

## 19. Contributions to Uniformly Distributed Functions. II Completely Uniformly Distributed Functions<sup>\*)</sup>

By MICHAEL DRMOTA

Department for Discrete Mathematics, Technical University of Vienna, Austria

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., March 12, 1991)

**Abstract:** Completely uniformly distributed (c.u.d.) functions can be defined similarly to c.u.d. sequences but their properties are significantly different. In the first part of this paper it is shown that special entire functions are c.u.d. and well distributed. Furthermore polynomials and the exponential function are discussed. In a final sections it is proved that almost no functions are c.u.d. with respect to Wiener measure but it is possible to find explicitly a distribution function different from the uniform distribution such that almost all functions are completely distributed with respect to these distribution functions.

**1. Introduction.** A measurable function  $x: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$  has *distribution function*  $F(y)$  ( $y = (y_0, \dots, y_{k-1}) \in I^k = [0, 1]^k$ ) modulo 1 if

$$(1) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} F_T(y, x(t)) = F(y) \quad (y \in I^k),$$

where  $F_T(y, x(t))$  is the empirical distribution function

$$(2) \quad F_T(y, x(t)) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{[0, y]}(\{x(t)\}) dt$$

of the fractional part  $\{x(t)\}$  of  $x(t)$ . ( $\{z\} = z - [z]$ ,  $[z] = \max\{n \in \mathbf{Z} : n \leq z\}$ , and  $\mathbf{1}_{[0, y]}$  is the characteristic function of the interval  $[0, y] = \prod_{k=0}^{k-1} [0, y_k]$ . If  $F(y) = \prod_{k=0}^{k-1} y_k$  and (1) holds then  $x(t)$  is called *uniformly distributed modulo 1* (u.d.).

A measure for the convergence in (1) is the *discrepancy*

$$(3) \quad D_T(x(t), F) = \sup_{y \in I^k} |F_T(y, x(t)) - F(y)|$$

and it is easy to show that  $x(t)$  has distribution function  $F(y)$  (F-d.) if and only if

$$(4) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} D_T(x(t), F) = 0.$$

The distribution function can be interpreted as “measure for the dependence” of the components  $(x_0(t), \dots, x_{k-1}(t))$  of  $x(t)$ . Of course a distribution function need not exist. But it has been shown ([6], [4]) that almost all functions  $x: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$  are u.d. (in the sense of Wiener measure).

Now let  $x(t)$  be a one-dimensional function  $x: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ . The object of this paper is to discuss the distribution behaviour of shifts of this function, namely the distribution of

$$(5) \quad x^{\mathcal{T}^k}(t) = (x(t), x(t + \tau_1), \dots, x(t + \tau_{k-1})),$$

where  $\mathcal{T} = (\tau_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a given strictly monotone shifting sequence with  $\tau_1 > 0$

---

<sup>\*)</sup> Dedicated to Prof. Dr. E. Hlawka on the occasion of his 75th birthday.

and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tau_k = \infty$ . If there exist distribution functions  $F_K$  such that  $x^{\tau_k}(t)$  is  $F_K$ -d. for all  $K \geq 1$  then  $x(t)$  is called *completely  $F_K$ -distributed with respect to  $\mathcal{T}$*  ( $\mathcal{T}$ -c.- $F_K$ -d.) and if  $x^{\tau_k}(t)$  is u.d. for all  $K \geq 1$  then  $x(t)$  is called *completely uniformly distributed with respect to  $\mathcal{T}$*  ( $\mathcal{T}$ -c.u.d.). If  $x(t)$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ -c.u.d. for all possible shifting sequences  $\mathcal{T} = (\tau_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  then we will call  $x(t)$  *completely uniformly distributed* (c.u.d.).

In section 2 it is shown that there exist c.u.d. functions and some special examples are discussed. In section 3 it is proved that almost no functions are  $\mathcal{T}$ -c.u.d. but for every shifting sequence  $\mathcal{T} = (\tau_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  there exist distribution functions  $F_K$  such that almost all functions are  $\mathcal{T}$ -c.- $F_K$ -d. (In fact a little bit more general result will be shown.)

It should be noticed that this concept of c.u.d. functions is similar to that known for sequences. A real sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is c.u.d. mod 1 if the  $K$ -dimensional sequences  $(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+K-1})$  are u.d. mod 1 for all  $K \geq 1$ . It is interesting that the results for functions are significantly different from those known for sequences (see [7]).

**2. Basic results.** First we will prove

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n z^n$  be an entire function but not a polynomial with real Taylor coefficients  $a_n$  and*

$$(6) \quad \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(R)}{\log \log R} < \frac{3}{2},$$

where  $M(R) = \max_{|z| \leq R} |f(z)|$ . Then  $x(t) = f(t)$  is c.u.d.

*Proof.* By Weyl's criterion ([7]) a function  $x(t)$  is c.u.d. if and only if the one-dimensional functions

$$(7) \quad h_0 x(t) + h_1 x(t + \tau_1) + \dots + h_{K-1} x(t + \tau_{K-1})$$

are u.d. for all shifts  $\tau_k$  and integral lattice points  $h = (h_0, \dots, h_{K-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^K \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ . But

$$(8) \quad g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_k f(z + \tau_k) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left( \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_k \frac{f^{(n)}(\tau_k)}{n!} \right) z^n = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left( \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_k \tau_k^n \right) \frac{f^{(n)}(z)}{n!}$$

is again a function of type (6). Furthermore  $g(z)$  is not constant because  $\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_k \tau_k^n \neq 0$  for almost all  $n$  and  $f^{(n)}(z) \neq \text{const.}$  for all  $n$  since  $f(z)$  is not a polynomial. Thus by [3] the function  $y(t) = g(t)$  is u.d. modulo 1.

Theorem 1 has an interesting corollary, for it implies that there are c.u.d. functions that are well distributed, too. A function  $x: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is well distributed if

$$(9) \quad \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\tau \geq 0} D_T \left( x(t + \tau), \prod_{k=0}^{K-1} y_k \right) = 0.$$

It is known that a convex function  $x(t)$  is always well distributed [2]. Thus we have as a

**Corollary.** *Let  $f(z)$  be an entire function as in Theorem 1 with non-negative Taylor coefficients  $a_n$ . Then  $x(t) = f(t)$  is c.u.d. and well distributed.*

This corollary is interesting insofar because c.u.d. sequences and well distributed sequences are disjoint ([7]).

Next we discuss polynomials and the exponential function.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $x(t) = p_N(t)$  be a polynomial of degree  $N$ . Then  $x(t)$  is not c.u.d. But for every shifting sequence  $\mathcal{I} = (\tau_k)_{k=1}^\infty$   $x(t)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -c.- $F_K$ -d. for proper continuous distribution functions  $F_K$ . For example, if  $N \geq 2$  and the numbers  $\tau_k$  are linear independent over the rationals then  $x(t)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -c.u.d.*

*Proof.* If  $\tau_k = K$  then the  $N+2$  functions  $x(t), x(t+1), \dots, x(t+N+1)$  are linear dependent over  $Z$  since the system of linear equations

$$(10) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{N+1} h_k k^n \quad (n=0, 1, \dots, N+1)$$

has integral solutions different from  $(0, \dots, 0)$ . Therefore  $x(t)$  is not c.u.d. Now let  $\mathcal{I} = (\tau_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  be any shifting sequence. If  $x^{\mathcal{I}K}(t)$  has a distribution function  $F_K$  then  $(e(x) = e^{2\pi i x})$

$$(11) \quad c_h = \int_{I^K} e\left(\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_k y_k\right) dF_K(y) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e\left(\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_k x(t + \tau_k)\right) dt$$

$$= \begin{cases} e\left(a_N \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_k \tau_k^N\right) & \text{if } \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_k \tau_k^n = 0 \quad (n=0, \dots, N-1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Wiener-Schoenberg-theorem it suffices to show that

$$(12) \quad \lim_{H \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H^K} \sum_{\|h\|_\infty \leq H} |c_h|^2 = 0.$$

But this sum can be estimated by  $O(H^{-N-1})$ . Therefore there exists a continuous distribution function  $F_K$ .

If the numbers  $\tau_k$  are linear independent over the rationals then  $c_h = 0$  if and only if  $h = (0, \dots, 0)$ . So  $x(t)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -c.u.d. in this case.

The exponential can be treated in a similar way. One only has to discuss the linear combinations

$$(13) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_k x(t + \tau_k) = e^t \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_k e^{\tau_k}$$

to get

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $x(t) = e^t$ . Then  $x(t)$  is not c.u.d. If  $\mathcal{I} = (\tau_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  is any shifting sequence then  $x(t)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -c.- $F_K$ -d. for proper continuous distribution functions  $F_K$  and is  $\mathcal{I}$ -c.u.d. if and only if the numbers  $e^{\tau_k}$  are linear independent over the rationals.*

**Remark.** It is not very difficult to get asymptotic estimates ( $T \rightarrow \infty$ ) for the discrepancy for every  $K$  in these examples but it seems to be very difficult to get uniform estimates in  $K$  and  $T$ .

**3. Metric results.** We want to discuss metric properties with respect to Wiener measure  $\mu_W$  that is defined on proper subsets of the space  $C$  of continuous functions  $x: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  with  $x(0) = 0$ . The transition densities of the stochastic process that is related to Wiener measure is given by

$$(14) \quad p(t; x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-(x-y)^2/(2t)}.$$

If  $f: \mathbf{R}^K \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is a measurable function and  $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_K$  then

$$(15) \quad \int_C f(x(t_1), \dots, x(t_K)) d\mu_W(x) \\ = \int_{R^K} f(x_1, \dots, x_K) \prod_{k=1}^K p(t_k - t_{k-1}; x_{k-1}, x_k) dx_1 \cdots dx_K.$$

( $t_0=0, x_0=0$ ). So, if  $(x(t), x(t+\tau_1), \dots, x(t+\tau_{K-1}))$  has a distribution  $F_K$  almost surely (a.s.) then the Fourier coefficients of the density function  $f_K$  can be evaluated by

$$(16) \quad \int_C \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e(h_0 x(t) + \dots + h_{K-1} x(t + \tau_{K-1})) dt d\mu_W(x) \\ = \begin{cases} e^{-2\pi^2[(h_1 + \dots + h_{K-1})^2 \tau_1 + (h_2 + \dots + h_{K-1})^2 (\tau_2 - \tau_1) + \dots + h_{K-1}^2 (\tau_{K-1} - \tau_{K-2})]} \\ \text{if } h_0 + \dots + h_{K-1} = 0 \\ 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So it might be expected that for almost all functions  $x(t)$  the distributions of  $(x(t), x(t+\tau_1), \dots, x(t+\tau_{K-1}))$  have density functions

$$(17) \quad f_K(y_0, \dots, y_{K-1}) = \sum_{h_1, \dots, h_{K-1} \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp \left\{ -2\pi^2 \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \left( \sum_{i=k}^{K-1} h_i \right)^2 (\tau_k - \tau_{k-1}) \right] \right\} \\ \times e \left( \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} h_k (y_k - y_0) \right) \\ = \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_{K-1} \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} p(\tau_k - \tau_{k-1}; y_0, y_k + l_k).$$

First we state a law of the iterated logarithm without proof since it is not very difficult. (It uses standard methods, and is rather technical (see [1])).

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}=(\tau_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  be a fixed shifting sequence and  $F_K$  distribution functions with density (17). Then, for every  $K>0$  there exist positive constants  $c_{\mathcal{I}_K}>0$  such that*

$$(18) \quad \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{TD_T(x^{\mathcal{I}_K}(t), F_K)}{\sqrt{2T \log \log T}} = c_{\mathcal{I}_K} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

**Corollary.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}=(\tau_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  and  $F_K$  be as in Theorem 4. Then almost all functions  $x(t)$  are  $\mathcal{I}$ -c.- $F_K$ -d. and therefore almost no functions are  $\mathcal{I}$ -c.u.d.*

We will prove this corollary in a little bit more general context. Let  $K(T)$  be a positive monotone function with  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} K(T) = \infty$  and  $\mathcal{I}=(\tau_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  a shifting sequence. Then a function  $x(t)$  is called  $K(T)$ - $\mathcal{I}$ -c.- $F_K$ -d. if

$$(19) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} D_T(x^{\mathcal{I}_{[K(T)]}}(t), F_{[K(T)]}) = 0.$$

Trivially every  $K(T)$ - $\mathcal{I}$ -c.- $F_K$ -d. function is  $\mathcal{I}$ -c.- $F_K$ -d. and on the other hand if  $x(t)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -c.- $F_K$ -d. then there exists a proper function  $K(T)$  such that  $x(t)$  is  $K(T)$ - $\mathcal{I}$ -c.- $F_K$ -d. Next we will prove

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}=(\tau_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  be a shifting sequence satisfying*

$$(20) \quad \tau_k \leq k^{\gamma k^2} \text{ and } \tau_k - \tau_{k-1} \geq k^{-\sigma} \quad (k \geq k_0)$$

*with  $\gamma, \sigma \geq 0$  and  $K(T)$  a positive monotone function with*

$$(21) \quad K(T) \leq \delta \sqrt{\frac{\log T}{\log \log T}} \quad (T \geq T_0)$$

*such that  $\delta^2(1 + \gamma/2 + \sigma/4) < 1$ . Then almost all functions  $x(t)$  are  $K(T)$ - $\mathcal{I}$ -c.- $F_K$ -d. with respect to Wiener measure and density functions  $f_k$  defined in*

(17).

*Proof.* Set

$$(22) \quad S_{T,h}(x) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e\left(\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_k x(t + \tau_k)\right) dt.$$

Then

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_C |S_{T,h}(x)|^2 d\mu_W(x) \\ &= \frac{2}{T^2} \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_C e\left(\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_k (x(t + \tau_k) - x(s + \tau_k))\right) d\mu_W(x) ds dt \\ &= \frac{2}{T^2} \int_{\tau_{K-1}}^T \int_0^{t-\tau_{K-1}} \int_C e\left(\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_k (x(t + \tau_k) - x(s + \tau_k))\right) d\mu_W(x) ds dt + O\left(\frac{\tau_{K-1}}{T}\right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{2}{T^2} e^{-2\pi^2 \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} (\tau_k - \tau_{k-1}) \left( \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} h_i\right)^2 + \left(\sum_{j=k}^{K-1} h_j\right)^2 \right)} \\ \quad \times \left[ \frac{T}{2\pi^2 \left(\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_k\right)^2} - \frac{1 - e^{-2\pi^2 T \left(\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_k\right)^2}}{4\pi^4 \left(\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_k\right)^4} \right] + O\left(\frac{\tau_{K-1}}{T}\right) & \text{if } \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_k \neq 0 \\ c_h^2 + O\left(\frac{\tau_{K-1}}{T}\right) & \text{if } \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_k = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

So we have in general

$$(24) \quad \int_C |S_{T,h}(x) - c_h|^2 d\mu_W(x) = O\left(\frac{\tau_{K-1}}{T}\right)$$

which implies that

$$(25) \quad \mu_W(\{x \in C : |S_{T,h}(x) - c_h| \geq \eta\}) = O\left(\frac{\tau_{K-1}}{\eta^2 T}\right).$$

Using a generalized Erdős-Turan-inequality ([5])

$$(26) \quad D_T(x^{\mathcal{K}}(t), F_K) \leq \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^K \left( \frac{2\|f_K\|_\infty}{H} + \sum_{0 < \|h\|_\infty \leq H} \frac{1}{r(h)} |S_{T,h} - c_h| \right)$$

( $r(h) = \prod_{k=0}^{K-1} \max(1, |h_k|)$ ) and the Borel-Cantelli-lemma it suffices to find sequences  $T_i, \eta_i, H_i$  ( $i \geq i_0$ ) such that

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{(i) } \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{i+1}}{T_i} = 1 \\ & \text{(ii) } \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{K(T_i)} \eta_i (\log H_i)^{K(T_i)} = 0 \\ & \text{(iii) } \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{K(T_i)} \frac{1}{H_i} \prod_{k=1}^{K(T_i)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\tau_k - \tau_{k-1})}}\right) = 0 \\ & \text{(iv) } \sum_{i \geq i_0} \frac{\tau_{K(T_i)-1}}{\eta_i^{2+K(T_i)} T_i} \left(\log \frac{1}{\eta_i}\right)^{K(T_i)^2} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Namely, (ii), (iii), and (iv) imply that almost all functions satisfy

$$(28) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} D_{T_i}(x^{\mathcal{K}(T_i)}(t), F_{[K(T_i)]}) = 0.$$

Now (28) and (i) ensure that (19) holds a.s.

For the purpose to construct such sequences choose some  $\beta > \delta/2 + \delta\sigma/4$  such that  $\gamma\delta^2/2 + \delta^2/2 + \beta\delta = 1 - \varepsilon$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and set  $T_i = i^{2/\varepsilon}$ ,  $\eta_i = e^{-\beta\sqrt{\log T_i \log \log T_i}}$ , and  $H_i = (\eta_i (\log 1/\eta_i)^{K(T_i)})^{-1}$ . Then it is easy to show that the four conditions of (27) are satisfied. So the proof of Theorem 5 is complete.

**Remark.** The corollary of Theorem 4 is not a direct consequence of Theorem 5 but it is easy to derive it from the preceding proof.

### References

- [ 1 ] M. Blümlinger, M. Drmota and R. F. Tichy: A uniform law of iterated logarithm for Brownian motion on compact Riemannian manifolds. *Math. Zeitschrift*, **201**, 495–507 (1989).
- [ 2 ] M. Drmota: Gleichmäßig gleichverteilte und schwach gleichmäßig gleichverteilte Funktionen modulo 1. *Zahlentheoretische Analysis II* (ed. E. Hlawka). Springer, *Lect. Notes in Math.*, **1262**, 22–36 (1987).
- [ 3 ] M. Drmota and R. F. Tichy:  $C$ -uniform distribution of entire functions. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, **79**, 49–58 (1988).
- [ 4 ] W. Fleischer: Das Wienerische Maß einer gewissen Menge von Vektorfunktionen. *Monatsh. Math.*, **75**, 193–197 (1971).
- [ 5 ] P. Grabner: Harmonische Analyse, Gleichverteilung und Ziffernentwicklungen. Dissertation, TU-Wien (1989).
- [ 6 ] E. Hlawka: Ein metrischer Satz in der Theorie der Gleichverteilung. *Monatsh. Math.*, **74**, 108–118 (1970).
- [ 7 ] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter: *Uniform Distribution of Sequences*. John Wiley and Sons, New York (1974).
- [ 8 ] R. F. Tichy: Contributions to uniformly distributed functions. I. Discrepancy of Fractal Sets. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **67 A**, 49–54 (1991).