V_{X^*} \cap V_{Y^*} = \{0\}$.*

PROOF. Assume $X^* \neq Y^*$. Suppose that there exists a non-zero element v in $V_{X^*} \cap V_{Y^*}$. Since $[v] = v \bmod P_{h-1}$ belongs to $M_{X^*} \otimes V_{X^*}^{\text{mod}} \cap M_{Y^*} \otimes V_{Y^*}^{\text{mod}} = \{[0]\}$, then v belongs to P_{h-1} . This is a contradiction. \square

The next two lemmas enable us to write the D_n -module P as a direct sum of the R_{X^*} .

LEMMA 2.17. *Fix $I = (i_1, \dots, i_h)$ and $J = (j_1, \dots, j_s)$ such that $h + s = n$ and consider a polynomial f in $\mathbb{C}[y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_h}, \partial_{y_{j_1}}, \dots, \partial_{y_{j_s}}]$. Then*

- (a) *If f is such that $f \bullet \frac{1}{y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_s}} = 0$, then $f \equiv 0$.*
- (b) *If $f \cdot \partial_{y_{j_l}} \bullet \frac{1}{y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_s}} = 0$ for some $1 \leq l \leq s$, then $f \equiv 0$.*

More generally, if the subset $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s\}$ of $\text{Span}\{y_{j_1}, \dots, y_{j_s}\}$ is linearly independent, then (a) and (b) hold with $\frac{1}{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_s}$ instead of $\frac{1}{y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_s}}$.

PROOF. We start to show (a) by induction on s : If $f \in \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ ($s = 0$), then it is clear that $f \equiv 0$. Now let $s > 0$. If there is no $1 \leq u \leq s$ such that $\deg_{\partial_{y_{j_u}}} f = m > 0$, then it is also clear that $f \equiv 0$, otherwise f can be written as

$$Q_m \partial_{y_{j_u}}^m + Q_{m-1} \partial_{y_{j_u}}^{m-1} + \cdots + Q_1 \partial_{y_{j_u}} + Q_0$$

where $Q_m, \dots, Q_0 \in \mathbb{C}[y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_h}, \partial_{y_{j_1}}, \dots, \widehat{\partial_{y_{j_u}}}, \dots, \partial_{y_{j_s}}]$ and $Q_m \neq 0$. Thus $f \bullet \frac{1}{y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_s}} = 0$ is equivalent to

$$\left(\frac{(-1)^m m!}{y_{j_u}^{m+1}} Q_m + \frac{(-1)^{m-1} (m-1)!}{y_{j_u}^m} Q_{m-1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{y_{j_u}} Q_0 \right) \bullet \frac{1}{y_{j_1} \cdots \widehat{y_{j_u}} \cdots y_{j_s}} = 0$$

or

$$((-1)^m m! Q_m + (-1)^{m-1} (m-1)! y_{j_u} Q_{m-1} + \cdots + y_{j_u}^m Q_0) \bullet \frac{1}{y_{j_1} \cdots \widehat{y_{j_u}} \cdots y_{j_s}} = 0.$$

Denote by \tilde{f} the operator that acts on $\frac{1}{y_{j_1} \cdots \widehat{y_{j_u}} \cdots y_{j_s}}$ in the last equation. Note that \tilde{f} belongs to $\mathbb{C}[y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_h}, y_{j_u}, \partial_{y_{j_1}}, \dots, \widehat{\partial_{y_{j_u}}}, \dots, \partial_{y_{j_s}}]$. By induction on s we have $\tilde{f} \equiv 0$. Then $Q_m = 0$ and $f \equiv 0$.

In order to prove (b), note that $f \cdot \partial_{y_{j_l}} = \partial_{y_{j_l}} \cdot f$. Again, by induction on s , if $s = 0$ then $f = 0$. For $s > 0$, if there is no $1 \leq u \leq s$ such that $\deg_{\partial_{y_{j_u}}} f = m > 0$, then it is also clear

that $f \cdot \partial_{y_{j_l}} \bullet \frac{1}{y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_s}} = 0$ implies $f = 0$, otherwise $f \cdot \partial_{y_{j_l}}$ can be written as

$$(Q_m \partial_{y_{j_l}}) \partial_{y_{j_u}}^m + (Q_{m-1} \partial_{y_{j_l}}) \partial_{y_{j_u}}^{m-1} + \cdots + (Q_1 \partial_{y_{j_l}}) \partial_{y_{j_u}} + (Q_0 \partial_{y_{j_l}})$$

where $Q_m, \dots, Q_0 \in \mathcal{C}[y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_h}, \partial_{y_{j_1}}, \dots, \widehat{\partial_{y_{j_u}}}, \dots, \partial_{y_{j_s}}]$ and $Q_m \neq 0$. If $l \neq u$ then again $Q'_p = Q_p \partial_{y_{j_l}} \in \mathcal{C}[y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_h}, \partial_{y_{j_1}}, \dots, \widehat{\partial_{y_{j_u}}}, \dots, \partial_{y_{j_s}}]$ for $p = 0, 1, \dots, m$, and the result follows from (a). Otherwise $f \cdot \partial_{y_{j_l}} \bullet \frac{1}{y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_s}} = 0$ is equivalent to

$$((-1)^{m+1}(m+1)!Q_m + (-1)^m m! y_{j_u} Q_{m-1} + \cdots - y_{j_u}^m Q_0) \bullet \frac{1}{y_{j_1} \cdots \widehat{y_{j_u}} \cdots y_{j_s}} = 0$$

and again the result follows from (a) and induction on s .

The general case follows by induction on s and from relations (2.4) and (2.5). □

LEMMA 2.18. *Let X in $L_h, 1 \leq h \leq r$. The natural map of D_n -modules $\phi_X : R_{X^*} = M_{X^*} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} V_{X^*} \rightarrow P, m \otimes v \mapsto m \bullet v$, is injective.*

PROOF. Let $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ be a basis of $(\mathcal{C}^n)^*$ such that $X^* = \langle y_1, \dots, y_h \rangle$. By Lemma 2.14, R_{X^*} can be written as $\mathcal{C}[y_{h+1}, \dots, y_n, \partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_n}] \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \langle \mathcal{U}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}} \rangle = \bigoplus_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}} M_{X^*} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} (1/\prod_{\alpha \in B} \alpha)$. Then the map ϕ_X is injective if and only if for each $B \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}$ the map $\phi_X^B : M_{X^*} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} (1/\prod_{\alpha \in B} \alpha) \rightarrow P$ is injective, i.e., if $Q \bullet (1/\prod_{\alpha \in B} \alpha) = 0$, where $Q \in \mathcal{C}[y_{h+1}, \dots, y_n, \partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_h}]$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}$, then $Q = 0$. This follows from Lemma 2.17. □

THEOREM 2.19. *For $1 \leq h \leq r$, we have*

$$P_h = \bigoplus_{j=0}^h \bigoplus_{X \in L_j(\mathcal{A})} R_{X^*}.$$

In particular, since $P = P_r$, we have $P = \bigoplus_{X \in L(\mathcal{A})} R_{X^}$.*

PROOF. This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.18 and the definition of P_h . □

REMARK. M. Brion and M. Vergne [2], and H. Terao [10], have studied the action of $\mathcal{C}[\partial]$ on P . Horiuchi and Terao [5] have also studied the naturally double filtration of P by the degrees of the denominators and numerators.

THEOREM 2.20. *For $0 \leq h \leq r$, the natural map induced by $\phi_X, \psi : \bigoplus_{X \in L_h} R_{X^*} \rightarrow P_h/P_{h-1}, m \otimes v \mapsto [m \bullet v]$, is an isomorphism of D_n -modules.*

PROOF. It follows from Proposition 2.12 that the D_n -morphism ψ is surjective. In order to see that ψ is injective, it is sufficient to show that $\psi_X : R_{X^*} \rightarrow P_h/P_{h-1}$ is injective for each $X \in L_h$. Recall that $\mathcal{A}_X = \{H \in \mathcal{A} \mid H \subseteq X\}$. Let $d_{\mathcal{A}_X} = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_X^*} \alpha$ be the homogeneous polynomial that defines the subarrangement \mathcal{A}_X . Define the D_n -submodule P^X of P by $\mathcal{C}[\mathbf{x}, d_{\mathcal{A}_X}^{-1}]$. By Lemma 2.1, P^X admits a finite ascending chain similar to one of

(2.1) to P . Then, the map ψ_X is injective if and only if the map $\overline{\psi}_X : R_{X^*} \rightarrow P_h^X/P_{h-1}^X$ is injective, i.e., $V_{X^*} \cap P_{h-1}^X = \{0\}$. Suppose that there exists a non-zero element v in $V_{X^*} \cap P_{h-1}^X$. Let $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ be a basis of $(\mathbf{C}^n)^*$ such that $X^* = \langle y_1, \dots, y_h \rangle$, then v can be written as

$$v = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}} \frac{c_B}{\prod_{\alpha \in B} \alpha} = \sum \frac{a_{j_1 \dots j_s}}{\alpha_{j_1}^{m_1} \dots \alpha_{j_s}^{m_s}},$$

where the first sum belongs to V_{X^*} , the second to P_{h-1}^X , $c_B \in \mathbf{C}$, $0 \leq s \leq h - 1$, $a_{j_1 \dots j_s} \in \mathbf{C}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$, $\{\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_s}\}$ is a linearly independent subset of $\text{Span}\{y_1, \dots, y_h\} \cap \mathcal{A}_X^*$ and $m_1, \dots, m_s \in \mathbf{N}$. It is clear that $\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}} (c_B / \prod_{\alpha \in B} \alpha) \bmod P_{h-1} \neq [0]$ and

$\sum (a_{j_1 \dots j_s} / \alpha_{j_1}^{m_1} \dots \alpha_{j_s}^{m_s}) \bmod P_{h-1} = [0]$. This is a contradiction. □

COROLLARY 2.21. *If $X \in L_h$, $1 \leq h \leq r$, then the set of cosets $\{1 / \prod_{\alpha \in B} \alpha \bmod P_{h-1} \mid B \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}\}$ is a \mathbf{C} -basis of $V_{X^*}^{\text{mod}}$.*

DEFINITION 2.22. Let \mathcal{A} be an arrangement in \mathbf{C}^n of rank r . Define the holonomic D_n -module $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus_{h=0}^r \mathcal{P}_h$, associated to the arrangement \mathcal{A} and isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$, as follows: let $\mathcal{P}_0 = P_0 = \mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, and for $1 \leq h \leq r$

$$\mathcal{P}_h = P_h / P_{h-1} \cong \bigoplus_{X \in L_h} R_{X^*} = \bigoplus_{X \in L_h} M_{X^*} \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \langle \mathcal{U}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}} \rangle \cong \bigoplus_{X \in L_h} M_{X^*}^{a(X^*)}$$

where $a(X^*) := \dim V_{X^*}$ is equal to $|\mathcal{U}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}|$, the multiplicity of M_{X^*} .

3. Complexes and cohomology of $Y_{\mathcal{A}}$

We begin by defining some useful cochain complexes \mathcal{L}_h^* , \mathcal{G}_h^* and \mathcal{H}_h^* . The first complex \mathcal{L}_h , cf. (3.1), is associated to every basis $B \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}$, $X \in L_h$, and then we get a complex $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_h) = \bigoplus_{X \in L_h} \bigoplus_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}} \mathcal{L}_h(B)$ associated to \mathcal{P}_h . The cohomology of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_h)$ is the h -th De Rham cohomology of $Y_{\mathcal{A}}$, cf. Theorem 3.6 (see also [9], Theorem 3.26, Theorem 3.43 and Theorem 5.90).

Fix h , $0 \leq h \leq n$, we define the following cochain complexes (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3).

The complex of rational differential forms on $Y_{\mathcal{A}}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_h^* = \mathcal{L}_h^*(\{y_1, \dots, y_h\}) : 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_h^0 \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathcal{L}}^0} \mathcal{L}_h^1 \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathcal{L}}^1} \mathcal{L}_h^2 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_h^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathcal{L}}^{n-1}} \mathcal{L}_h^n \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathcal{L}}^n} 0 \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_h^0 &= \mathbf{C}[y_{h+1}, \dots, y_n, \partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_h}] \bullet \frac{1}{y_1 \dots y_h}, \\ \mathcal{L}_h^s &= \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq n} f_{i_1 \dots i_s} \bullet \frac{1}{y_1 \dots y_h} dy_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dy_{i_s} \right\}, \quad s = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

$f_{i_1 \dots i_s} \in \mathbf{C}[y_{h+1}, \dots, y_n, \partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_h}]$, and the differential $\delta_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{L}_h \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_h$ is the usual differential.

A subcomplex of \mathcal{L}_h :

$$\mathcal{G}_h^* : 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_h^0 \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathcal{G}}^0} \mathcal{G}_h^1 \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathcal{G}}^1} \mathcal{G}_h^2 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_h^{h-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathcal{G}}^{h-1}} \mathcal{G}_h^h \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathcal{G}}^h} 0 \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_h^0 &= \mathbf{C}[\partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_h}] \bullet \frac{1}{y_1 \cdots y_h}, \\ \mathcal{G}_h^r &= \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq h} f_{i_1 \dots i_r} \bullet \frac{1}{y_1 \cdots y_h} dy_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dy_{i_r} \right\}, \quad r = 1, \dots, h, \end{aligned}$$

$f_{i_1 \dots i_r} \in \mathbf{C}[\partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_h}]$, and the differential $\delta_{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{G}_h \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_h$ is the usual differential.

Finally, the De Rham subcomplex on \mathbf{C}^{n-h} :

$$\mathcal{H}_h^* : 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_h^0 \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathcal{H}}^0} \mathcal{H}_h^1 \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathcal{H}}^1} \mathcal{H}_h^2 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_h^{n-h-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathcal{H}}^{n-h-1}} \mathcal{H}_h^{n-h} \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathcal{H}}^{n-h}} 0 \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_h^0 &= \mathbf{C}[y_{h+1}, \dots, y_n], \\ \mathcal{H}_h^t &= \left\{ \sum_{h+1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_t \leq n} f_{i_1 \dots i_t} dy_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dy_{i_t} \right\}, \quad t = 1, \dots, n-h, \end{aligned}$$

$f_{i_1 \dots i_t} \in \mathbf{C}[y_{h+1}, \dots, y_n]$, and the differential $\delta_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{H}_h \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_h$ is the usual differential.

LEMMA 3.1. *The complex \mathcal{G}_h has cohomology*

$$H^*(\mathcal{G}_h) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{C} \cdot \frac{1}{y_1 \cdots y_h} dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_h & \text{in dimension } h, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. For $r = 0$: Let $\omega = f \bullet \frac{1}{y_1 \cdots y_h} \in \mathcal{G}_h^0$. If $\delta_{\mathcal{G}}^0 \omega = \sum_{i=1}^h (f \cdot \partial_{y_i}) \bullet \frac{1}{y_1 \cdots y_h} dy_i = 0$, then we have $\delta_{\mathcal{G}}^0 \omega \wedge (dy_1 \cdots \widehat{dy}_i \cdots dy_h) = (-1)^{i-1} (f \cdot \partial_{y_i}) \bullet \frac{1}{y_1 \cdots y_h} dy_1 \cdots dy_h = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq h$. It is possible if and only if $(f \cdot \partial_{y_i}) \bullet \frac{1}{y_1 \cdots y_h} = 0$.

By Lemma 2.17 (b), we have $f = 0$. Thus, we have $\ker(\delta_{\mathcal{G}}^0) = \{0\}$ and $H^0(\mathcal{G}_h) = 0$.

For $0 < r < h$: Let $\omega = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq h} f_{i_1 \dots i_r} \bullet \frac{1}{y_1 \cdots y_h} dy_{i_1} \cdots dy_{i_r}$ be an element in \mathcal{G}_h^r . If $\delta_{\mathcal{G}}^r \omega = \sum_{1 \leq l_1 < \dots < l_r < l_{r+1} \leq h} (\sum_{j=1}^{r+1} (-1)^{j-1} f_{l_1 \dots \widehat{l}_j \dots l_{r+1}} \cdot \partial_{y_j}) \bullet \frac{1}{y_1 \cdots y_h} dy_{l_1} \cdots dy_{l_{r+1}} = 0$, where $\{l_1, \dots, \widehat{l}_j, \dots, l_{r+1}\}$ is equal to some $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$, then, as for the case $r = 0$, we have $(\sum_{j=1}^{r+1} (-1)^{j-1} f_{l_1 \dots \widehat{l}_j \dots l_{r+1}} \cdot \partial_{y_j}) \bullet \frac{1}{y_1 \cdots y_h} = 0$ for all $1 \leq l_1 < \dots < l_r < l_{r+1} \leq h$.

By Lemma 2.17, this is possible if and only if $\sum_{j=1}^{r+1} (-1)^{j-1} f_{l_1 \dots \widehat{l}_j \dots l_{r+1}} \cdot \partial_{y_j} = 0$. This last equality is true if and only if $f_{i_1 \dots i_r} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq h$. Thus we have again that $\ker(\delta_{\mathcal{G}}^r) = \{0\}$ and $H^r(\mathcal{G}_h) = 0$ for $0 < r < h$.

Finally, for $r = h$, $\delta_{\mathcal{G}}^h(\omega) = 0$ for all $\omega \in \mathcal{G}_h^h$. Thus $\ker(\delta_{\mathcal{G}}^h) = \mathcal{G}_h^h$. Since

$$Im(\delta_{\mathcal{G}}^{h-1}) = \left\{ (f_1 \cdot \partial_{y_1} - f_2 \cdot \partial_{y_2} + \dots + (-1)^{h-1} f_h \cdot \partial_{y_h}) \bullet \frac{1}{y_1 \dots y_h} dy_1 \dots dy_h \right\},$$

we obtain $H^h(\mathcal{G}_h) = \mathbf{C} \cdot \frac{1}{y_1 \dots y_h} dy_1 \dots dy_h$. □

LEMMA 3.2. *The complex \mathcal{H}_h has cohomology*

$$H^*(\mathcal{H}_h) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{C} & \text{in dimension } 0, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. This is a consequence of the fact that \mathcal{H}_h is a subcomplex of the De Rham complex $\Omega_{DR}(\mathbf{C}^{n-h})$ on \mathbf{C}^{n-h} . □

PROPOSITION 3.3. *There exists the following relation between the complexes \mathcal{L}_h , \mathcal{G}_h and \mathcal{H}_h :*

$$\mathcal{L}_h = \mathcal{G}_h \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{H}_h.$$

PROOF. We will prove, cf. [4], that:

(1) $\mathcal{L}_h^s = \bigoplus_{r+t=s} \mathcal{G}_h^r \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{H}_h^t (= (\mathcal{G}_h \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{H}_h)^s)$, and

(2) $\delta_{\mathcal{L}}^s = \delta_{\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{H}}^s : (\mathcal{G}_h \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{H}_h)^s \rightarrow (\mathcal{G}_h \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{H}_h)^{s+1}$.

To prove (1), note that every monomial of $f_{i_1 \dots i_s}(y_{h+1}, \dots, y_n, \partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_h}) \bullet \frac{1}{y_1 \dots y_h} dy_{i_1} \dots dy_{i_s} \in \mathcal{L}_h^s$: $c_{j_1 \dots j_n} y_{h+1}^{j_{h+1}} \dots y_n^{j_n} \partial_{y_1}^{j_1} \dots \partial_{y_h}^{j_h} \bullet \frac{1}{y_1 \dots y_h} dy_{i_1} \dots dy_{i_r} dy_{i_{r+1}} \dots dy_{i_s}$, $c_{j_1 \dots j_n} \in \mathbf{C}$, can be written as $\left(\partial_{y_1}^{j_1} \dots \partial_{y_h}^{j_h} \bullet \frac{1}{y_1 \dots y_h} dy_{i_1} \dots dy_{i_r} \right) \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} (c_{j_1 \dots j_n} y_{h+1}^{j_{h+1}} \dots y_n^{j_n} dy_{i_{r+1}} \dots dy_{i_s})$, where the first factor belong to \mathcal{G}_h^r and the second to \mathcal{H}_h^{s-r} . So $\mathcal{L}_h^s \subseteq \bigoplus_{r+t=s} \mathcal{G}_h^r \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{H}_h^t$. The second inclusion is obvious.

In order to show (2), we will show that if $s = r + t$ for some $0 \leq r \leq h$ then $\delta_{\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{H}}^s |_{\mathcal{G}^r \otimes \mathcal{H}^t} = \delta_{\mathcal{L}}^s |_{\mathcal{G}^r \otimes \mathcal{H}^t}$. It follows from the respective definition of $\delta_{\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{H}}$, $\delta_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\delta_{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\delta_{\mathcal{H}}$. □

COROLLARY 3.4. *The complex $\mathcal{L}_h = \mathcal{L}_h(\{y_1, \dots, y_h\})$ has cohomology*

$$H^*(\mathcal{L}_h(\{y_1, \dots, y_h\})) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{C} \cdot \frac{1}{y_1 \dots y_h} dy_1 \dots dy_h & \text{in dimension } h, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Thanks to Proposition 3.3 and the algebraic Künneth formula for the cohomology of a tensor product of two complexes, we have that $H^s(\mathcal{L}_h) = \bigoplus_{r+t=s} H^r(\mathcal{G}_h) \otimes_C H^t(\mathcal{H}_h)$. Hence, the result follows from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2. \square

DEFINITION 3.5. For each subspace X in L_h , define the following complex:

$$\mathcal{L}_h(X) = \bigoplus_{\{\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_h}\} \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}} \mathcal{L}_h(\{\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_h}\})$$

where $\mathcal{L}_h(\{\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_h}\})$ is the same complex \mathcal{L}_h^* defined in (3.1) but for the set of generators $\{\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_h}\}$ of X^* . Associated to the D_n -module $\mathcal{P}_h \cong \bigoplus_{X \in L_h} R_{X^*}$, define the complex

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_h) = \bigoplus_{X \in L_h} \mathcal{L}_h(X).$$

Finally define the complex $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})) = \bigoplus_{h=0}^r \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_h)$ associated to the D_n -module \mathcal{P} , cf. Definition 2.22.

Notice that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P})$ is the algebraic De Rham complex of $Y_{\mathcal{A}}$.

THEOREM 3.6. For $1 \leq h \leq r$, there exists an isomorphism between $H_{DR}^h(Y_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $H^h(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_h))$:

$$H_{DR}^h(Y_{\mathcal{A}}) \cong H^h(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_h)) = \bigoplus_{X \in L_h} \bigoplus_{\{\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_h}\} \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}} C \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_{j_1} \cdots \alpha_{j_h}} d\alpha_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\alpha_{j_h}.$$

PROOF. Fix a subspace $X \in L_h(\mathcal{A})$. By Corollary 3.4, the associated complex $\mathcal{L}_h(X)$ has cohomology non-null only in dimension h . It is

$$H^h(\mathcal{L}_h(X)) = \bigoplus_{\{\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_h}\} \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}} C \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_{j_1} \cdots \alpha_{j_h}} d\alpha_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\alpha_{j_h}.$$

Therefore, the complex $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_h) = \bigoplus_{X \in L_h} \mathcal{L}_h(X)$ has nonzero cohomology only in dimension h . Since $Y_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a smooth affine variety it follows, by [6, Theorem 1], that $H_{DR}^h(Y_{\mathcal{A}}) \cong H^h(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_h))$. \square

COROLLARY 3.7. Let $b_h(Y_{\mathcal{A}})$ be the Betti numbers of $Y_{\mathcal{A}}$, $1 \leq h \leq r$. Then we have

$$b_h(Y_{\mathcal{A}}) = \sum_{X \in L_h} a(X^*).$$

PROOF. It is a consequence of Theorem 3.6 that

$$\text{rank } H_{DR}^h(Y_{\mathcal{A}}) = \text{rank } H^h(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_h)) = \sum_{X \in L_h} |\mathcal{B}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}| = \sum_{X \in L_h} |\mathcal{U}_{X^*}^{\text{NBC}}| = \sum_{X \in L_h} a(X^*),$$

where the last equality holds by Definition 2.22. \square

4. The Poincaré series of $P(\mathcal{A})$

In this last section we compute the Poincaré series of the D_n -module $P(\mathcal{A})$.

DEFINITION 4.1. If $M = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} M_i$ is a graded vector space with $\dim M_i < +\infty$ for all $i \geq 0$, we define the Poincaré series of M by

$$Poin(M, t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\dim M_i) t^i .$$

From Definition 2.13 and Lemma 2.16 and 2.14, we have the following Lemma.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be an arrangement of hyperplanes. Define the finite dimensional graded \mathbb{C} -vector space*

$$V(\mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus_{h=0}^r \bigoplus_{X \in L_h} V_{X^*} .$$

Then the set

$$\{1\} \cup \bigcup_{h=1}^r \bigcup_{X \in L_h} \mathcal{U}_{X^*}^{nbc}$$

is a basis of $V(\mathcal{A})$.

We must express the dimension of V_{X^*} ($= |\mathcal{U}_{X^*}^{nbc}|$) by using the Möbius function in one variable $\mu(X)$ defined in [9]. Recall that the Poincaré polynomial of \mathcal{A} is combinatorially defined by using μ : $Poin(\mathcal{A}, t) = \sum_{X \in L} (-1)^{r(X)} \mu(X) t^{r(X)}$.

THEOREM 4.3. (see [7], [5]) *For $X \in L$, we have $\dim V_{X^*} = (-1)^{r(X)} \mu(X)$, and the Poincaré series $Poin(V(\mathcal{A}), t)$ of the space $V(\mathcal{A})$ is equal to $Poin(\mathcal{A}, t)$.*

By Theorem 2.19, the dimension of the graded D_n -module $P(\mathcal{A})$ is infinite. Then its Poincaré series is a formal power series. The following theorem gives a combinatorial formula for it.

THEOREM 4.4. *The Poincaré series $Poin(P(\mathcal{A}), t)$ of the graded D_n -module $P(\mathcal{A})$ is equal to $(1 - t)^{-n} Poin(\mathcal{A}, t)$.*

PROOF. According to Theorem 2.19, we have

$$Poin(P(\mathcal{A}), t) = \sum_{X \in L} Poin(R_{X^*}, t) = \sum_{X \in L} Poin(M_{X^*}, t) Poin(V_{X^*}, t) .$$

Since the \mathbb{C} -algebra M_{X^*} is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra with n variables, we have $Poin(M_{X^*}, t) = (1 - t)^{-n}$. Moreover, by the definition of $Poin(V_{X^*}, t) = \dim V_{X^*} t^{r(X)}$ and

by Theorem 4.3, we have $Poin(V_{X^*}, t) = (-1)^{r(X)} \mu(X) t^{r(X)}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} Poin(P(\mathcal{A}), t) &= \sum_{X \in L} (1-t)^{-n} (-1)^{r(X)} \mu(X) t^{r(X)} \\ &= (1-t)^{-n} Poin(\mathcal{A}, t). \end{aligned}$$

□

By Theorem 2.20, we have the following Corollary.

COROLLARY 4.5. *The Poincaré series $Poin(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}), t)$ of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ is equal to $Poin(P(\mathcal{A}), t)$.*

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The author wishes to express his gratitude to his advisor, Professor Claudio Procesi, for valuable comments and helpful suggestions for this paper and [7]. Moreover, he would like to extend thanks to M. Vergne and H. Terao for their comments about [7], and to the referee for suggestions and remarks concerning the exposition.

References

- [1] BJÖRK, J. E., *Rings of differential operators*, North Holland Mathematics Library **21**, Amsterdam (1979).
- [2] BRION, M., VERGNE, M., Arrangement of hyperplanes I. Rational functions and Jeffrey-Kirwan residue. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup.* **32** (1999), 715–741.
- [3] COUTINHO, S. C., *A Primer of Algebraic D-modules*, London Math. Soc. Student Texts **33** (1995).
- [4] DOLD A., *Lectures on Algebraic Topology*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1980).
- [5] HORIUCHI, H., TERAO, H., The Poincaré series of the algebra of rational functions which are regular outside hyperplanes, *J. Algebra* **266** no. 1 (2003), 169–179.
- [6] GROTHENDIECK, A., On the De Rham cohomology of algebraic varieties, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **29** (1966), 95–103.
- [7] LEÓN TRUJILLO, F. J., PhD Thesis, University of Rome “La Sapienza” (2003).
- [8] ORLIK, P., SOLOMON, L., Combinatorics and topology of complements of hyperplanes, *Invent. Math.* **56** (1980), 167–189.
- [9] ORLIK, P., TERAO, H., *Arrangements of Hyperplanes*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **300**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1992).
- [10] TERAO, H., Algebras generated by reciprocals of linear forms, *J. Algebra* **250** no. 2 (2002), 549–558.

Present Address:

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA “LA SAPIENZA”,
P.LE A. MORO, 5; 00185 ROMA, ITALY.
e-mail: francisco.leon@uniroma1.it