106. On Lévy's Downcrossing Theorem

By Yuji Kasahara

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University

(Communicated by Kôsaku Yosida, M. J. A., Dec. 12, 1980)

Let $\{X_t, t \geq 0\}$ be a one-dimensional standard Brownian motion starting at 0. For $\varepsilon > 0$ and t > 0, put $\sigma_0(=\sigma_0^*) = 0$, $\tau_n(=\tau_n^*) = \inf\{s > \sigma_n; |X_s| = \varepsilon\}$, $\sigma_{n+1}(=\sigma_{n+1}^*) = \inf\{s > \tau_n; |X_s| = 0\}$ $(n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$ and $d_s(t) = \max\{n; \sigma_n^* \leq t\}$. Thus $d_s(t)$ is the number of times that the reflected Brownian motion $|X_s|$ crosses down from ε to 0 by time t.

Lévy's downcrossing theorem.

(1)
$$P\left(\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \epsilon d_{\epsilon}(t) = l(t), \ t \geq 0\right) = 1$$

where l(t) is the local time of X(t) at 0, i.e.,

(2)
$$l(t) = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t 1_{(-\epsilon, \epsilon)}(X_s) ds \qquad a.s.$$

(For the original version of this theorem, see p. 48 of [4] or [1], [7].)

The aim of this article is to prove a central limit theorem;

Theorem. D-valued processes $1/\sqrt{\varepsilon} \cdot (\varepsilon d_{\varepsilon}(t) - l(t))$ converge in law to B(l(t)) as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, where $B(\cdot)$ is a Brownian motion independent of $l(\cdot)$ $(B_0=0)$.

This is a natural assertion because $d_{\epsilon}(l^{-1}(t))$ is Poisson distributed with mean t_{ϵ}^{-1} (see D. Williams [7]). However, the difficulty is that the independence of B and l does not seem to be trivial from this kind of argument. Therefore we will use another approach based on the following lemma due to D. Stroock*).

Lemma 1. Let

$$\theta_t^{\epsilon} = \sum_n \mathbf{1}_{[\sigma_n, \tau_n)}(t) \operatorname{sgn}(X_t) \mathbf{1}_{(-\epsilon, \epsilon)}(X_t),$$

then.

(3)
$$\left| \varepsilon d_{s}(t) - l(t) - \int_{0}^{t} \theta_{s}^{*} dX_{s} \right| \leq \varepsilon \quad a.s.$$

Since the proof is not published yet, we will prove it for the convenience of the reader. By the generalized Itô's formula (Tanaka's formula),

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon &= |X_{\tau_n}| - |X_{\sigma_n}| \\ &= \int_{\sigma_n}^{\tau_n} \operatorname{sgn}(X_t) dX_t + l(\tau_n) - l(\sigma_n) \\ &= \int_{\sigma_n}^{\sigma_{n+1}} \theta_t^{\epsilon} dX_t + l(\sigma_{n+1}) - l(\sigma_n). \end{split}$$

^{*)} Private communication.

Combining this with

$$l(t) + \int_0^t \theta_s^* dX_s = \sum \left\{ \int_{\sigma_n \wedge t}^{\sigma_{n+1} \wedge t} \theta_s^* dX_s + l(\sigma_{n+1} \wedge t) - l(\sigma_n \wedge t) \right\}$$

we have the assertion.

Remark. We can prove (1) using the previous lemma. Since $|\theta_t^*| \leq 1_{(-\epsilon,\epsilon)}(X_t)$, it is easy to see

(4)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^t \theta_s^{\epsilon_n} dX_s = 0 \quad \text{a.s. where } \epsilon_n = n^{-2}.$$

Therefore, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varepsilon_n d_{\epsilon_n}(t) = l(t)$ a.s. Since $d_{\epsilon}(t)$ is monotonic in ε , it is not difficult to complete the proof of (1).

We return to the proof of Theorem. Thanks to Lemma 1, it suffices to show that the continuous martingales

$$M_t^s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_0^t \theta_s^s dX_s$$

converge in law to B(l(t)) as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Lemma 2. There exist constants c_n and C_n , $n=1,2,\cdots$ such that

$$E[(M_t^{\epsilon}-M_s^{\epsilon})^{2n}] \leq c_n E[(\langle M^{\epsilon} \rangle (t) - \langle M^{\epsilon} \rangle (s))^n]$$

$$\leq C_n (t-s)^n, \qquad t \geq s \geq 0.$$

Proof. The first inequality is well known (see [3]). To see the second inequality, notice that

$$\begin{split} E[(\langle M^{\circ}\rangle(t) - \langle M^{\circ}\rangle(s))^{n}] &= E\left[\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{s}^{t} (\theta_{u}^{\circ})^{2} du\right)^{n}\right] \\ &\leq E\left[\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{s}^{t} \mathbf{1}_{(-\varepsilon, s)}(X_{u}) du\right)^{n}\right]. \end{split}$$

Since X_t is a Brownian motion, we easily have the assertion by a direct computation.

Lemma 3. For all $n=1, 2, \dots, and \ t>0$,

(5)
$$\lim E[(\langle M^s \rangle(t) - l(t))^{2n}] = 0$$

(6)
$$\lim_{t\downarrow 0} E[(\langle M^{\epsilon}, X\rangle(t))^{2n}] = 0.$$

Proof. By Itô's formula,

$$egin{aligned} arepsilon^2 &= X_{ au_n}^2 - X_{\sigma_n}^2 \ &= 2 \int_{\sigma_n}^{ au_n} X_u dX_u + \int_{\sigma_n}^{ au_n} du \ &= 2 \int_{\sigma_n}^{\sigma_{n+1}} |X_u| \, heta_u^\epsilon dX_u + \int_{\sigma_n}^{\sigma_{n+1}} | heta_u^\epsilon|^2 \, du. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

(7)
$$\left| \varepsilon^2 d_{\epsilon}(t) - 2 \int_0^t |X_u| \, \theta_u^{\epsilon} dX_u - \int_0^t (\theta^{\epsilon})^2 du \right| \leq \varepsilon^2.$$

Combining (7) and (3), we have

(8)
$$\left| l(t) + \int_0^t \theta_u^* dX_u - \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t |X_u| \, \theta_u^* dX_u - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t (\theta_u^*)^2 du \, \right| \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

Here notice that

$$|\theta_u^{\epsilon}| \leq 1_{(-\epsilon,\epsilon)}(X_u)$$
 and $\frac{1}{\epsilon} |X_u \theta_u^{\epsilon}| \leq 1_{(-\epsilon,\epsilon)}(X_u)$.

Therefore, the $2n^{th}$ moments of the second and the third terms of (8) are of order ε^n (see Lemma 2). Thus we have (5). (6) can be proved in a similar (but easier) way.

We are now ready to prove the theorem. Let P^{ϵ} denote the probability measure on $\Omega = C([0,\infty) \to R^3)$ induced by $(X_t, M_t^{\epsilon}, l(t))$. We will use (x(t), y(t), z(t)) to express elements of Ω . By Lemma 2, $\{P^{\epsilon}: \epsilon > 0\}$ is precompact. Let P^{ϵ} be any limit point of $\{P^{\epsilon}: \epsilon > 0\}$. Then it is clear that x(t) and y(t) are martingales relative to $(P^{\epsilon}, \mathcal{F}_t; t > 0)$ where $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ is the natural increasing family of σ -fields. Of course, clearly, x(t) is an \mathcal{F}_t -Brownian motion with local time z(t). By Lemma 3, we also see that $\langle x \rangle(t) = z(t), \langle x, y \rangle(t) = 0, P^{\epsilon}$ -a.s. Therefore, by the Knight representation theorem for continuous martingales (see [5]) there exists a two-dimensional Brownian motion $(B_1(t), B_2(t))$ such that $x(t) = B_1(t)$ and $y(t) = B_2(\langle y \rangle_t) = B_2(z(t))$, a.s. Since P^{ϵ} is unique, we have the theorem by a standard argument.

Remark. (a) In a similar way, we can prove the following for the number of downcrossings of X_t (instead of $|X_t|$) (cf. [2]). For a < 0 < b, let $D_{a,b}(t)$ denote the number of times that X_s crosses down from b to a by time t. Then,

$$(1)'$$
 $\lim_{n\to\infty} 2(b_n-a_n)D_{a_n,b_n}(t)=l(t)$ a.s., if $\sum_n (b_n-a_n)<\infty$.

(9)
$$\sqrt{(b_n - a_n)/2(b_n^2 + a_n^2)} \left\{ 2(b_n - a_n) D_{a_n, b_n}(t) - l(t) \right\}$$

converge in law to B(l(t)) as $a_n, b_n \rightarrow 0$, where l(t) and B(t) are the same as in Theorem.

(b) As a corollary of Papanicolaou-Stroock-Varadhan's theorem ([6]), we can also prove a central limit theorem for (2):

(10)
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)}(X_s) ds - l(t) \right\}$$

converge in law to

$$\sqrt{2/3}B(l(t))$$
, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to express thanks to Prof. D. Stroock for valuable informations.

References

- [1] Chung, K. L., and Durrett, R.: Downcrossings and local time. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete, 35, 147-149 (1976).
- [2] Getoor, R. K.: Another limit theorem for local time. Ibid., 34, 1-10 (1976).
- [3] Getoor, R. K., and Sharpe, M. J.: Conformal martingales. Invent. Math., 16, 271-308 (1972).
- [4] Itô, K., and McKean, Jr., H. P.: Diffusion Processes and their Sample Paths. Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1965).
- [5] Knight, F. B.: A reduction of continuous square-integrable martingale to

- Brownian motion. Lect. notes in Math., vol. 190, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, pp. 19-31 (1971).
- [6] Papanicolaou, G. C., Stroock, D., and Varadhan, S. R. S.: Martingale approach to some limit theorems. Duke Univ. Math. ser. III, Statistical Mechanics and Dynamical systems (1977).
- [7] Williams, D.: Levy's Downcrossing theorem. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete, 40, 157-158 (1977).