

## A generalization of Gu's normality criterion

By Xiaoyi LIU and Jianming CHANG

Department of Mathematics, Changshu Institute of Technology, Changshu, Jiangsu, 215500, China

(Communicated by Kenji FUKAYA, M.J.A., April 12, 2012)

**Abstract:** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of meromorphic functions on a domain  $D$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  be a normal family of meromorphic functions on  $D$  such that  $0$  is not in  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  has no sequence that converges to  $0$  or  $\infty$  spherically locally uniformly on  $D$ . If for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $f(z) \neq 0$ , and there exists an  $h_f \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $f^{(k)}(z) \neq h_f(z)$  at every  $z \in D$ , then the family  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal on  $D$ . This generalizes Gu's well-known normality criterion. It is interesting that the condition  $f(z) \neq 0$  cannot be replaced by that all zeros of  $f$  have large multiplicities, at least  $k + 3$  for instance.

**Key words:** Meromorphic functions; normality; exceptional functions.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of meromorphic functions on a domain  $D \subset \mathbf{C}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be normal on  $D$  in the sense of Montel, if each sequence of  $\mathcal{F}$  contains a subsequence which converges spherically uniformly on each compact subset of  $D$  to a meromorphic function which may be  $\infty$  identically. See [2,5,8]. We denote by  $\mathcal{F}'$  the family of these limit functions, and let  $\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{F}'$ . For two functions  $f$  and  $g$  defined in  $D$ , we write  $f \neq g$  on  $D$  if  $f(z) \neq g(z)$  for every  $z \in D$ ; write  $f \not\equiv g$  if  $f(z_0) \neq g(z_0)$  for some  $z_0 \in D$ ; and write  $f \equiv g$  if  $f(z) = g(z)$  for every  $z \in D$ .

The well-known Gu's normality criterion [1] says that a family  $\mathcal{F} = \{f\}$  of functions meromorphic on  $D$  is normal if  $f \neq 0$  and  $f^{(k)} \neq 1$  on  $D$  for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . Our starting point is the following generalization of Gu's theorem proved by L. Yang [7].

**Theorem A** ([7, Theorem 1]). *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of meromorphic functions on  $D$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $h (\neq 0)$  be a holomorphic function on  $D$ . If for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $f \neq 0$  and  $f^{(k)} \neq h$  on  $D$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal on  $D$ .*

In Theorem A, the derivatives of all functions in  $\mathcal{F}$  omit the same function  $h$ . Thus, it is interesting to consider the case that for different functions in  $\mathcal{F}$ , their  $k$ -th derivatives omit different functions. In this direction, S. Nevo, X. C. Pang and L. Zalcman [3] have proved the following result. We state their result by the following form.

**Theorem B** ([3, Lemma 3]). *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of meromorphic functions on a domain  $D$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  be a normal family of holomorphic functions on  $D$  such that  $h \neq 0, \infty$  on  $D$  for each function  $h \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ . If for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $f \neq 0$  on  $D$ , and there exists an  $h_f \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $f^{(k)} \neq h_f$  on  $D$ , then the family  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal on  $D$ .*

Here, we generalize this result by allowing that  $\mathcal{H}$  consists of meromorphic functions and that  $h \neq 0, \infty$  for each  $h \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of meromorphic functions on a domain  $D$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  be a normal family of meromorphic functions on  $D$  such that  $0, \infty \notin \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ . If for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $f \neq 0$  on  $D$ , and there exists an  $h_f \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $f^{(k)} \neq h_f$  on  $D$ , then the family  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal on  $D$ .*

There are many further studies [4,6] about Gu's criterion and Yang's theorem. For example, we have the following normality criteria.

**Theorem C** ([4, Theorem 1]). *Let  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of meromorphic functions on  $D$ , all of whose zeros have multiplicity at least  $k + 3$ , and  $h (\neq 0)$  be a holomorphic function on  $D$ . If for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $f^{(k)} \neq h$  on  $D$ , then the family  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal on  $D$ .*

**Theorem D** ([4, Theorem 3]). *Let  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of meromorphic functions on  $D$ , all of whose zeros have multiplicity at least  $k + 2$  and all of whose poles are multiple, and  $h (\neq 0)$  be a holomorphic function on  $D$ . If for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $f^{(k)} \neq h$  on  $D$ , then the family  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal on  $D$ .*

Hence, it is natural to ask whether there are similar results for Theorems C and D. The answer is

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30D45.

no. Indeed, for given positive integers  $M, N$  and  $k$ , we can construct a non-normal family  $\mathcal{F}$  of meromorphic functions such that for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , all poles of  $f$  have multiplicity at least  $M$ , all zeros of  $f$  have multiplicity at least  $N$ , and  $f^{(k)}$  omits a function contained in a normal family  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfying  $0, \infty \notin \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ .

**Example 1.** Let  $M, N, k$  be positive integers with  $N > k$ , and let for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$

$$f_n(z) = \frac{(z^M - \frac{1}{n^M})^N}{z^M},$$

$$h_n(z) = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{(-1)^j}{n^{Mj}} \binom{N}{j} z^{(N-j-1)M} \right)^{(k)}.$$

Then we have

$$f_n(z) = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^N \binom{N}{j} \frac{(-1)^j}{n^{Mj}} z^{(N-j)M}}{z^M}$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^N}{n^{MN} z^M} + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{(-1)^j}{n^{Mj}} \binom{N}{j} z^{(N-j-1)M},$$

and hence

$$f_n^{(k)}(z) = \frac{(-1)^{N+k} (M+k-1)!}{(M-1)! n^{MN} z^{M+k}} + h_n(z) \neq h_n(z).$$

We also see that  $\mathcal{H} = \{h_n\}$  is normal on  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $0, \infty \notin \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ , since  $h_n \not\equiv 0, \infty$  on  $D$  and

$$h_n(z) \rightarrow h(z) := (z^{(N-1)M})^{(k)} \not\equiv 0, \infty.$$

However, the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  is not normal at 0, since  $f_n(0) = \infty$  and  $f_n(1/n) = 0$ .

This example shows that the condition  $f \neq 0$  on  $D$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  in Theorem 1 cannot be relaxed in general. The other conditions are also essential.

**Example 2.** The condition that  $\mathcal{H}$  is normal is necessary. Let  $f_n(z) = e^{nz}$  for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , and  $h_n(z) = n^k e^{nz} + 1$ . Then on  $\mathbf{C}$ ,  $f_n$  is zero-free and  $f_n^{(k)} \neq h_n$ . We see that both  $\{f_n\}$  and  $\{h_n\}$  are not normal at 0.

**Example 3.** The condition that  $0, \infty \notin \overline{\mathcal{H}}$  is necessary. Let  $f_n(z) = e^{nz}$  for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then on the unit disk  $\Delta(0, 1)$ ,  $f_n \neq 0$ ,  $f_n^{(k)}(z) = n^k e^{nz} \neq n^k e^n$  and  $f_n^{(k)}(z) \neq n^k e^{-n}$ . Obviously, we have  $n^k e^n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $n^k e^{-n} \rightarrow 0$ . However,  $\{f_n\}$  is not normal at 0.

**Example 4.** The condition  $f^{(k)} \neq h_f$  cannot be replaced by  $f^{(k)} - h_f \neq 0$ , even for all  $h_f$  are the same. Let  $f_n(z) = 1/(nz)$  for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , and  $h(z) = (-1)^k k! / z^{k+1}$ . Then we have  $f_n \neq 0$  and

$f_n^{(k)} - h \neq 0$  on  $\mathbf{C}$  for  $n > 1$ . However,  $\{f_n\}$  is not normal at 0.

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $\{f_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}$  be a sequence. We are required to prove that  $\{f_n\}$  contains a subsequence which converges spherically locally uniformly on  $D$ .

By the condition, there exists a corresponding sequence  $\{h_n\} \subset \mathcal{H}$  such that  $f_n^{(k)} \neq h_n$  on  $D$ . If  $\{h_n\}$  contains a subsequence in which all functions are the same, then the conclusion follows from Theorem A. So we can assume that the functions  $h_n$  are distinct.

Since  $\mathcal{H}$  is normal,  $\{h_n\}$  contains a subsequence, which we continue to call  $\{h_n\}$ , such that  $\{h_n\}$  converges spherically locally uniformly on  $D$  to a meromorphic function  $h_0$ , which may be  $\infty$  identically. Since  $0, \infty \notin \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ , we have  $h_0 \not\equiv 0, \infty$ . Set  $E = h_0^{-1}(0) \cup h_0^{-1}(\infty)$ , where  $h_0^{-1}(0)$  and  $h_0^{-1}(\infty)$  stand respectively for the set of zeros and the set of poles of  $h_0$  in  $D$ . Since  $h_0 \not\equiv 0, \infty$ , the set  $E$  has no accumulation point in  $D$ .

We claim that  $\{f_n\}$  is normal on  $D \setminus E$ . It suffices to show that  $\{f_n\}$  is normal at every point  $z_0 \in D \setminus E$ . Let  $U = U(z_0)$  be a neighborhood of  $z_0$  such that  $\overline{U} \subset D \setminus E$ . Then  $h_0 \neq 0, \infty$  on  $\overline{U}$ . Since  $h_n \rightarrow h_0$  on  $D$ , by Hurwitz's theorem,  $h_n \neq 0, \infty$  on  $U$  (for sufficiently large  $n$ ). So the conditions of Theorem B are satisfied on  $U$ , and hence the normality of  $\{f_n\}$  on  $U$  (and hence at  $z_0$ ) follows.

It follows from the claim that we can say  $f_n \rightarrow f_0$  on  $D \setminus E$ , where  $f_0$  is meromorphic on  $D \setminus E$  or  $f_0 \equiv \infty$ .

Suppose first that  $f_0 \not\equiv 0$ . Then we have  $1/f_n \rightarrow 1/f_0 \not\equiv \infty$  on  $D \setminus E$ . Since  $f_n \neq 0$  on  $D$ ,  $1/f_n$  is holomorphic on  $D$ . Hence, by the maximum modulus principle,  $1/f_n \rightarrow 1/f_0$  on whole  $D$ . It follows that  $f_n \rightarrow f_0$  on  $D$ .

Suppose now that  $f_0 \equiv 0$ . Then  $f_n$  is locally uniformly holomorphic on  $D \setminus E$ , i.e., for each bounded and closed sub-domain of  $D \setminus E$ , there exists an  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  such that for  $n > N$ ,  $f_n$  is holomorphic on this sub-domain.

Now let  $F$  be a bounded and closed subset of  $D$ . Since  $D$  is a domain, there exists a bounded and closed sub-domain of  $D$  with smooth boundary that contains  $F$ . So we can assume that  $F$  is a bounded and closed sub-domain of  $D$  with smooth boundary  $\partial F$ . Also, as  $E$  has no accumulation point in  $D$ , we can assume that no point in  $E$  lies on the boundary  $\partial F$ . We denote by  $F^\circ$  the interior of  $F$ .

Thus by  $f_n \rightarrow f_0 \equiv 0$  on  $D \setminus E$ , we have  $f_n^{(k)} \rightarrow 0$  and  $f_n^{(k+1)} \rightarrow 0$  on  $D \setminus E$ , and hence  $f_n^{(k)} - h_n \rightarrow -h_0$  and  $f_n^{(k+1)} - h'_n \rightarrow -h'_0$  on  $\partial F$ . Now we apply the argument principle to the functions  $f_n^{(k)} - h_n$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad & n\left(F^\circ, \frac{1}{f_n^{(k)} - h_n}\right) - n(F^\circ, f_n^{(k)} - h_n) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial F} \frac{f_n^{(k+1)} - h'_n}{f_n^{(k)} - h_n} dz \\
 &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial F} \frac{h'_0}{h_0} dz \\
 &= n\left(F^\circ, \frac{1}{h_0}\right) - n(F^\circ, h_0),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $n(F^\circ, g)$  and  $n(F^\circ, 1/g)$  are respectively the number of poles of  $g$  and the number of zeros of  $g$  in  $F^\circ$ , counting multiplicity. Since both sides of (1) are integers, it follows that for sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2) \quad & n\left(F^\circ, \frac{1}{f_n^{(k)} - h_n}\right) - n(F^\circ, f_n^{(k)} - h_n) \\
 &= n\left(F^\circ, \frac{1}{h_0}\right) - n(F^\circ, h_0).
 \end{aligned}$$

From  $f_n^{(k)} \neq h_n$ , we get  $n(F^\circ, \frac{1}{f_n^{(k)} - h_n}) = 0$  and know that  $f_n^{(k)}$  and  $h_n$  have no common poles. It follows that

$$(3) \quad n(F^\circ, f_n^{(k)} - h_n) = n(F^\circ, f_n^{(k)}) + n(F^\circ, h_n).$$

Further as  $h_n \rightarrow h_0$  spherically uniformly on  $F$  and  $h_0 \neq 0, \infty$  on  $\partial F$ , by Hurwitz's theorem, we have  $n(F^\circ, h_n) = n(F^\circ, h_0)$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . Thus, by (2) and (3), we have

$$(4) \quad n(F^\circ, f_n^{(k)}) + n\left(F^\circ, \frac{1}{h_0}\right) = 0.$$

It follows that each  $f_n$  has no pole on  $F$  and hence is holomorphic for sufficiently large  $n$ . Thus by  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  on  $D \setminus E$  and the maximum modulus principle,  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on  $F$ . This shows that  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  locally uniformly on  $D$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** The authors are grateful to the referee for his valuable suggestions. This work was supported by NNSF of P. R. China (Grant No. 11171045).

**References**

[ 1 ] Y. X. Gu, A normal criterion of meromorphic families, *Sci. Sinica, Math. Issue (I)*, (1979), 267-274.

[ 2 ] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic functions*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.

[ 3 ] S. Nevo, X. Pang and L. Zalcman, Quasinormality and meromorphic functions with multiple zeros, *J. Anal. Math.* **101** (2007), no. 1, 1-23.

[ 4 ] X. Pang, D. Yang and L. Zalcman, Normal families of meromorphic functions whose derivatives omit a function, *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* **2** (2002), no. 1, 257-265.

[ 5 ] J. L. Schiff, *Normal families*, Universitext, Springer, New York, 1993.

[ 6 ] W. Schwick, Exceptional functions and normality, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **29** (1997), no. 4, 425-432.

[ 7 ] L. Yang, Normality for families of meromorphic functions, *Sci. Sinica Ser. A* **29** (1986), no. 12, 1263-1274.

[ 8 ] L. Yang, *Value distribution theory*, translated and revised from the 1982 Chinese original, Springer, Berlin, 1993.