

## 27. On the $T$ -Genus of Knot Cobordism

By Akio KAWAUCHI, Hitoshi MURAKAMI, and Kouji SUGISHITA

Department of Mathematics, Osaka City University

(Communicated by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., March 12, 1983)

The second and third authors introduced in [4] an integral invariant  $T(k)$  of a classical (tame) knot  $k$  such that (1)  $T(k)$  is invariant under knot cobordism, (2)  $g^*(k) \leq T(k)$  and (3)  $T(k) \equiv \text{Arf}(k) \pmod{2}$ , where  $g^*(k)$  and  $\text{Arf}(k)$  are the slice genus and the Arf invariant of  $k$ , respectively. We call  $T(k)$  the  $T$ -genus of  $k$ . The purpose of this paper is to give an alternative definition of the  $T$ -genus and to note that the  $T$ -genus induces a metric function  $d_T$  on the knot cobordism group  $X$  defined by Fox-Milnor in [2]. Some properties of the space  $(X, d_T)$  are described without proof here, but more properties containing the details will appear in "On a geometry of the knot cobordism group".

Let  $R$  be the Borromean rings (cf. Fox [1, p. 131]). We denote by  $k\#_b(R_1 + \cdots + R_r)$  a knot obtained by a fusion from the split union  $k + R_1 + \cdots + R_r$  of a knot  $k$  and  $r$  copies  $R_1, \dots, R_r$  of  $R$  (see [3] for the definition of fusion). Note that the knot type of the resulting knot depends on a choice of the fusion-bands.

**Lemma 1.** *Given a knot  $k$  with  $T(k) \geq 1$ , there is a knot  $k' = k\#_b R$  such that  $T(k') \leq T(k) - 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T(k) = r$ . By [4, Proof of Theorem (2)] there is a cobordism surface of genus 0 between  $k$  and  $R_1 + \cdots + R_r$ . Then we obtain a cobordism surface of genus 0 between  $k + R_1$  and  $R_2 + \cdots + R_r$ . By the deformation theory [3] of cobordism surface, some  $k' = k\#_b R_1$  is cobordant to some  $k'' = 0\#_b(R_2 + \cdots + R_r)$  ( $0$  is the trivial knot). Since  $T(k') = T(k'')$  and  $T(k'') \leq r - 1$ , the desired result follows.

For a knot  $k$  the minimal number of  $r$  such that some  $k\#_b(R_1 + \cdots + R_r)$  is a slice knot is denoted by  $B(k)$ .

**Theorem 2.**  $T(k) = B(k)$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 1  $T(k) \geq B(k)$ , since  $(\cdots((k\#_b R_1)\#_b R_2)\cdots)\#_b R_r$  is modified as  $k\#_b(R_1 + \cdots + R_r)$  by deforming and sliding the fusion-bands (cf. [3, Lemma 1.14]). To see that  $T(k) \leq B(k)$ , let  $B(k) = s$ . Since some  $k\#_b(R_1 + \cdots + R_s)$  is slice,  $k + R_1 + \cdots + R_s$  bounds a surface of genus 0 in  $R^3[0, +\infty)$ . So there is a cobordism surface of genus 0 between  $k$  and  $R_1 + \cdots + R_s$ . By the deformation theory [3],  $k$  is cobordant to some  $k' = 0\#_b(R_1 + \cdots + R_s)$ . Then  $T(k) = T(k') \leq s = B(k)$ , completing the proof.

For an element  $x=[k]$  of the knot cobordism group  $X$ , we let  $T(x) = T(k)$ . Define a function  $d_T: X \times X \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  by  $d_T(x, y) = T(x-y)$  for all  $x, y$  in  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.** *The function  $d_T$  is a metric function on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* From [4] or Theorem 2 we see that (1)  $T(x) \geq 0$  ( $\forall x \in X$ ) and  $T(x)=0$  iff  $x=0$ , (2)  $T(-x)=T(x)$  ( $\forall x \in X$ ) and (3)  $T(x+y) \leq T(x) + T(y)$  ( $\forall x, \forall y \in X$ ). Then it is easily checked that (1)'  $d_T(x, y) \geq 0$  ( $\forall x, \forall y \in X$ ) and  $d_T(x, y)=0$  iff  $x=y$ , (2)'  $d_T(x, y)=d_T(y, x)$  ( $\forall x, \forall y \in X$ ) and (3)'  $d_T(x, y) + d_T(y, z) \geq d_T(x, z)$  ( $\forall x, \forall y, \forall z \in X$ ). This completes the proof.

**Corollary 4.**  $|T(x) - T(y)| \leq T(x+y) \leq T(x) + T(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

For any claim stated below, no proof will be given here.

**Claim 5.** For any  $x=[k]$  and  $x'=[k\#_0 R]$ ,  $d_T(x, x') = |T(x) - T(x')| = 1$ .

By Lemma 1 and Claim 5, when  $T(x) \geq 1$ , we have an  $x'$  such that  $T(x') = T(x) - 1$ . For any  $x$  can one always find an  $x'$  such that  $T(x') = T(x) + 1$ ? (The answer is yes if  $T(x) \leq 1$ .) Let  $S(x)$  be the unit sphere,  $\{y \in X | d_T(x, y) = 1\}$  around  $x$ .

**Claim 6.** (1)  $S(x) = x + S(0) = \{x + y | y \in S(0)\}$ , (2)  $S(x)$  is an infinite set, (3)  $X = \bigcup_{x \in X} S(x)$  and (4)  $S(x) \cap S(y) \neq \emptyset$  iff  $x=y$  or  $d_T(x, y) = 2$ .

Is there a pair  $x, y$  with  $d_T(x, y) = 2$  such that  $S(x) = S(y)$ ? For any pair  $x, y$  with  $d_T(x, y) = 2$ , does  $S(x) \cap S(y)$  contain at least two points? Is it an infinite set? Let  $k_n$  be the double knot with  $n$  full twists, so that  $k_{-1}, k_0, k_1$  and  $k_2$  are the trefoil, trivial, figure eight and stevedore knots, respectively. Let  $a_n = [k_{n+1}]$ . Noting the index,  $a_{\pm 1} = 0$ .

**Claim 7.**  $T(a_n) \leq |n| - 1$ ,  $d_T(a_n, a_{n-1}) = 1$  and for  $n \neq 0$ ,  $d_T(a_{n-1}, a_{n+1}) = 2$ .

It is conjectured that the above inequality is replaced by the equality, whereas  $g^*(k_{n+1}) \leq 1$ . It is true when  $|n| \leq 3$ . A sequence  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$  of points  $x_i$  in  $X$  with  $x_i \neq x_{i+1}$  for all  $i$  is called a polygon. The curvature of a polygon  $L = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$  at  $x_i, i \neq 0, n$ , denoted by  $\theta^i = \theta(L, x_i)$  is defined by

$$\cos(\pi - \theta^i) = -\cos \theta^i = \frac{d_T(x_{i-1}, x_i)^2 + d_T(x_i, x_{i+1})^2 - d_T(x_{i-1}, x_{i+1})^2}{2d_T(x_{i-1}, x_i)d_T(x_i, x_{i+1})}$$

and  $0 \leq \theta^i \leq \pi$ . The sum  $\theta = \theta(L) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \theta^i$  is called the total curvature of  $L$ .

**Claim 8.** (1) For any  $y, z \in S(x)$  with  $y \neq z$ , the curvature  $\theta((y, x, z), x) = 0$ , (2) for any  $x, y$  in  $X$  with  $d_T(x, y) = d \geq 2$ , there exists a polygon  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_d)$  of total curvature 0 such that  $x_0 = x, x_d = y$  and  $d_T(x_i, x_j) = |i - j|$  for all  $i, j$ . Moreover, if  $y - x \neq dz$  for any  $z \in S(0)$ , then at least two such polygons exist.

The curvature of the polygon  $(x, 0, -x)$  at 0 is also called the

*refraction* of  $x$ . For example, let  $b_n = na_{-2}$  and  $c_n = b_n + a_0$  for  $n \geq 1$ . We have that  $T(2b_n) = 2T(b_n) = 2n$ ,  $T(2c_n) = 2n$  and  $T(c_n) = n + 1$ . The refraction of  $b_n$  is 0, but the refraction  $\theta_n$  of  $c_n$  is given by the identity  $\cos \theta_n = (n^2 - 2n - 1)/(n + 1)^2$ . Finally, J. Tao pointed out that the space  $(X, d_T)$  is regarded as a tolerance space defined by Zeeman in [5], where the tolerance relation  $\sim$  is given by the following:  $x \sim y$  if and only if  $d_T(x, y) \leq 1$ .

### References

- [1] R. H. Fox: A quick trip through knot theory. *Topology of 3-Manifolds and Related Topics*. Prentice-Hall Inc., pp. 120–167 (1962).
- [2] R. H. Fox and J. W. Milnor: Singularities of 2-spheres in 4-space and cobordism of knots. *Osaka J. Math.*, **3**, 257–267 (1966).
- [3] A. Kawauchi, T. Shibuya, and S. Suzuki: Descriptions on surfaces in four-space, I. *Math. Sem. Notes, Kobe Univ.*, **10**, 75–125 (1982); ditto. II (to appear).
- [4] H. Murakami and K. Sugishita: Triple points and knot cobordism. *Osaka City Univ.* (1982) (preprint).
- [5] E. C. Zeeman: The topology of the brain and visual perception. *Topology of 3-Manifolds and Related Topics*. Prentice-Hall Inc., pp. 240–256 (1962).