

On WKB analysis of higher order Painlevé equations with a large parameter

By Takahiro KAWAI and Yoshitsugu TAKEI

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University

Kita-Shirakawa-Oiwake-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502

(Communicated by Shigefumi MORI, M. J. A., May 12, 2004)

Abstract: We announce a generalization of the reduction theorem for 0-parameter solutions of the traditional (i.e., second order) Painlevé equations with a large parameter to those of some higher order Painlevé equations, i.e., each member of the Painlevé hierarchies (P_J) ($J = \text{I}, \text{II-1}$ and II-2) discussed in [KKNT]. Thus the scope of applicability of the reduction theorem ([KT1, KT2]) has been substantially enlarged; only six equations were covered by our previous result, while the result reported here applies to infinitely many equations.

Key words: Painlevé transcendent; Painlevé hierarchy; turning point; Lax pair.

0. Introduction. The purpose of this article is to report that a 0-parameter solution of a higher order Painlevé equation $(P_J)_m$ ($J = \text{I}, \text{II-1}, \text{II-2}$; $m = 1, 2, \dots$) can be formally reduced to a 0-parameter solution of $(P_1)_1$, i.e., the traditional Painlevé equation (P_1) with a large parameter, near its turning point of the first kind (in the sense of [KKNT]). This is a substantial generalization of our earlier result ([KT2]; its core part was announced in [KT1]), which is concerned with the traditional (i.e., second order) Painlevé equations; thus it covers only six equations (P_J) ($J = \text{I}, \text{II}, \dots, \text{VI}$), while the result announced in this article applies to infinitely many equations, i.e., each member of the Painlevé hierarchy $(P_J)_m$ ($J = \text{I}, \text{II-1}, \text{II-2}$; $m = 1, 2, \dots$) with a large parameter η . Here and in what follows we use the same notions and notations as in [KKNT]. In order to give the reader some idea of the “higher order Painlevé equations” discussed here, we recall the definition of $(P_1)_m$ together with the underlying Lax pair $(L_1)_m$, i.e., a system of linear differential equations whose compatibility condition is described by $(P_1)_m$. See [KKNT] for $(P_J)_m$ and $(L_J)_m$ ($J = \text{II-1}, \text{II-2}$). See also [S], [GJP] and [GP] for the equations without the large parameter.

Definition 0.1. The m -th member of P_1 -hierarchy with a large parameter η is the following system of non-linear differential equations:

$$(0.1) \quad (P_1)_m : \begin{cases} \frac{du_j}{dt} = 2\eta v_j & (j = 1, \dots, m) \\ \frac{dv_j}{dt} = 2\eta(u_{j+1} + u_1 u_j + w_j) & (j = 1, \dots, m) \\ u_{m+1} = 0, \end{cases} \quad \begin{matrix} (0.1.a) \\ (0.1.b) \end{matrix}$$

where w_j is a polynomial of u_k and v_l ($1 \leq k, l \leq j$) that is determined by the following recursive relation:

$$(0.2) \quad w_j = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^j u_k u_{j+1-k} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} u_k w_{j-k} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} v_k v_{j-k} \right) + c_j + \delta_{j,m} t \quad (j = 1, \dots, m).$$

Here c_j is a constant and $\delta_{j,m}$ stands for Kronecker's delta.

Remark 0.1. The system $(P_1)_m$ is seen to be equivalent to a single $2m$ -th order differential equation. For example, $(P_1)_1$ is equivalent to

$$(0.3) \quad u_1'' = \eta^2(6u_1^2 + 4c_1 + 4t),$$

the traditional Painlevé equation (P_1) , and $(P_1)_2$ is equivalent to the following fourth order equation:

$$(0.4) \quad u_1^{(4)} = \eta^2(20u_1 u_1'' + 10(u_1')^2) + \eta^4(-40u_1^3 - 16c_1 u_1 + 16c_2 + 16t).$$

The underlying Lax pair $(L_1)_m$ of $(P_1)_m$ is given by the following:

$$(0.5) \quad (L_I)_m : \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \eta A \right) \vec{\psi} = 0 & (0.5.a) \\ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \eta B \right) \vec{\psi} = 0 & (0.5.b) \end{cases}$$

where $\vec{\psi} = {}^t(\psi_1, \psi_2)$,

$$(0.6) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} V(x)/2 & U(x) \\ (2x^{m+1} - xU(x) + 2W(x))/4 & -V(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$(0.7) \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ u_1 + x/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$(0.8) \quad U(x) = x^m - \sum_{j=1}^m u_j x^{m-j},$$

$$(0.9) \quad V(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m v_j x^{m-j},$$

and

$$(0.10) \quad W(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m w_j x^{m-j}.$$

See [KKNT, Proposition 1.1.1] for the proof of the fact that $(P_I)_m$ is the compatibility condition for $(L_I)_m$.

As in the case of the traditional Painlevé equations (cf. [KT2]), we can construct the so-called 0-parameter solution (\hat{u}_j, \hat{v}_j) of $(P_I)_m$ of the following form:

$$(0.11) \quad \hat{u}_j(t, \eta) = \hat{u}_{j,0}(t) + \eta^{-1} \hat{u}_{j,1}(t) + \cdots,$$

$$(0.12) \quad \hat{v}_j(t, \eta) = \hat{v}_{j,0}(t) + \eta^{-1} \hat{v}_{j,1}(t) + \cdots.$$

In what follows we always substitute the 0-parameter solution into the coefficients of $(L_I)_m$. Accordingly the matrices A and B are also expanded in powers of η^{-1} ; their top degree parts are respectively denoted by A_0 and B_0 .

In studying the structure of 0-parameter solutions, we can readily find the structure of \hat{v}_j from that of \hat{u}_j , thanks to (0.1.a). Hence we concentrate our attention to \hat{u}_j 's, or rather the solutions

$$(0.13) \quad b_j(t, \eta) = b_{j,0}(t) + \eta^{-1} b_{j,1}(t) + \cdots \quad (1 \leq j \leq m)$$

of the equation $U(b_j(t, \eta)) = 0$, that is,

$$(0.14) \quad b_j(t, \eta)^m - \sum_{j=1}^m \hat{u}_j(t, \eta) b_j(t, \eta)^{m-j} = 0.$$

We note that $\{b_j\}_{j=1, \dots, m}$ appear as a straightforward counterpart of the traditional Painlevé transcendents in the original formulation of Shimomura ([S]) of higher order Painlevé equations from the viewpoint of the Garnier system. The passage from $\{b_j\}$ to their elementary symmetric polynomials $\{u_j\}$ seems to ameliorate the global behavior of functions in question, which is not our immediate concern here (cf. [S]).

Now, our goal (Theorem 3.1 below) is to relate $b_j(t, \eta)$ with a 0-parameter solution of the traditional Painlevé-I equation through a formal transformation. In constructing the required transformation, we first rewrite $(L_J)_m$ ($J = \text{I}, \text{II-1}, \text{II-2}$) as a pair of a Schrödinger equation $(SL_J)_m$ and its deformation equation $(D_J)_m$ (Section 1) and then analyze solutions of the Riccati equation associated with $(SL_J)_m$ near $x = b_{j,0}(t)$, the top order part of $b_j(t, \eta)$ (Section 2). Making full use of the results in Section 2, we construct an appropriate semi-global transformation that brings $(SL_J)_m$ to $(SL_I)_1$ and the constructed transformation is used to reduce b_j to a 0-parameter solution of $(P_I)_1$.

The details of this article shall be published elsewhere.

1. Derivation of a Schrödinger equation $(SL_J)_m$ and its deformation equation $(D_J)_m$.
If we let ψ denote

$$(1.1) \quad \exp\left(-\int^x \frac{U_x}{2U} dx\right) \psi_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{U}} \psi_1$$

for the first component ψ_1 of the unknown vector $\vec{\psi}$ of (0.5.a), we find ψ satisfies the following Schrödinger equation $(SL_I)_m$:

$$(SL_I)_m \quad \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = \eta^2 Q_{(I,m)} \psi$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad Q_{(I,m)} = \frac{1}{4}(2x^{m+1}U - xU^2 + 2UW) + \frac{1}{4}V^2 - \frac{\eta^{-1}VU_x}{2U} + \frac{\eta^{-1}V_x}{2} + \frac{3\eta^{-2}U_x^2}{4U^2} - \frac{\eta^{-2}U_{xx}}{2U}.$$

Making use of (0.5.b), we can find its deformation equation $(D_I)_m$, an equation compatible with

$(SL_I)_m$:

$$(D_I)_m \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \mathbf{a}_{(I,m)} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}_{(I,m)}}{\partial x} \psi,$$

where

$$(1.3) \quad \mathbf{a}_{(I,m)} = \frac{2}{U}.$$

Now we note that $Q_{(I,m),0}$, the highest degree term in η of $Q_{(I,m)}$, has the form

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{1}{4}(x + 2\hat{u}_{1,0})U_0(x)^2 = \frac{1}{4}(x + 2\hat{u}_{1,0}) \left(x^m - \sum_{j=1}^m \hat{u}_{j,0} x^{m-j} \right)^2.$$

(See [KKNT, §2.1] for the details.) Hence $x = b_{j,0}$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$) is a double turning point of $(SL_I)_m$. Similar observations are made also for $(SL_J)_m$ ($J = \text{II-1}$ and II-2). Thus, it is natural to expect that the setting of [KT2] may be also applicable to $(SL_J)_m$ ($J = \text{I}, \text{II-1}, \text{II-2}$), and this expectation is really validated as is discussed below. For the reference we note that the deformation equation $(D_J)_m$ ($J = \text{II-1}, \text{II-2}$) for $\psi = x^{1/2} T_m^{-1/2} \psi_1$ (in the case of $(L_{\text{II-1}})_m$) and $\psi = T_m^{-1/2} \psi_1$ (in the case of $(L_{\text{II-2}})_m$; for the sake of simplicity we assume $c_j = 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq m-1$) in (1.3.9) of [KKNT]). To avoid some degeneracy we also assume $c \neq 0$ in (1.2.1) (resp., $\delta \neq 0$ in (1.3.1)) of [KKNT]) is given respectively with

$$(1.5) \quad \mathbf{a}_{(\text{II-1},m)} = \frac{2gx}{T_m}$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad \mathbf{a}_{(\text{II-2},m)} = \frac{g}{2T_m},$$

where g is a non-zero constant and T_m is a polynomial of degree m in x whose coefficients are given in terms of (0-parameter) solutions of $(P_J)_m$.

2. Regularity of S_{odd} near $x = b_{j,0}(t)$.

In this section we omit the suffix (J, m) of $Q_{(J,m)}$ and $\mathbf{a}_{(J,m)}$. Let S^\pm respectively denote the solution of the Riccati equation associated with $(SL_J)_m$, i.e.,

$$(2.1) \quad (S^\pm)^2 + \frac{\partial S^\pm}{\partial x} = \eta^2 Q,$$

that begins with $\pm \eta \sqrt{Q}$. Then S_{odd} is, by definition,

$$(2.2) \quad S_{\text{odd}} = \frac{1}{2}(S^+ - S^-).$$

We note that this definition of S_{odd} is different from that used in [KT2]; one important point is that S_{odd}

thus defined may contain a term whose degree in η is even. Although we do not discuss the details here, S_{odd} thus defined is free from even degree terms for $J = \text{I}$, just like S_{odd} in [KT2], but not for $J = \text{II-1}$ or II-2 . As is shown in [AKT, §2], we can verify

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\partial S_{\text{odd}}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\mathbf{a}S_{\text{odd}})$$

for S_{odd} thus defined. Using (2.3), we can prove the following

Theorem 2.1. *The series S_{odd} and $\mathbf{a}S_{\text{odd}}$ are holomorphic on a neighborhood of $x = b_{j,0}(t)$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$) in the sense that each of their coefficients as formal power series in η^{-1} is holomorphic on a neighborhood of $x = b_{j,0}(t)$.*

3. Reduction of $b_j(t, \eta)$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$) to a 0-parameter solution of $(P_I)_1$. Let $t = \tau$ be a turning point of the first kind of $(P_J)_m$ ($J = \text{I}, \text{II-1}, \text{II-2}$) in the sense of [KKNT]. (We note that every turning point is of the first kind if $m = 1$, i.e., for the traditional Painlevé equations.) Let us further assume that τ is simple in the sense of [AKKT] (with using a local parameter of the Riemann surface \mathcal{R} of the 0-parameter solution as independent variable. Note that, as is explained in [KKNT] and [NT], the Stokes geometry of $(P_J)_m$ lies on \mathcal{R} and that a turning point of the first kind is in general a square-root type branch point of \mathcal{R} .) Then there exist a double turning point $b_{j,0}(t)$ and a simple turning point $a(t)$ of $(SL_J)_m$ which merge at τ , and there exists an analytic function $\nu_j(t)$ for which

$$(3.1) \quad \int_\tau^t \nu_j(s) ds = 2 \int_{a(t)}^{b_{j,0}(t)} \sqrt{Q_{(J,m),0}(x, t)} dx$$

holds. (See [KKNT, §2] for the proof.) Note that a Stokes curve of $(P_J)_m$ that emanates from τ is, by definition, given by

$$(3.2) \quad \text{Im} \int_\tau^t \nu_j(s) ds = 0.$$

It follows from (3.1) that

$$(3.3) \quad \text{Im} \int_{a(t)}^{b_{j,0}(t)} \sqrt{Q_{(J,m),0}(x, t)} dx = 0$$

holds if t lies in the Stokes curve of $(P_J)_m$. Otherwise stated, if t lies in the Stokes curve of $(P_J)_m$, the double turning point $b_{j,0}(t)$ and a simple turning point $a(t)$ of $(SL_J)_m$ are connected by a Stokes segment γ . Using Theorem 2.1, we can prove the following Proposition 3.1 in this geometrical setting:

Proposition 3.1. *Let τ be a simple turning point of the first kind of $(P_J)_m$ ($J = \text{I, II-1, II-2}$), and let σ ($\neq \tau$) be a point that is sufficiently close to τ and that lies in a Stokes curve of $(P_J)_m$ which emanates from τ . Then there exist a neighborhood Ω of the above mentioned Stokes segment γ , a neighborhood ω of σ and holomorphic functions $\tilde{x}_j(x, t)$ ($j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) on $\Omega \times \omega$ and $\tilde{t}_j(t)$ ($j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) on ω so that the following relations may hold:*

(i) *The function $\tilde{t}_0(t)$ satisfies*

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\tau}^t \nu_j(s) ds = \int_0^{\tilde{t}} \sqrt{12\lambda_0(\tilde{s})} d\tilde{s} \Big|_{\tilde{t}=\tilde{t}_0(t)},$$

where $\lambda_0 = \sqrt{-\tilde{s}/6}$, and, in particular, $d\tilde{t}_0/dt \neq 0$ holds on ω , if ω is chosen sufficiently small.

(ii) $\tilde{x}_0(b_{j,0}(t), t) = \lambda_0(\tilde{t}_0(t))$ and $\tilde{x}_0(a(t), t) = -2\lambda_0(\tilde{t}_0(t))$.

(iii) $\partial\tilde{x}_0/\partial x \neq 0$ on $\Omega \times \omega$.

(iv) *Letting $\tilde{x}(x, t, \eta)$ and $\tilde{t}(t, \eta)$ respectively denote $\sum_{j \geq 0} \tilde{x}_j(x, t)\eta^{-j}$ and $\sum_{j \geq 0} \tilde{t}_j(t)\eta^{-j}$, we find the following relation:*

$$(3.5) \quad Q_{(J,m)}(x, t, \eta) = \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x} \right)^2 \tilde{Q}(\tilde{x}(x, t, \eta), \tilde{t}(t, \eta), \eta) - \frac{1}{2}\eta^{-2} \{ \tilde{x}(x, t, \eta); x \},$$

where $\{ \tilde{x}; x \}$ denotes the Schwarzian derivative and $\tilde{Q}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t})$ is the potential of the Schrödinger equation (SL_I) in [KT2], i.e.,

$$(3.6) \quad \tilde{Q}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) = 4\tilde{x}^3 + 2\tilde{t}\tilde{x} + \nu_1^2 - 4\lambda_1^3 - 2\tilde{t}\lambda_1 - \eta^{-1} \frac{\nu_1}{\tilde{x} - \lambda_1} + \eta^{-2} \frac{3}{4(\tilde{x} - \lambda_1)^2},$$

with

$$(3.7) \quad \lambda_1(\tilde{t}, \eta) \text{ being a 0-parameter solution of } (P_I), \text{ i.e., } \lambda_1'' = \eta^2(6\lambda_1^2 + \tilde{t}), \text{ and } \nu_1 \text{ being } \eta^{-1} d\lambda_1/d\tilde{t}.$$

Using the transformations $\tilde{x}(x, t, \eta)$ and $\tilde{t}(t, \eta)$ constructed above, we can show

$$(3.8) \quad S_{(J,m),\text{odd}}(x, t) = \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x} \right) S_{\text{I,odd}}(\tilde{x}(x, t, \eta), \tilde{t}(t, \eta), \eta).$$

This relation and Theorem 2.1 entail the following

Theorem 3.1. *In the situation of Proposition 3.1, we have*

$$(3.9) \quad \tilde{x}(x, t, \eta) \Big|_{x=b_j(t,\eta)} = \lambda_1(\tilde{t}(t, \eta), \eta).$$

Acknowledgment. The research of the authors is supported in part by JSPS Grant-in-Aid No.1434042.

References

- [AKKT] Aoki, T., Kawai, T., Koike, T., and Takei, Y.: On the exact WKB analysis of microdifferential operators of WKB type. RIMS Preprint Series No. 1429 (2003).
- [AKT] Aoki, T., Kawai, T., and Takei, Y.: WKB analysis of Painlevé transcendents with a large parameter. II. Structure of Solutions of Differential Equations, World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, pp. 1–49 (1996).
- [GJP] Gordoa, P. R., Joshi, N., and Pickering, A.: On a generalized 2+1 dispersive water wave hierarchy. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., **37**, 327–347 (2001).
- [GP] Gordoa, P. R., and Pickering, A.: Nonisospectral scattering problems: A key to integrable hierarchies. J. Math. Phys., **40**, 5749–5786 (1999).
- [KKNT] Kawai, T., Koike, T., Nishikawa, Y., and Takei, Y.: On the Stokes geometry of higher order Painlevé equations. RIMS Preprint Series No. 1443 (2004).
- [KT1] Kawai, T., and Takei, Y.: On the structure of Painlevé transcendents with a large parameter. Proc. Japan Acad., **69A**, 224–229 (1993).
- [KT2] Kawai, T., and Takei, Y.: WKB analysis of Painlevé transcendents with a large parameter. I. Adv. Math., **118**, 1–33 (1996).
- [NT] Nishikawa, Y., and Takei, Y.: On the structure of the Riemann surface in the Painlevé hierarchies. (In preparation).
- [S] Shimomura, S.: Painlevé property of a degenerate Garnier system of (9/2)-type and of a certain fourth order non-linear ordinary differential equation. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci., **29**, 1–17 (2000).