113. Analyticity of Complements of Complete Kähler Domains*)

By Takeo Ohsawa

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University

(Communicated by Kunihiko Kodaira, M. J. A., Dec. 12, 1980)

§ 1. Statement of the result. Let A be a real submanifold of a complex manifold M. We want to know the conditions on X:=M-A which force A to be a complex submanifold of M. Our main result is the following

Theorem. Under the above notations, assume that

- 1) X has a complete Kähler metric and that
- 2) A is a regular submanifold of class C^1 with real codimension 2. Then A is a complex submanifold of M.

Our theorem amounts to a partial answer to the following problem which was asked by T. Nishino.

Problem. Let $D \subset C^n$ be a domain and $f:D \to C$ a continuous function. Assume that there exists a plurisubharmonic function φ on a neighbourhood of $G(f) := \{(z', f(z')); z' \in D\}$ such that $G(f) = \{z; \varphi(z) = -\infty\}$. Is G(f) a complex submanifold of $D \times C$?

I express sincere thanks to Dr. Y. Nishimura, who told me the problem and encouraged me.

§ 2. Proof of the theorem. Let X be a complex manifold of dimension n. X is called a complete Kähler manifold if X has a complete Kähler metric, i.e., a Kähler metric (of class C^2) which makes X a complete metric space.

Proposition (cf. Corollary (1.7) in [1]). Let X be a complete Kähler manifold, φ a bounded strictly plurisubharmonic function of class C^4 on X and f a measurable (n,1)-form on X. Assume that f is square integrable with respect to the metric

$$ds^2$$
:= $\sum_{lpha,eta} rac{\partial^2 arphi}{\partial z^lpha \partial ar{z}^eta} dz^lpha dar{z}^eta$,

where (z^1, \dots, z^n) denotes a local coordinate of X. Then there exists a square integrable (n, 0)-form g on X satisfying $\bar{\partial}g = f$ if and only if $\bar{\partial}f = 0$.

Let M be a complex manifold containing X as a domain. We assume that A:=M-X is a real two codimensional regular submanifold

^{*)} The author was supported by Alexander-von-Humboldt Foundation.

of class C^1 . We are going to prove that A is a complex submanifold of M. Let $x \in A$ be any point. Then, by the above assumption, there exist a local coordinate (z_1, \dots, z_n) at x and a polydisc $U = \{|z_i| < 1, 1 \le 1\}$ $i \le n$ such that

- i) $\pi_1(U-A) \cong Z$
- ii) $\{z_n=0\}$ meets $U \cap A$ transversally.

First we assume n=2. Then, choosing U smaller if necessary, we may assume moreover that $\Delta^* := \{z_2 = 0\} \cap (U - A)$ is biholomorphic to a punctured disc. Let $p: W \rightarrow U - A$ be the two-sheeted covering associated with the subgroup of index 2 of Z. Note that U-A and W are also complete Kähler manifolds. The holomorphic map $p: p^{-1}(\Delta^*) \to \Delta^*$ can be extended to a holomorphic map between unit discs. Let t be a holomorphic function on $p^{-1}(\Delta^*)$ satisfying $p^*z_1 = t^2$.

Let V_i be a neighbourhood of Δ^* in U defined by

$$V_{\epsilon} := \left\{ \left| \frac{z_2}{z_1} \right| < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Since A and $\{z_2=0\}$ intersects only at x transversally, it follows that $V_{\epsilon} \cap A = \emptyset$ for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. We fix such ϵ . Then we have a two-sheeted covering

$$p: p^{-1}(V_{\bullet}) \rightarrow V_{\bullet}$$

The holomorphic retraction

$$r: V_{\epsilon} \longrightarrow A^*$$

$$(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (z_1, 0)$$

can be lifted to a holomorphic retraction $\rho: p^{-1}(V_*) \to p^{-1}(\Delta^*)$, so that $p \circ \rho = r \circ p$. We put $w_1 = \rho^* t$ and $w_2 = p^* z_2$. Then (w_1, w_2) is a coordinate on $p^{-1}(V_s)$ and $w_1^2 = p^*z_1$.

We put

$$f = \begin{cases} \frac{\tilde{\partial}(\chi(|w_2/w_1^2|^2)w_1^2)}{w_2} dw_1 \wedge dw_2, & \text{on } p^{-1}(V_{\bullet}), \\ 0, & \text{on } W - p^{-1}(V_{\bullet}). \end{cases}$$
 Here, χ is a C^{∞} function satisfying $\chi = 1$ on $(-\infty, \varepsilon^2/2)$ and $\chi = 0$ on

 (ε^2, ∞) . We set $C = \sup |\chi'|$.

Assertion. f is square integrable with respect to the metric $p*(dz_1d\bar{z}_1+dz_2d\bar{z}_2).$

Proof. On $p^{-1}(V_s)$, we have

$$\begin{split} f &= \frac{w_1^2}{w_2} \, \bar{\eth} \chi \Big(\Big| \frac{w_2}{w_1^2} \Big|^2 \Big) \! \bigwedge \! dw_1 \! \bigwedge \! dw_2 \\ &= \! \chi' \Big(\Big| \frac{w_2}{w_1^2} \Big|^2 \Big) \! \Big\{ \frac{1}{\overline{w}_1^2} \! d\overline{w}_2 \! \bigwedge \! dw_1 \! \bigwedge \! dw_2 \! - \! \frac{2\overline{w}_2}{\overline{w}_3^3} \! d\overline{w}_1 \! \bigwedge \! dw_1 \! \bigwedge \! dw_2 \Big\}. \end{split}$$

Since $p^*(dz_1d\overline{z}_1+dz_2d\overline{z}_2)=|w_1|^2dw_1d\overline{w}_1+dw_2d\overline{w}_2$, we have

$$|f|^2 dv = \left|\chi'\left(\left|\frac{w_2}{w_1^2}\right|^2\right)\right|^2 \left(\frac{1}{|w_1|^6} + \frac{4|w_2|^2}{|w_1|^8}\right) dv$$
 ,

where |f| and dv denote resp. the length of f and the volume form with

respect to $p^*(dz_1d\bar{z}_1+dz_2d\bar{z}_2)$. Therefore

$$\begin{split} \int_{W} |f|^{2} dv &= \int_{p-1(V_{\bullet})} |f|^{2} dv = \int_{p-1(V_{\bullet})} \left| \chi' \left(\left| \frac{w_{2}}{w_{1}^{2}} \right|^{2} \right) \right|^{2} \left(\frac{1}{|w_{1}|^{6}} + \frac{4|w_{2}|^{2}}{|w_{1}|^{8}} \right) dv \\ &= 2 \int_{V_{\bullet}} \left| \chi' \left(\left| \frac{z_{2}}{z_{1}} \right|^{2} \right) \right|^{2} \left(\frac{1}{|z_{1}|^{3}} + \frac{4|z_{2}|^{2}}{|z_{1}|^{4}} \right) dv_{*} \\ &= 2 \int_{U} \left| \chi' \left(\left| \frac{z_{2}}{z_{1}} \right|^{2} \right) \right|^{2} \left(\frac{1}{|z_{1}|^{5}} + \frac{4|z_{2}|^{2}}{|z_{1}|^{4}} \right) dv_{*} \\ &= 2 \int_{|z_{1}| < 1} \frac{1}{|z_{1}|^{3}} \left\{ \int_{|z_{2}| < 1} \left| \chi' \left(\left| \frac{z_{2}}{z_{1}} \right|^{2} \right) \right|^{2} \left(1 + \frac{4|z_{2}|^{2}}{|z_{1}|} \right) dv_{2} \right\} dv_{1}. \end{split}$$

Here $dv_* = -(1/4)dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge dz_2 \wedge d\bar{z}_2$ and $dv_i = (\sqrt{-1}/2)dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_i$ (i=1,2). On the support of $\chi(|z_2/z_1|^2)$, we have

$$|z_2| \leq \varepsilon |z_1|$$

Hence

$$\int_{|z_2|<1} \left| \chi' \! \left(\left| \frac{z_2}{z_1} \right|^2 \right) \! \right|^2 \! \left(1 + \frac{4 \; |z_2|^2}{|z_1|} \right) \! dv_2 \! < \! C^2 \! (1 + 4\varepsilon) \pi \varepsilon^2 \; |z_1|^2.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} &\int_{|z_{1}|\leqslant 1} \frac{1}{|z_{1}|^{3}} \Bigl\{ \!\! \int_{|z_{2}|\leqslant 1} \Bigl| \chi'\Bigl(\Bigl| \frac{z_{2}}{z_{1}} \Bigr|^{2} \Bigr) \Bigr|^{2} \Bigl(1 + \frac{4 \, |z_{2}|^{2}}{|z_{1}|} \Bigr) dv_{2} \Bigr\} dv_{1} \\ &< C^{2} (1 + 4\varepsilon) \pi \varepsilon^{2} \int_{|z_{1}|\leqslant 1} \frac{1}{|z_{1}|} dv_{1} \! < \! \infty. & \text{Q.E.D.} \end{split}$$

Thus, applying the proposition to f, W(=X), and $p^*(|z_1|^2+|z_2|^2)$ $(=\varphi)$, we obtain a square integrable (2,0)-form g on W satisfying $\bar{\partial}g=f$. We put

$$h = \begin{cases} \chi \left(\left| \frac{w_2}{w_1^2} \right|^2 \right) w_1^2 dw_1 \wedge dw_2 - w_2 g, & \text{on } p^{-1}(V_*), \\ -w_2 g, & \text{on } W - p^{-1}(V_*). \end{cases}$$

Then h is a square integrable holomorphic 2-form on W. Let σ be the covering transformation of $p:W\to U-A$. We set $h_*=(h-\sigma^*h)/2$. Then we have

$$h_* = \chi \left(\left| \frac{w_2}{w_1^2} \right|^2 \right) w_1^2 dw_1 \wedge dw_2 - w_2 \left(\frac{g - \sigma^* g}{2} \right).$$

Since $p^*(dz_1d\bar{z}_1+dz_2d\bar{z}_2)$ is invariant under σ , h_* is square integrable, too. Therefore $F=h_*/p^*(dz_1\wedge dz_2)$ is a square integrable holomorphic function on W. Since $\sigma^*F=-F$, there exists a holomorphic function F_1 on U-A satisfying $F^2=p^*F_1$. F_1z_1 is integrable with respect to $dz_1d\bar{z}_1+dz_2d\bar{z}_2$. Hence F_1 is a meromorphic function on U whose poles are contained in $A\cup\{z_1=0\}$. We set $F_1^0=\{z\in U\,;\,F_1(z)=0\}$ and $F_1^\infty=\{z\in U\,;\,F_1(z)=\infty\}$. Since $F_1|_{J^*}=z_1|_{J^*}$, there exists an irreducible component S of $F_1^0\cup F_1^\infty$ whose multiplicity is odd. Since $p^*F_1=F^2$, S must be contained in A. Thus A is a complex submanifold of M.

In the general case n>2, we use the following characterization of complex submanifolds.

Lemma. Let A be a real C¹-submanifold of a complex manifold M. A is a complex submanifold of M if and only if the complex structure of TM (the tangent bundle of M) induces an almost complex structure in TA.

Proof is trivial.

Let $v \in T_xA$ be any tangent vector. There exists a bidisc B intersecting A transversally and $v \in T_x(A \cap B)$. As we have shown, $A \cap B$ is a complex submanifold of B. Therefore $Jv \in T_x(A \cap B)$, where J denotes the complex structure of M. Thus, by the lemma, A is a complex submanifold of M. This completes the proof of the main theorem.

§ 3. Remark. In virtue of our theorem, Nishino's conjecture is true if f is C^1 and φ is C^4 outside G(f). In fact, under these conditions, for any point $z' \in D$, there exists a Stein neighbourhood $U' \ni x$ such that $U_c := \{z \in U' \times C; -\infty < \varphi(z) < -c\}$ is provided with a complete Kähler metric defined by

$$\textstyle\sum_{\alpha,\beta}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^\alpha\partial\bar{z}^\beta}\Bigl\{-\log{(-\varphi)}+\frac{1}{-c-\varphi}\Bigr\}dz^\alpha d\bar{z}^\beta+q^*ds^2.$$

Here c is a sufficiently large number, q is the projection $U' \times C \rightarrow U'$ and ds^2 is a complete Kähler metric on U'.

Reference

[1] T. Ohsawa: On complete Kähler domains with C¹-boundary. Publ. RIMS, Kyoto University, 16, 929-940 (1980).