

41. A Note on the Nash-Moser Implicit Function Theorem

By Kiyoshi ASANO

Institute of Mathematics, Yoshida College, Kyoto University

(Communicated by Kôsaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., May 12, 1988)

1. Problem and result. The purpose of this note is to give a simple and natural treatment of the Nash-Moser implicit function theorem established by Nash [2], Moser [1] and Schwartz [3]. (See also Sergeraert [4].) Nash's original idea (refined by Moser and Schwartz) seems to appear in the elegant form in our treatment.

Let $\{X_i; 0 \leq i < m\}$, $\{Y_i; 0 \leq i < m\}$ and $\{Z_i; 0 \leq i < m\}$, $1 \leq m \leq \infty$, be three discrete Banach scales with norms $| \cdot |_i$, $\| \cdot \|_i$ and $\| \cdot \|_i$ such that $| \cdot |_i \leq | \cdot |_{i+1}$, $\| \cdot \|_i \leq \| \cdot \|_{i+1}$ and $\| \cdot \|_i \leq \| \cdot \|_{i+1}$. We assume $\{X_i\}$ is tame:

(X.0) There exists a (linear) smoothing operator $S(t)$, $t \in [1, \infty)$, from X_0 to X_{m-1} satisfying

- (1) $|S(t)u|_k \leq c_0(k)t^{k-j}|u|_j$, $u \in X_j$, $j \leq k < m$,
- (2) $|u - S(t)u|_j \leq c_0(k)t^{-(k-j)}|u|_k$, $u \in X_k$, $j \leq k < m$.

For a Banach space X with the norm $| \cdot |$, we put $X(r) = \{u \in X; |u| \leq r\}$. Let F be a continuous function from $X_1(R) \cap X_k \times Y_1(r) \cap Y_k$ into Z_{k-1} satisfying

$$(F.1) \quad \|F(u, y)\|_{k-1} \leq c_1(k)\{|u|_k + \|y\|_k\}, \quad 1 \leq k < m,$$

(F.2) F has the Fréchet-derivative $F'_u(u, y)$ satisfying

- (1) $F'_u(u, y)v$ is continuous from $X_1(R) \times Y_1(r) \times X_1$ into Z_0 ,
- (2) $\|F'_u(u, y)v\|_0 \leq c_2|v|_1$,
- (3) $\|F(u+v, y) - F(u, y) - F'_u(u, y)v\|_0 \leq c_2|v|_1^2$
for u and $v \in X_1(R)$ with $u+v \in X_1(R)$ and $y \in Y_1(r)$,

(F.3) $F'_u(u, y)$ has a right-inverse $L(u, y)$, $F'_u(\cdot)L(\cdot) = 1$, satisfying

- (1) $L(u, y)z$ is continuous from $X_1(R) \cap X_k \times Y_1(r) \cap Y_k \times Z_{k-1}$ into X_{k-1} , $1 \leq k < m$,
- (2) $|L(u, y)z|_0 \leq c_3\|z\|_0$, $u \in X_1(R)$, $y \in Y_1(r)$, $z \in Z_0$,
 $|L(u, y)z|_{k-1} \leq c_3(k)\{(1+|u|_k + |y|_k)\|z\|_0 + \|z\|_{k-1}\}$
for $u \in X_1(R) \cap X_k$, $y \in Y_1(r) \cap Y_k$ and $z \in Z_{k-1}$, $2 \leq k < m$.

We consider the following equation

$$(1.1) \quad F(u, y) = 0$$

for each small $y \in Y_k$. We define a function $G(t, u, y)$ by

$$(1.2) \quad G(t, u, y) = u - S(t)L(u, y)F(u, y).$$

By Newton's method, we construct the approximate sequence $\{u_n\}$:

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} u_0 &= 0, \\ u_{n+1} &= G_{n+1}(y) = G(t_n, u_n, y) = u_n - S(t_n)L(u_n, y)F(u_n, y), \\ t_n &= e^{\gamma n}, \quad r \geq 1, \quad 4/3 \leq \kappa \leq 3/2, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

With an appropriate choice of γ and k , u_n converges to a solution u of (1.1)

in X_1 for each small y of $Y_1(r) \cap Y_k$. We have

Theorem (Nash-Moser). *Assume (X.0), (F.1), (F.2) and (F.3). Then, there exist integers $k \geq 10$, $[(k+2)/3] \leq \ell < k-1$ such that for any positive numbers r_k there exist positive numbers r_1, R_ℓ and a continuous function $G(y)$ from $Y_1(r_1) \cap Y_k(r_k)$ into $X_1(R) \cap X_\ell(R_\ell)$ satisfying*

$$(1.4) \quad F(G(y), y) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad G(0) = 0.$$

2. Proof of Theorem. We write $c_i(1) = c_i$, $i = 0, 1, 3$. We assume

$$(2.1) \quad |u_n|_1 \leq R, \quad u_n \in X_{m-1}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq n_1.$$

Then, we estimate $|h_{n+1}|_k$, $k \geq 10$, and $|h_{n+1}|_1$, where

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} h_{n+1} &= u_{n+1} - u_n = -S(t_n)L(u_n, y)F(u_n, y) \\ &= -S(t_n)L(u_n, y)\{F(u_n, y) - F(u_{n-1}, y) - F'_u(u_{n-1}, y)h_n\} \\ &\quad - S(t_n)L(u_n, y)F'_u(u_{n-1}, y)\{1 - S(t_{n-1})\}L(u_{n-1}, y)F(u_{n-1}, y). \end{aligned}$$

We have for $u = u_j$, $0 \leq j < n_1$,

$$(2.3)_{k-1} \quad \|F(u, y)\|_{k-1} \leq c_1(k)(|u|_k + \|y\|_k),$$

$$(2.3)_0 \quad \|F(u, y)\|_0 \leq c_1(R+r) = c'_1,$$

$$(2.4)_{k-1} \quad |L(u, y)F(u, y)|_{k-1} \leq c_3(k)\{(1 + |u|_k + \|y\|_k)\|F(u, y)\|_0 + \|F(u, y)\|_{k-1}\} \\ \leq c_3(k)c'_1(k)(1 + |u|_k + \|y\|_k), \quad c'_1(k) = c_1(k) + c'_1,$$

$$(2.4)_0 \quad |L(u, y)F(u, y)|_0 \leq c_3c'_1.$$

These inequalities imply

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} |h_{n+1}|_k &\leq t_n c_0(k) c_3(k) c'_1(k) (1 + |u_n|_k + \|y\|_k) \\ &\leq t_n C(k) (1 + |u_n|_k + \|y\|_k), \quad C(k) = \max\{c_0(k) c_3(k) c'_1(k), 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 + |u_n|_k + \|y\|_k &\leq 1 + \|y\|_k + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |h_{j+1}|_k \\ &\leq 1 + \|y\|_k + \sum t_j C(k) (1 + |u_j|_k + \|y\|_k). \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$\beta_0 = 1 + \|y\|_k \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_n = 1 + \|y\|_k + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t_j C(k) \beta_j, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Then it follows that $\beta_n - \beta_{n-1} = t_{n-1} C(k) \beta_{n-1}$ and

$$(2.7) \quad \beta_n = \beta_0 \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 + t_j C(k)) \leq t_0 \cdots t_{n-1} (2C(k))^n (1 + \|y\|_k),$$

$$(2.8) \quad 1 + |u_n|_k + \|y\|_k \leq \beta_n \leq t_0 \cdots t_{n-1} (2C(k))^n (1 + \|y\|_k).$$

Using (F.2), (2.2), (2.3)₀ and (2.4)₀, we have

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} |h_{n+1}|_1 &\leq t_n c_0 c_3 \|F(u_n, y) - F(u_{n-1}, y) - F'_u(u_{n-1}, y)h_n\|_0 \\ &\quad + t_n c_0 c_3 c_2 \{|1 - S(t_{n-1})\}L(u_{n-1}, y)F(u_{n-1}, y)\|_1 \\ &\leq t_n c_0 c_3 c_2 |h_n|_1^2 + t_n c_0 c_3 c_2 t_{n-1}^{-(k-2)} c_0(k) c_3(k) c'_1(k) (1 + |u_{n-1}|_k + \|y\|_k) \\ &\leq t_n C |h_n|_1^2 + t_n t_{n-1}^{-(k-2)} CC(k) (1 + |u_{n-1}|_k + \|y\|_k), \end{aligned}$$

where $C = c_0 c_2 c_3$. Substituting (2.8) into (2.9), we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad |h_{n+1}|_1 \leq t_n C |h_n|_1^2 + t_n t_{n-1}^{-(k-2)} t_0 \cdots t_{n-2} (2C(k))^{n-1} CC(k) (1 + \|y\|_k).$$

Now we put

$$(2.11) \quad |h_n|_1 = e^{-\mu r_k n} s_n, \quad \mu \geq 2, \quad 1 \leq n \leq n_1.$$

Then we have

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} s_{n+1} &\leq e^{-r_k n a} C s_n^2 + e^{-r_k n - 1 b(k)} (2C(k))^{n-1} CC(k) (1 + \|y\|_k), \\ a &= 2\mu - \mu\kappa - 1 = \mu(2 - \kappa) - 1 \geq 0, \\ b(k) &= k - 2 - \mu\kappa^2 - \kappa - 1/(\kappa - 1) = k - \phi(\mu, \kappa). \end{aligned}$$

We choose k so that

$$(2.13) \quad b(k) = k - \phi(\mu, \kappa) \geq 2b \in (0, 1].$$

We have only to take a sufficiently large k . For example,

$$10 - \phi(2, 4/3) = 1/9, \quad 11 - \phi(2, 3/2) = 1.$$

Taking the condition $a \geq 0$ into account, we obtain from (2.12)

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} s_{n+1} &\leq Cs_n^2 + e^{-\gamma\kappa^{n-1} \cdot 2b + (n-1)d(k)}CC(k)(1 + \|y\|_k), \\ d(k) &= \log \{2C(k)\} \geq \log [2c_0(k)c_3(k)\{c_1(k) + c_1(R+r)\}]. \end{aligned}$$

Noting a trivial inequality

$$(2.15) \quad \kappa^n \geq n\kappa', \quad \kappa' = e \log \kappa \in (0.7, 1.1),$$

we determine $\gamma = \gamma(b, \varepsilon)$ by

$$(2.16) \quad \gamma b = \max \{d(k)/\kappa', (d(k) - \log \varepsilon)/\kappa', 1\}.$$

Here $\varepsilon > 0$ will be determined later. Then (2.14) reduces to

$$(2.17) \quad s_{n+1} \leq Cs_n^2 + C\varepsilon/2 (1 + \|y\|_k).$$

Assume

$$(2.18) \quad D = 1 - 2C^2\varepsilon(1 + r_k) \geq 1/2, \quad \|y\|_k \leq r_k,$$

and denote by $s > 0$ the smaller root of the quadratic equation

$$s = Cs^2 + C\varepsilon/2 (1 + r_k).$$

Then, there holds

$$(2.19)_n \quad s_n \leq s = C\varepsilon(1 + r_k)/(1 + D^{1/2}) < 2C\varepsilon(1 + r_k)/3, \quad 1 \leq n \leq n_1,$$

if there holds

$$(2.19)_1 \quad \begin{aligned} s_1 &= |u_1|_1 e^{\mu\gamma\kappa} = |S(t_0)L(0, y)F(0, y)|_1 e^{\mu\gamma\kappa} \\ &\leq c_0 c_3 c_1 \|y\|_1 e^{\mu\gamma(1+\kappa)} = s' \leq s. \end{aligned}$$

We determine ε here by

$$(2.20) \quad \varepsilon = \min [1/\{4C^2(1 + r_k)\}, 9R/\{2C(1 + r_k)\}].$$

Note that (2.19)₁ is a restriction on the size of $\|y\|_1$;

$$(2.19)'_1 \quad \|y\|_1 \leq r_1 = \min \{r, se^{-(1+\mu)\gamma\kappa}/(c_0 c_1 c_3)\}.$$

If k, ε and γ satisfy (2.13), (2.20) and (2.16), respectively, and further $y \in Y_1(r_1) \cap Y_k(r_k)$, then we have

$$(2.21) \quad |h_n|_1 \leq e^{-\mu\gamma\kappa^n}s, \quad 1 \leq n \leq n_1,$$

$$(2.22) \quad \begin{aligned} |u_n|_1 &\leq e^{-\mu\gamma\kappa'}s/\{1 - e^{-\mu\gamma\kappa'}\} = s/(e^{\mu\gamma\kappa'} - 1) \\ &< s/(e^{2\kappa'} - 1) < s/(e^{1.4} - 1) < s/3 \leq R. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\{u_n\}$ are well-defined. Moreover, (2.21) proves that $u_n = G_n(y) = G(t_n, u_{n-1}, y)$ converges to a limit $u = G(y)$ in $X_1(R)$. Since $G_n(y) \in C^0(Y_1(r_1) \cap Y_k(r_k); X_1(R))$, $u = G(y)$ is also in the same space.

Using (F.2), (2.8) and (2.11), we can estimate $\|F(u_n, y)\|_0$:

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \|F(u_n, y)\|_0 &\leq \|F(u_n, y) - F(u_{n-1}, y) - F'_u(u_{n-1}, y)h_n\|_0 \\ &\quad + \|F'_u(u_{n-1}, y)\{1 - S(t_{n-1})\}L(u_{n-1}, y)F(u_{n-1}, y)\|_0 \\ &\leq c_2 |h_n|_1^2 + c_2 t_{n-1}^{-(k-2)} c_0(k) c_3(k) c'_1(k) (1 + |u_{n-1}|_k + \|y\|_k) \\ &\leq ce^{-2\mu\gamma\kappa^n}s + c' C(k) e^{-\gamma\kappa^{n-1}(k-2-1/(\kappa-1)) + (n-1)d(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of the following

$$(2.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma\{k-2-1/(\kappa-1)\} &= \gamma\{k-\phi(\mu, \kappa) + \mu\kappa^2 + \kappa\} \\ &\geq \gamma\{2b + \mu\kappa^2 + \kappa\} > 2\gamma b > \gamma b + d(k)/\kappa', \end{aligned}$$

$F(u_n, y)$ converges to 0 in Z_0 . On the other hand $F(u_n, y)$ tends to $F(u, y)$, since F is continuous from $X_1(R) \times Y_1(r_1)$ to Z_0 . This proves (1.4).

Since $\{X_i\}$ is tame, we can apply the interpolation inequality combined with (2.21) and (2.5)–(2.11), and obtain

$$(2.25) \quad \begin{aligned} |h_n|_\ell &\leq c'_0(k) |h_n|_1^{(k-\ell)/(k-1)} |h_n|_k^{(\ell-1)/(k-1)} \\ &\leq C' C(k) e^{-r\kappa n c(\ell)/(k-1)}, \\ c(\ell) &= \mu(k-\ell) - \{1/(\kappa-1) + b/\kappa\} (\ell-1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence u_n converges in X_ℓ , if $c(\ell) > 0$, which is equivalent to

$$(2.26) \quad \ell(1+\nu/\mu) < k+\nu/\mu, \quad \nu = 1/(\kappa-1) + b/\kappa.$$

Note that $0 < b \leq 1/2$, and hence $\nu < 7/2 < 2\mu$ in our choice. Clearly $\ell = (k+2)/3$ satisfies (2.24). The proof is completed.

Remark 1. The solution $u \in X_1(R_1) \cap X(R_s)$ of (1.1) is unique, if there exists a left inverse $L(u, y)$ of $F'_u(u, y)$ satisfying (F.3).

Remark 2. If we assume $F(u, y) \in C^2(X_1(R) \cap X_j \times Y_1(r) \cap Y_j; Z_{j-1})$, more precisely, $F'(u, y) \in C^1(X_1(R) \cap X_j \times Y_1(r) \cap Y_j; B_s(X_{j-1} \times Y_{j-1}, Z_{j-1}))$, $j = 1, 2$, then $G(y) \in C^2(Y_1(r_1) \cap Y_k; X_{\ell-2})$.

References

- [1] J. Moser: Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, **47**, 1824–1831 (1961).
- [2] J. Nash: Ann. of Math., **63**, 20–63 (1956).
- [3] J. T. Schwartz: Nonlinear Functional Analysis. Gordon and Breach, New York-London-Paris (1969).
- [4] F. Sergeraert: Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., **5**, 599–660 (1972).