



where  $\phi_0(t) \in PC(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}^n)$  is almost periodic function with points of discontinuity of first kind  $\tau_k, k \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

Since the solutions of (1), (2) are piecewise functions we adopt from [1] the following definitions for almost periodicity.

**Definition 1** [2]. The set of sequences  $\{\tau_k^j\}, \tau_k^j = \tau_{k+j} - \tau_k, k \in \mathbf{Z}, j \in \mathbf{Z}, \{\tau_k\} \in B$  is said to be *uniformly almost periodic* if for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists relatively dense set of  $\varepsilon$ -almost periods common for any sequences.

**Definition 2** [2]. The function  $\varphi \in PC(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}^n)$  is said to be *almost periodic*, if:

a) the set of sequences  $\{\tau_k^j\}, \tau_k^j = \tau_{k+j} - \tau_k, k \in \mathbf{Z}, j \in \mathbf{Z}, \{\tau_k\} \in B$  and it is uniformly almost periodic.

b) for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a real number  $\delta > 0$  such that if the points  $t'$  and  $t''$  belong to one and the same interval of continuity of  $\varphi(t)$  and satisfy the inequality  $|t' - t''| < \delta$ , then  $|\varphi(t') - \varphi(t'')| < \varepsilon$ .

c) for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a relatively dense set  $T$  such that if  $\tau \in T$ , then  $|\varphi(t+\tau) - \varphi(t)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  satisfying the condition  $|t - \tau_k| > \varepsilon, k \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

The elements of  $T$  are called  $\varepsilon$ -almost periods of  $\varphi(t)$ .

Together with the system (1) we consider the linear system

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t), & t \neq \tau_k, \\ \Delta x(t) = A_k x(t), & t = \tau_k, k \in \mathbf{Z}, \end{cases}$$

where  $t \in \mathbf{R}, A(t) = (a_{ij}(t)), i = 1, 2, \dots, n, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Introduce the following conditions:

**H1.**  $A(t) \in C(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}^n)$  and is almost periodic in the sense of Bohr.

**H2.**  $\det(E + A_k) \neq 0$  and the sequence  $\{A_k\}, k \in \mathbf{Z}$  is almost periodic,  $E \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ .

**H3.** The set of sequences  $\{\tau_k^j\}, \tau_k^j = \tau_{k+j} - \tau_k, k \in \mathbf{Z}, j \in \mathbf{Z}, \{\tau_k\} \in B$  is uniformly almost periodic and there exists  $\theta > 0$  such that  $\inf_k \tau_k^1 = \theta > 0$ .

Recall [9] that if  $U_k(t, s, )$  is the Cauchy matrix for the system

$$\dot{x}(t)dt = A(t)x(t), \quad \tau_{k-1} < t \leq \tau_k, \quad \{\tau_k\} \in B$$

then the Cauchy matrix for the system (3) is in the form

$$W(t, s) = \begin{cases} U_k(t, s), & \tau_{k-1} < s \leq t \leq \tau_k, \\ U_{k+1}(t, \tau_k + 0)(E + A_k)U_k(t, s), & \tau_{k-1} < s \leq \tau_k < t \leq \tau_{k+1}, \\ U_{k+1}(t, \tau_k + 0)(E + A_k)U_k(\tau_k, \tau_k + 0) \cdots (E + A_i)U_i(\tau_i, s), & \tau_{i-1} < s \leq \tau_i < \tau_k < t \leq \tau_{k+1} \end{cases}$$

and the solutions of (3) are written in the form

$$x(t; t_0, x_0) = W(t, t_0)x_0.$$

**Lemma 1** [2]. *Let the following conditions be fulfilled:*

1. *Conditions H1–H3 are fulfilled.*
2. *For the Cauchy matrix  $W(t, s)$  of the system (3) there exist positive constants  $K$  and  $\lambda$  such that*

$$|W(t, s)| \leq K e^{-\lambda(t-s)}, \quad t \geq s, \quad t, s \in \mathbf{R}.$$

*Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0, t \in \mathbf{R}, s \in \mathbf{R}, t \geq s, |t - \tau_k| > \varepsilon, |s - \tau_k| > \varepsilon, k \in \mathbf{Z}$  there exists a relatively dense set  $T$  of  $\varepsilon$ -almost periods of the matrix  $A(t)$  and a positive constant  $\Gamma$  such that for  $\tau \in T$  it follows*

$$|W(t + \tau, s + \tau) - W(t, s)| \leq \varepsilon \Gamma e^{-(\lambda/2)(t-s)}.$$

Introduce the following conditions:

**H4.** The functions  $\alpha_{ij}(t)$  are almost periodic in the sense of Bohr, and

$$0 < \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} |\alpha_{ij}(t)| = \bar{\alpha}_{ij} < \infty.$$

**H5.** The functions  $\beta_{ij}(t), i = 1, 2, \dots, n, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  are almost periodic in the sense of Bohr, and

$$0 < \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} |\beta_{ij}(t)| = \bar{\beta}_{ij} < \infty.$$

**H6.** The functions  $f_j(t)$  are almost periodic in the sense of Bohr,

$$0 < \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} |f_j(t)| < \infty, \quad f_j(0) = 0,$$

and there exists  $L_1 > 0$  such that for  $t, s \in \mathbf{R}$

$$\max_j |f_j(t) - f_j(s)| < L_1 |t - s|, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

**H7.** The functions  $k_{ij}(t)$  satisfies

$$\int_0^\infty k_{ij}(s)ds = 1, \quad \int_0^\infty s k_{ij}(s)ds < \infty, \\ i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

**H8.** The functions  $\gamma_i(t), i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  are almost periodic in the sense of Bohr,  $\{\tau_k\}_{k \in \mathbf{Z}}$  is almost periodic sequence and there exists  $C_0 > 0$  such that

$$\max\left\{\max_i |\gamma_i(t)|, \max_k |\gamma_k|\right\} \leq C_0.$$

**H9.** The sequence of functions  $I_k(x)$  is almost periodic uniformly with respect to  $x \in \Omega$  and there exists  $L_2 > 0$  such that

$$|I_k(x) - I_k(y)| \leq L_2|x - y|$$

for  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $x, y \in \Omega$ .

**Lemma 2** [2]. *Let the conditions H1–H6, H8 be fulfilled. Then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $\varepsilon_1$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon$  and relatively dense sets  $T$  of real numbers and  $Q$  of whole numbers, such that the following relations are fulfilled:*

- (a)  $|A(t + \tau) - A(t)| < \varepsilon$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\tau \in T$ ;
- (b)  $|\alpha_{ij}(t + \tau) - \alpha_{ij}(t)| < \varepsilon$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\tau \in T$ ,  $|t - \tau_k| > \varepsilon$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ;
- (c)  $|\beta_{ij}(t + \tau) - \beta_{ij}(t)| < \varepsilon$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\tau \in T$ ,  $|t - \tau_k| > \varepsilon$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ;
- (d)  $|f_j(t + \tau) - f_j(t)| < \varepsilon$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\tau \in T$ ,  $|t - \tau_k| > \varepsilon$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ;
- (e)  $|A_{k+q} - A_k| < \varepsilon$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $q \in Q$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ ;
- (f)  $|\gamma_j(t + \tau) - \gamma_j(t)| < \varepsilon$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\tau \in T$ ,  $|t - \tau_k| > \varepsilon$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ;
- (g)  $|\gamma_{k+q} - \gamma_k| < \varepsilon$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $q \in Q$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ ;
- (h)  $|\tau_k^q - \tau| < \varepsilon_1$ ,  $q \in Q$ ,  $\tau \in T$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

**Lemma 3** [2]. *Let the set of sequences  $\{\tau_k^j\}$  be uniformly almost periodic. Then for each  $p > 0$  there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that on each interval of length  $p$  no more than  $N$  elements of the sequence  $\{\tau_k\}$ , i.e.,*

$$i(s, t) \leq N(t - s) + N,$$

where  $i(s, t)$  is the number of points  $\tau_k$  in the interval  $(s, t)$ .

### 3. Main results

**Theorem 1.** *Let the following conditions be fulfilled:*

1. Conditions H1–H8 are fulfilled.
2. The number

$$r = K \left\{ \max_i \lambda^{-1} L_1 \sum_{j=1}^n (\bar{\alpha}_{ij} + \bar{\beta}_{ij} \mu_j) + \frac{L_2}{1 - e^{-\lambda}} \right\} < 1.$$

Then:

1. There exists unique almost periodic solution  $x(t)$  of (1).
2. If the following inequalities hold

$$1 + KL_2 < e,$$

$$\lambda - KL_1 \max_i \sum_{j=1}^n (\bar{\alpha}_{ij} + \bar{\beta}_{ij} \mu_j) - N \ln(1 + KL_2) > 0$$

then the solution  $x(t)$  is exponentially stable.

*Proof of assertion 1.* We denote with  $D$ ,  $D \subset PC(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}^n)$  the set of all almost periodic functions  $\varphi(t)$  satisfying the inequality  $\|\varphi\| < \bar{K}$ ,  $\|\varphi\| = \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} |\varphi(t)|$ ,  $\bar{K} = KC_0 \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\lambda}} \right)$ .

Set

$$G(t, x) = \text{col} \{G_1(t, x), G_2(t, x), \dots, G_n(t, x)\},$$

$$\gamma(t) = \text{col}(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t), \dots, \gamma_n(t)),$$

where

$$G_i(t, x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} f_j(x_j(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij}(t) f_j \left( \mu_j \int_0^\infty k_{ij}(u) x_j(t-u) du \right),$$

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Define in  $D$  an operator  $S$ ,

$$(4) \quad S\varphi = \int_{-\infty}^t W(t, s)[G(s, \varphi(s)) + \gamma(s)] ds + \sum_{\tau_k < t} W(t, \tau_k)[I_k(\varphi(\tau_k)) + \gamma_k],$$

and subset  $D^*$ ,  $D^* \subset D$ ,

$$D^* = \left\{ \varphi \in D : \|\varphi - \varphi_0\| \leq \frac{r\bar{K}}{1 - r} \right\},$$

where

$$\varphi_0 = \int_{-\infty}^t W(t, s)\gamma(s) ds + \sum_{\tau_k < t} W(t, \tau_k)\gamma_k.$$

We have

$$(5) \quad \|\varphi_0\| = \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \left\{ \max_i \left( \int_{-\infty}^t |W(t, s)| |\gamma_i(s)| ds + \sum_{\tau_k < t} |W(t, \tau_k)| |\gamma_k| \right) \right\}$$

$$\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \left\{ \max_i \left( \int_{-\infty}^t K e^{-\lambda(t-s)} |\gamma_i(s)| ds + \sum_{\tau_k < t} K e^{-\lambda(t-\tau_k)} |\gamma_k| \right) \right\}$$

$$\leq K \left( \frac{C_0}{\lambda} + \frac{C_0}{1 - e^{-\lambda}} \right) = \bar{K}.$$

Then for arbitrary  $\varphi \in D^*$  from (4) and (5) we have

$$\|\varphi\| \leq \|\varphi - \varphi_0\| + \|\varphi_0\| \leq \frac{r\bar{K}}{1-r} + \bar{K} = \frac{\bar{K}}{1-r}.$$

Now we prove that  $S$  is self-mapping from  $D^*$  to  $D^*$ .

For arbitrary  $\varphi \in D^*$  it follows

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad & \|S\varphi - \varphi_0\| \\ &= \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \left\{ \max_i \int_{-\infty}^t |W(t, s)| \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |\alpha_{ij}(s) f_j(x_j(s))| \right. \right. \\ &+ \left. \sum_{j=1}^n |\beta_{ij}(s)| \left| f_j \left( \mu_j \int_0^\infty k_{ij}(u) \varphi_j(s-u) du \right) \right| ds \right) \\ &+ \left. \sum_{\tau_k < t} |W(t, \tau_k)| |I_k(\varphi(\tau_k))| \right\} \\ &\leq \left\{ \max_i \left( \int_{-\infty}^t K e^{-\lambda(t-s)} L_1 \sum_{j=1}^n (\bar{\alpha}_{ij} + \bar{\beta}_{ij} \mu_j) ds \right) \right. \\ &+ \left. \sum_{\tau_k < t} K e^{-\lambda(t-\tau_k)} L_2 \right\} \|\varphi\| \\ &\leq K \left\{ \max_i \lambda^{-1} L_1 \sum_{j=1}^n (\bar{\alpha}_{ij} + \bar{\beta}_{ij} \mu_j) + \frac{L_2}{1-e^{-\lambda}} \right\} \|\varphi\| \\ &= r \|\varphi\| \leq \frac{r\bar{K}}{1-r}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\tau \in T$ ,  $q \in Q$  where the sets  $T$  and  $Q$  are determined in Lemma 2.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad & \|S\varphi(t+\tau) - S\varphi(t)\| \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \left\{ \max_i \left( \int_{-\infty}^t |W(t+\tau, s+\tau) - W(t, s)| \right. \right. \\ &\times \left. \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}(s) f_j(\varphi_j(s+\tau)) \right. \right. \\ &+ \left. \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij}(s+\tau) f_j \left( \mu_j \int_0^\infty k_{ij}(u) \varphi_j(s+\tau-u) du \right) \right| ds \\ &+ \int_{-\infty}^t |W(t, s)| \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}(s) f_j(\varphi_j(s+\tau)) \right. \\ &+ \left. \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij}(s+\tau) f_j \left( \mu_j \int_0^\infty k_{ij}(u) \varphi_j(s+\tau-u) du \right) \right. \\ &- \left. \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}(s) f_j(\varphi_j(s)) \right. \\ &- \left. \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij}(s) f_j \left( \mu_j \int_0^\infty k_{ij}(u) \varphi_j(s-u) du \right) \right| ds \\ &+ \left. \sum_{\tau_k < t} |W(t+\tau, \tau_k+q) - W(t, \tau_k)| |I_{k+q}(\varphi(\tau_k+q))| \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{\tau_k < t} |W(t, \tau_k)| |I_{k+q}(\varphi(\tau_k+q)) - I_k(\varphi(\tau_k))| \Big\}$$

$\leq \varepsilon C_1$

where

$$C_1 = \frac{L_1}{\lambda} \left( \max_i \left( \sum_{j=1}^n (2\Gamma + K) \bar{\beta}_{ij} \mu_j \right) + K \right) + \frac{L_2 \Gamma N}{1-e^{-\lambda}}.$$

From (6) and (7) we obtain that  $S\varphi \in D^*$ .

Let  $\varphi \in D^*$ ,  $\psi \in D^*$ . We get

$$\begin{aligned} (8) \quad & \|S\varphi - S\psi\| \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \left\{ \max_i \left( \int_{-\infty}^t |W(t, s)| \right. \right. \\ &\left[ \sum_{j=1}^n |\alpha_{ij}(s)| |f_j(\varphi_j(s)) - f_j(\psi_j(s))| \right. \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^n |\beta_{ij}(s)| \left| f_j \left( \mu_j \int_0^\infty k_{ij}(u) \varphi_j(s-u) du \right) \right. \\ &- \left. \left. f_j \left( \mu_j \int_0^\infty k_{ij}(u) \psi_j(s-u) du \right) \right| \right] ds \\ &+ \left. \sum_{\tau_k < t} |W(t, \tau_k)| |I_k(\varphi(\tau_k)) - I_k(\psi(\tau_k))| \right\} \\ &\leq K \left( \max_i \left( \lambda^{-1} L_1 \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{\beta}_{ij} \mu_j \right) + \frac{L_2}{1-e^{-\lambda}} \right) \|\varphi - \psi\| \\ &= r \|\varphi - \psi\|. \end{aligned}$$

Then from (8) it follows that  $S$  is contracting operator in  $D^*$ . So there exists unique almost periodic solution of (1).  $\square$

*Proof of assertion 2.* Let  $y(t)$  be arbitrary solution of (1) with initial condition  $y(t_0+0, t_0, \varpi_0) = \varpi_0$ ,  $\varpi_0 \in PC(t_0)$ . Then from (3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) - x(t) &= W(t, t_0)(\varpi_0 - \varphi_0) \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t W(t, s) [G(s, y(s)) - G(s, x(s))] ds \\ &+ \sum_{t_0 < \tau_k < t} W(t, \tau_k) [I_k(y(\tau_k)) - I_k(x(\tau_k))]. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t) - x(t)| &\leq K e^{-\lambda(t-t_0)} |\varpi_0 - \varphi_0| \\ &+ \max_i \left( \int_{t_0}^t K e^{-\lambda(t-s)} L_1 \right. \\ &\times \left. \sum_{j=1}^n (\bar{\alpha}_{ij} + \bar{\beta}_{ij} \mu_j) |y_i(s) - x_i(s)| ds \right) \\ &+ \sum_{t_0 < \tau_k < t} K e^{-\lambda(t-\tau_k)} L_2 |y(\tau_k) - x(\tau_k)|. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $u(t) = |y(t) - x(t)|e^{\lambda t}$  and from Gronwall-Bellman's lemma [2] we have

$$|y(t) - x(t)| \leq K|\varpi_0 - \varphi_0|(1 + KL_2)^{i(t_0, t)} \times \exp\left(-\lambda + KL_1 \max_i \sum_{j=1}^n (\bar{\alpha}_{ij} + \bar{\beta}_{ij}\mu_j)\right)(t - t_0).$$

□

Thus Theorem 1 is complete.

We note that the main inequalities which are used in proof of Theorem 1 are connect with the properies of the matrix  $W(t, s)$  for a system (3). Now we will consider special case in which these properties are accomplished.

**Example 1.** Now consider the classical model of impulsive Hopfield neural networks

(9)

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(t)x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}f_j(x_j(t)) \\ + \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij}f_j\left(\mu_j \int_0^\infty k_{ij}(u)x_j(t-u)du\right) + \gamma_i(t), \\ t \neq \tau_k, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ \Delta x(t) = A_k x(t) + I_k(x(t)) + \gamma_k, \quad t = \tau_k, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}, \end{cases}$$

where

- (i)  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $a_i(t) \in C(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$ ,  $\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij} \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $f_j(t) \in C(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$ ,  $\mu_j \in \mathbf{R}_+$ ,  $k_{ij}(t) \in C(\mathbf{R}_+, \mathbf{R}_+)$ ,  $\gamma_i(t) \in C(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ;
- (ii)  $A_k \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $I_k(x) \in C(\Omega, \mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $\gamma_k \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $\{\tau_k\} \in B$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

**Lemma 4.** Let the following conditions be fulfilled:

- 1. For the matrix  $A(t) = \text{diag}[-a_1(t), -a_2(t), \dots, -a_n(t)]$  it follows that  $a_i(t)$   $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  is almost periodic function in the sense of Bohr and

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_t^{t+T} a_i(t)dt > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

- 2. The conditions H2, H3 are fulfilled.

Then for the Cauchy's matrix  $W(t, s)$  it follows

$$|W(t, s)| \leq Ke^{-\lambda(t-s)},$$

where  $t \in R$ ,  $s \in R$   $t \geq s$ ,  $K, \lambda$  are positive constants.

*Proof.* The proof of Lemma 4 is analogous with the proof of Lemma 2 from [3]. □

**Theorem 2.** Let the following conditions be fulfilled:

- 1. Conditions of Lemma 4 are fulfilled.
- 2. Conditions H6–H9 are fulfilled.
- 3. The number

$$r = K\left\{\lambda^{-1}L_1 \sum_{j=1}^n (\alpha_{ij} + \beta_{ij}\mu_j) + \frac{L_2}{1 - e^{-\lambda}}\right\} < 1.$$

Then there exists unique almost periodic solution  $x(t)$  of (9).

If the following inequalities hold

$$1 + KL_2 < e,$$

$$\lambda - KL_1 \sum_{j=1}^n (\alpha_{ij} + \beta_{ij}\mu_j) - N \ln(1 + KL_2) > 0$$

then the solution  $x(t)$  is exponentially stable.

*Proof.* The proof of Theorem 2 it follows from Lemma 4, the proof of Lemma 2 and the proof of Theorem 1. □

### References

- [ 1 ] Fink, A. M.: Almost Periodic Differential Equations. Springer, Berlin (1974).
- [ 2 ] Samoilenko, A. M., and Perestyuk, N. A.: Differential Equations with Impulse Effect. Visca Skola, Kiev (1987), (in Russian).
- [ 3 ] Stamov, G. T.: Almost periodic solutions for forced perturbed impulsive differential equations. Appl. Anal., **74**(1–2), 45–56 (2000).
- [ 4 ] Stamov, G. T.: Existence of almost periodic solutions for impulsive differential equations with perturbations of the linear part. Nonlinear Stud., **9**(3), 263–272 (2002).
- [ 5 ] Stamov, G. T.: Separated and almost periodic solutions for impulsive differential equations. Note Mat., **20**(2), 105–113 (2000/2001).
- [ 6 ] Stamov, G. T.: Lyapunov's functions for existence of almost periodic solutions of impulsive differential equations. Adv. Studies in Contemporary Math, **74**(1–2), 45–56 (2004).
- [ 7 ] Bainov, D. D., Myshkis, A. D., and Stamov, G. T.: Dichotomies and almost periodicity of the solutions of systems of impulsive differential equations. Dynam. Systems Appl., **5**, 145–152 (1996).
- [ 8 ] Bainov, D. D., Dishliev, A. B., and Stamov, G. T.: Almost periodic solutions of hiperbolic systems of impulsive differential equations. Kumamoto J. Math., **10**, 1–10 (1997).
- [ 9 ] Bainov, D., and Simeonov, P.: Systems with Impulse Effect: Stability, Theory and Applications. Ellis Horwood, Chichester (1989).
- [ 10 ] Bainov, D. D., and Simeonov, P. S.: Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations: Periodic Solutions and Applications. Longman, Harlow (1993).

- [11] Hopfield, J. J.: Neurons with graded response have collective computational properties like those of two-stage neurons. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, **81**, 3088–3092 (1984).
- [12] Lakshmikantham, V., Bainov, D. D., and Simeonov, P. S.: *Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations*. World Scientific, Singapore (1989).
- [13] Mil'man, V. D., and Myshkis, A. D.: On the Stability of Motion in the Presence of Impulses. *Siberian Math. J.*, **1**, 233–237 (1960), (in Russian).