

Characterization of totally η -umbilic real hypersurfaces in nonflat complex space forms by some inequality

By Takehiro ITOH^{*)} and Sadahiro MAEDA^{**)}

(Communicated by Heisuke HIRONAKA, M. J. A., May 12, 2004)

Abstract: In this paper we characterize all totally η -umbilic real hypersurfaces M 's in complex projective or complex hyperbolic spaces by using an inequality related to the shape operator A of M .

Key words: Nonflat complex space forms; totally η -umbilic real hypersurfaces; shape operators.

1. Introduction. In an n -dimensional nonflat complex space form $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c , which is either a complex projective space $\mathbf{C}P^n(c)$ or a complex hyperbolic space $\mathbf{C}H^n(c)$, there does not exist a totally umbilic real hypersurface M^{2n-1} .

However, there exist real hypersurfaces M^{2n-1} which are so-called totally η -umbilic real hypersurfaces in $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$, $c \neq 0$. A real hypersurface M of $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$ ($n \geq 2$) (with standard Riemannian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$) is called *totally η -umbilic*, if its shape operator A is of the form $AX = \alpha X$ for each vector X on M which is orthogonal to the characteristic vector ξ of M , where α is a smooth function on M . This definition can be rewritten easily as: $AX = \alpha X + \beta \eta(X)\xi$ for each $X \in TM$, where α, β are smooth functions on M and $\eta(X) = \langle X, \xi \rangle$. It is known that these two functions α and β are automatically constant.

The main purpose of this paper is to give a characterization of all totally η -umbilic real hypersurfaces M 's of a nonflat complex space form $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$ by using an inequality related to the shape operator A of M , that is, we will prove the following

Theorem. *Let M^{2n-1} be a real hypersurface in a nonflat complex space form $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$ ($n \geq 2$). Then the following inequality holds:*

$$(\text{trace } A - \langle A\xi, \xi \rangle)^2 \leq 2(n-1)(\text{trace } A^2 - \|A\xi\|^2),$$

where A is the shape operator of M in the ambient space $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53B25; Secondary 53C40.

^{*)} Faculty of Education, Shinshu University, 6-r0, Nishinagano, Nagano 380-8544.

^{**)} Department of Mathematics, Shimane University, 1060, Nishi-Kawatsucho, Matsue, Shimane 690-8504.

Moreover, the equality holds on M if and only if M is totally η -umbilic in $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$.

In the last section we pose an open problem on real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space.

2. Basic results on totally η -umbilic real hypersurfaces. We shall review some basic results on totally η -umbilic real hypersurfaces. Let M^{2n-1} be an orientable real hypersurface of a nonflat complex space form $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$ ($n \geq 2$) and \mathcal{N} a unit normal vector field on M in $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$. The Riemannian connections $\widetilde{\nabla}$ of $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$ and ∇ of M are related by

$$(2.1) \quad \widetilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \langle AX, Y \rangle \mathcal{N} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{N} = -AX,$$

for vector fields X and Y tangent to M , where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the Riemannian metric on M induced from the standard metric on $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$, and A is the shape operator of M in $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$. It is known that M admits an almost contact metric structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ induced from the Kähler structure J of $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$. The characteristic vector field ξ of M is defined as $\xi = -J\mathcal{N}$ and this structure satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^2 &= -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \text{and} \\ \langle \phi X, \phi Y \rangle &= \langle X, Y \rangle - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \end{aligned}$$

where I denotes the identity mapping of the tangent bundle TM of M . It follows from the equalities (2.1) that

$$(2.2)$$

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = \eta(Y)AX - \langle AX, Y \rangle \xi \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_X \xi = \phi AX.$$

Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the shape operator A are called *principal curvatures* and *principal curvature vectors*, respectively.

We recall the classification theorem of totally η -umbilic real hypersurfaces of $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$, $c \neq 0$ ([NR]):

Theorem A. *Let M^{2n-1} be a totally η -umbilic real hypersurface of a nonflat complex space form $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$ ($n \geq 2$) (with shape operator $A = \alpha I + \beta\eta \otimes \xi$). Then M is locally congruent to one of the following:*

- (P) *geodesic spheres of radius r ($0 < r < \pi/\sqrt{c}$) in $\mathbf{C}P^n(c)$, where $\alpha = (\sqrt{c}/2) \cot(\sqrt{cr}/2)$ and $\beta = -1/\alpha$,*
 (H) i) *horospheres in $\mathbf{C}H^n(c)$, where $\alpha = \beta = \sqrt{|c|}/2$*
 ii) *geodesic spheres of radius r ($0 < r < \infty$) in $\mathbf{C}H^n(c)$, where $\alpha = (\sqrt{c}/2) \coth(\sqrt{cr}/2)$ and $\beta = 1/\alpha$,*
 iii) *tubes of radius r ($0 < r < \infty$) around totally geodesic complex hyperplane $\mathbf{C}H^{n-1}(c)$ in $\mathbf{C}H^n(c)$, where $\alpha = (\sqrt{c}/2) \tanh(\sqrt{cr}/2)$ and $\beta = 1/\alpha$.*

It is known that every totally η -umbilic hypersurface M satisfies that the structure tensor ϕ and the shape operator A of M in $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$ are commutative: $\phi A = A\phi$.

We here review the definition of circles in Riemannian geometry. A unit speed curve $\gamma = \gamma(s)$ in a Riemannian manifold M is called a *circle* if there exist a field of unit vectors $Y = Y(s)$ along the curve and a constant κ (≥ 0) which satisfy the differential equations: $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma} = \kappa Y$ and $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}Y = -\kappa\dot{\gamma}$, where $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}$ denotes the covariant differentiation along γ with respect to the Riemannian connection ∇ of M . The constant κ is called the curvature of the circle. A circle with zero curvature is nothing but a geodesic.

It is well-known that a hypersurface M^n in Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^{n+1} is locally a standard sphere if and only if all geodesics of M are circles of positive curvature in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} . However, there exist no real hypersurfaces all of whose geodesics are circles in a nonflat complex space form $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$. This comes from the fact that a nonflat complex space form does not admit a totally umbilic real hypersurface.

Paying attention to the extrinsic shape of geodesics on totally η -umbilic real hypersurfaces in $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$ ($c \neq 0$), we obtain the following which is a characterization of these hypersurfaces ([MO]):

Proposition B. *Let M^{2n-1} be a real hypersurface of a nonflat complex space form $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$ ($n \geq 2$). Then M is locally congruent to a totally η -umbilic real hypersurface if and only if every geodesic*

γ on M whose initial vector $\dot{\gamma}(0)$ is orthogonal to the characteristic vector $\xi_{\gamma(0)}$ of M is a circle of positive curvature in the ambient space $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$.

The following result shows that Proposition B is no longer true if we replace ‘‘a circle of positive curvature’’ by ‘‘a circle’’ ([AKM]):

Theorem C. *Let M^{2n-1} be a real hypersurface of a nonflat complex space form $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$ ($n \geq 2$). Then M is locally congruent to a totally η -umbilic real hypersurface or a ruled real hypersurface if and only if every geodesic γ on M whose initial vector $\dot{\gamma}(0)$ is orthogonal to the characteristic vector $\xi_{\gamma(0)}$ of M is a circle in the ambient space $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$.*

It is well-known that the characteristic vector ξ of each ruled real hypersurface is not principal (for details, see [NR]).

3. Characterizations of totally η -umbilic real hypersurfaces. Our tool in this section is the first equality in (2.2). We first prove the following:

Proposition 1. *The structure tensor ϕ of each real hypersurface M^{2n-1} in $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$ ($c \neq 0$) is not parallel, namely $\nabla\phi$ does not vanish identically on M .*

Proof. Suppose that $\nabla\phi \equiv 0$ on M . Then it follows from the first equality in (2.2) that

$$(3.1) \quad \eta(Y)AX - \langle AX, Y \rangle \xi = 0 \quad \text{for } \forall X, Y \in TM.$$

Putting $X = Y = \xi$ in (3.1), we can see that ξ is principal. Next for each X ($\neq 0$) orthogonal to ξ with $AX = rX$, putting $Y = \xi$ in (3.1), we get $r = 0$. So our real hypersurface is totally η -umbilic with $\alpha = 0$ in the ambient space $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$, which is a contradiction (see Theorem A). \square

The following is a characterization of a totally η -umbilic real hypersurface in terms of the covariant derivative of its structure tensor ϕ :

Proposition 2. *Let M^{2n-1} be a real hypersurface of a nonflat complex space form $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$ ($n \geq 2$). Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *M is totally η -umbilic in the ambient space $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$.*

(2) *The structure tensor ϕ of M satisfies*

$$(3.2)$$

$$(\nabla_X\phi)Y = k(\eta(Y)X - \langle X, Y \rangle \xi) \quad \text{for } \forall X, Y \in TM,$$

where k is a nonzero constant.

Proof. (1) \implies (2): Suppose that $AX = \alpha X + \beta\eta(X)\xi$ for $\forall X, Y \in TM$. Then it follows from the

first equality in (2.2) that $(\nabla_X \phi)Y = \alpha(\eta(Y)X - \langle X, Y \rangle \xi)$ for $\forall X, Y \in TM$.

(2) \implies (1): In view of the first equality in (2.2) and (3.2) we find that

$$(3.3) \quad \eta(Y)AX - \langle AX, Y \rangle \xi = k(\eta(Y)X - \langle X, Y \rangle \xi) \quad \text{for } \forall X, Y \in TM.$$

Putting $X = Y = \xi$ in (3.3), we can see that ξ is principal. Next for each $X (\neq 0)$ orthogonal to ξ with $AX = rX$, putting $Y = \xi$ in (3.3), we get $r = k$. So we obtain the desirable conclusion. \square

We are now in a position to prove our theorem:

Proof of main theorem. Making use of (3.2), we define the following tensor T on M as:

$$T(X, Y) = (\nabla_X \phi)Y - k(\eta(Y)X - \langle X, Y \rangle \xi) \quad \text{for } \forall X, Y \in TM.$$

Calculating the length of T , we obtain the following inequality

$$\|T\|^2 = 2 \operatorname{trace} A^2 + 4(n-1)k^2 - 2\|A\xi\|^2 + 4k\langle A\xi, \xi \rangle - 4k \cdot \operatorname{trace} A \geq 0,$$

so that for each k we see that

$$(3.4) \quad 2(n-1)k^2 + 2(\langle A\xi, \xi \rangle - \operatorname{trace} A)k + \operatorname{trace} A^2 - \|A\xi\|^2 \geq 0.$$

This tells us that the discriminant D of the quadratic function (3.4) is nonpositive. Thus we obtain the conclusion. \square

4. Open problem. Totally η -umbilic real hypersurfaces are typical examples of *homogeneous* real hypersurfaces, namely they are given as orbits under subgroups of the isometry group $I(\widetilde{M}_n(c))$ of the ambient space $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$. The classification problem of homogeneous real hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{CH}^n(c)$ is still open. However, in $\mathbf{CP}^n(c)$ the classification problem of such hypersurfaces is completely solved. Here, without loss of generality we put $c = 4$. We recall the following ([NR]):

Theorem D. *Let M be a homogeneous real hypersurface of $\mathbf{CP}^n(4)$. Then M is a tube of radius r over the following Kähler submanifolds:*

- (A₁) *hyperplane $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1}(4)$, where $0 < r < \pi/2$,*
- (A₂) *totally geodesic $\mathbf{CP}^k(4)$ ($1 \leq k \leq n-2$), where $0 < r < \pi/2$,*
- (B) *complex hyperquadric Q_{n-1} , where $0 < r < \pi/4$,*
- (C) *$\mathbf{CP}^1(4) \times \mathbf{CP}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}(4)$, where $0 < r < \pi/4$ and $n (\geq 5)$ is odd,*

(D) *complex Grassmann $\mathbf{CG}_{2,5}$, where $0 < r < \pi/4$ and $n = 9$,*

(E) *Hermitian symmetric space $SO(10)/U(5)$, where $0 < r < \pi/4$ and $n = 15$.*

The numbers of distinct principal curvatures of these homogeneous real hypersurfaces are 2, 3, 3, 5, 5, 5, respectively. Note that a geodesic sphere of radius r in $\mathbf{CP}^n(4)$ is congruent to a tube of radius $(\pi/2) - r$ over hyperplane $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1}(4)$ in $\mathbf{CP}^n(4)$, where $0 < r < \pi/2$.

Motivated by Propositions 1 and 2, we are interested in $\|\nabla\phi\|$ for each minimal homogeneous real hypersurface in $\mathbf{CP}^n(4)$. Direct computation yields the following:

Proposition 3. *Let M be a minimal homogeneous real hypersurface (which is a tube of radius r) of $\mathbf{CP}^n(4)$. Then the radius r and the norm of the covariant derivative of the structure tensor ϕ on M are as follows:*

$$(A_1) \quad \cot r = \sqrt{2n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla\phi\|^2 = \frac{4(n-1)}{2n-1},$$

$$(A_2) \quad \cot r = \sqrt{\frac{2k+1}{2n-2k-1}} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\|\nabla\phi\|^2 = 4\left\{\frac{(n-1-k)(2k+1)}{2n-1-2k} + \frac{k(2n-1-2k)}{2k+1}\right\},$$

$$(B) \quad \cot r = \sqrt{n} + \sqrt{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla\phi\|^2 = 4(n+1),$$

$$(C) \quad \cot r = \frac{\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{n-2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla\phi\|^2 = 12n - 4 - \frac{16}{n-2},$$

$$(D) \quad \cot r = \sqrt{5} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla\phi\|^2 = \frac{488}{5} (= 97.6),$$

$$(E) \quad \cot r = \frac{\sqrt{15} + \sqrt{6}}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla\phi\|^2 = \frac{512}{3} (= 170.6 \dots).$$

Proposition 3 tells us that $\|\nabla\phi\|^2$ takes the minimal value in case of type A₁ and takes the maximum value in case of type C. In this context we pose the following problem:

Problem. Let M be a compact orientable minimal real hypersurface of $\mathbf{CP}^n(4)$. If the covariant derivative of the structure tensor ϕ satisfies $\|\nabla\phi\|^2 \leq 4(n-1)/(2n-1)$ on M , is M congruent to a geodesic sphere in $\mathbf{CP}^n(4)$?

We emphasize that $\|\nabla\phi\|$ is a natural invariant for each real hypersurface M in a nonflat complex space form $\widetilde{M}_n(c)$ ($n \geq 2$). In general, for any real hypersurface M the following holds: $\|\nabla\phi\|^2 = 2(\operatorname{trace} A^2 - \|A\xi\|^2)$. The following proposition is worth mentioning.

Proposition 4. *Let M be a minimal homogeneous real hypersurface of $\mathbf{CP}^n(4)$. Then $\operatorname{trace} A^2$ of M is described as follows:*

- (1) *If M is of type A₁ or A₂, then $\operatorname{trace} A^2 = 2n - 2$.*

- (2) If M is of type B, C, D or E, then $\text{trace } A^2 = 6n - 2$. Japan.

Proposition 4 shows that we cannot distinguish minimal homogeneous real hypersurfaces of type A_1 and A_2 , and also minimal ones of type B, C, D and E in terms of $\text{trace } A^2$. The following is well-known ([NR]):

Theorem E. *Let M be a compact orientable minimal real hypersurface of $\mathbf{C}P^n(4)$. Suppose that the shape operator A of M in $\mathbf{C}P^n(4)$ satisfies $\text{trace } A^2 \leq 2n - 2$ on M . Then $\text{trace } A^2 \equiv 2n - 2$ and M is congruent to one of minimal homogeneous real hypersurfaces of type A_1 and A_2 .*

Acknowledgement. The second author partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No.14540080), The Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of

References

- [AKM] Adachi, T., Kimura, M., and Maeda, S.: Real hypersurfaces some of whose geodesics are plane curves in nonflat complex space forms. (To appear in Tohoku Math. J.).
- [MO] Maeda, S., and Ogiue, K.: Characterizations of geodesic hyperspheres in a complex projective space by observing the extrinsic shape of geodesics. *Math. Z.*, **225**, 537–542 (1997).
- [NR] Niebergall, R., and Ryan, P. J.: Real hypersurfaces in complex space forms. *Tight and Taut Submanifolds* (eds. Cecil, T. E. and Chern, S. S.). Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, pp. 233–305 (1997).