6. Cousin Problems for Ideals and the Domain of Regularity. II.

By Sin HITOTUMATU. Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University. (Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Jan. 12, 1952.)

1. In the previous paper¹), we have considered the Cousin problems for ideals. The second Cousin problem *for ideals* is always solvable in a domain of regularity, but the "classical" or "functional" second Cousin problem remains still unperfectly solved. Mr. K. Stein²) has set up a necessary and sufficient condition for the solvability of the latter, but, I think, his condition is the one not for the domain, but for the given Cousin distribution.

In the present note, we shall prove a necessary and sufficient condition for the solvability of the functional second Cousin problem in a domain of regularity. Although our condition seems to be over complicated when compared to the complicacy of the original Cousin problem, I believe it will be applicable to the theory of ideals or varieties in a domain of regularity in which the solvability of the second Cousin problem has already been established.

The author expresses his thanks to Mr. O. Kōta who has suggested me some hints of our theorems concerning his investigations on analytic variety.

2. First we will arrange the notions used later. The terminologies not defined here are all found in my previous note.¹⁾

We always consider the domains in the space of n complex variables z_1, \ldots, z_n which we denote by z only. When we use the word "domain of regularity", it is always supposed to be univalent and finite.

Definition 1. An ideal \Im in a domain G is said to be *locally* simple if the punctual ideal \Im_a generated by \Im at any point a of G is always principal.

Definition 2. Two ideals \Im and \Re in a domain G are said to be *locally equivalent* if they generate quite the same punctual ideals at every point of G.

¹⁾ S. Hitotumatu: Cousin problems for ideals and the domain of regularity. Kōdai Math. Sem. Reports, vol. **3**, Nos. 1/2 (1951), 26-32.

K. Stein: Topologische Bedingungen für die Existenz analytischer Funktionen komplexer Veränderlichen zu vorgegebene Nullstellenflächen. Math. Ann. 117 (1941), 727-757. See also, Math. Ann. 123 (1951), 202-222.

Definition 3.³⁾ Let \Im be an ideal in an open set G. We denote by $\overline{\Im}$ the ideal consisting of the functions regular in G which are, in every compact subset of G, uniform limits of functions of \Im . This ideal $\overline{\Im}$ is called the *closure* of \Im . \Im is said to be *closed* when $\Im = \overline{\Im}$.

It is evident that $\overline{\mathfrak{S}}$ is closed.

Definition 4. Two functions $\varphi(z)$ and $\psi(z)$ regular in a domain G are said to be equivalent with respect to division, or simply D equivalent in G, if the quotient φ/ψ is regular and non-zero in G.

Definition 5. Suppose that to every point a of a set E, there correspond a neighborhood U(a) and a function $\varphi_a(z)$ regular in U(a), such that φ_a and φ_b are D-equivalent in $U(a) \cap U(b)$, unless this intersection is empty. Such a system $\{U(a); \varphi_a\}$ is called a *functional second Cousin distribution* or f.s.C.d. in E.

We also denote the "second Cousin distribution for ideals"⁴ by i.s.C.d. for the simplicity's sake.

Definition 6. Suppose that to a given f.s.C.d. $\{U(a); \varphi_a\}$ in E, there exists a function $\varphi(z)$ regular in E, which is D-equivalent to φ_a in U(a) for every $a \in E$. Such a function φ is called the solution of the given f.s.C.d.

3. The lemmas used later are given in the following. We omit the proofs, but they are found in the cited places.

Lemma 1.⁵⁾ To every i.s.C.d. in a domain of regularity, there always exists a *closed* ideal⁶⁾, which is the solution of the given i.s.C.d.

Lemma 2.⁷⁾ If two *closed* ideals in a domain of regularity are locally equivalent, they must coincide with each other.

Lemma 3.⁸⁾ Let \mathfrak{Z}_a be a punctual ideal at a, and a function f be regular in a neighborhood U(a). If there exist functions $f^{(\nu)} \in \mathfrak{Z}_a$ such that they are all regular in U(a) and converge uniformly to f in U(a), then f itself belongs to \mathfrak{Z}_a .

Lemma 4.⁹⁾ If two ideals \Im and \Re in a neighborhood V(a) generate the same punctual ideals at a, then there exists a neigh-

Ann. École. Norm. Sup. 61 (1944), 149-197: First Corollary of Theorem α, p. 194.
9) Loc. cit. H. Cartan 8), Second Corollary of Theorem α, p. 194.

³⁾ Due to H. Cartan: Idéaux et modules de fonctions analytiques de variables complexes. Bull. Soc. Math. France **78** (1950), 29-64: § 30, p. 60.

⁴⁾ Loc. cit. Hitotumatu 1), p. 27. In other words, the system of punctual ideals generated by a "faisceau cohérent" in H. Cartan's sense.

⁵⁾ Loc. cit. H. Cartan 3), Theorem 3 ter, § 29, p. 60.

⁶⁾ The solution-ideal is unique: this is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.

⁷⁾ Loc. cit. H. Cartan 3), Theorem 4 ter and its Corollary, § 30, p. 60.

⁸⁾ H. Cartan: Idéaux de fonctions analytiques de n variables complexes.

borhood $U(a) \subset V(a)$, in which \Im and \Re are locally equivalent.

Lemma 5.¹⁰⁾ An ideal in a domain of regularity with finite bases is closed.

4. Our Theorem is the following:

Theorem 1. The condition for the existence of the solution for any given f.s.C.d. in a domain of regularity, is that every closed, locally simple ideal in it is principal.

Proof. Necessity: We take a closed, locally simple ideal \Im in the domain G. By our assumption, the punctual ideal \Im_a generated by \Im at a has a base consisting of a simple element, say φ_a , which is regular in a neighborhood V(a). Since the ideal \Im and $\{\varphi_a\} = \Re^{(a)}$ in V(a) generate the same punctual ideals at a, there exists a neighborhood U(a) < V(a) satisfying the condition of Lemma 4. We consider the system of these neighborhoods U(a) and the functions φ_a . If $U(a) \cap U(b)$ is not empty, $\{\varphi_a\} = \mathfrak{P}^{(a)}$ and $\{\varphi_b\} = \mathfrak{P}^{(b)}$ generate the same ideals \mathfrak{Z}_c at every point c of $U(a) \cap U(b)$, and so φ_a and φ_b are D-equivalent in $U(a) \cap U(b)$. Therefore we have a f.s.C.d. $\{U(a); \varphi_a\}$ in G, and then we have its solution φ by hypothesis. Since the ideal $\mathfrak{P} = \{ \phi \}$ in G has finite bases, it is closed by Lemma 5. On the other hand, \mathfrak{P} generates the punctual ideals $\mathfrak{P}_a = \mathfrak{P}_a^{(a)} = \mathfrak{Z}_a$ at $a \in G$. Therefore \mathfrak{P} and \mathfrak{Z} are locally equivalent, and so by Lemma 2, they must coincide with each other. Hence \Im is principal.

Sufficiency: For a given f.s.C.d. $\{U(a); \varphi_a\}$ in G, we construct the punctual ideal \Im_a generated by φ_a at a. It is evident that the system $\{U(a); \Im_a\}$ is an i.s.C.d. in G, by definitions. Then we have its solution-ideal \Im by Lemma 1, and we may assume that \Im is closed. Also \Im is locally simple, because it generates the principal ideal $\Im_a = \{\varphi_a\}$ at a by our assumption. Therefore \Im is principal by hypothesis. Let φ be its base. At every point $c \in U(a)$, \Im generates the punctual ideal $\Im_c = \{\varphi_c\}$, and so φ/φ_c is regular and non-zero at c. On the other hand, φ_c/φ_a is regular and nonzero at c by our assumption, hence φ and φ_a are D-equivalent in U(a). This means that φ is the solution of the given f.s.C.d. Thus our Theorem is proved.

Corollary 1. Suppose that there always exists the solution for any given f.s.C.d. in a domain of regularity G. (For example, let G be the whole finite space, or a cylindrical domain whose components are simply-connected except at most one.) Then every analytic variety in G with local complex dimension n-1 at any

¹⁰⁾ Loc. cit. H. Cartan 3), Theorem 11, § 32, p. 62.

point on it, can be represented as the zero-manifold of a function, regular in G.

Corollary 2. If n=1, every closed ideal in a domain is principal.

Because, if n=1, every punctual ideal is principal.

5. In this section we shall give a property of the closure of the ideal, which is a generalization of Lemma 2. We express this only for ideals, but it is also valid for higher-dimensional modules.¹¹

Theorem 2. The closures of two ideals \Im and \Re in a domain of regularity coincide with each other if and only if \Im and \Re are locally equivalent.

First we put the following:

Lemma 6. In a domain of regularity, an ideal \Im and its closure $\overline{\Im}$ are locally equivalent.

If this had been proved, the necessity of Theorem 2 would be evident. Conversely, if \Im and \Re are locally equivalent, their closures $\overline{\Im}$ and $\overline{\Re}$ must be also locally equivalent by this Lemma, and so they coincide with each other by Lemma 2.

Proof of Lemma 6: Since it is evident that $\mathfrak{Z}_a \subset \mathfrak{Z}_a$, we have only to prove the converse. If $\varphi \in \mathfrak{Z}$, we have

$$\varphi = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \alpha_f f_j$$

where $f_j \in \overline{\mathfrak{T}}$ and a_j are regular at a. There exists a neighborhood U(a) completely interior to G, in which all the functions $a_j (j=1, \ldots, s)$ are regular and bounded, and we have functions $g_j^{(\nu)} \in \mathfrak{T}$, such that $\{g_j^{(\nu)}\}_{\nu=1}^{\infty}$ converge uniformly to f_j in every compact subset of G. From these assumptions

$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} \alpha_j g_j^{(\nu)} \in \mathfrak{Z}_a$$

are regular in U(a), and converge uniformly to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} \alpha_j f_j = \varphi$$

in U(a). Hence we have $\varphi \in \mathfrak{Z}_a$ by Lemma 3. Thus our statement is proved.

11) This is a notion introduced by H. Cartan: loc. cit. 8) or 3).