

76. Resonance in the Cauchy Problem of a Parabolic Equation

By Kunio NISHIOKA

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Metropolitan University

(Communicated by Kiyosi ITÔ, M. J. A., Oct. 12, 1993)

1. Introduction. Let q be a natural number, and we consider the Cauchy problem of the following strongly parabolic equation of $2q$ -th order:

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = ((-1)^{q-1} + b(t, x)) \frac{\partial^{2q} u}{\partial x^{2q}} \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{R}^1,$$

$$(2) \quad u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{R}^1,$$

where an initial data u_0 and a coefficient b satisfy Assumption 1 (this and the other terminology are defined at §2). In [6], it is proved;

Proposition. *Let Assumption 1 hold, then there exists a unique wide sense solution u of (1) with (2). In addition there is a constant c_∞ such that*

$$(3) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t, \cdot) - c_\infty\|_0 = 0.$$

Thus in the present note, we announce that c_∞ can be calculated from b and u_0 , and its value changes drastically whether u_0 resonates with b or not.

On c_∞ , only a few results have been known. If one of the following (a) and (b) hold:

(a) $q = 1$, b is real valued and independent of t , and for a constant \bar{u}_0 ,

$$u_0 - \bar{u}_0 \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{R}^1),$$

(b) b is independent of x and there is a constant \bar{u}_0 such that

$$\bar{u}_0 = \lim_{L \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L u_0(x) dx = \lim_{L \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{L} \int_{-L}^0 u_0(x) dx,$$

then it is known in [3, 4, etc.] and [1] that

$$(4) \quad c_\infty = \bar{u}_0.$$

But (4) does not make clear delicate relation between c_∞ and b , because the both conditions above prevent that u_0 resonates with b . In this sense, (4) is very different from our result.

Our method to calculate c_∞ is based on an extended Girsanov type formula. The usual Girsanov formula is well known in the theory of probability. It works when first order terms are added to a second order parabolic equation. Besides it, we introduced the extended Girsanov type formula in [5], which works when same order terms are added to a $2q$ -th order parabolic equation. By this formula, the wide sense solution u of (1) with (2) is represented in a series, which enables us to calculate c_∞ .

2. Notations. Let $\lambda \geq 0$, and let $\mathcal{M}^\lambda(\mathcal{R}^1)$ be a set of all complex valued measures $\mu(d\xi)$ such that

$$\|\mu\|_\lambda \equiv \int_{\mathcal{R}^1} (1 + |\xi|)^\lambda |\mu|(d\xi) < \infty$$

where $|\mu|$ denotes total variation of μ . As well known, $\mathcal{M}^\lambda(\mathcal{R}^1)$ is a Banach

algebra under convolution $*$ and norm $\|\mu\|_\lambda$.

We denote by $\mathcal{F}^\lambda(\mathcal{R}^1)$ a Banach space of all Fourier transforms of $M^\lambda(\mathcal{R}^1)$ i.e. $f \in \mathcal{F}^\lambda(\mathcal{R}^1)$ is written as (5) for a $\mu_f \in M^\lambda(\mathcal{R}^1)$, and we define $\|f\|_\lambda \equiv \|\mu_f\|_\lambda$. Note that $\mathcal{F}^0(\mathcal{R}^1)$ contains the Schwartz class, constants, etc.

Put $\mathcal{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$ and let $M^0(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{R}^1)$ be a set of all complex valued measures $\eta(t, d\xi)$, $t \in \mathcal{R}^+$ such that

- (a) $\eta(t, \xi) \in M^0(\mathcal{R}^1)$ for each $t \in \mathcal{R}^+$
- (b) $\|\eta(t, \cdot) - \eta(s, \cdot)\|_0 \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow s$ on \mathcal{R}^+ .

As before, $\mathcal{F}^0(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{R}^1)$ denotes a set of all Fourier transforms of $M^0(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{R}^1)$, that is functions which are written as (6) for an $\eta \in M^0(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{R}^1)$.

Throughout the note, we suppose:

Assumption 1. (a) $u_0 \in \mathcal{F}^0(\mathcal{R}^1)$, that is

$$(5) \quad u_0(x) = \int \exp\{i\xi x\} \mu_0(d\xi) \text{ for a } \mu_0 \in M^0(\mathcal{R}^1).$$

(b) $b \in \mathcal{F}^0(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{R}^1)$, that is

$$(6) \quad b(t, x) = \int \exp\{i\xi x\} \eta_b(t, d\xi) \text{ for an } \eta_b \in M^0(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{R}^1).$$

(c) In (6), η_b has a structure

$$(7) \quad \eta_b(t, d\xi) = h_b(t, \xi) \nu_b(d\xi),$$

where a continuous function $h_b(t, x)$ and $\nu_b(d\xi) \in M^0(\mathcal{R}^1)$ satisfy

$$(8) \quad 1 \geq \sup_{(t, \xi) \in \mathcal{R}^+ \times \mathcal{R}^1} |h_b| \text{ and } 1 > \|\nu_b\|_0.$$

Next we specify a solution of the Cauchy problem of (1).

Definition 2. A function $v(t, x) \in \mathcal{F}^0(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{R}^1)$ is called a wide sense solution of (1) with (2), if there exists a sequence

$$\{(v^{(m)}(t, x), u_0^{(m)}(x)); m \geq 1\} \subset \mathcal{F}^0(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{R}^1) \times \mathcal{F}^{2q}(\mathcal{R}^1)$$

such that;

$$(a) \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|u_0^{(m)} - u_0\|_0 = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < t < T} \|v^{(m)}(t, \cdot) - v(t, \cdot)\|_0 = 0 \text{ for any } T > 0.$$

(b) For each $\partial^{2q} v^{(m)} / \partial x^{2q}$, $\partial v^{(m)} / \partial t \in \mathcal{F}^0(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{R}^1)$, and $v^{(m)}$ is a classical solution of (1) with an initial condition $u(0, x) = u_0^{(m)}(x)$ instead of (2).

3. A combination of resonance. For the measures in (5) and (7), we define

$$(9) \quad K(u_0) \equiv \{y \in \mathcal{R}^1; |\mu_0|(\{y\}) > 0\} - \{0\}$$

$$(10) \quad K(b) \equiv \{z \in \mathcal{R}^1; |\nu_b|(\{z\}) > 0\} - \{0\}.$$

Note that $K(u_0)$ and $K(b)$ are both countable sets at most, by Assumption 1.

Definition 3. Take natural numbers m_k , $k = 1, \dots, l$, a point $y \in K(u_0)$, and points z_k 's $\in K(b)$, $k = 1, \dots, l$, such as $z_1 < z_2 < \dots < z_l$. If it holds that

$$y + m_1 z_1 + m_2 z_2 + \dots + m_l z_l = 0,$$

then an ordered set

$$\tilde{\gamma} \equiv (y; \underbrace{z_1, \dots, z_1}_{m_1}, \underbrace{z_2, \dots, z_2}_{m_2}, \dots, \underbrace{z_l, \dots, z_l}_{m_l})$$

is called a combination of resonance. We denote by Γ a whole of all combinations of resonance, and say that u_0 resonates with b if $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 4. *Let Assumption 1 hold. If u_0 does not resonate with b , i.e. $\Gamma = \emptyset$, then*

$$c_\infty = \mu_0(\{0\}).$$

Remark 5. (a) If $K(u_0) = \emptyset$, then u_0 does not resonate with any b . For $K(u_0) = \emptyset$, it is sufficient that

$$u_0(x) = \int \exp\{i\zeta x\} \widehat{u}_0(\zeta) d\zeta \text{ for a } \widehat{u}_0(\zeta) \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{R}^1).$$

(b) If $K(b) = \emptyset$, then any u_0 is not resonate with b . It is sufficient for $K(b) = \emptyset$ that

$$b(t, x) = \int \exp\{i\xi x\} h_b(t, \xi) \widehat{b}(\xi) d\xi \text{ for a } \widehat{b}(\xi) \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{R}^1).$$

Example 1. For a natural number n , consider

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \left((-1)^{q-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sin x \right) \frac{\partial^{2q} u}{\partial x^{2q}}, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathcal{R}^1,$$

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x) \equiv \sin \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) x, \quad x \in \mathcal{R}^1.$$

Here $K(u_0) = \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{n+1}, -1 - \frac{1}{n+1} \right\}$, and $K(b) = \{1, -1\}$. So u_0 does not resonate with b , and $c_\infty = 0$ even if n is very large. Compare this with Example 2 in §4.

§4. Resonance. Consider an ordered set $\mathcal{C} \equiv (x_0; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_j)$ consisting of points in \mathcal{R}^1 . For \mathcal{C} , we define a number $Q(\mathcal{C})$ as follows:

Definition 6. Case 1. If one of the following numbers

$$(11) \quad x_0, x_0 + x_1, x_0 + x_1 + x_2, \dots, x_0 + x_1 + \dots + x_{j-1}$$

is zero, then we define $Q(\mathcal{C}) = 0$.

Case 2. If none of (11) is zero, then we define

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\mathcal{C}) &= \mu_0(\{x_0\}) \nu_b(\{x_1\}) \cdots \nu_b(\{x_j\}) \times \\ &\times \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int \cdots \int_{0 < s_1 < \dots < s_j < t < T} ds_1 \cdots ds_j dt h_b(s_1, x_1) \cdots h_b(s_j, x_j) \\ &\times (ix_0)^{2q} \exp\{-x_0^{2q} s_1\} \\ &\times (i(x_0 + x_1))^{2q} \exp\{-(x_0 + x_1)^{2q} (s_2 - s_1)\} \\ &\times \cdots \times (i(x_0 + \dots + x_{j-1}))^{2q} \exp\{-(x_0 + \dots + x_{j-1})^{2q} (s_j - s_{j-1})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 7. (a) $Q(\mathcal{C})$ exists for any \mathcal{C} , by Assumption 1.

(b) If the coefficient b does not depend on t , that is $h_b \equiv 1$, then the above integrations can be carried out, and we get

$$Q(\mathcal{C}) = (-1)^{qj} \mu_0(\{x_0\}) \nu_b(\{x_1\}) \cdots \nu_b(\{x_j\}).$$

Now we are in a position to state our remained assertion.

Theorem 8. *Let Assumption 1 hold. If u_0 resonates with b , then*

$$(12) \quad c_\infty = \mu_0(\{0\}) + \sum_{\tilde{\gamma} \in \Gamma} \tilde{\Sigma}_{\tilde{\gamma}} Q(\tilde{\gamma}),$$

where $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\tilde{\gamma}}$ denotes to take summation over all permutations of a combination of resonance $\tilde{\gamma}$ except its first element y , that is all permutations of

$$\underbrace{(z_1, \dots, z_1)}_{m_1} \underbrace{(z_2, \dots, z_2)}_{m_2} \cdots \underbrace{(z_l, \dots, z_l)}_{m_l}.$$

Remark 9. (a) The right-hand side of (12) always converges by Assumption 1.

(b) Compare the following Examples 2 and 3 with Example 1 in §3, and we see that c_∞ is very sensible with respect to a little change of u_0 .

(c) All argument in the note can be extended to multidimensional cases.

Example 2. We consider

$$(13) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \left((-1)^{q-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sin x \right) \frac{\partial^{2q} u}{\partial x^{2q}}, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{R}^1,$$

$$(14) \quad u(0, x) = u_0(x) \equiv \sin x, \quad x \in \mathcal{R}^1.$$

Now $K(u_0) = \{1, -1\} = K(b)$, and there are infinite combinations of resonance's. So following to Definition 6 and Theorem 8, we get

$$|c_\infty - (-1)^q \times 0.2675 \cdots| \leq 0.014 \cdots.$$

Here it should be noted that if $q = 1$, we happen to calculate c_∞ for (13) and (14) by the well known ergodic property of a diffusion process on a circle. So we get

$$c_\infty = \sqrt{3} - 2 = -0.2679 \cdots.$$

Example 3. Again we treat (13) with

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x) \equiv \cos x, \quad x \in \mathcal{R}^1$$

instead of (14). $K(u_0)$ and $K(b)$ are same as in Example 2, and u_0 resonates with b , but (12) derives that

$$c_\infty = 0.$$

Example 4. Let us treat a second order equation of a time depending coefficient:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \sin t \sin x \right) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{R}^1,$$

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x) \equiv \sin x, \quad x \in \mathcal{R}^1.$$

$K(u_0)$ and $K(b)$ are same as in Example 2, and we get

$$|c_\infty + 0.1178 \cdots| \leq 0.0104 \cdots.$$

References

- [1] Eidel'man, E. D.: Parabolic Systems. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1969).
- [2] Eskin, L. D.: On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of parabolic equations as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Izv. Vyss. Uceb. Zaved Matematika, **54**, 154-164 (1966); Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., **87**, 89-98 (1970).
- [3] Has'minski, R. Z.: Stochastic Stability of Differential Equations. Sijthoff and Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn (1980).
- [4] Il'in, A. M., and Has'minski, R. Z.: Asymptotic behavior of solutions of parabolic equations and an ergodic property of nonhomogeneous diffusion processes. Mat. Sb., **60**, 366-392 (1963); Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., **49**, 241-268 (1965).
- [5] Nishioka, K.: A stochastic solution of a high order parabolic equation. J. Math. Soc. Japan, **39**, 209-231 (1987).
- [6] — : Large time behavior of a solution of a parabolic equation. Proc. Japan Acad., **62A**, 371-374 (1986).