

50. Euler's Finite Difference Scheme and Chaos in R^n

By Masayoshi HATA

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University

(Communicated by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., May 12, 1982)

1. Introduction. Let $F: R^n \rightarrow R^n$ be a continuously differentiable mapping. Consider an n -dimensional autonomous differential equation of the form

$$(1) \quad \frac{du}{dt} = F(u), \quad u \in R^n.$$

Suppose that (1) has at least two equilibrium points \bar{u} and \bar{v} . Under some conditions it is shown that the corresponding difference equations (Euler's scheme) for (1) are chaotic in the sense of Li and Yorke [1]. The theorem of Marotto [2] will be used to prove the existence of chaos. More precisely, it will be shown that both \bar{u} and \bar{v} are snap-back repellers.

This work is motivated by a theorem proven by Yamaguti and Matano [3] concerning scalar differential equations. I would like to thank Prof. M. Yamaguti for his interest and encouragement.

2. Notation and theorem. Euler's difference scheme for (1) takes the form

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} u_1^{k+1} = u_1^k + \Delta t F_1(u_1^k, u_2^k, \dots, u_n^k) \\ \vdots \\ u_n^{k+1} = u_n^k + \Delta t F_n(u_1^k, u_2^k, \dots, u_n^k) \end{cases}$$

that is,

$$u^{k+1} = u^k + \Delta t F(u^k).$$

Letting $\Delta t = s$ and $G_s = Id + sF$, (2) implies

$$(3) \quad u^{k+1} = G_s(u^k).$$

For differentiable function f , let $f'(x)$ denote the Jacobian matrix of f at $x \in R^n$ and $\det f'(x)$ its determinant. Note that $G'_s(x) = E + sF'(x)$ for all $x \in R^n$ where E is a unit matrix. Let $B(x, r)$ denote the closed ball in R^n of radius r centered at x and $\|x\|$ be the usual Euclidean norm of x in R^n . For a square matrix A , let A^* denote the adjoint matrix of A . Our theorem can now be stated as follows:

Theorem. *Let F be continuous differentiable in R^n . Suppose there exist $\bar{u} \neq \bar{v}$ such that $F(\bar{u}) = F(\bar{v}) = 0$, $\det F'(\bar{u}) \neq 0$ and $\det F'(\bar{v}) \neq 0$. Then there exists a positive constant c such that for any $s > c$ the difference equation (3) is chaotic in the sense of Li and Yorke.*

Remark. The condition in the above theorem is a stable property under small perturbations of F .

3. Proof of the theorem. Before proving theorem we shall present three preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 1. *There exist $r_1 > 0$ and $c_1 > 0$ such that $\det G'_s(x) \neq 0$ for any $s > c_1$ and any $x \in B(\bar{u}, r_1) \cup B(\bar{v}, r_1)$.*

Proof. From the assumption on F , we can find $r_1 > 0$ such that $\det F'(x) \neq 0$ for any $x \in B(\bar{u}, r_1) \cup B(\bar{v}, r_1)$. If the lemma is false, then there exist two sequences $s_n > 0$ and $x_n \in B(\bar{u}, r_1) \cup B(\bar{v}, r_1)$ such that $s_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\det G'_{s_n}(x_n) = 0$. Since $G'_s = E + sF'$, we have $\det [E/s_n + F'(x_n)] = 0$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $x_n \rightarrow x^* \in B(\bar{u}, r_1) \cup B(\bar{v}, r_1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, letting n tend to infinity, we find that $\det F'(x^*) = 0$. This contradiction completes the proof.

Lemma 2. *For any $\delta > 1$, there exist $r_2 > 0$ and $c_2(\delta) > 0$ such that $\|G'_s(x) - G'_s(y)\| \geq \delta \|x - y\|$ for any $s > c_2(\delta)$ and any $x, y \in B(\bar{u}, r_2)$.*

Proof. Since $\det F'(\bar{u})^* F'(\bar{u}) = (\det F'(\bar{u}))^2 \neq 0$, the least eigenvalue λ_{\min} of a positive-semidefinite symmetric matrix $F'(\bar{u})^* F'(\bar{u})$ is positive. Hence

$$\|F'(\bar{u})x\| \geq \sqrt{\lambda_{\min}} \|x\| \quad \text{for all } x \in R^n,$$

and there exists $r_2 > 0$ such that

$$\|F'(x) - F'(\bar{u})\| < \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\lambda_{\min}} \quad \text{for any } x \in B(\bar{u}, r_2).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(x) - F(y)\| &= \left\| \int_0^1 F'(y + t(x-y))(x-y) dt \right\| \\ &\geq \|F'(\bar{u})(x-y)\| - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\lambda_{\min}} \|x-y\| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\lambda_{\min}} \|x-y\| \quad \text{for any } x, y \in B(\bar{u}, r_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|G'_s(x) - G'_s(y)\| &\geq s \|F(x) - F(y)\| - \|x - y\| \\ &\geq \left(\frac{s}{2} \sqrt{\lambda_{\min}} - 1 \right) \|x - y\| \geq \delta \|x - y\| \end{aligned}$$

where $s > c_2(\delta) \equiv \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_{\min}}} (1 + \delta)$.

Lemma 3. *For a sufficiently small open neighbourhood U of \bar{u} and any bounded set W , there exists $c_3(U, W) > 0$ such that the equation $G'_s(u) = w$ has at least one solution $u \in U$ for any $s > c_3(U, W)$ and any $w \in W$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $F|_U$ is a homeomorphism. Since \bar{u} is an isolated zero in \bar{U} , we have

$$\deg(0, F, U) = \text{sign } \det F'(\bar{u}) = 1 \text{ or } -1.$$

Now assume that $\mu_0 u_0 + F(u_0) = \mu_0 w_0$ for some $u_0 \in \partial U$, $w_0 \in W$ and $\mu_0 > 0$. Then

$$\mu_0 = \frac{\|F(u_0)\|}{\|u_0 - w_0\|} \geq \frac{\inf_{u \in \partial U} \|F(u)\|}{\sup_{u \in \partial U, w \in W} \|u - w\|} \equiv \mu(U, W) > 0.$$

Hence we obtain $\mu w \in (\mu Id + F)(\partial U)$ for any $w \in W$ and any $0 \leq \mu < \mu(U, W)$.

Consider now the homotopy $\nu x + F(x)$, $(\nu, x) \in [0, \mu] \times \bar{U}$ and by the homotopy property of mapping degree we have

$$\deg(\mu w, \mu Id + F, U) = \deg(0, F, U) \neq 0.$$

Therefore there exists $u \in U$ such that

$$(\mu Id + F)(u) = \mu w, \text{ that is, } G_{1/\mu}(u) = w.$$

This completes the proof.

Note that the similar arguments hold for \bar{v} . Now we are ready for the proof of the theorem. Select sufficiently small open neighbourhoods U, V of \bar{u}, \bar{v} respectively such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$ and Lemma 3 holds for both \bar{u} and \bar{v} . Let $r^* = \min(r_1, r_2)$ and $c^* = \max(c_1, c_2(\delta), c_3(U, V), c_3(V, U))$. Without loss of generality we can assume that

$$U \subset B(\bar{u}, r^*) \text{ and } V \subset B(\bar{v}, r^*).$$

By Lemma 3, for any $s > c^*$, there exist $v_s \in V$ and $u_s \in U$ such that $G_s(v_s) = \bar{u}$ and $G_s(u_s) = v_s$. Since $\det G'_s(u_s) \neq 0$ and $\det G'_s(v_s) \neq 0$ by Lemma 1, we can find $r_s > 0$ such that $B(u_s, r_s) \subset B(\bar{u}, r^*)$, $G_s(B(u_s, r_s)) \subset V$, $G_s^2(B(u_s, r_s)) \subset U$, and both $G_s|_{B(u_s, r_s)}$ and $G_s|_{G_s(B(u_s, r_s))}$ are homeomorphisms. Finally define compact sets $\{B_k\}_{-\infty < k \leq 2}$ as follows:

$$B_1 = G_s(B(u_s, r_s)), \quad B_2 = G_s^2(B(u_s, r_s)) \text{ and} \\ B_{-k} = G_s^{-k}(B(u_s, r_s)) \quad \text{for } k \geq 0,$$

since G_s^{-k} is well-defined by Lemma 2. This shows that \bar{u} is a snap-back repeller. Obviously same argument holds for \bar{v} .

4. Application. We shall attempt to apply our theorem to quadratic differential systems of the form

$$(4) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_n \end{pmatrix} = F(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \begin{pmatrix} (a_1 - b_{11}u_1 - \dots - b_{1n}u_n)u_1 \\ \vdots \\ (a_n - b_{n1}u_1 - \dots - b_{nn}u_n)u_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

These systems include prey-predator and competition models which are discussed in [4]. Let $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $B = (b_{ij})$ and $O = (0, \dots, 0)$. If $A \neq O$ and $\det B \neq 0$, then one can easily show that (4) has at least two equilibrium points O and $B^{-1}A$. Moreover $\det F'(O) = a_1 \cdots a_n$ and $\det F'(B^{-1}A) = (-1)^n \bar{u}_1 \cdots \bar{u}_n \det B$ where $B^{-1}A = (\bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_n)$. Therefore the conclusion of the theorem holds for (4) if $a_1 \cdots a_n \bar{u}_1 \cdots \bar{u}_n \neq 0$ and $\det B \neq 0$.

Finally note that the condition that F has at least two zeros can be weakened in some cases.

For example,

$$(5) \quad dx/dt = 1 - e^x, \quad x \in R.$$

This scalar differential equation has a unique equilibrium point 0

which is asymptotically stable. However one can easily show that there exists a positive constant c_0 such that for each $\Delta t > c_0$ Euler's scheme for (5) is chaotic in some invariant interval.

References

- [1] T.-Y. Li and J. A. Yorke: Period three implies chaos. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **82**, 985–992 (1975).
- [2] F. R. Marotto: Snap-back repellers imply chaos in R^n . *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **63**, 199–223 (1978).
- [3] M. Yamaguti and H. Matano: Euler's finite difference scheme and chaos. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **55A**, 78–80 (1979).
- [4] S. Ushiki, M. Mamaguti, and H. Matano: Discrete population models and chaos. *Lect. Notes in Num. Appl. Anal.*, **2**, 1–25 (1980).