

# Some remarks on symmetric linear functions and pseudotrace maps

By Yusuke ARIKE

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University,  
Machikaneyamacho 1-1, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

(Communicated by Shigefumi MORI, M.J.A., June 14, 2010)

**Abstract:** Let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional associative algebra and  $\phi$  a symmetric linear function on  $A$ . In this note, we will show that the pseudotrace maps defined in [6] are obtained as special cases of well-known symmetric linear functions on the endomorphism rings of projective modules. As an application of our approach, we will give proofs of several propositions and theorems in [6] for an arbitrary finite-dimensional associative algebra.

**Key words:** Symmetric algebras; symmetric linear functions; pseudotrace maps.

**1. Introduction.** In this note, we work on an algebraically closed field  $\mathbf{k}$  of characteristic 0. Let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional associative  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra. A linear function  $\phi$  on  $A$  is said to be *symmetric* if  $\phi(ab) = \phi(ba)$  for all  $a, b \in A$ . We denote the space of symmetric linear functions on  $A$  by  $\text{SLF}(A)$ .

In [6], Miyamoto introduces a notion of a *pseudotrace map* on a basic symmetric  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra  $P$  in order to construct pseudotrace functions of logarithmic modules of vertex operator algebras satisfying some finiteness condition called  $C_2$ -condition. Let  $\phi$  be a symmetric linear function on  $P$  which induces a nondegenerate bilinear form  $P \times P \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ . Then the pseudotrace map  $\text{tr}_W^\phi$  is a symmetric linear function on the endomorphism ring of a finite-dimensional right  $P$ -module  $W$  called *interlocked with  $\phi$* . As it is implicitly mentioned in [6] and it is proved in this note, a finite-dimensional right  $P$ -module which is interlocked with  $\phi$  is in fact a direct sum of indecomposable projective modules.

For an arbitrary finite-dimensional  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra  $A$ , a finitely generated projective right  $A$ -module  $W$  has an  *$A$ -coordinate system of  $W$* , that is,  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset W$  and  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \text{Hom}_A(W, A)$  such that  $w = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \alpha_i(w)$  for all  $w \in W$  (see [2]). For any symmetric linear function  $\phi$  on  $A$ , we can define a symmetric linear function on  $\text{End}_A(W)$  by

$$\phi_W(\alpha) = \phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \circ \alpha(u_i)\right)$$

for all  $\alpha \in \text{End}_A(W)$  (c.f. [3]). In this note, we show that the symmetric linear function  $\text{tr}_W^\phi$  coincides with the pseudotrace map when  $A = P$  and  $\phi$  induces a nondegenerate symmetric associative bilinear form on  $P$ . We also prove that a right  $P$ -module  $W$  is interlocked with  $\phi$  if and only if  $W$  is projective. Then we can prove several propositions and theorems in [6] for *arbitrary finite-dimensional  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebras*.

This note is organized as follows: In section 2, we recall a construction of a symmetric linear function  $\phi_W$  on the endomorphism ring of finitely generated projective modules  $W$  from  $\phi \in \text{SLF}(A)$ . In section 3, we assume that  $P$  is indecomposable, basic and symmetric and  $\phi \in \text{SLF}(P)$  satisfies some conditions (see section 3). We recall a notion of a right  $P$ -module  $W$  which is interlocked with  $\phi$  and a notion of a pseudotrace map  $\text{tr}_W^\phi$  defined in [6]. We show that  $W$  is interlocked with  $\phi$  if and only if  $W$  is projective. By using this fact, for any indecomposable projective module  $W$ , we define  $\phi_W$  and show that  $\phi_W$  coincides with  $\text{tr}_W^\phi$ . In section 4 and 5, we prove several propositions and theorems for pseudotrace maps in [6] by using  $\phi_W$  for arbitrary finite-dimensional  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebras.

**2. Projective modules and symmetric linear functions.** Let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional associative  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra. We denote a left (resp. right)  $A$ -module  $M$  by  ${}_A M$  (resp.  $M_A$ ).

In this section, we recall a notion of a symmetric linear function on the endomorphism ring of a finitely generated projective right  $A$ -module (c.f. [3]).

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 16S50, 16D40.

Assume that  $W_A$  is finitely generated. Then  $W_A$  is projective if and only if there exist subsets  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset W_A$  and  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \text{Hom}_A(W_A, A)$  such that

$$w = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \alpha_i(w)$$

for all  $w \in W_A$  (see [2], chapter II, §2.6, Proposition 12). The set  $\{u_i, \alpha_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is called an *A-coordinate system* of  $W_A$ .

Assume that  $W_A$  is finitely generated and projective. Let  $\{u_i, \alpha_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be an *A-coordinate system* of  $W_A$ . Then we define a map

$$T_{W_A} : \text{End}_A(W_A) \rightarrow A/[A, A]$$

by  $\alpha \mapsto \pi(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \circ \alpha(u_i))$  where  $\pi : A \rightarrow A/[A, A]$  is the canonical surjection (c.f. [5, 8]). It is known that the map  $T_{W_A}$  does not depend on the choice of *A-coordinate systems* and that  $T_{W_A}(\alpha \circ \beta) = T_{W_A}(\beta \circ \alpha)$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{End}_A(W_A)$  (see [5, 8]). For  $\phi \in \text{SLF}(A)$ , we set  $\phi_{W_A} = \phi \circ T_{W_A} : \text{End}_A(W_A) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ . Then we have the following

**Proposition 2.1.** *Assume that  $W_A$  is finitely generated and projective and let  $\phi$  be a symmetric linear function on  $A$ . Then  $\phi_{W_A}$  is a symmetric linear function on  $\text{End}_A(W_A)$ .*

**3. Miyamoto's pseudotrace maps.** In this section, we show that the map  $\phi_{W_A}$  coincides with the pseudotrace map defined in [6] if  $A$  satisfies extra conditions.

First we recall the definition of a pseudotrace map. Let  $P$  be a basic symmetric indecomposable  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra. We fix a decomposition of the unity 1 by mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents:

$$1 = e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_k.$$

We also fix  $\phi \in \text{SLF}(P)$  with the condition

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle a, b \rangle &:= \phi(ab) \text{ is nondegenerate,} \\ \phi(e_i) &= 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq k. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have  $P/J(P) = \mathbf{k}\bar{e}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{k}\bar{e}_k$  since  $P$  is basic and indecomposable. It is well-known that  $\{e_i P \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  is the complete list of indecomposable projective right  $P$ -modules.

Since  $a \in \text{Soc}(P_P)$  if and only if  $aJ(P) = 0$  we see that

$$\langle aJ(P), P \rangle = \langle J(P), a \rangle = \langle P, J(P)a \rangle = 0.$$

The same argument for  $\text{Soc}({}_P P)$  shows  $\text{Soc}(P_P) = \text{Soc}({}_P P)$ . Thus  $\text{Soc}(P_P) = \text{Soc}({}_P P)$  is a two-sided

ideal and we denote it by  $\text{Soc}(P)$ . Then we have  $\langle aJ(P), P \rangle = \langle a, J(P) \rangle$  for any  $a \in P$ . This identity shows that  $\text{Soc}(P) = J(P)^\perp$ . Similarly we have  $J(P) = \text{Soc}(P)^\perp$ . Thus the bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  induces a nondegenerate pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \text{Soc}(P) \times P/J(P) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ . Let  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k\}$  be a basis of  $\text{Soc}(P)$  which are dual to the basis  $\{\bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2, \dots, \bar{e}_k\}$  of  $P/J(P)$ , that is,  $\langle f_i, \bar{e}_j \rangle = \langle f_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ .

**Lemma 3.1.**  $e_i f_j = f_j e_i = \delta_{ij} f_j$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $e_i f_j \in \text{Soc}(P)$ . Thus we have

$$\langle e_i f_j, \bar{e}_k \rangle = \delta_{ik} \langle f_j, \bar{e}_k \rangle = \delta_{ik} \delta_{kj}$$

so that  $e_i f_j = \delta_{ij} f_j$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.**  $\text{Soc}(P) \subseteq J(P)$ , in particular,  $e_i \text{Soc}(P) e_j \subseteq e_i J(P) e_j$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ .

*Proof.* Since  $P = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k P e_i$ , we see that  $\text{Soc}(P) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \text{Soc}(P e_i)$ . Then  $J(P) e_i$  is the unique maximal submodule of  $P e_i$ . Suppose that  $\text{Soc}(P e_i)$  is not contained in  $J(P)$ . We have  $P e_i = \text{Soc}(P e_i) + J(P) e_i$  since  $J(P) e_i$  is the unique maximal submodule of  $P e_i$ . Then we conclude  $\text{Soc}(P e_i) = P e_i$  by Nakayama's lemma. Therefore we can see  $e_i \in \text{Soc}(P e_i)$ . By the same argument for  $P = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k e_i P$ , we obtain  $e_i \in \text{Soc}(e_i P)$ . Thus we find  $J(P) e_i = e_i J(P) = 0$ , which shows that  $e_i$  is a central idempotent of  $P$ . This contradicts to the assumption that  $P$  is indecomposable.  $\square$

Since  $P = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{k} e_i + J(P)$ , we have by Lemma 3.1

$$(3.2) \quad e_i P e_j = \begin{cases} \mathbf{k} e_i + e_i J(P) e_i, & i = j, \\ e_i J(P) e_j, & i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad e_i \text{Soc}(P) e_j = \begin{cases} \mathbf{k} f_i, & i = j, \\ 0, & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Set  $d_{ij} = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} e_i J(P) e_j / e_i \text{Soc}(P) e_j$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ . Then since the pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : e_i J(P) e_j / e_i \text{Soc}(P) e_j \times e_j J(P) e_i / e_j \text{Soc}(P) e_i \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$$

is well-defined and nondegenerate, it follows that  $d_{ij} = d_{ji}$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ .

Also since  $e_i \text{Soc}(P) e_j \subseteq e_i J(P) e_j \subseteq e_i P e_j$ , (3.2) and (3.3), we have  $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} e_i P e_i = d_{ii} + 2$  and  $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} e_i P e_j = d_{ij}$  for  $i \neq j$  by (3.2) and (3.3).

**Lemma 3.3** ([6], Lemma 3.2). *The algebra  $P$  has a basis*

$$\Omega = \{\rho_0^{ii}, \rho_{d_{ii}+1}^{ii}, \rho_{s_{ij}}^{ij} | 1 \leq i, j \leq k, 1 \leq s_{ij} \leq d_{ij}\}$$

satisfying

- (a)  $\rho_0^{ii} = e_i, \rho_{d_{ii}+1}^{ii} = f_i,$
- (b)  $e_i \rho_s^{ij} e_j = \rho_s^{ij},$
- (c)  $\langle \rho_s^{ij}, \rho_{d_{ab}+1-t}^{ab} \rangle = \delta_{i,b} \delta_{j,b} \delta_{s,t},$
- (d)  $\rho_s^{ij} \rho_{d_{ji}+1-s}^{ji} = f_i,$
- (e) the space spanned by  $\{\rho_t^{ij} | t \geq s\}$  is  $e_i P e_i$ -invariant.

For  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ , set

$$\Omega_i = \{\rho_s^{ij} | 1 \leq j \leq k, s\}, \quad \Omega_{ij} = \{\rho_s^{ij} | s\}.$$

Note that  $\Omega_i$  is a basis of  $e_i P$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $\Omega - \{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$  is a basis of  $J(P)$ . We sometimes denote an element of  $\Omega_{ij}$  by  $\rho^{ij}$ .

**Definition 3.4** ([6], Definition 3.6). Assume that  $W_P$  is finitely generated. The module  $W_P$  is said to be *interlocked with  $\phi$*  if  $\ker(f_i) = \{w \in W | wf_i = 0\}$  is equal to  $\sum_{\rho \in \Omega - \{e_i\}} W\rho$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

It is obvious that  $\ker(f_i) \supseteq \sum_{\rho \in \Omega - \{e_i\}} W\rho$  since  $\rho f_i = 0$  for any  $\rho \in \Omega - \{e_i\}$ . In [6], the pseudotrace map is defined on the endomorphism ring of a finite-dimensional right  $P$ -module which is interlocked with  $\phi$ . The isomorphism stated in [6, p.68] is more precisely understood as follows:

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $P$  be a basic symmetric indecomposable algebra. Assume that  $\phi \in \text{SLF}(P)$  satisfies the condition (3.1) and  $W_P$  is finitely generated. Then  $W_P$  is interlocked with  $\phi$  if and only if  $W_P$  is projective. In particular, if  $W_P$  is interlocked with  $\phi$  then the multiplicity of the indecomposable projective module  $e_i P$  in  $W_P$  is given by  $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} W_P f_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .*

In order to prove this theorem, we first show the following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Any indecomposable projective module  $e_i P$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  is interlocked with  $\phi$ .*

*Proof.* For  $e_i p \in e_i P$ , suppose  $e_i p f_i = 0$  and express  $p$  as  $p = \sum_{\rho \in \Omega} a_\rho \rho$  with  $a_\rho \in \mathbf{k}$ . Then  $0 = e_i p f_i = e_i \sum_{\rho \in \Omega} a_\rho \rho f_i = a_{e_i} f_i$ . Thus  $p$  belongs to the space spanned by  $\Omega - \{e_i\}$ , which shows  $e_i p \in \sum_{\rho \in \Omega - \{e_i\}} e_i P \rho$ .

For  $i \neq j$ , we can see  $e_i p f_j = a_{e_j} e_i f_j = 0$  for all  $p \in P$ . Thus we have  $\ker(f_j) \subseteq e_i P = \sum_{\rho \in \Omega - \{e_j\}} e_i P \rho$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** *The module  $W_P$  is interlocked with  $\phi$  if and only if any direct summand of  $W_P$  is interlocked with  $\phi$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $W_P = W_1 \oplus W_2$  where  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are right  $P$ -modules. Then we have

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{\rho \in \Omega - \{e_i\}} W\rho = \left( \sum_{\rho \in \Omega - \{e_i\}} W_1 \rho \right) \oplus \left( \sum_{\rho \in \Omega - \{e_i\}} W_2 \rho \right).$$

By (3.4) and the definition of the module which interlocked with  $\phi$ , we have the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.8.** *Assume that  $W_P$  is interlocked with  $\phi$ . Then*

$$We_i / WJ(P)e_i \cong Wf_i, \quad \overline{we_i} \mapsto wf_i$$

for any  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

*Proof.* The kernel of the map  $We_i \rightarrow Wf_i$ ,  $we_i \mapsto wf_i$  is equal to  $\sum_{\rho \in \Omega - \{e_i\}} W\rho e_i = WJ(P)e_i$  since  $W_P$  is interlocked with  $\phi$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 3.5.** By Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.7, any finite direct sum of indecomposable projective modules is interlocked with  $\phi$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $W_P$  is interlocked with  $\phi$ . By Lemma 3.8, there exists  $v^{e_i}$  such that  $v^{e_i} f_i \neq 0$  if  $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} Wf_i \neq 0$ . Then the map

$$\theta : e_i P \rightarrow W, \quad e_i p \mapsto v^{e_i} e_i p,$$

is a  $P$ -homomorphism. Suppose  $\ker(\theta) \neq 0$ . Note that  $\text{Soc}(e_i P) = \mathbf{k} f_i$  by Lemma 3.1. Since  $e_i P$  has the unique simple submodule  $\text{Soc}(e_i P)$  (see [4, Proposition 9.9 (ii)]) we have  $f_i \in \ker(\theta)$  and  $v^{e_i} f_i = 0$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $\theta$  is injective.

Since  $P$  is a symmetric algebra, any projective module is also injective (see [4, Proposition 9.9 (iii)]). Therefore  $\theta$  is split and then  $e_i P$  is a direct summand of  $W$ , say,  $W \cong e_i P \oplus W'$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $W'$  is also interlocked with  $\phi$  and  $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} W' f_i = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} W f_i - 1$  since  $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} e_i P f_i = 1$ . If  $W f_i = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , then  $w f_i = 0$  for all  $w \in W$  and  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Thus we have

$$W = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \left( \sum_{\rho \in \Omega - \{e_i\}} W\rho \right) = WJ(P).$$

By Nakayama's lemma, we have  $W = 0$ .

Therefore the induction on  $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} W f_i$  proves the theorem. In particular, the multiplicity of  $e_i P$  in  $W$  is equal to  $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} W f_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .  $\square$

Assume that  $W_P$  is finitely generated and projective. Then  $W_P$  is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of indecomposable projective modules:

$$(3.5) \quad W_P \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k n_i e_i P,$$

where  $n_i$  is the multiplicity of  $e_i P$ , that is,  $n_i = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} W f_i$ . We denote the element of  $W_P$  corresponding to  $e_i$  by  $v_j^{e_i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n_i$ . Note that  $W_P$  has a basis  $\{v_j^{e_i} \rho \mid \rho \in \Omega_i, 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n_i\}$ .

Since  $\alpha(v_j^{e_i}) = \alpha(v_j^{e_i} e_i) = \alpha(v_j^{e_i}) e_i \in W e_i$  for  $\alpha \in \text{End}_P(W_P)$  and Lemma 3.3 (b), we have

$$(3.6) \quad \alpha(v_j^{e_i}) = \sum_{s=1}^k \sum_{t=1}^{n_s} \sum_{\rho^{si} \in \Omega_{si}} \alpha_{jt}^{\rho^{si}} v_t^{e_s} \rho^{si}$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n_i$  where  $\alpha_{jt}^{\rho^{si}} \in \mathbf{k}$ . In [6], the pseudotrace map  $\text{tr}_{W_P}^\phi$  on  $\text{End}_P(W_P)$  is defined by

$$(3.7) \quad \text{tr}_{W_P}^\phi(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \alpha_{jj}^{f_i}.$$

In order to show that the pseudotrace map coincides with  $\phi_{W_P}$ , we choose the following  $P$ -coordinate system of  $W_P$ . Note that  $\phi_{W_P}$  does not depend on the choice of  $P$ -coordinate systems.

Set

$$\alpha_j^i(v_t^{e_s} \rho^{sp}) = \begin{cases} \rho^{ip}, & i = s, j = t, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n_i$ . Then  $\alpha_j^i$  belongs to  $\text{Hom}_P(W_P, P)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n_i$ .

**Lemma 3.9.** *The set  $\{v_j^{e_i}, \alpha_j^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n_i\}$  is a  $P$ -coordinate system of  $W_P$ .*

*Proof.* By the definitions of  $v_j^{e_i}$  and  $\alpha_j^i$ , we have  $v_j^{e_i} \rho^{ip} = v_j^{e_i} \alpha_j^i(v_j^{e_i} \rho^{ip}) = \sum_{s=1}^k \sum_{t=1}^{n_s} v_t^{e_s} \alpha_t^s(v_j^{e_i} \rho^{ip})$ . Since the elements  $v_j^{e_i} \rho^{ip}$  form a basis of  $W_P$ , we have shown the lemma.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $P$  be a basic symmetric algebra. Assume that  $\phi \in \text{SLF}(P)$  satisfies the condition (3.1) and that  $W_P$  is finitely generated and projective. Then  $\phi_{W_P} = \text{tr}_{W_P}^\phi$ .*

*Proof.* For  $\alpha \in \text{End}_P(W_P)$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{W_P}(\alpha) &= \phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \alpha_j^i \circ \alpha(v_j^{e_i})\right) \\ &= \phi\left(\sum_{i,s=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \sum_{t=1}^{n_s} \sum_{\rho^{si} \in \Omega_{si}} \alpha_j^i(\alpha_{jt}^{\rho^{si}} v_t^{e_s} \rho^{si})\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \sum_{\rho^{ii} \in \Omega_{ii}} \alpha_{jj}^{\rho^{ii}} \phi(\rho^{ii}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \alpha_{jj}^{f_i} = \text{tr}_{W_P}^\phi(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

since (3.6) and Lemma 3.3 (c).  $\square$

**4. The center and symmetric linear functions.** In this section, we assume that the finite-dimensional  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra  $A$  contains a nonzero central element  $\nu$  such that  $(\nu - r)^s A = 0$  and  $(\nu - r)^{s-1} A \neq 0$  for some  $r \in \mathbf{k}$  and  $s \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ .

Set  $\mathcal{K} = \{a \in A \mid (\nu - r)a = 0\}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{K}$  is a two-sided ideal of  $A$ . Let  $\alpha : M_A \rightarrow N_A$  be an  $A$ -module homomorphism. Then  $M/M\mathcal{K}$  is an  $A/\mathcal{K}$ -module and the map  $\widehat{\alpha} : M/M\mathcal{K} \rightarrow N/N\mathcal{K}$  defined by  $\widehat{\alpha}(\overline{m}) = \overline{\alpha(m)}$  is an  $A/\mathcal{K}$ -module homomorphism where  $\overline{m}$  is the image of  $m$  under the canonical map  $M \rightarrow M/M\mathcal{K}$ . Assume that  $W_A$  is finitely generated and projective and let  $\{u_i, \alpha_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be an  $A$ -coordinate system of  $W_A$ . Then  $\{\overline{u}_i, \widehat{\alpha}_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is an  $A/\mathcal{K}$ -coordinate system of the right  $A/\mathcal{K}$ -module  $W/W\mathcal{K}$ .

Let  $\phi$  be a symmetric linear function on  $A$ . Then  $\phi'(\overline{a}) = \phi((\nu - r)a)$  for any  $\overline{a} \in A/\mathcal{K}$  is well-defined and symmetric on  $A/\mathcal{K}$ .

**Proposition 4.1** ([6], Proposition 3.8). *Assume that  $W_A$  is finitely generated and projective. Let  $\phi$  be a symmetric linear function on  $A$ . Then*

$$\phi_{W_A}(\alpha \circ (\nu - r)) = \phi'_{W/W\mathcal{K}}(\widehat{\alpha})$$

for all  $\alpha \in \text{End}_A(W_A)$  where  $\nu - r$  is identified as an element of  $\text{End}_A(W_A)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{u_i, \alpha_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be an  $A$ -coordinate system of  $W_A$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'_{W/W\mathcal{K}}(\widehat{\alpha}) &= \phi'\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \widehat{\alpha}_i \circ \widehat{\alpha}(\overline{u}_i)\right) \\ &= \phi\left((\nu - r) \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \circ \alpha(u_i)\right) \\ &= \phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \circ \alpha(u_i(\nu - r))\right) \\ &= \phi_{W_A}(\alpha \circ (\nu - r)). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**5. Basic algebras and symmetric linear functions.** Let

$$(5.1) \quad 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} e_{ij}$$

be a decomposition of the unity 1 by mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents where  $e_{ij}A \cong e_{ik}A$  and  $e_{ij}A \not\cong e_{kl}A$  for  $i \neq k$ . Set  $e_i = e_{i1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $e = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i$ . Then  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra  $eAe$  with the unity  $e$  is called a *basic algebra* associated with  $A$ . Then  $Ae$  is  $(A, eAe)$ -bimodule. Let  $\ell : A \rightarrow \text{End}_{eAe}(Ae_{eAe})$  and  $r : eAe \rightarrow \text{End}_A(Ae)$  be maps

defined by  $\ell(a)(be) = abe$  for all  $a, b \in A$  and  $r(eae)(be) = beae$  for all  $a, b \in A$ .

**Lemma 5.1** ([1], Proposition 4.15, Theorem 17.8).

- (a) The map  $r$  is an anti-isomorphism of algebras.
- (b) The map  $\ell$  is an isomorphism of algebras

By Lemma 5.1, an element  $a \in A$  is identified as an element in  $\text{End}_{eAe}(Ae)$  and an element  $eae \in eAe$  is identified as an element in  $\text{End}_A(Ae)$ .

**Remark 5.2.** By Lemma 5.1, we have two linear maps

$$\begin{aligned} (-)_{Ae_{eAe}} : \text{SLF}(eAe) &\rightarrow \text{SLF}(A), \\ (-)_{AAe} : \text{SLF}(A) &\rightarrow \text{SLF}((eAe)^{\text{op}}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\text{SLF}(eAe) = \text{SLF}((eAe)^{\text{op}})$ , the second map is in fact a map  $\text{SLF}(A) \rightarrow \text{SLF}(eAe)$ .

By (5.1), we have

$$(5.2) \quad Ae = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_i} e_{ij}Ae.$$

The following fact is well-known.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let  $e$  and  $f$  be idempotents of  $A$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent.

- (a)  $Ae \cong Af$ .
- (b)  $eA \cong fA$ .
- (c) There exist  $p \in eAf$  and  $q \in fAe$  such that  $pq = e$  and  $qp = f$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** For  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n_i$ , we have  $e_iAe \cong e_{ij}Ae$  as right  $eAe$ -modules.

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.3 and the fact  $e_iA \cong e_{ij}A$ , there exist  $p_{ij} \in e_{ij}Ae_i$  and  $q_{ij} \in e_iAe_{ij}$  such that  $p_{ij}q_{ij} = e_{ij}$  and  $q_{ij}p_{ij} = e_i$ . Then the maps  $\alpha : e_{ij}Ae \rightarrow e_iAe$  defined by  $\alpha(e_{ij}ae) = q_{ij}ae$  and  $\beta : e_iAe \rightarrow e_{ij}Ae$  defined by  $\beta(e_i ae) = p_{ij}ae$  are  $eAe$ -homomorphisms and are inverse each other. Thus we have shown the lemma.  $\square$

For any  $ae \in Ae$ , it is not difficult to check that  $ae = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(a)e_i$  where  $\alpha_i(a) = ae_i$ . Thus  $\{e_i, \alpha_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is an  $A$ -coordinate system of  $AAe$ .

By the proof of Lemma 5.1, we can see that  $e_{ij}Ae_{eAe}$  is generated by  $p_{ij} \in e_{ij}Ae_i$  such that  $p_{ij}q_{ij} = e_{ij}$  and  $q_{ij}p_{ij} = e_i$  for some  $q_{ij} \in e_iAe_{ij}$ . Note that we can choose  $p_{i1} = q_{i1} = e_{i1} = e_i$ . For any  $ae \in Ae$ , we set  $\beta_{ij}(ea) = q_{ij}ae \in eAe$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n_i$ . Then we have  $\beta_{ij} \in \text{Hom}_{eAe}(Ae, eAe)$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} p_{ij}\beta_{ij}(ae) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} e_{ij}(ae) = ae$  by (5.1). Thus  $\{p_{ij}, \beta_{ij} | 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n_i\}$  is an  $eAe$ -coordinate system of  $Ae_{eAe}$ . In the following, we fix the  $A$ -coordinate

system  $\{e_i, \alpha_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of  $AAe$  and the  $eAe$ -coordinate system  $\{p_{ij}, \beta_{ij} | 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n_i\}$  of  $Ae_{eAe}$ .

**Lemma 5.5.**

- (a) Let  $\phi$  be a symmetric linear function on  $A$ . Then  $\phi_{AAe}(eae) = \phi(eae)$  for all  $eae \in eAe$ .
- (b) Let  $\psi$  be a symmetric linear function on  $eAe$ . Then  $\psi_{Ae_{eAe}}(a) = \psi(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} q_{ij}ap_{ij})$  for all  $a \in A$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\phi_{AAe}(eae) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(\alpha_i(e_ieae)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(e_ieae_i)$  and  $\phi$  is symmetric, we obtain  $\phi(e_iAe_j) = \phi(e_je_iAe_j) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ , which shows the first assertion.

The second assertion is proved as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{Ae_{eAe}}(a) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \psi(\beta_{ij}(ap_{ij})) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \psi(q_{ij}ap_{ij}). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Theorem 5.6.**

- (a) Let  $\phi$  be a symmetric linear function on  $A$ . Then  $(\phi_{AAe})_{Ae_{eAe}}(a) = \phi(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ .
- (b) Let  $\psi$  be a symmetric linear function on  $eAe$ . Then we have  $(\psi_{Ae_{eAe}})_{AAe}(eae) = \psi(eae)$  for all  $eae \in eAe$ .
- (c) The space of symmetric linear functions on  $A$  and the one of  $eAe$  are isomorphic as vector spaces.

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_{AAe})_{Ae_{eAe}}(a) &= (\phi)_{AAe} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} q_{ij}ap_{ij} \right) \\ &= \phi \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} q_{ij}ap_{ij} \right) \\ &= \phi \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} p_{ij}q_{ij}a \right) \\ &= \phi \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} e_{ij}a \right) = \phi(a) \end{aligned}$$

which shows (a).

By Lemma 5.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_{Ae_{eAe}})_{AAe}(eae) &= \psi_{Ae_{eAe}}(eae) \\ &= \psi \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} q_{ij}eae p_{ij} \right) \\ &= \psi(eae), \end{aligned}$$

since  $q_{i1} = p_{i1} = e_i$ .

Hence we can see that two linear maps  $(-)\text{_{}Ae} : \text{SLF}(A) \rightarrow \text{SLF}(eAe)$  and  $(-)\text{_{}Ae_{}Ae} : \text{SLF}(eAe) \rightarrow \text{SLF}(A)$  are inverse each other, which shows the last assertion.  $\square$

**Remark 5.7.** The statement (a) of Theorem 5.6 for  $a \in \text{Soc}(A)$  is found in [6, Lemma 3.9]. The statement (c) of Theorem 5.6 is well-known (see [7, 6.1]).

For  $\phi \in \text{SLF}(A)$ , we set  $\text{Rad}(\phi) = \{a \in A \mid \phi(Aa) = 0\}$ . Then  $\text{Rad}(\phi)$  is a two-sided ideal of  $A$  and  $\phi$  induces a symmetric linear function on  $A/\text{Rad}(\phi)$ . Note that  $A/\text{Rad}(\phi)$  is a symmetric algebra since  $\phi$  is well-defined on  $A/\text{Rad}(\phi)$  and induces a nondegenerate symmetric associative bilinear form on  $A/\text{Rad}(\phi)$ .

Let  $A = A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_\ell$  be a decomposition into two-sided ideals of  $A$ . For any  $\phi \in \text{SLF}(A)$ , we have  $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \cdots + \phi_\ell$  where  $\phi_i = \phi|_{A_i}$ . Note that  $\phi_i \in \text{SLF}(A_i)$ . If  $\phi(aA) = 0$  for some  $a \in A$ , then we can see that  $\phi_i(aA_i) \subseteq \phi(aA) = 0$ .

**Theorem 5.8.** *Let  $\phi$  be a symmetric linear function on  $A$  and  $\nu$  a central element of  $A$ . Assume that there exists  $s \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$  such that  $\phi((\nu - r)^s a) = 0$  for any  $a \in A$  and that  $A = A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_\ell$  is a decomposition of  $A$  into two-sided ideals. Then there exist symmetric linear functions  $\phi_i \in \text{SLF}(A_i)$ , basic symmetric algebras  $P_i$  of  $B_i = A/\text{Rad}(\phi_i)$  and  $(A, P_i)$ -bimodules  $M_i$  satisfying  $(\nu - r)^s M_i = 0$ . Moreover,*

$$\phi(b) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} ((\phi_i)_{B_i M_i})_{(M_i) P_i}(b)$$

for all  $b \in A$  where  $b$  in the right hand side is viewed as a linear map defined by the left action of  $b \in A$  on each  $(A, P_i)$ -bimodule  $M_i$ .

*Proof.* Set  $B_i = A/\text{Rad}(\phi_i)$ . Since  $\text{Rad}(\phi_i) \supseteq A_j$  for  $j \neq i$ , we can see that  $B_i = A_i/\text{Rad}(\phi_i)$ . We first note that the symmetric linear function  $\phi_i$  on  $B_i$  is well-defined and that  $B_i$  is naturally a left  $A$ -module. Let  $P_i = \bar{e}_i(A/\text{Rad}(\phi_i))\bar{e}_i$  be the basic algebra of  $A/\text{Rad}(\phi_i)$  where  $\bar{e}_i$  is an idempotent of  $B_i$ . The basic algebra  $P_i$  is a symmetric algebra by

[7, 10.1]. Then we set  $M_i = (A/\text{Rad}(\phi_i))\bar{e}_i$  which is an  $(A, P_i)$ -bimodule. By the argument before the statement of this theorem, we can see that  $(\nu - r)^s \in \text{Rad}(\phi_i)$  and thus  $(\nu - r)^s M_i = 0$ . Note that the left action of  $a \in A$  defines a right  $P_i$ -module endomorphism of  $M_i$ . By Lemma 5.5, we have  $\phi_i(b) = \phi_i(\bar{b}) = ((\phi_i)_{B_i M_i})_{(M_i) P_i}(\bar{b}) = ((\phi_i)_{B_i M_i})_{(M_i) P_i}(b)$  for all  $b \in A_i$ , which shows the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 5.9.** This theorem is found in [6, Theorem 3.10]. In the proof of [6, Theorem 3.10], it is shown that a symmetric linear function on  $A$  may be written as a sum of pseudotrace maps even if  $A$  is indecomposable by using the fact  $(\phi_{Ae})_{Ae_{}Ae}(a) = \phi(a)$  for all  $a \in \text{Soc}(A)$  (see [6, Lemma 3.9]) in our notation. However, since  $(\phi_{Ae})_{Ae_{}Ae}(a) = \phi(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ , any symmetric linear function can be written by only one symmetric linear function on the endomorphism ring of the  $(A, P)$ -bimodule if  $A$  is indecomposable.

**Acknowledgement.** The author expresses his gratitude to Prof. K. Nagatomo for his encouragement and useful comments.

## References

- [ 1 ] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and categories of modules*, Second edition, Springer, New York, 1992.
- [ 2 ] N. Bourbaki, *Algebra I, Capters 1-3*, Springer, New York, 1989.
- [ 3 ] M. Broué, Higman's criterion revisited, *Michigan Math. J.* **58** (2009), no. 1, 125–179.
- [ 4 ] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Methods of representation theory. Vol. I*, Wiley, New York, 1981.
- [ 5 ] A. Hattori, Rank element of a projective module, *Nagoya Math. J.* **25** (1965), 113–120.
- [ 6 ] M. Miyamoto, Modular invariance of vertex operator algebras satisfying  $C_2$ -cofiniteness, *Duke Math. J.* **122** (2004), no. 1, 51–91.
- [ 7 ] C. Nesbitt and W. M. Scott, Some remarks on algebras over an algebraically closed field, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **44** (1943), 534–553.
- [ 8 ] J. Stallings, Centerless groups—an algebraic formulation of Gottlieb's theorem, *Topology* **4** (1965), 129–134.