

Equisingularity in \mathbf{R}^2 as Morse stability in infinitesimal calculus

By Tzee-Char KUO and Laurentiu PAUNESCU

School of Mathematics, University of Sydney
Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

(Communicated by Heisuke HIRONAKA, M. J. A., June 14, 2005)

Abstract: Two seemingly unrelated problems are intimately connected. The first is the equisingularity problem in \mathbf{R}^2 : For an analytic family $f_t : (\mathbf{R}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, 0)$, when should it be called an “equisingular deformation”? This amounts to finding a suitable trivialization condition (as strong as possible) and, of course, a criterion. The second is on the Morse stability. We define \mathbf{R}_* , which is \mathbf{R} “enriched” with a class of infinitesimals. How to generalize the Morse Stability Theorem to polynomials over \mathbf{R}_* ? The space \mathbf{R}_* is much smaller than the space used in Non-standard Analysis. Our infinitesimals are analytic arcs, represented by fractional power series. In our Theorem II, (B) is a trivialization condition which can serve as a definition for equisingular deformation; (A), and (A') in Addendum 1, are criteria, using the stability of “critical points” and the “complete initial form”; (C) is the Morse stability (Remark 1.6).

Key words: Morse equisingularity; infinitesimals; Newton Polygon.

1. Results. As in the Curve Selection Lemma, by a *parameterized arc* at 0 in \mathbf{R}^2 (resp. \mathbf{C}^2) we mean a *real* analytic map germ $\vec{\lambda} : [0, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$ (resp. \mathbf{C}^2), $\vec{\lambda}(0) = 0$, $\vec{\lambda}(s) \neq 0$. We call the image set, $\lambda := \text{Im}(\vec{\lambda})$, a (geometric) *arc* at 0, or the *locus* of $\vec{\lambda}$; call $\vec{\lambda}$ a *parametrization* of λ .

Take $\lambda \neq \mu$. The distance from $P \in \lambda$ to μ is a fractional power series in $s := \overline{OP}$, $\text{dist}(P, \mu) = as^h + \dots$, where $a > 0$, $h \in \mathbf{Q}^+$.

We call $\mathcal{O}(\lambda, \mu) := h$ the *contact order* of λ and μ . Define $\mathcal{O}(\lambda, \lambda) := \infty$.

Let \mathbf{S}_* , or simply \mathbf{S}_* , denote the set of arcs at 0 in \mathbf{R}^2 . This is called the *enriched unit circle* for the following reason. The tangent half line at 0, \mathbf{l} , of a given λ can be identified with a point of the unit circle \mathbf{S}^1 . If $\lambda \neq \mathbf{l}$, then $1 < \mathcal{O}(\lambda, \mathbf{l}) < \infty$. Hence we can regard λ as an “*infinitesimal*” at \mathbf{l} , and \mathbf{S}_* as \mathbf{S}^1 “*enriched*” with infinitesimals.

Let $f : (\mathbf{R}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, 0)$ be analytic. Write $V_*^{\mathbf{C}}(f) := \{\zeta \in \mathbf{S}_*^3 \mid f(z, w) \equiv 0 \text{ on } \zeta\}$, where \mathbf{S}_*^3 denotes the set of arcs at 0 in $\mathbf{C}^2 (= \mathbf{R}^4)$, and $f(z, w)$ is the complexification of f .

For $\lambda \in \mathbf{S}_*$, write $\mathcal{O}(\lambda, V_*^{\mathbf{C}}(f)) := \max\{\mathcal{O}(\lambda, \zeta) \mid \zeta \in V_*^{\mathbf{C}}(f)\}$. Define the *f-height* of λ by $h_f(\lambda) := \mathcal{O}(\lambda, V_*^{\mathbf{C}}(f))$. Hence $h_f(\lambda) = \infty$ if $f(x, y) \equiv 0$ along λ .

For $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbf{S}_*$, define $\lambda_1 \sim_f \lambda_2$ if and only

if $h_f(\lambda_1) = h_f(\lambda_2) < \mathcal{O}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$. (In fact, $h_f(\lambda_1) < \mathcal{O}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ implies $h_f(\lambda_1) = h_f(\lambda_2)$.) The equivalence class of λ is denoted by λ_f .

We call λ_f an *f-truncated arc*, or simply an *f-arc*. Write $\mathbf{S}_{*/f} := \mathbf{S}_*/\sim_f$, $h(\lambda_f) := h_f(\lambda)$.

Define the *contact order* of λ_f and μ_f by: if $\lambda_f \neq \mu_f$, $\mathcal{O}(\lambda_f, \mu_f) := \mathcal{O}(\lambda, \mu)$, $\lambda \in \lambda_f$, $\mu \in \mu_f$; and $\mathcal{O}(\lambda_f, \lambda_f) := \infty$. This is well-defined. Write $\mathcal{O}(\lambda_f, V_*^{\mathbf{C}}(f)) := \mathcal{O}(\lambda, V_*^{\mathbf{C}}(f))$.

From now on we assume $f(x, y)$ is *mini-regular* in x , that is, regular in x of order $m(f)$, the multiplicity of f .

Let \mathbf{R}_*^+ (resp. $\mathbf{R}_{*/f}^+$) denote those arcs of \mathbf{S}_* (resp. $\mathbf{S}_{*/f}$) in $y > 0$, not tangent to the x -axis, and \mathbf{R}_*^- (resp. $\mathbf{R}_{*/f}^-$) denote those in $y < 0$. Write $\mathbf{R}_* := \mathbf{R}_*^+ \cup \mathbf{R}_*^-$, $\mathbf{R}_{*/f} := \mathbf{R}_{*/f}^+ \cup \mathbf{R}_{*/f}^-$.

Take $\lambda_f, \mu_f \in \mathbf{R}_{*/f}^+$, or $\in \mathbf{R}_{*/f}^-$. Define $\lambda_f \simeq \mu_f$ (read: “bar equivalent”) if and only if either $\lambda_f = \mu_f$, or else $h(\lambda_f) = h(\mu_f) = \mathcal{O}(\lambda_f, \mu_f)$. Call an equivalence class an *f-bar*. The one containing λ_f is denoted by $B(\lambda_f)$, having *height* $h(B(\lambda_f)) := h(\lambda_f)$. (See [3–5].)

If $h(\lambda_f) = \infty$ then $B(\lambda_f) = \{\lambda_f\}$, a singleton, and conversely.

The given coordinates (x, y) yield a coordinate on each bar of finite height, as follows:

Take B , say in $\mathbf{R}_{*/f}^+$, $h(B) < \infty$. Take $\lambda \in \lambda_f \in$

B with parametrization $\vec{\lambda}(s)$. Eliminating s ($s \geq 0$) yields a *unique* fractional power series (as in [7])

$$(1) \quad x = \lambda(y) = a_1 y^{\frac{n_1}{d}} + a_2 y^{\frac{n_2}{d}} + \dots, \\ d \leq n_1 < n_2 < \dots, \quad (y \geq 0).$$

Here all $a_i \in \mathbf{R}$. Let $\lambda_B(y)$ denote $\lambda(y)$ with all terms y^e , $e \geq h(B)$, deleted. Observe that for any $\mu \in \lambda_f \in B$, $\mu(y)$ has the form $\mu(y) = \lambda_B(y) + uy^{h(B)} + \dots$, where $u \in \mathbf{R}$ is *uniquely* determined by λ_f . We say $\lambda_f \in B$ has **canonical coordinate** u , writing $\lambda_f := u$. We call $x = \lambda_B(y)$, which depends only on B , the **canonical representation** of B .

Take B , $h(B) < \infty$, and $u = \lambda_f \in B$. Let us write

$$f(\lambda_B(y) + uy^{h(B)} + \dots, y) \\ := I_f^B(u)y^e + \dots, \quad I_f^B(\lambda_f) := I_f^B(u) \neq 0.$$

An important observation is that e depends only on B , not on λ_f ; $I_f^B(u)$ depends only on λ_f , not on $\lambda \in \lambda_f$, and is a polynomial (Lemma 1.2 below). We call $L_f(B) := L_f(\lambda_f) := e$ the **Lojasiewicz exponent** of f on B .

Attention/Convention. *Not every $u \in \mathbf{R}$ is a canonical coordinate. For example, $f(x, y) = x^2 - y^3$ has a bar B of height $3/2$, and ± 1 are not canonical coordinates; $I_f^B(u)$ is not a priori defined at ± 1 . Since I_f^B is a polynomial, we shall regard it as defined for all $u \in \mathbf{R}$.*

In general, the canonical coordinate identifies B with a copy of \mathbf{R} minus the real roots of I_f^B . Hence \bar{B} , the metric space completion, is a copy of \mathbf{R} .

If $B = \{\lambda_f\}$, a singleton, we define $I_f^B(\lambda_f) := 0$, $L_f(\lambda_f) := \infty$.

Now, take $l(x, y) := x$, and consider $\mathbf{S}_{*/l}$. If $\nu(y) = ay^e + \dots$, $a \neq 0$, $e \geq 1$, then the l -arc ν_l can be identified with $(a, e) \in (\mathbf{R} - \{0\}) \times \mathbf{Q}^+$, $\mathbf{Q}^+ := \{r \in \mathbf{Q}^+ \mid r \geq 1\}$. If $\nu(y) \equiv 0$ then $h(\nu_l) = \infty$; we write $\nu_l := (0, \infty)$. We call $\mathcal{V} := ((\mathbf{R} - \{0\}) \times \mathbf{Q}^+) \cup \{(0, \infty)\} (= \mathbf{R}_{*/l}^\pm)$ the **infinitesimal value space**. The given f , mini-regular in x , induces a \mathcal{V} -valued function

$$f_* : \mathbf{R}_{*/f} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}, \\ f_*(\lambda_f) := (I_f^B(\lambda_f), L_f(\lambda_f)) \in \mathcal{V}, \quad (\lambda_f \in B).$$

Take $z \in \mathbf{C}$. We say z is a **B -root** of f if f has a Newton-Puiseux root of the form $\alpha(y) = \lambda_B(y) + zy^{h(B)} + \dots$. The number of such roots is the **multiplicity** of z .

Definition 1.1. Take $c := \gamma_f \in B$. If $h(B) < \infty$ and $c \in \mathbf{R}$ is a B -root of f_x , say of multiplicity k , we say γ_f is a (real) **critical point** of f_* of multiplicity $m(\gamma_f) := k$.

If $B = \{\gamma_f\}$, and $m(B) \geq 2$, we also call γ_f a critical point of multiplicity $m(B) - 1$.

Call $f_*(c) := f_*(\gamma_f) \in \mathcal{V}$ the **critical value** at γ_f .

If f_x has complex B -root(s), but no real B -root, then we take a *generic* real number r , put $\gamma(y) := \lambda_B(y) + ry^{h(B)}$, and call γ_f the real critical point in B with multiplicity $m(\gamma_f) := 1$. (Convention: For different such B , we take *different* generic r .)

The above is the list of all (real) critical points. (If f_x has no B -root, B yields no critical point.) The number of critical points is finite (Lemma 1.2).

Now, let \mathbf{M} be the maximal ideal of $\mathbf{R}\{s\}$, furnished with the point-wise convergence topology, that is, the smallest topology so that the projection maps

$$\pi_N : \mathbf{M} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^N, \\ a_1 s + \dots + a_N s^N + \dots \mapsto (a_1, \dots, a_N), \quad N \in \mathbf{Z}^+,$$

are continuous. Furnish \mathbf{S}_* , $\mathbf{S}_{*/f}$ with the quotient topologies by the quotient maps

$$p_* : \mathbf{M}^2 - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_*, \quad p_{*/f} : \mathbf{M}^2 - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{*/f}.$$

Take $\vec{\lambda} \in \mathbf{M}^2$, and a real-valued function, α , defined near $\vec{\lambda}$. We say α is *analytic* at $\vec{\lambda}$ if $\alpha = \varphi \circ \pi_N$, π_N a projection, φ an analytic function at $\pi_N(\vec{\lambda})$ in \mathbf{R}^N . This defines an analytic structure on \mathbf{M}^2 . We furnish \mathbf{S}_* and $\mathbf{S}_{*/f}$ with the quotient analytic structure.

In the following, let I be a sufficiently small neighborhood of 0 in \mathbf{R} . We write “ c –” for “continuous”, “ a –” for “analytic”, “ c/a –” for “continuous (resp. analytic)”.

Let $F(x, y; t)$ be a given t -parameterized a -deformation of $f(x, y)$. That is to say, $F(x, y; t)$ is real analytic in (x, y, t) , defined for (x, y) near 0 $\in \mathbf{R}^2$, $t \in I$, with $F(x, y; 0) = f(x, y)$, $F(0, 0; t) \equiv 0$. When t is fixed, we also write $F(x, y; t)$ as $f_t(x, y)$.

In $\mathbf{S}_* \times I$ define $(\lambda, t) \sim_F (\lambda', t')$ if and only if $t = t'$ and $\lambda \sim_{f_t} \lambda'$. Denote the quotient space by $\mathbf{S}_* \times_F I$. Similarly, $\mathbf{R}_*^\pm \times_F I := \mathbf{R}_*^\pm \times I / \sim_F$.

By a t -parameterized **c/a -deformation** of λ_f we mean a family of f_t -arcs, λ_{f_t} , obtained as follows. Take a parametrization $\vec{\lambda}(s)$ of λ_f , and a c/a -map: $I \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^2$, $t \mapsto \vec{\lambda}_t$, $\vec{\lambda}_0 = \vec{\lambda}$. Then $\lambda_{f_t} := p_{*/f_t}(\vec{\lambda}_t)$.

This is equivalent to taking a c/a -map: $I \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_* \times_F I$, $t \mapsto (\lambda_{f_t}, t)$. A **c/a -deformation** of a given B is, by definition, a family $\{B_t\}$ obtained by taking any $\lambda_f \in B$, a c/a -deformation λ_{f_t} , and then $B_t := B(\lambda_{f_t})$.

Theorem I. *The following three conditions are equivalent.*

(a) Each (real) critical point, γ_f , of f_* is **stable along** $\{f_t\}$ in the sense that γ_f admits a c -deformation γ_{f_t} , a critical point of $(f_t)_*$, such that $m(\gamma_{f_t})$, $h(\gamma_{f_t})$, $L_{f_t}(\gamma_{f_t})$ are constants. (If γ_f arises from the generic number r , we use the same r for γ_{f_t} .)

(b) There exists a (t -level preserving) homeomorphism

$$H : (\mathbf{R}^2 \times I, 0 \times I) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^2 \times I, 0 \times I),$$

$$((x, y), t) \mapsto (\eta_t(x, y), t),$$

which is bi-analytic off the t -axis $\{0\} \times I$, with the following five properties:

(b.1) $f_t(\eta_t(x, y)) = f(x, y)$, $t \in I$, (trivialization of $F(x, y; t)$);

(b.2) Given any bar B , $\eta_t(\vec{\alpha}(s))$ is analytic in $(\vec{\alpha}, s, t)$, $\vec{\alpha} \in p_{*f}^{-1}(B)$ (analyticity on each bar); in particular, η_t is arc-analytic, for any fixed t ;

(b.3) $\mathcal{O}(\alpha, \beta) = \mathcal{O}(\eta_t(\alpha), \eta_t(\beta))$ (contact order preserving); moreover, $\eta_t(\alpha_f) \in \mathbf{S}_{*/f_t}$ is well-defined (invariance of truncated arcs).

(b.4) The induced mapping $\eta_t : B \rightarrow B_t$ extends to an analytic isomorphism: $\bar{B} \rightarrow \bar{B}_t$.

(b.5) If c is a critical point of f_* , then $c_t = \eta_t(c)$ is one of $(f_t)_*$, $m(c) = m(c_t)$.

(c) There exists an **isomorphism** $H_* : \mathbf{R}_{*/f} \times I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_* \times_F I$, $(\alpha_f, t) \mapsto (\eta_t(\alpha_f), t)$, preserving critical points and multiplicities. That is to say, H_* is a homeomorphism,

(c.1) Given B , $B_t := \eta_t(B)$ is a bar, $h(B_t) = h(B)$, $m(B_t) = m(B)$;

(c.2) The restriction of η_t to B extends to an analytic isomorphism $\bar{\eta}_t : \bar{B} \rightarrow \bar{B}_t$;

(c.3) If c is a critical point of f_* , then $c_t := \eta_t(c)$ is one of $(f_t)_*$, $m(c) = m(c_t)$.

Theorem II. *The following three conditions are equivalent.*

(A) The function f_* is **Morse stable along** $\{f_t\}$. That is, every critical point is stable along $\{f_t\}$, and for critical points $c \in B$, $c' \in B'$, $f_*(c) = f_*(c')$ implies $(f_t)_*(c_t) = (f_t)_*(c'_t)$.

(B) There exists H , as in (b), with an additional property:

(b.6) If c, c' are critical points, $f_*(c) = f_*(c')$, then $(f_t)_*(c_t) = (f_t)_*(c'_t)$.

(C) There exist an isomorphism H_* as in (c), and an isomorphism $K_* : \mathcal{V} \times I \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \times I$, such that $K_* \circ (f_* \times id) = \Phi \circ H_*$, where $\Phi(\alpha_{f_t}, t) := ((f_t)_*(\alpha_{f_t}), t)$.

Lemma 1.2. Let $\{z_1, \dots, z_q\}$ be the set of B -roots of f ($z_i \in \mathbf{C}$), $h(B) < \infty$. Then

$$I_f^B(u) = a \prod_{i=1}^q (u - z_i)^{m_i},$$

$0 \neq a \in \mathbf{R}$, a constant, m_i the multiplicity of z_i .

In particular, $I_f^B(u)$ is a polynomial with real coefficients.

If $c := \gamma_f \in B$ is a critical point of f_* , then $\frac{d}{du} I_f^B(c) = 0 \neq I_f^B(c)$, and conversely. The multiplicity of c (as a critical point of the polynomial $I_f^B(u)$) equals $m(\gamma_f)$.

The number of critical points of f_* in $\mathbf{R}_{*/f}^+$ (resp. $\mathbf{R}_{*/f}^-$) is bounded by $m(f) - 1$.

Definition 1.3. The degree of $I_f^B(u)$ is called the **multiplicity** of B , denoted by $m(B)$.

We say B is a **polar bar** if $I_f^B(u)$ has at least two distinct roots (in \mathbf{C}), or B is a singleton with $m(B) \geq 2$. Call $\mathcal{I}(f) := \{(B, I_f^B) \mid B \text{ polar}\}$ the **complete initial form** of f .

Corollary 1.4. *Each critical point belongs to a polar bar; each polar bar contains at least one critical point.*

We recall Morse Theory. Take an a -family of real polynomials $p_t(x) = a_0(t)x^d + \dots + a_d(t)$, $a_0(0) \neq 0$, $t \in I$, as an a -deformation of $p(x) := p_0(x)$. Let $c_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ be a critical point of $p(x)$, of multiplicity $m(c_0)$. We say c_0 is **stable along** $\{p_t\}$, if it admits a c -deformation c_t , $\frac{d}{dx} p_t(c_t) = 0$, $m(c_t) = m(c_0)$. (A c -deformation c_t , if exists, is necessarily an a -deformation.)

Definition 1.5. We say $p(x)$ is **Morse and zero stable** along $\{p_t\}$ if:

(i) Every (real) critical point of $p_0(x)$ is stable along $\{p_t\}$;

(ii) For critical points c_0, c'_0 , $p_0(c_0) = p_0(c'_0)$ implies $p_t(c_t) = p_t(c'_t)$.

(iii) If $p_0(c_0) = \frac{d}{dx} p_0(c_0) = 0$, then $p_t(c_t) = \frac{d}{dx} p_t(c_t) = 0$.

Remark 1.6. Theorem II generalizes in spirit a version of the Morse Stability Theorem : If $p(x)$ is Morse and zero stable along $\{p_t\}$ then there exist

analytic isomorphisms $H, K : \mathbf{R} \times I \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \times I$, such that $K \circ (p \times id) = \Phi \circ H$, $K(0, t) \equiv 0$, where $\Phi(x, t) := (p_t(x), t)$.

That (a) \Rightarrow (c) reduces to the following. Given $x = f_i(t)$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, analytic, $f_i(t) \neq f_j(t)$, for $i \neq j$, $t \in I$. There exists an analytic isomorphism $H : \mathbf{R} \times I \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \times I$, $(x, t) \mapsto (\eta_t(x), t)$, $\eta_t(f_i(t)) = const$, $1 \leq i \leq N$. (Proved by Cartan's Theorem A, or Interpolation.)

We say $\mathcal{I}(f)$ is **Morse and zero stable** along $\{f_t\}$ if each polar B admits a c -deformation B_t , a polar bar of f_t , such that two of $h(B_t)$, $m(B_t)$, $L_{f_t}(B_t)$ are constants (we can then show all three are), and $\{I_f^B\}$ is Morse and zero stable along $\{I_{f_t}^{B_t}\}$, for each B .

Addendum 1. (B) is also equivalent to (A'): $\mathcal{I}(f)$ is Morse and zero stable along $\{f_t\}$.

2. Relative Newton polygons. Take λ , say in \mathbf{R}_*^+ , with $\lambda(y)$. Let us change variables: $X := x - \lambda(y)$, $Y := y$,

$$\mathcal{F}(X, Y) := f(X + \lambda(Y), Y) := \sum a_{ij} X^i Y^{j/d},$$

$$i, j \geq 0, i + j > 0.$$

In the first quadrant of a coordinate plane we plot a dot at $(i, j/d)$ for each $a_{ij} \neq 0$, called a (Newton) dot. The Newton polygon of \mathcal{F} in the usual sense is called the *Newton Polygon of f relative to λ* , denoted by $\mathbf{P}(f, \lambda)$. (See [4].) Write $m_0 := m(f)$. Let the vertices be

$$V_0 = (m_0, 0), \dots, V_k = (m_k, q_k),$$

$$q_i \in \mathbf{Q}^+, m_i > m_{i+1}, q_i < q_{i+1}.$$

The (Newton) edges are: $E_i = \overline{V_{i-1}V_i}$, with angle θ_i , $\tan \theta_i := \frac{q_i - q_{i-1}}{m_{i-1} - m_i}$, $\pi/4 \leq \theta_i < \pi/2$; a vertical one, E_{k+1} , sitting at V_k , $\theta_{k+1} = \pi/2$; a horizontal one, E_0 , which is unimportant.

If $m_k \geq 1$ then $f \equiv 0$ on λ . If $m_k \geq 2$, f is singular on λ . If $\lambda \sim_f \lambda'$ then $\mathbf{P}(f, \lambda) = \mathbf{P}(f, \lambda')$, hence $\mathbf{P}(f, \lambda_f)$ is well-defined.

Notation: $L(E_i) := \overline{V_{i-1}V'_i}$, $V'_i := (0, q_{i-1} + m_{i-1} \tan \theta_i)$, i.e. E_i extended to the y -axis.

Fundamental Lemma. Suppose each polar bar B admits a c -deformation B_t such that $h(B_t)$ and $m(B_t)$ are independent of t . Then each $\lambda_f \in \mathbf{R}_{*/f}$ admits an a -deformation $\lambda_{f_t} \in \mathbf{R}_{*/f_t}$ such that $\mathbf{P}(f_t, \lambda_{f_t})$ is independent of t . The induced deformation $B_t := B(\lambda_{f_t})$ of $B_0 := B(\lambda_f)$, and hence the a -deformation $x = \lambda_{B_t}(y)$ of the canonical representation $x = \lambda_{B_0}(y)$, are uniquely defined; that is, if

we take any $\eta_f \in B(\lambda_f)$, and a c -deformation η_{f_t} with $\mathbf{P}(f_t, \eta_{f_t}) = \mathbf{P}(f, \lambda_f)$, then $B(\eta_{f_t}) = B(\lambda_{f_t})$.

Given B, B' . The contact order $\mathcal{O}(B_t, B'_t)$, defined below, is independent of t .

For $B \neq B'$, define $\mathcal{O}(B, B') := \mathcal{O}(\lambda_f, \lambda'_f)$, $\lambda_f \in B, \lambda'_f \in B'$; and $\mathcal{O}(B, B) := \infty$.

The Lemma is proved by a succession of Tschirnhausen transforms at the vertices, beginning at V_0 , which represents $a_{m_0} X^m$ in $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$, $m := m(f)$. Let us define \mathcal{P} by

$$(2) \quad F(X + \lambda(Y), Y; t) := \mathcal{F}(X, Y) + \mathcal{P}(X, Y; t),$$

$$\mathcal{P}(X, Y; t) := \sum p_{ij}(t) X^i Y^{j/d},$$

where $p_{ij}(t)$ are analytic, $p_{ij}(0) = 0$. Take a root of $\frac{\partial^{m-1}}{\partial X^{m-1}} [a_{m_0} X^m + \mathcal{P}(X, Y; t)] = 0$,

$$X = \rho_t(Y) := \sum b_j(t) Y^{j/d}, \quad b_j(0) = 0,$$

$$b_j(t) \text{ analytic. (Implicit Function Theorem.)}$$

Thus, $\lambda(y) + \rho_t(y)$ is an a -deformation of $\lambda(y)$. Let $X_1 := X - \rho_t(Y)$, $Y_1 := Y$. Then

$$F(X_1 + \lambda(Y_1) + \rho_t(Y_1), Y_1; t)$$

$$:= \mathcal{F}(X_1, Y_1) + \mathcal{P}^{(1)}(X_1, Y_1; t),$$

where $\mathcal{P}^{(1)} := \sum p_{ij}^{(1)}(t) X_1^i Y_1^{j/d}$, $p_{ij}^{(1)}(0) = 0$, and $p_{m-1, j}^{(1)}(t) \equiv 0$ (Tschirnhausen).

For brevity, we shall write the coordinates (X_1, Y_1, t) simply as (X, Y, t) , abusing notations. That is, we now have $p_{m-1, j}(t) \equiv 0$ in (2).

We claim that \mathcal{P} in fact has no dot below $L(E_1)$. This is proved by contradiction.

Suppose it has. Take a generic number $s \in \mathbf{R}$. Let $\zeta(y) := \lambda(y) + sy^e$, $e := \tan \theta_1$, and

$$F(\tilde{X} + \zeta(\tilde{Y}), \tilde{Y}; t) := \mathcal{F}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) + \tilde{\mathcal{P}}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}; 0) \equiv 0.$$

Since s is generic, $\mathbf{P}(f, \zeta_f)$ has only one edge, which is $L(E_1)$, and $B(\zeta_f)$ is polar. Below $L(E_1)$, $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ has at least one dot (when $t \neq 0$), but still no dot of the form $(m-1, q)$.

A c -deformation B_t of $B(\zeta_f)$ would either create new dot(s) of the form $(m-1, q)$ below $L(E_1)$, or else not change the existing dot(s) of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ below $L(E_1)$. (This is the spirit of the Tschirnhausen transformation.) Thus, as $t \neq 0$, $h(B_t)$ or $m(B_t)$, or both, will drop. This contradicts to the hypothesis of the Fundamental Lemma.

This argument can be repeated recursively at V_1, V_2 , etc., to clear all dots under $\mathbf{P}(f, \lambda_f)$. More precisely, suppose in (2), \mathcal{P} has no dots below $L(E_i)$,

$0 \leq i \leq r$. By the Newton-Puiseux Theorem, there exists a root ρ_t of $\frac{\partial^{m_r-1}}{\partial X^{m_r-1}}[aX^{m_r}Y^{q_r} + \mathcal{P}] = 0$ with $\mathcal{O}_y(\rho_t) \geq \tan \theta_{r+1}$, where $aX^{m_r}Y^{q_r}$ is the term for V_r . A Tschirnhausen transform will then eliminate all dots of \mathcal{P} of the form $(m_r - 1, q)$. As before, all dots below $L(E_{r+1})$ also disappear.

We have seen the *only* way to clear dots below $\mathbf{P}(f, \lambda_f)$ is by the Tschirnhausen transforms. If $\mathbf{P}(f, \eta_{f_t}) = \mathbf{P}(f, \lambda_f)$, we must have $\mathcal{O}(\lambda_{f_t}, \eta_{f_t}) \geq h(B_0)$. The uniqueness follows.

Define a partial ordering “ $>$ ” by: $B > \hat{B}$ if and only if $h(B) > h(\hat{B}) = \mathcal{O}(\lambda_f, \mu_f)$, $\lambda_f \in B, \mu_f \in \hat{B}$. Let \hat{B} be the largest bar so that $B \geq \hat{B}, B' \geq \hat{B}$. We write $\lambda_B(y) = \lambda_{\hat{B}}(y) + ay^e + \dots$, $\lambda_{B'}(y) = \lambda_{\hat{B}}(y) + by^e + \dots$, $e := h(\hat{B})$. The uniqueness of \hat{B}_t completes the proof.

3. Vector fields. Assume (a). We use a vector field \vec{v} to prove (b). The other implications are not hard.

Take a critical point γ_f , say in B , $\gamma(y) = \lambda_B(y) + cy^{h(B)}$. Let B_t be the deformation of B . Let c_t be the a -deformation of c , $\frac{d}{du}I_{f_t}^{B_t}(c_t) = 0$, $m(c_t) = m(c)$. (If c is generic, take $c_t = c$.)

Let $\gamma_t(y) := \lambda_{B_t}(y) + c_t y^{h(B_t)}$. Then γ_t is a critical point of f_t in B_t .

Now, let $\gamma_f^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, denote all the critical points of f , for *all* (polar) B . For brevity, write $\gamma^{(i)} := \gamma_f^{(i)}$, with deformations $\gamma_t^{(i)}$, just defined.

We can assume $F(x, 0; t) = \pm x^m$, and hence $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(x, 0; t) \equiv 0$. As $F(x, 0; t) = a(t)x^m + \dots$, $a(0) \neq 0$, a substitution $u = \sqrt[m]{|a(t)|} \cdot x + \dots$ will bring $F(x, 0, t)$ to this form.

We can also assume $\gamma^{(i)} \in \mathbf{R}_{*/f}^+$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, and $\gamma^{(i)} \in \mathbf{R}_{*/f}^-$ for $r + 1 \leq i \leq N$.

For each $\gamma^{(i)} \in \mathbf{R}_{*/f}^+$, we now construct a vector field $\vec{v}_i^+(x, y, t)$, defined for $y \geq 0$.

Write $\gamma_t := \gamma_t^{(i)}$. Let $X := x - \gamma_t(y), Y := y$. Then $\mathcal{F}(X, Y; T) := F(X + \gamma_t(Y), Y; T)$ is analytic in $(X, Y^{1/d}, T)$. As in [1, 6], define $\vec{v}_i^+(x, y, t) := \vec{V}(x - \gamma_t(y), y, t)$, $y \geq 0$, where

$$(3) \quad \vec{V}(X, Y, t) := \frac{X\mathcal{F}_X\mathcal{F}_t}{(X\mathcal{F}_X)^2 + (Y\mathcal{F}_Y)^2} \cdot X \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + \frac{Y\mathcal{F}_Y\mathcal{F}_t}{(X\mathcal{F}_X)^2 + (Y\mathcal{F}_Y)^2} \cdot Y \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

In general, given α_i , $x = \alpha_i(y)$, say in \mathbf{R}_*^+ , $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $q(x, y) := \prod_{k=1}^r (x - \alpha_k(y))^2$,

$$q_i(x, y) := q(x, y)/(x - \alpha_i(y))^2,$$

$$p_i(x, y) := q_i(x, y)/[q_1(x, y) + \dots + q_r(x, y)].$$

We call $\{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ a *partition of unity* for $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$.

Now, take $\{p_i\}$ for $\{\gamma_t^{(1)}, \dots, \gamma_t^{(r)}\}$. Define $\vec{v}^+(x, y, t) := \sum_{i=1}^r p_i(x, y, t) \vec{v}_i^+(x, y, t)$.

Similarly, $\gamma_f^{(i)}$, $r + 1 \leq i \leq N$, yield $\vec{v}^-(x, y, t)$, $y \leq 0$. We can then glue $\vec{v}^\pm(x, y, t)$ together along the x -axis, since $\vec{v}^\pm(x, 0, t) \equiv -\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. This is our vector field $\vec{v}(x, y, t)$, which, by (3), is clearly tangent to the level surfaces of $F(x, y, t)$, proving (b.1).

4. Sketch of Proof.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $W(X, Y)$ be a weighted form of degree d , $w(X) = h$, $w(Y) = 1$. Take u_0 , not a multiple root of $W(X, 1)$. If $W(u_0, 1) \neq 0$ or $u_0 \neq 0$ then, with $X = uv^h, Y = v$,*

$$|XW_X| + |YW_Y| = \text{unit} \cdot |v|^d, \text{ for } u \text{ near } u_0.$$

For, by Euler’s Theorem, if $X - u_0Y^h$ divides W_X and W_Y , then u_0 is a multiple root.

To show (b.2), etc., take α , say in \mathbf{R}_*^+ . Take k , $\mathcal{O}(\gamma^{(k)}, \alpha) = \max\{\mathcal{O}(\gamma^{(j)}, \alpha) \mid 1 \leq j \leq r\}$.

We can assume α is not a multiple root of f , $e := \mathcal{O}(\gamma^{(k)}, \alpha_f) < \infty$. (If α is, then $\gamma^{(k)} = \alpha_f$, $h(B) = \infty$. This case is easy.)

Write $B := B(\alpha_f)$ if $B(\alpha_f) \leq B(\gamma^{(k)})$, and $B := B(\gamma^{(k)})$ if $B(\alpha_f) > B(\gamma^{(k)})$.

Thus $\alpha(y) = \lambda_B(y) + ay^e + \dots$, $\frac{d}{du}I_f^B(a) \neq 0$. Let us consider the mapping

$$\tau : (u, v, t) \mapsto (x, y, t) := (\lambda_{B_t}(v) + uv^e, v, t), \\ u \in \mathbf{R}, 0 \leq v < \varepsilon, t \in I,$$

B_t the deformation of B , and the liftings $\vec{v}_j^+ := (d\tau)^{-1}(p_j \vec{v}_j^+)$, $\vec{v}^+ := \sum_{j=1}^r \vec{v}_j^+$.

Key Lemma. *The lifted vector fields \vec{v}_j^+ , and hence \vec{v}^+ , are analytic at (u, v, t) , if u is not a multiple root of $I_{f_t}^{B_t}$. Moreover, $\vec{v}^+(u, 0, t)$ is analytic for all $u \in \mathbf{R}$; that is, $\lim_{v \rightarrow 0^+} \vec{v}^+(u, v, t)$ has only removable singularities on the u -axis.*

We analyze each \vec{v}_i^+ , using (3). For brevity, write $\mathbf{B} := B(\gamma^{(i)})$, $\mathbf{B}_t := B(\gamma_t^{(i)})$.

First, consider the case $B = \mathbf{B}$. This case exposes the main ideas.

Now I_f^B and $\mathbf{P}(f, \gamma^{(i)})$ are related as follows. Let $W(X, Y) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} X^i Y^j/d$ be the (unique) weighted form such that $W(u, 1) = I_f^B(u + c)$, $w(X) = h(B)$, $w(Y) = 1$, where c is the canonical coordinate of $\gamma^{(i)}$. The Newton dots on the high-

est compact edge of $\mathbf{P}(f, \gamma^{(i)})$ represent the non-zero terms of $W(X, Y)$; the highest vertex is $(0, L_f(B))$.

Thus $\frac{d}{du}W(0, 1) = \frac{d}{du}I_f^B(c) = 0$, $W(0, 1) \neq 0$. The weighted degree of $W(X, Y)$ is $L_f(B)$.

Hence, by Lemma 4.1, the substitution $X = x - \lambda_B(y) - cy^{h(B)} = (u - c)v^{h(B)}$, $Y = v$, yields $\mathcal{O}_v(|X\mathcal{F}_X| + |Y\mathcal{F}_Y|) = L_f(\mathbf{B})$, if $u - c$ is not a multiple root of $W(u, 1)$.

The Newton Polygon is independent of t : $\mathbf{P}(f, \gamma^{(i)}) = \mathbf{P}(f_t, \gamma_t^{(i)})$. All Newton dots of \mathcal{F} , and hence those of \mathcal{F}_T , are contained in $\mathbf{P}(f, \gamma^{(i)})$. Hence $\mathcal{O}_v(\mathcal{F}_T((u - c)v^{h(B)}, v; T)) \geq L_f(B)$.

By the Chain Rule, we have $X \frac{\partial}{\partial X} = (u - c) \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$, $Y \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} = v \frac{\partial}{\partial v} - h(B)(u - c) \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$.

It follows that $(d\tau)^{-1}(\bar{v}_i^+)$ and \bar{v}_i are analytic at (u, v, t) , if u is not a multiple root of $I_{f_t}^{B_t}$.

Next, suppose $B < \mathbf{B}$. Again we show $(d\tau)^{-1}(\bar{v}_i^+)$ has the required property.

Write $\gamma^{(i)}(y) := \lambda_B(y) + c'y^{h(B)} + \dots$. Let $W(X, Y)$ denote the weighted form such that $W(u, 1) = I_f^B(u + c')$, $w(X) = h(B)$, $w(Y) = 1$.

If $W(X, Y)$ has more than one terms, they are dots on a compact edge of $\mathbf{P}(f, \gamma^{(i)})$, not the highest one. If $W(X, Y)$ has only one term, it is a vertex, say (\bar{m}, \bar{q}) , $\bar{m} \geq 2$.

In either case, $u = 0$ is a multiple root of $W(u, 1)$. All Newton dots of \mathcal{F}_T are contained in $\mathbf{P}(f, \gamma^{(i)})$. The rest of the argument is the same as above.

Finally, suppose $B \not\leq \mathbf{B}$. Here p_i plays a vital role in analyzing \bar{v}_i^+ .

Let \bar{B} denote the largest bar such that $B > \bar{B} \leq \mathbf{B}$.

Let $U := x - \lambda_{B_t}(y)$, $V := y$. The identity $p_i = p_k q_i / q_k$, and the Chain Rule yield

$$p_i \cdot X \frac{\partial}{\partial X} = p_k \frac{(U + \varepsilon)^2}{(U + \delta)^2} (U + \delta) \frac{\partial}{\partial U},$$

$$p_i \cdot Y \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} = p_k \cdot \frac{(U + \varepsilon)^2}{(U + \delta)^2} \left[V \frac{\partial}{\partial V} - V \delta'(V) \frac{\partial}{\partial U} \right],$$

where $\delta := \delta(y, t) := \lambda_{B_t}(y) - \gamma_t^{(i)}(y)$, $\varepsilon := \lambda_{B_t}(y) - \gamma_t^{(k)}(y)$, $\mathcal{O}_y(\delta) = h(\bar{B}) < h(B) \leq \mathcal{O}_y(\varepsilon)$.

The substitution $U = uv^{h(B)}$, $V = v$ lifts both to analytic vector fields in (u, v, t) .

It remains to study $\Psi := \mathcal{F}_T / (|X\mathcal{F}_X| + |Y\mathcal{F}_Y|)$ when $X = \delta(v, t) + uv^{h(B)}$, $Y = v$.

Let $\mathcal{G}(U, V, T) := \mathcal{F}(U + \delta(V, T), V, T)$. The Chain Rule yields

$$(4) \quad X\mathcal{F}_X = (U + \delta)\mathcal{G}_U, \quad Y\mathcal{F}_Y = V(\mathcal{G}_V - \delta_V\mathcal{G}_U),$$

$$\mathcal{F}_T = \mathcal{G}_T - \delta_T\mathcal{G}_U.$$

Let us compare $\mathbf{P}(f, \gamma^{(i)})$ and $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{G}, U = 0)$, the (usual) Newton Polygon of \mathcal{G} . Let E'_i , θ'_i and V'_i denote the edges, angles and vertices of the latter. Then $E_i = E'_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq l$, where l is the largest integer such that $\tan \theta_l < h(\bar{B})$. Moreover, $\theta'_{l+1} = \theta_{l+1}$ (although E_{l+1} , E'_{l+1} may be different).

Consider the vertex $V'_{l+1} := (m'_{l+1}, q'_{l+1})$, $m'_{l+1} \geq 2$. It yields a term $\mu := a(T)U^pV^q$ of $\delta\mathcal{G}_U$, $a(0) \neq 0$, $p := m'_{l+1} - 1$, $q := q'_{l+1} + \tan \theta_{l+1}$. With the substitution $U = uv^{h(B)}$, ($u \neq 0$), $V = v$, μ is the dominating term in (4). That is, $\mathcal{O}_v(\mu) < \mathcal{O}_v(\mu')$, for all terms μ' in $U\mathcal{G}_U$, $V\mathcal{G}_V$, etc., (and for all terms $\mu' \neq \mu$ in $\delta\mathcal{G}_U$), since $\mathcal{O}_Y(\delta) = \tan \theta_{l+1}$.

It follows that Ψ is analytic. That $\lim \bar{v}_i^+$ has only removable singularities also follows.

Conditions (b.2) etc. can be derived from the Key Lemma.

References

- [1] T. Fukui and E. Yoshinaga, The modified analytic trivialization of family of real analytic functions, *Invent. Math.* **82** (1985), no. 3, 467–477.
- [2] S. Koiki, On strong C^0 -equivalence of real analytic functions, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **45** (1993), no. 2, 313–320.
- [3] T.-C. Kuo and Y. C. Lu, On analytic function germs of two complex variables, *Topology* **16** (1977), no. 4, 299–310.
- [4] T.-C. Kuo and A. Parusiński, Newton polygon relative to an arc, in *Real and complex singularities (São Carlos, 1998)*, 76–93, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2000.
- [5] K. Kurdyka and L. Paunescu, Arc-analytic roots of analytic functions are Lipschitz, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **132** (2004), no. 6, 1693–1702. (Electronic).
- [6] L. Paunescu, A weighted version of the Kuiper-Kuo-Bochnak-Lojasiewicz theorem, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **2** (1993), no. 1, 69–79.
- [7] R. J. Walker, *Algebraic Curves*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1950.